

## **NIH Public Access**

**Author Manuscript** 

Mol Oral Microbiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 June 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Mol Oral Microbiol. 2012 June ; 27(3): 202-219. doi:10.1111/j.2041-1014.2012.00643.x.

### Role of the Porphyromonas gingivalis ECF sigma factor, SigH

Sai S. Yanamandra<sup>1,2,#</sup>, Sara S. Sarrafee<sup>1,#</sup>, Cecilia Anaya-Bergman<sup>1,4</sup>, Kevin Jones<sup>1</sup>, and Janina P. Lewis<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The Philips Institute of Oral and Craniofacial Molecular Biology, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA

<sup>4</sup>University of San Luis, San Luis, Argentina

#### Abstract

Little is known about the regulatory mechanisms that allow *Porphyromonas gingivalis* to survive in the oral cavity. Here we characterize the sigma factor SigH, one of six extracytoplasmic (ECF) sigma ( $\sigma$ ) factors encoded in the *P. gingivalis* genome. Our results indicate that *sigH* expression is upregulated by exposure to molecular oxygen, suggesting that sigH plays a role in adaptation of P. gingivalis to oxygen. Furthermore, several genes involved in oxidative stress protection, such as sod, trx, tpx, ftn, feoB2 and the hemin uptake hmu locus, are downregulated in mutant deficient in SigH designated as V2948. ECF  $\sigma$  consensus sequences were identified upstream of the transcriptional start sites of these genes, consistent with the SigH-dependent regulation of these genes. Growth of V2948 was inhibited in the presence of 6% oxygen when compared to the wildtype W83 strain, while in anaerobic conditions both strains were able to grow. In addition, reduced growth of V2948 was observed in the presence of peroxide and thiol-oxidizing reagent, diamide when compared to the W83 strain. The SigH-deficient strain V2948 also exhibited reduced hemin uptake, consistent with the observed reduced expression of genes involved in hemin uptake. Finally, survival of V2948 was reduced in the presence of host cells compared to the wild-type W83 strain. Collectively, our studies demonstrate that SigH is a positive regulator of gene expression required for survival of the bacterium in the presence of oxygen and oxidative stress, hemin uptake, and virulence.

#### **Keywords**

ECF sigma factor; regulon; oxidative stress; hemin uptake; host-pathogen; Porphyromonas gingivalis

#### Introduction

Regulation of gene expression in response to environmental changes is a required adaptive response that allows bacteria to grow and survive. This is especially important for pathogenic bacteria that have to adapt to various host environments. Adaptation to such

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>Correspondent Footnote: Janina P. Lewis, Philips Institute of Oral and Craniofacial Molecular Biology, School of Dentistry, Virginia Commonwealth University, P.O. Box 980566, Richmond, VA 23298-0566, Telephone: (804) 628-7014, Fax: (804) 828-0150, jplewis@vcu.edu. #these authors contributed equally to the project

Portion of the work reported in this paper is included in Sara Sarrafee's Master Thesis submitted in May, 2009.

changes involves differential expression of genes involved in bacterial survival and virulence (Bashyam and Hasnain, 2004;Staron *et al.*, 2009;Lewis *et al.*, 2009).

Bacterial RNA polymerase (RNAP) is a multimeric protein comprised of a core polymerase (E) that contains a beta, beta', two alpha subunits and a dissociable specificity factor sigma ( $\sigma$ ). While there is one core RNAP (E), there are multiple  $\sigma$  factors that guide RNAP to selected promoters and provide some specificity to transcription initiation. All bacteria have one essential (housekeeping)  $\sigma$  factor that is required for basal transcription of most genes and activates the expression of genes required for everyday cell viability. However, many bacterial genomes also encode alternative  $\sigma$  factors that direct RNAP to transcribe genes in response to environmental stimuli (Helmann, 2002b;Campbell *et al.*, 2008). One such factor is  $\sigma^{70}$ , the extracytoplasmic function sigma factor (ECF- $\sigma$ ) (Potvin *et al.*, 2008).

Ninety percent of the 1873 ECF- $\sigma$  sequences belong to only four bacterial phyla: Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, and Proteobacteria (Staron *et al.*, 2009). Some members of the Bacteroidetes phylum encode a large number of  $\sigma$  factors (> 30/genome) (Staron *et al.*, 2009), suggesting that regulation by ECF- $\sigma$  factors is especially important in these bacteria. *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, a gram-negative anaerobic bacterium of the Bacteroidetes phylum, is a major etiological agent in adult-onset periodontal disease (Slots *et al.*, 1986). It is also an excellent model bacterium due to its similarity to other medically significant organisms such as *Bacteroides fragilis, Prevotella intermedia*, and *Tannerella forsythia* which are implicated in oral or intestinal diseases. Some of our previous work has suggested that novel forms of regulation exist in *P. gingivalis* (He *et al.*, 2006;Anaya-Bergman *et al.*, 2010). Indeed, the *P. gingivalis* W83 genome encodes six putative ECF- $\sigma$ factors and recent studies have shown role of these factors in regulating response to oxidative stress, gingipain activity and hemagglutination in *P. gingivalis* (Dou *et al.*, 2010;Kikuchi *et al.*, 2009;Nelson *et al.*, 2003).

One mechanism that allows *P. gingivalis* to sustain itself in the oral cavity is high aerotolerance and the ability to protect itself against reactive oxygen species (ROS). ROS, generated by the incomplete reduction of oxygen (Storz et al., 1990), are much more reactive than molecular oxygen and can cause severe damage to nucleic acids, cell membranes, and proteins (Farr and Kogoma, 1991), which can lead to mutagenesis and cell death. Several enzymes involved in oxidative stress protection have been identified in P. gingivalis. For instance, Fe/Mn-containing superoxide dismutase has been shown to play a role in aerotolerance in *P. gingivalis* (Amano et al., 1990;Nakayama, 1994) and Dps and AphC contribute to peroxide resistance in P. gingivalis (Ueshima et al., 2003; Johnson et al., 2004). Also, rubrerythrin (Rbr) was identified in *P. gingivalis* and was shown to play a role in protection from hydrogen peroxide and molecular oxygen (Sztukowska et al., 2002). Both, Dps and Rbr are required for P. gingivalis virulence (Ueshima et al., 2003; Mydel et al., 2006). Other proteins potentially involved in oxidative stress protection have been reported in *P. gingivalis*, including ferritin and several thioredoxins, though the role of these proteins in oxidative stress protection remains to be established (Kikuchi et al., 2005;Ratnayake et al., 2000).

Although expression of most of the genes described above has been shown to be regulated by OxyR (Diaz *et al.*, 2006;Ohara *et al.*, 2006), here we show that OxyR is not the sole regulator of genes involved in oxidative stress protection in *P. gingivalis*. Oxidative stress response mechanisms have been extensively studied in the related bacterium *B. fragilis* and have demonstrated the presence of catalase (KatB), ferritin, and thioredoxin systems in this bacterium (Reott *et al.*, 2009;Rocha and Smith, 2004;Rocha and Smith, 1997;Rocha and Smith, 1995;Rocha *et al.*, 2007). Oxygen-dependent transcription of genes of the Trx/Tpx

We hypothesized that ECF- $\sigma$  factors might be involved in the maintenance of oxidative stress homeostasis in Bacteroidetes. This hypothesis was supported by our data demonstrating that the SigH ECF- $\sigma$  factor (PG1827) is upregulated in the presence of oxygen (Lewis *et al.*, 2009). We further showed that a SigH-deficient mutant exhibits reduced growth in the presence of oxygen and a reduced ability to survive in the presence of host cells, which supports our hypothesis that ECF- $\sigma$  factors play a role in oxidative stress protection in *P. gingivalis* and suggests a role for these factors in *P. gingivalis* virulence. Finally, we propose a mechanism for SigH mediated adaptation to oxygen based on results of microarray analysis.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 1. The W83 strain was cultured in an anaerobic atmosphere composed of 10% H<sub>2</sub>, 10% CO<sub>2</sub>, and 80% N<sub>2</sub> at 37 °C. Bacteria were maintained on either blood agar plates (TSA II, 5% Sheep Blood) (BBL, Cockeysville, MD) or liquid cultures prepared in brain heart infusion broth (BHI, Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI) supplemented with hemin (5 µg/ml) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), yeast extract (5 mg/ml), cysteine (1 mg/ml) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and vitamin K<sub>3</sub> (1 µg/ml) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Growth studies were conducted in BHI media both anaerobically and in the presence of 6% of oxygen[conditions generated as described previously (Lewis *et al.*, 2009)]. To examine growth of the parental and mutant strains overnight cultures were used to inoculate BHI broth to an  $OD_{660nm} = 0.1$ . One aliquot was incubated anaerobically while the other was grown in the presence of 6% of oxygen Growth was monitored for 24 h. Cultures to be used for harvesting of cells for subsequent RNA isolation and microarray analysis were inoculated to an  $OD_{660nm} = 0.2$  and grown until they reached logarithmic phase.

Clindamycin (0.5  $\mu$ g/ml) was used for selection and maintenance of *P. gingivalis sigh* mutant containing the *ermF-ermB* cassette (Fletcher *et al.*, 1995). *Escherichia coli* was grown aerobically at 37 °C in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth or on solid agar. Carbenicillin (50  $\mu$ g/ml) and erythromycin (300  $\mu$ g/ml) were added to select for recombinant strains.

#### Construction of the P. gingivalis sigH mutant strain

The 639 bp *sigH* gene was amplified using *P. gingivalis* W83 genomic DNA as a template (primers are listed in Supplementary Table 2) and cloned into a pCR<sup>®</sup>2.1 vector according to manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). An *ermF-ermAM* gene isolated from pVA2198 (Fletcher *et al.*, 1995) was blunt ended using Klenow and ligated into the *Nrul* restriction enzyme site located 158 bp from the 5' end of the *sigH* gene. This plasmid was linearized with *Eco*RI and electroporated into *P. gingivalis* electrocompetent cells as described previously (Fletcher *et al.*, 1995). Colonies were selected on BHI agar supplemented with clindamycin (0.5 µg/ml) and screened using PCR analysis with primers specific for *sigH*. Disruption of *sigH* in predicted mutants was verified by sequencing as well as the absence of *sigH* transcript following insertion of the *erm* cassette at 158 bp was confirmed by mRNA sequencing (Supplemental Fig. S1). The mutant strain containing disrupted *sigH* was designated V2948.

#### Microarray analysis

RNA was isolated as described previously from mid-logarithmic cultures of P. gingivalis grown under aerobic and anaerobic conditions as described above (Lewis et al., 2009). The concentration of RNA was measured using the NanoDrop spectrophotometer ND-1000. Microarray analysis was conducted using arrays provided by The J Craig Venter Institute (jcvi.org) and previously published protocols were used to prepare probes for cDNA labeling (Lewis et al., 2009). Briefly, cDNA was generated using the Stratagene®FairPlay® III Microarray Labeling Kit according to the manufacturer's protocol (Stratagene). The cDNA was labeled with Cy-3 or Cy-5 dyes (GE Healthcare) and hybridized to glass microarray slides. An axon 4200A microarray scanner was used to detect hybridized cDNA (Molecular Devices). The images were analyzed and inspected using the GenePix v 6.0 software. Significant statistical differences were determined using the Significance Analysis for Oral Pathogen Microarrays (SAOPMD) tools available at the Bioinformatics Resource for Oral Pathogens (BROP) at The Forsyth Institute (www.brop.org) (Chen et al., 2005). All repeats within and between arrays were combined to generate and analyze the microarray results. Differential gene expression was evaluated based on the change in mRNA expression as represented by the ratio of Cy-5/Cy-3 fluorescence. Microarray results in this study were compared to oxygen-dependent gene regulation in the parental W83 strain published previously (Lewis et al., 2009).

#### Sensitivity of P. gingivalis to oxidative and thiol stress

BHI media was inoculated with actively growing overnight cultures of wild-type and mutant *P. gingivalis* strains to an  $OD_{660}$  of 0.1. The cultures were then divided into several aliquots and incubated for 24 hrs with various concentrations of hydrogen peroxide, diamide (thiol oxidizing reagent), and plumbagin (superoxide stress generator) under anaerobic conditions. Culture without oxidative or thiol oxidizing supplements served as controls. Growth was monitored by measuring the optical density of the culture at 660nm. Growth inhibition was assessed by comparing growth rates of bacteria in media that contained oxidative agents and thiol oxidizing supplements to growth of bacteria in control media.

#### Hemin uptake

Hemin uptake in the W83 and SigH-deficient strain V2948 was measured as described previously (Lewis *et al.*, 2006).

#### Survival of *P. gingivalis* strains with host cells

Bacterial survival in the presence of eukaryotic cells was determined as described previously (He et al., 2006;Ueshima et al., 2003). The HN4 cell line (Miyazaki et al., 2006) was grown at 37 °C in GIBCO<sup>®</sup> Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Invitrogen; Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin (100 U/ mL), streptomycin (100 µg/mL), 2.5 µg/mL fungizone, 10 mM HEPES buffer, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, and 2 mM L-glutamine. HN4 cells were incubated in 90% air and 10% CO2. For invasion and adherence assays, HN4 cells were grown in the DMEM media described above without antibiotics. Bacterial infections were performed under anaerobic conditions. Thus, plates containing HN4 cells were transferred to an anaerobic chamber, media was replaced with a de-oxygenated cell media (generated by incubation of the media in anaerobic chamber for 24hr) and the cells were infected with P. gingivalis strains at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 100. The plates were incubated anaerobically at 37°C for 30min and subsequently washed. Bacteria were released from the HN4 cells by addition of 1% saponin (Riedel-de Haën 16109). The mixture was then diluted 4:1 with anaerobic BHI media and plated on blood agar plates. Colony forming units (CFUs) were counted following a 7 d incubation under anaerobic conditions. To account for intracellular bacteria

the infected HN4s were treated with gentamycin (300  $\mu$ g/ml) and metronidazole (400  $\mu$ g/ml) for 60 min to kill extracellular bacteria and surviving intracellular bacteria were released and accounted for as described above.

#### Transcriptome analysis and determination of transcriptional start sites

RNA was isolated from *P. gingivalis* W83 and V2948 bacterial cells that were harvested from mid-logarithmic anaerobic cultures using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol and depleted of ribosomal RNA using the Epicentre Ribo-Zero kit (Epicentre). A cDNA library was constructed using the Illumina cDNA library generation kit (mRNA-seq) as described by the manufacturer (Illumina). The cDNA library was sequenced using the Illumina Genome Analyzer. Sequence reads were aligned to the reference *P. gingivalis* W83 genome using the CLC Genomic Workbench (CLC Bio). Transcriptional start sites for genes differentially regulated in the SigH-deficient strain V2948 when compared to the parental W83 strain were determined using the *P. gingivalis* W83 transcriptome data. Differential gene expression was determined by comparing number of reads/gene for W83 and V2948.

#### Results

#### Bioinformatic characterization of P. gingivalis SigH

The *sigH* gene of *P. gingivalis* (designated as PG1595 on the Oralgen database [oralgen.lanl.gov] and PG1827 on the JCVI database [jcvi.org] codes for a 213 aa protein. Based on sequence similarity determined using the BLAST search (Entrez, NCBI) SigH belongs to the RNA polymerase sigma factor 70 family (Fig. 1A). Regions 56 – 123 are similar to the Sigma – 70 region 2, which binds the –10 promoter region upstream of the initiation start site, while residues 159 – 207 share homology with Sigma – 70 region 4, which binds to a  $\beta$ -1 flap of the RNAP as well as the – 35 promoter region (Fig. 1C) (Murakami and Darst, 2003). The genomic region coding for the SigH protein is unusual, however. In *P. gingivalis* an open reading frame, PG1826, is encoded immediately upstream of the *sigH* gene in the opposite direction (Fig. 1A). Furthermore, an anti- $\sigma$  factor is not encoded after the *sigH* gene, unlike in other bacteria where the genes encoding ECF- $\sigma$  factors are flanked by genes encoding anti-sigma factors.

Blast analysis showed that residues 34 - 212 share 30% similarity with the *sigH* gene of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Manganelli *et al.*, 2002) (Fig. 1B). It also has a paralog, residues 72 - 201 are 25% identical and 49% similar to PG0148 (Oralgen annotation) (PG0162 according to JCVI annotation) (annotated as putative RNA Polymerase ECF  $\sigma$  factor, 70 family and designated here as ECF1) (Fig. 1C).

Further Blast search revealed that *P. gingivalis* SigH shares similarity with ECF-like sigma proteins from a variety of bacteria but is most closely related to putative  $\sigma$  factors of the *Bacteroidetes* family including *B. fragilis* (52% identity and 73% similarity), *B. thetaiotamicron* (50% identity and 73% similarity), and *P. intermedia* (27% identity and 50% similarity) (Supplementary Table S3).

#### The SigH-deficient strain exhibits reduced growth in the presence of oxygen

The overexpression of *sigH* in the presence of oxygen suggests that SigH plays a role in the growth of *P. gingivalis* in the presence of oxygen (Lewis *et al.*, 2009). To investigate this further we compared the ability of the parental W83 and SigH-deficient V2948 strains to grow under anaerobic and aerobic (6% oxygen) conditions. As shown in Fig. 2A, both strains were able to grow in anaerobic conditions, however, growth of V2948 was slower than the parental strain. V2948 had longer lag phase and was able to grow once it reached

OD660nm of 0.2. In the presence of oxygen the wild type W83 strain had longer lag phase when compared to its growth in anaerobic conditions, however, it grew well once it entered logarithmic phase (Fig. 2B). V2948 on the other hand, again had longer lag phase compared to the parental W83 strain, however, it maintained significantly reduced growth thorough logarithmic growth phase when compared to W83. The higher reduction of growth of the SigH-deficient strain in the presence of oxygen indicates it is required for growth and survival of *P. gingivalis* with oxygen. These results are consistent with a previous report that demonstrated *sigH* was upregulated in the presence of oxygen in wild-type *P. gingivalis* (Lewis *et al.*, 2009).

#### Expression of genes involved in oxidative stress protection is reduced in the SigHdeficient strain

In order to identify genes with altered expression levels in the SigH-deficient strain V2948 we conducted microarray analysis. The analysis was done using RNA derived from cells of bacterial grown both in aerobic and anaerobic conditions. The growth curves of both, the parental W83 and the mutant V2948 strains were similar when higher bacterial inoculums were used (Supplemental Figure S2) thus enabling us to perform such analysis without the additional confounding factor which would be reduced growth rate of bacteria. Such growth dynamics was made possible by using high inoculum to start the cultures (see Materials and Methods section above). Microarray analysis of gene expression in anaerobic conditions showed that two hundred fifty genes exhibited a 1.5 fold reduction in expression in the SigH-deficient strain compared to the wild-type strain (60 most highly regulated genes are shown in Table 1). Some of the genes identified as downregulated were organized in operons (PG0046-47, PG0257-258, PG0421-422, PG0432-435, PG0855-890, PG1042-1044, PG1551-1556, PG1625-26, PG1638-42, PG1866-68, PG2134-35, PG2205-09, PG2216-17). While two of the operons PG1042-1044 and PG1551-1556, were shown previously (Lewis et al., 2006; Dashper et al., 2005) the remaining 11 are yet to be demonstrated and thus our results may also aid in identification of other co-transcribed genes.

Genes involved in oxidative stress protection such as *sod, tpx, ftn, trx*, and *feoB2* are noticeably downregulated in V2948. Many of the genes previously reported to be upregulated in the presence of oxygen (Lewis *et al.*, 2009) are downregulated in V2948 (Fig. 3). However, we also noted that a number of genes involved in oxidative stress protection and oxygen metabolism, such as *ahpCF* (PG0618-0619), *cydAB* (PG0899-0901), and the reductase-encoding oxygen-induced operon PG2212 –2213, were not affected by the SigH mutation in the V2948 strain, indicating that other regulatory mechanisms of oxidative stress protection are present in *P. gingivalis* (Fig. 3).

We also compared the gene expression profile of W83 and V2948 strains grown in aerobic conditions. Interestingly, many genes affected by SigH mutation in anaerobic conditions were also affected by the mutation in the presence of oxygen (Fig. 3, V2948 + O/W83 + O).

Finally, we compared the gene expression profile of V2948 grown in aerobic conditions to that grown without oxygen. Expression levels of many of the genes downregulated in V2948 were not significantly affected by the presence of oxygen, including genes coding for thiol peroxidase (PG1729), thioredoxin (PG0275), superoxide dismutase (PG1545), ferritin (PG1286), FeoB2 (PG1043), and the formate - nitrite transporter (PG0209) (Fig. 3). These data confirms that the oxygen-dependent expression of those genes is dependent on the presence of SigH. However, a number of oxygen-regulated genes, such as genes coding for alkyl hydroperoxide reductase (PG0618-9), thioredoxin (PG0034), and the nitrite reductase operon (PG2212-13), did exhibit changes in expression levels upon exposure to oxygen in the V2948 strain, suggesting that regulation of these genes is SigH independent (Fig. 3).

We also observed upregulation of gene expression in the absence of SigH (Table 2). Most of the upregulated genes code for transposases. Among other significantly regulated genes are ones coding for putative regulatory proteins (PG1497, PG1535, PG1007, PG1432, PG0928, and PG0121). Finally, genes encoding stress response mechanisms such chaperones (PG0520-21) and ribosomal proteins (PG1960, PG0656, PG1959) were upregulated in V2948.

The microarray data was validated by RNAseq analysis. As shown in Supplemental Table S4 most genes detected as regulated in our microarray analysis were also regulated using the RNAseq comparison. Again, 252 genes were upregulated in the W83 strain compared to the V2948 at 2 fold. Such number of regulated genes is very similar to that observed in our microarray analysis. The only difference was the larger fold change indicating that RNAseq is more sensitive method compared to the microarray analysis. Images of the gene-specific reads for the most highly-regulated genes, *ftn* (PG1286) and PG0421 (Table 1, Supplemental Table S4) as determined using both microarray analysis and RNAseq (Table 1 and Supplemental Table S4, respectively) are shown in Figure 4. The number of reads is drastically reduced in the V2948 strain when compared to the parental W83 strain for both genes. Collectively, these results not only validate our microarray analysis data but also indicate that SigH is absolutely required for transcription of *ftn* and PG0421.

### The SigH-deficient strain exhibits reduced survival in the presence of oxidative and thiol stress

We further examined the ability of the W83 and V2948 strains to grow in the presence of thiol oxidizing agent - diamide, peroxide, and superoxide-generating agent plumbagin. As shown in Fig. 5, significant growth inhibition is observed in both strains in growth media supplemented with diamide in a dose-dependent manner. However, the inhibition in the presence of 1mM of diamide was 2-fold higher in the SigH-deficient V2948 strain when compared to the wild-type strain (Fig. 5), demonstrating that V2948 is more susceptible to thiol stress. The presence of hydrogen peroxide also inhibited the growth of both strains in a dose-dependent manner, though growth inhibition in the V2948 strain was approximately two-fold higher in the presence of 500  $\mu$ M of peroxide (Fig. 5). Thus, SigH appears to play a role in the upregulation of mechanisms required for growth in the presence of peroxide and thiol oxidizing stress. Plumbagin inhibited growth of both strains; interestingly, the inhibition of V2948 strain was lower than that of the W83 strain (Fig. 5).

#### Hemin uptake is reduced in the SigH-deficient mutant

Our microarray results indicate that expression of the major hemin uptake locus, *hmu*, as well as other genes potentially involved in hemin uptake (PG0707, PG0644, PG2008), is reduced in the absence of SigH in the V2948 strain. We examined hemin uptake in the parental and mutant strains and found that hemin uptake was in fact significantly reduced in the SigH-deficient V2948 strain (Table 3). These results are in agreement with our microarray findings and demonstrate that the V2948 strain has a reduced ability to take up hemin.

#### The SigH-deficient strain exhibits reduced survival in the presence of host cells

One mechanism by which a host organism defends against bacterial infections is by releasing reactive oxygen species. Since our results indicate that the SigH-deficient mutant V2948 strain had reduced expression levels of genes involved in protection from oxidative stress, we reasoned that this strain may have a decreased ability to survive in the presence of host cells. We incubated host cells with both the wild-type W83 and SigH-deficient mutant V2948 strains and observed that 75% fewer colonies were recovered on plates inoculated with bacterial samples from the incubations conducted with the V2948 strain (Fig. 6A).

Similarly, 50% fewer colonies were recovered with V2948 compared to the W83 strain when only internalized bacteria were accounted for (Fig. 6B). To determine whether the ability to invade HN4s was the same for both strains we performed flow cytometry analysis using FITC-labeled bacteria. As shown in Supplemental Table S4 the invasion efficiencies were similar for both W83 and V2948 strains. Thus, the reduced recovery of live cells from HN4s demonstrates that the SigH-deficient mutant strain V2948 exhibits a reduced ability to survive in the presence of host cells.

#### **Determination of SigH regulon**

We determined the transcriptome of *P. gingivalis* W83 grown in anaerobic conditions. By aligning the sequence reads to the reference *P. gingivalis* W83 genome, we were able to determine the transcriptional start sites of genes (Supplemental Figure S3). To determine the SigH regulon we examined the transcriptional start sites of genes that were downregulated in the SigH mutant when compared to the parental W83 strain and located the promoter sequences of these genes.

Examination of the promoter sequences of 15 genes regulated by exposure to oxygen revealed that the putative SigH promoter sequences differ from promoters recognized by typical primary sigma factors that bind -35 TTGACA and -10 TATAAT sequences (Helmann, 1995) (Fig. 7). Our study shows the presence of a "C/GAAG" motif in the -35 promoter region and "TGG" sequences in the -10 promoter region (sequences in bold and underlined in Fig. 7A). The sequence similarity among the various promoters is illustrated in Fig. 7B. The presence of SigH recognition sequences (Raman *et al.*, 2001;Song *et al.*, 2008) upstream of genes regulated by SigH is consistent with these genes being part of SigH regulon. However, we did not detect the consensus SigH recognition sequence upstream of some of the genes (Fig. 7C) that had altered expression in the absence of SigH (Table 1, Fig. 3) indicating that they may not be directly regulated by SigH.

#### Discussion

Gene regulation mechanisms of anaerobic *Bacteroidetes* are not well understood. Genomic analysis has revealed that numerous ECF  $\sigma$  factors are encoded in *Bacteroidetes* species, suggesting a significant role for these proteins in gene regulation (Staron *et al.*, 2009). Our previous study has shown that *P. gingivalis sigH* (PG1827) coding for a putative ECF  $\sigma$ factor is drastically upregulated upon exposure to oxygen (Lewis *et al.*, 2009). Bioinformatics analysis revealed that SigH has characteristics typical of other ECF  $\sigma$  factors (Staron *et al.*, 2009). Here we show that SigH plays an important role in adaptation of the bacterium to oxygen, oxidative stress protection, metal homeostasis, and survival with host cells. Such results indicate that SigH plays important role in *P. gingivalis* ability to survive in oral cavity.

Although such results are consistent with the role of the SigH protein in protection against oxidative stress in other bacteria such as *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Salmonella enterica* (Bang *et al.*, 2005;Manganelli *et al.*, 2002), the *P. gingivalis* SigH shares a relatively low degree of similarity with the mycobacterial SigH. Indeed, this  $\sigma$  factor belongs to the group of "unclassified" ECF  $\sigma$  factors described by Staron et al (Staron *et al.*, 2009). A low degree of similarity was also observed between SigH (PG1827) and FecI (Braun *et al.*, 2003). FecI plays a role in metal homeostasis, suggesting that SigH may also have similar role. Our observation that expression of two genes coding for metal/hemin transport *feoB2* (PG1443) and the *hmu* operon is reduced supports such involvement. The finding that *P. gingivalis* SigH is most similar to the SigH of the Bacteroidetes family suggests that our results may be informative about the regulatory mechanisms of Bacteroidetes.

Typically, ECF  $\sigma$  factors are regulated by anti- $\sigma$  factors that are encoded upstream or downstream of the  $\sigma$  factor genes (Staron *et al.*, 2009). The genomic organization of the *sigH* locus is unconventional compared to loci of other ECF- $\sigma$  factors (Staron *et al.*, 2009). Scrutinizing microarray analysis results we noted that SigH in *P. gingivalis* is significantly upregulated upon exposure to oxygen (Lewis *et al.*, 2009) and this oxygen-dependent regulation is still present in the SigH- and OxyR-deficient strains (Lewis *et al.*, unpublished), indicating that regulators other than SigH or OxyR play a role in modulating expression of this protein. Although regulation at the transcriptional level has been observed for other ECF sigma proteins, this regulation primarily involved an autoregulatory mechanism whereby the  $\sigma$  factor regulated its own promoter (Staron *et al.*, 2009). The observation that oxygendependent regulation is still present in the SigH-deficient mutant suggests that SigH is not autoregulated. Thus, the mechanism by which SigH is regulated needs to be further investigated.

To determine the role of SigH in *P. gingivalis* we characterize a mutant V2948 in which the gene encoding SigH was disrupted by an *erm* cassette. We observe that the SigH-deficient V2948 strain is significantly impaired in growth in the presence of oxygen as well as is more sensitive to peroxide and thiol oxidizing stress. The reduced growth of V2948 with peroxide reinforces the results of Dou et al (Dou *et al.*, 2010). Importantly, such reduced growth of the mutant strains is consistent with the observed reduction in expression levels of genes involved in oxidative stress protection. The majority of genes involved in protection from oxidative stress that are upregulated in the presence of oxygen in the wild-type strain, such as superoxide dismutase (PG1545), glycerate dehydrogenase (PG1190), thioredoxins (PG0034, PG0275, PG1134, and PG1638), are significantly downregulated in the V2948 strain. Superoxide dismutase is required for protection of *P. gingivalis* from atmospheric oxygen (Nakayama, 1994). Glycerate dehydrogenase may also have an antioxidative role as hydroxypyruvate is known to interact with peroxide (Perera *et al.*, 1997). However, the reduced sensitivity of V2948 to superoxide-generating reagent, plumbagin, indicates that other mechanisms involved in protection from superoxide stress are enhanced in V2948.

The increased sensitivity of V2948 to thiol oxidizing reagent, diamide, may be explained by the observation that all four genes encoding the thioredoxin (Trx/Tpx) system as well as a gene PG1729 coding for thiol peroxidase are downregulated in the V2948 strain, suggesting that these genes are regulated by SigH. The thioredoxin system is the major player in regulation of the redox homeostasis and thiol peroxidase was shown to have an antioxidant role in other bacteria (Wan *et al.*, 1997;Zhou *et al.*, 1997). The induction of the Trx/Tpx system in the presence of oxygen was also observed in *B. fragilis* (Reott *et al.*, 2009;Sund *et al.*, 2008) and was OxyR-independent, similar to our observation that expression levels were significantly altered by the absence of regulator other than OxyR, the ECF sigma factor.

Besides genes coding for oxidative stress protection mechanisms genes encoding proteins mediating metal homeostasis were also downregulated in V2948. We observed that ferritinencoding gene, PG1286, was the most downregulated gene in the SigH-deficient mutant V2948 strain. The absence of *ftn*-specific transcript in V2948 indicates that SigH is absolutely required for transcription of the gene. Ferritin is an iron-binding protein protein was shown to play a role in provision of iron in *P. gingivalis* grown under low-iron concentrations (Ratnayake *et al.*, 2000). It is likely that iron may be required for the function of some oxidative-stress enzymes. Other downregulated genes included the *hmu* operon (Lewis *et al.*, 2006) and the *feoB2* (PG1043) locus coding for manganese transport protein FeoB2 (He *et al.*, 2006). While FeoB2 and manganese are required for growth of *P. gingivalis* in the presence of oxygen, elevated binding of hemin to the surface of *P. gingivalis* may also have anti-oxidant capacity (Smalley *et al.*, 2000). However, hemin uptake studies showed that hemin transport was significantly reduced in the V2948 strain,

suggesting that the intracellular concentration of iron/hemin is also affected. These results suggest that SigH may also play a role in metal homeostasis in *P. gingivalis*. Since metal homeostasis plays a significant role in oxidative stress protection in *P. gingivalis* and other bacteria, it's not surprising that these two mechanisms might be connected by a common factor.

Our results also show that growth of V2948 is impaired under anaerobic conditions, possibly due to a reduced ability to acquire nutrients such as hemin. This interpretation is guided by our observation of significantly longer lag phase in V2948. The reduction of expression of genes coding for thioredoxins in V2948 could also lead to alteration of the intracellular redox status thus affecting the structure and function of many proteins containing cysteines. Furthermore, there were other genes downregulated in V2948 that code for virulence mechanisms such as the two loci (PG0890 and PG1641) encoding phosphatases. While the role of PepP encoded by PG890 is unknown, the phosphotyrosine protein phosphatase encoded by PG1641 plays a role in the regulation of numerous processes in *P. gingivalis* (Maeda *et al.*, 2008).

Though many of the genes involved in oxidative stress protection exhibit reduced expression in the SigH mutant, the antioxidative alkyl hydroperoxide reductase, shown to play a major role in oxidative stress protection in *P. gingivalis* (Johnson *et al.*, 2004), had unaltered expression in the absence of SigH, indicating other regulatory mechanisms play a role in modulating the oxygen-dependent expression of those genes. One of the other ECF  $\sigma$  factors encoded in the *P. gingivalis* genome could be involved in regulating this gene (Nelson *et al.*, 2003), possibly the ECFs encoded by PG0162 and PG1660, known to play a significant role in growth of *P. gingivalis* in the presence of peroxide (Dou *et al.*, 2010). Our results show that SigH is similar to ECF1 protein encoded by PG0162 indicating that the protein may also plays a role in regulating genes coding for proteins mediating oxidative stress protection.

Determining the DNA binding site of a transcription factor helps in defining the regulon of that factor. We identified genes regulated by SigH using microarray analysis and combined this with transcriptome data to identify the transcriptional start sites for these genes. In many cases, upstream of the start sites we detected typical ECF sigma factor binding sites (Raman *et al.*, 2001;Song *et al.*, 2008), supporting our hypothesis that the genes identified in the microarray analysis are directly regulated by SigH. We identified a consensus binding site for SigH by aligning putative promoter sequences of genes that exhibited reduced expression in the absence of SigH. The SigH consensus sequence of *P. gingivalis* is similar to that of other sigma factors (Staron *et al.*, 2009; Helmann, 2002b) and contains the typical "C/GAAG" motif in the -35 region as well as "GTT" rich sequences in the -10 region. We continued to observe expression of genes regulated by SigH, although at low levels, in the SigH-deficient strain. This low level expression may be due to activation by other  $\sigma$  factors. Indeed, overlapping activation by multiple  $\sigma$  factors has been described in other bacteria (Wade *et al.*, 2006).

It is known that *P. gingivalis* RNA polymerase differs from that of *E. coli* (Klimpel and Clark, 1990). Also, the primary  $\sigma$  differs in the Bacteroidetes phylum when compared to other bacterial species (Vingadassalom *et al.*, 2005). This, combined with the fact that multiple  $\sigma$  factors are involved in gene regulation, complicates the identification of promoter sites in the phylum. Promoter sites in *P. gingivalis* have been predicted using consensus promoter sequences of the primary  $\sigma$  factor (-35 "TTGACA" and -10 "TATAAT") (Helmann, 1995). However, as the  $\sigma$  factor dictates promoter specificity, such predictions based on primary  $\sigma$  factor from other species may not be the best way to identify promoters in *P. gingivalis*. Indeed, the significant difference of the SigH consensus promoter sequence and the consensus *P. gingivalis* promoter sequence as identified by Jackson et al (Jackson *et* 

*al.*, 2000) highlights the limitations of predicting consensus sequences when using the known consensus sequence of only one  $\sigma$  factor. Elucidating the role that the numerous ECF  $\sigma$  factors of *P. gingivalis* play in gene regulation and defining their regulons will be a significant advancement in our understanding of the regulatory networks in this bacterium.

Understanding how *P. gingivalis* adapts to the presence of oxygen is an important biological question as the oral environments inhabited by *P. gingivalis* are not completely anaerobic (Mettraux *et al.*, 1984;Hanioka *et al.*, 2000;Tanaka *et al.*, 1998). Indeed, higher oxygen levels would be expected in supragingival environments which would inhibit growth of the bacterium. Also, reactive oxygen and nitrogen species are secreted by host cells and other oral bacteria. We show that the SigH-deficient V2948 strain has a reduced ability to survive in the presence of eukaryotic cells. This suggests that SigH plays a particularly important role when the bacterium is present in the periodontal pocket in contact with host cells mounting an ROS response to fight the invading bacteria. Such response would be expected to include mechanisms directly removing the oxidizing reagents as well as repairing oxidized molecules (thioredoxin system). Taken together, our results demonstrate that SigH plays an important role in protecting *P. gingivalis* from stresses encountered in the oral environment and that inhibition of this factor could lead to reduction of *P. gingivalis* growth and survival in both supragingival and subgingival locations.

#### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Acknowledgments

This research was supported by USPHS grants 5R01DE016124, R01DE018039 and 5R21DE019005 from the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research awarded to Dr. Janina Lewis. The *P. gingivalis* W83 genomic sequence was obtained from (TIGR) (http://www.tigr.org) and Los Alamos Oral Pathogen Sequence Database (http://www.oralgen.lanl.gov).

We thank Drs. Todd Kitten and Paul Fawcett for their help with the microaerophilic system and with the Axon microarray imager and image processing using GenePix software, respectively.


#### **Reference List**

- Amano A, Shizukuishi S, Tamagawa H, Iwakura K, Tsunasawa S, Tsunemitsu A. Characterization of superoxide dismutases purified from either anaerobically maintained or aerated *Bacteroides* gingivalis. J Bacteriol. 1990; 172:1457–1463. [PubMed: 2307656]
- Anaya-Bergman C, He J, Jones K, Miyazaki H, Yeudall A, Lewis JP. *Porphyromonas gingivalis* ferrous iron transporter FeoB1 influences sensitivity to oxidative stress. Infect Immun. 2010; 78:688–696. [PubMed: 19917713]
- Bang IS, Frye JG, McClelland M, Velayudhan J, Fang FC. Alternative sigma factor interactions in *Salmonella*: sigma and sigma promote antioxidant defences by enhancing sigma levels. Mol Microbiol. 2005; 56:811–823. [PubMed: 15819634]
- Bashyam MD, Hasnain SE. The extracytoplasmic function sigma factors: role in bacterial pathogenesis. Infect Genet Evol. 2004; 4:301–308. [PubMed: 15374527]
- Braun V, Mahren S, Ogierman M. Regulation of the FecI-type ECF sigma factor by transmembrane signalling. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2003; 6:173–180. [PubMed: 12732308]
- Campbell EA, Westblade LF, Darst SA. Regulation of bacterial RNA polymerase sigma factor activity: a structural perspective. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2008; 11:121–127. [PubMed: 18375176]
- Chen T, Abbey K, Deng WJ, Cheng MC. The bioinformatics resource for oral pathogens. Nucleic Acids Res. 2005; 33:W734–W740. [PubMed: 15980574]

- Dashper SG, Butler CA, Lissel JP, Paolini RA, Hoffmann B, Veith PD, et al. A novel *Porphyromonas gingivalis* FeoB plays a role in manganese accumulation. J Biol Chem. 2005; 280:28095–28102. [PubMed: 15901729]
- Diaz PI, Slakeski N, Reynolds EC, Morona R, Rogers AH, Kolenbrander PE. Role of oxyR in the oral anaerobe *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. J Bacteriol. 2006; 188:2454–2462. [PubMed: 16547032]
- Dou Y, Osbourne D, McKenzie R, Fletcher HM. Involvement of extracytoplasmic function sigma factors in virulence regulation in *Porphyromonas gingivalis* W83. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2010; 312(1):24–32. [PubMed: 20807237]
- Farr SB, Kogoma T. Oxidative stress responses in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhimurium*. Microbiol Rev. 1991; 55:561–585. [PubMed: 1779927]
- Fletcher HM, Schenkein HA, Morgan RM, Bailey KA, Berry CR, Macrina FL. Virulence of a *Porphyromonas gingivalis* W83 mutant defective in the prtH gene. Infect Immun. 1995; 63:1521– 1528. [PubMed: 7890419]
- Hanioka T, Tanaka M, Takaya K, Matsumori Y, Shizukuishi S. Pocket oxygen tension in smokers and non-smokers with periodontal disease. J Periodontol. 2000; 71:550–554. [PubMed: 10807117]
- He J, Miyazaki H, Anaya C, Yu F, Yeudall WA, Lewis JP. Role of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* FeoB2 in metal uptake and oxidative stress protection. Infect Immun. 2006; 74:4214–4223. [PubMed: 16790796]
- Helmann JD. Compilation and analysis of *Bacillus subtilis* sigma A-dependent promoter sequences: evidence for extended contact between RNA polymerase and upstream promoter DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 1995; 23:2351–2360. [PubMed: 7630711]
- Helmann JD. OxyR: a molecular code for redox sensing? Sci STKE. 2002a; 2002:e46.
- Helmann JD. The extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factors. Adv Microb Physiol. 2002b; 46:47–110. [PubMed: 12073657]
- Jackson CA, Hoffmann B, Slakeski N, Cleal S, Hendtlass AJ, Reynolds EC. Porphyromonas gingivalis promoter sequence. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2000; 186:133–138. [PubMed: 10779725]
- Johnson NA, Liu Y, Fletcher HM. Alkyl hydroperoxide peroxidase subunit C (ahpC) protects against organic peroxides but does not affect the virulence of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* W83. Oral Microbiol Immunol. 2004; 19:233–239. [PubMed: 15209993]
- Kikuchi Y, Ohara N, Sato K, Yoshimura M, Yukitake H, Sakai E, et al. Novel stationary-phaseupregulated protein of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* influences production of superoxide dismutase, thiol peroxidase and thioredoxin. Microbiology. 2005; 151:841–853. [PubMed: 15758230]
- Kikuchi Y, Ohara N, Ueda O, Hirai K, Shibata Y, Nakayama K, Fujimura S. Porphyromonas gingivalis mutant defective in a putative extracytoplasmic function sigma factor shows a mutator phenotype. Oral Microbiol Immunol. 2009; 24:377–383. [PubMed: 19702950]
- Klimpel KW, Clark VL. The RNA polymerases of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and *Fusobacterium nucleatum* are unrelated to the RNA polymerase of *Escherichia coli*. J Dent Res. 1990; 69:1567–1572. [PubMed: 2204641]
- Lewis JP, Iyer D, Anaya-Bergman C. Adaptation of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* to microaerophilic conditions involves increased consumption of formate and reduced utilization of lactate. Porphyromonas gingivalis. Microbiology. 2009; 155:3758–3774.
- Lewis JP, Plata K, Yu F, Rosato A, Anaya C. Transcriptional organization, regulation and role of the *Porphyromonas gingivalis* W83 hmu haemin-uptake locus. Microbiology. 2006; 152:3367–3382. [PubMed: 17074906]
- Maeda K, Tribble GD, Tucker CM, Anaya C, Shizukuishi S, Lewis JP, et al. A *Porphyromonas gingivalis* tyrosine phosphatase is a multifunctional regulator of virulence attributes. Mol Microbiol. 2008; 69:1153–1164. [PubMed: 18573179]
- Manganelli R, Voskuil MI, Schoolnik GK, Dubnau E, Gomez M, Smith I. Role of the extracytoplasmic-function sigma factor sigma(H) in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* global gene expression. Mol Microbiol. 2002; 45:365–374. [PubMed: 12123450]
- Mettraux GR, Gusberti FA, Graf H. Oxygen tension (pO2) in untreated human periodontal pockets. J Periodontol. 1984; 55:516–521. [PubMed: 6592325]


- Meuric V, Gracieux P, Tamanai-Shacoori Z, Perez-Chaparro J, Bonnaure-Mallet M. Expression patterns of genes induced by oxidative stress in *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. Oral Microbiol Immunol. 2008; 23:308–314. [PubMed: 18582330]
- Miyazaki H, Patel V, Wang H, Ensley JF, Gutkind JS, Yeudall WA. Growth factor-sensitive molecular targets identified in primary and metastatic head and neck squamous cell carcinoma using microarray analysis. Oral Oncol. 2006; 42:240–256. [PubMed: 16266819]
- Murakami KS, Darst SA. Bacterial RNA polymerases: the wholo story. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 2003; 13:31–39. [PubMed: 12581657]
- Mydel P, Takahashi Y, Yumoto H, Sztukowska M, Kubica M, Gibson FC III, et al. Roles of the host oxidative immune response and bacterial antioxidant rubrerythrin during *Porphyromonas gingivalis* infection. PLoS Pathog. 2006; 2:e76. [PubMed: 16895445]
- Nakayama K. Rapid viability loss on exposure to air in a superoxide dismutase-deficient mutant of *Porphyromonas gingivalis.* J Bacteriol. 1994; 176:1939–1943. [PubMed: 8144460]
- Nelson KE, Fleischmann RD, DeBoy RT, Paulsen IT, Fouts DE, Eisen JA, et al. Complete genome sequence of the oral pathogenic bacterium *Porphyromonas gingivalis strain W83*. J Bacteriol. 2003; 185:5591–5601. [PubMed: 12949112]
- Ohara N, Kikuchi Y, Shoji M, Naito M, Nakayama K. Superoxide dismutase-encoding gene of the obligate anaerobe *Porphyromonas gingivalis* is regulated by the redox-sensing transcription activator OxyR. Microbiology. 2006; 152:955–966. [PubMed: 16549660]
- Perera A, Parkes HG, Herz H, Haycock P, Blake DR, Grootveld MC. High resolution 1H NMR investigations of the reactivities of alpha-keto acid anions with hydrogen peroxide. Free Radic Res. 1997; 26:145–157. [PubMed: 9257126]
- Potvin E, Sanschagrin F, Levesque RC. Sigma factors in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2008; 32:38–55. [PubMed: 18070067]
- Raman S, Song T, Puyang X, Bardarov S, Jacobs WR Jr, Husson RN. The alternative sigma factor SigH regulates major components of oxidative and heat stress responses in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. J Bacteriol. 2001; 183:6119–6125. [PubMed: 11567012]
- Ratnayake DB, Wai SN, Shi Y, Amako K, Nakayama H, Nakayama K. Ferritin from the obligate anaerobe *Porphyromonas gingivalis* purification, gene cloning and mutant studies. Microbiology. 2000; 146(Pt 5):1119–1127. [PubMed: 10832639]
- Reott MA, Parker AC, Rocha ER, Smith CJ. Thioredoxins in redox maintenance and survival during oxidative stress of *Bacteroides fragilis*. J Bacteriol. 2009; 191:3384–3391. [PubMed: 19286811]
- Rocha ER, Smith CJ. Biochemical and genetic analyses of a catalase from the anaerobic bacterium *Bacteroides fragilis.* J Bacteriol. 1995; 177:3111–3119. [PubMed: 7768808]
- Rocha ER, Smith CJ. Regulation of *Bacteriodes fragilis* katB mRNA by oxidative stress and carbon limitation. J Bacteriol. 1997; 179:7033–7039. [PubMed: 9371450]
- Rocha ER, Smith CJ. Transcriptional regulation of the *Bacteroides fragilis* ferritin gene (ftnA) by redox stress. Microbiology. 2004; 150:2125–2134. [PubMed: 15256555]
- Rocha ER, Tzianabos AO, Smith CJ. Thioredoxin reductase is essential for thiol/disulfide redox control and oxidative stress survival of the anaerobe *Bacteroides fragilis*. J Bacteriol. 2007; 189:8015–8023. [PubMed: 17873045]
- Slots J, Bragd L, Wikstrom M, Dahlen G. The occurrence of Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans, Bacteroides gingivalis and Bacteroides intermedius in destructive periodontal disease in adults. J Clin Periodontol. 1986; 13:570–577. [PubMed: 3462204]
- Smalley JW, Birss AJ, Silver J. The periodontal pathogen *Porphyromonas gingivalis* harnesses the chemistry of the mu-oxo bishaem of iron protoporphyrin IX to protect against hydrogen peroxide. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2000; 183:159–164. [PubMed: 10650220]
- Song T, Song SE, Raman S, Anaya M, Husson RN. Critical role of a single position in the -35 element for promoter recognition by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* SigE and SigH. J Bacteriol. 2008; 190:2227–2230. [PubMed: 18192397]
- Staron A, Sofia HJ, Dietrich S, Ulrich LE, Liesegang H, Mascher T. The third pillar of bacterial signal transduction: classification of the extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factor protein family. Mol Microbiol. 2009; 74:557–581. [PubMed: 19737356]

- Storz G, Tartaglia LA. OxyR: a regulator of antioxidant genes. J Nutr. 1992; 122:627–630. [PubMed: 1542022]
- Storz G, Tartaglia LA, Farr SB, Ames BN. Bacterial defenses against oxidative stress. Trends Genet. 1990; 6:363–368. [PubMed: 1965068]
- Sund CJ, Rocha ER, Tzianabos AO, Wells WG, Gee JM, Reott MA, et al. The *Bacteroides fragilis* transcriptome response to oxygen and H2O2: the role of OxyR and its effect on survival and virulence. Mol Microbiol. 2008; 67:129–142. [PubMed: 18047569]
- Sztukowska M, Bugno M, Potempa J, Travis J, Kurtz DM Jr. Role of rubrerythrin in the oxidative stress response of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. Mol Microbiol. 2002; 44:479–488. [PubMed: 11972784]
- Tanaka M, Hanioka T, Takaya K, Shizukuishi S. Association of oxygen tension in human periodontal pockets with gingival inflammation. J Periodontol. 1998; 69:1127–1130. [PubMed: 9802712]
- Ueshima J, Shoji M, Ratnayake DB, Abe K, Yoshida S, Yamamoto K, Nakayama K. Purification, gene cloning, gene expression, and mutants of Dps from the obligate anaerobe *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. Infect Immun. 2003; 71:1170–1178. [PubMed: 12595429]
- Vingadassalom D, Kolb A, Mayer C, Rybkine T, Collatz E, Podglajen I. An unusual primary sigma factor in the *Bacteroidetes phylum*. Mol Microbiol. 2005; 56:888–902. [PubMed: 15853878]
- Wade JT, Roa DC, Grainger DC, Hurd D, Busby SJ, Struhl K, Nudler E. Extensive functional overlap between sigma factors in *Escherichia coli*. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2006; 13:806–814. [PubMed: 16892065]
- Wan XY, Zhou Y, Yan ZY, Wang HL, Hou YD, Jin DY. Scavengase p20: a novel family of bacterial antioxidant enzymes. FEBS Lett. 1997; 407:32–36. [PubMed: 9141476]
- Wang B, Shi Q, Ouyang Y, Chen Y. [Progress in oxyR regulon- the bacterial antioxidant defense system--a review]. Wei Sheng Wu Xue Bao. 2008; 48:1556–1561. [PubMed: 19149175]
- Wu J, Lin X, Xie H. OxyR is involved in coordinate regulation of expression of fimA and sod genes in Porphyromonas gingivalis. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2008; 282:188–195. [PubMed: 18355277]
- Zhou Y, Wan XY, Wang HL, Yan ZY, Hou YD, Jin DY. Bacterial scavengase p20 is structurally and functionally related to peroxiredoxins. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1997; 233:848–852. [PubMed: 9168946]



A. *P. gingivalis* W83 genomic locus coding for SigH (Oralgen). The grey and open arrows indicate two open reading frames (ORFs), PG1827 (*sigH*) and PG1826, respectively, and their direction of transcription. Intergenic regions (IRG) flank the two ORFs. The location of

start and stop codons as well as the location of the *Nru*I site are expressed in bp. Functional assignments of two regions encoded by *sigH* based on similarity to Sigma 70 (predicted using Entrez, NCBI) are shown underneath the schematic. B. Alignment of SigH protein sequences from *P. gingivalis* W83 (*P.g.* SigH) and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*M.t.* SigH). C. Comparison of two putative ECF proteins from *P. gingivalis* W83, SigH (*P.g.* SigH) and a protein encoded by PG0162 (ECF1).



#### Fig. 2. Effect of oxygen on growth of *P. gingivalis* strains

*P. gingivalis* parental W83 (W83) and SigH-deficient mutant (V2948) were inoculated in BHI media and grown anaerobically (Panel A) as well as in the presence of oxygen (6% of oxygen) (Panel B). Bacterial growth was monitored by measuring optical density of the cultures at 660 nm. Means and standard deviations from two experiments are shown.


Yanamandra et al.

Page 18

Open P6187 PC PNA polymerase sign=7.0 factor. ECF 16 122590 1.428820 0.030688 0.725044   0 0 0 0 0 0.03068 0.725044 0.215046   0 0 0 0 0.000468 0.025006 0.025006   0 0 0 0 0.000468 0.025006 0.025006   0 0 0 0 0.000468 0.000468 0.025007   0 0 0 0 0.000468 <t< th=""><th>0.03</th><th>1.0</th><th></th><th>3.0</th><th></th><th>locus</th><th>common_name</th><th>W83+O/ W83-O</th><th>V2948-O/ W83-O</th><th>V2948+O/ W83+O</th><th>V2948+O/ V2948-O</th></t<>	0.03	1.0		3.0		locus	common_name	W83+O/ W83-O	V2948-O/ W83-O	V2948+O/ W83+O	V2948+O/ V2948-O
PG011a PG012a PG012a<						PG1827	RNA polymerase sigma-70 factor, ECF	16,122599	1,428620	0.936058	0.725904
PG011a PG012a PG012a<		9	오	ġ.							
PG011a PG012a PG012a<		ġ	ŝ	5							
PG011a PG012a PG012a<	ģ	SM,	3M.	22							
PG011a PG012a PG012a<	βM	6	ò	ò							
PG011a PG012a PG012a<	6	<u></u>	÷.	÷							
PG011a PG012a PG012a<	÷	64	64	5							
PG011a PG012a PG012a<	ĝ	12	12	22							
Pisser PC1190 giverate derividrogenase 3.731987 0.139200 0.170843 2.03984   P0000 PC128 PC1729 Thiol peroxidase 3.546040 0.139879 0.175186 0.830336   P00121 PC1221 PC1222 PC1221 PC1421 PC2021 PC1221 PC1421	-	F	-	-	<b>D</b> <i>a</i> <b>a</b> <i>caa</i>						
P60900 P61686 membrane protein, putative 3.544446 0.222073 0.128064 1.010855   P60900 P60900 P60975 thioredoxin family protein 3.418332 0.201618 0.163020 0.776700   P70911 P50912 P60475 thioredoxin family protein 3.18778 0.187204 0.142484 1.280384   P70911 P50431 P60433 tetrapyrrole methylase family protein 3.18778 0.187204 0.142484 1.260384   P50138 P60209 formate-nitrite transporter 3.070728 0.347265 0.232860 1.167378   P50138 P60209 cytochrome d ubiquinol oxidase, subunit il 2.890766 1.341222 1.092603 3.0828433   P50039 P61641 torsportein 2.873625 0.144311 0.080072 0.961244   P50039 P61645 typothetical protein 2.873625 0.144311 0.080072 0.961244   P50039 P61645 typothetical protein 2.47931 0.57099 0.204966 1.107444   P50339											
Person Person S-46040 0.13979 0.175186 0.83036   Person Person<											
P60212 P60275 thioredoxin family protein 3.418332 0.201618 0.143220 0.767000   P60431 P60432 P60434 tetrapyrnole methylase family protein 3.186748 0.515433 0.425937 1.728716   P60431 P60430 P60430 reformate-mittel transporter 3.070728 0.347265 0.239260 1.045434   P60279 P60429 contact-transporting and PTase, E1-E2 Tamily 2.965285 0.041383 0.055855 0.055855 0.055855 0.058265 0.059265 0.059265 0.059265 0.059265 0.059265 0.059265 0.059265 0.059265 0.059265 0.059265 0.059265 0.04840 0.070204 0.070204 0.070204 0.070204 0.070204 0.070204 0.070204 0.070204 <td></td>											
P32212 PG1842 acetyltransferasé. GNAT family 3.354718 0.187204 0.144248 1.260364   P60431 Pf60431 brfangrole methylase family protein 3.186748 0.515843 0.425937 0.148244 1.054548   P60431 pf60420 pf60420 pf60420 pf60421 pf6141 pf60421 pf61411 pf60421 pf61411 pf61411 pf61411											
P60431 PG0433 tetrayrole methylase family protein 3.186748 0.515943 0.425937 1.728716   P60209 Formate-Initite transporter 3.075224 0.247265 0.232860 1.167378   P60209 Formate-Initite transporter 3.070728 0.347265 0.232860 1.167378   P60209 Formate-Initite transporter 3.070728 0.347265 0.232860 1.167378   P60209 Formate-Initite transporter 2.80766 1.34122 1.902803 3.028433   P60209 P61321 formate-Initite transporter 2.874992 0.112265 0.044404 0.689139   P60209 P61345 superoxid dismutase, Fe-Mn 2.876925 0.144311 0.080072 0.981244   P60329 P61454 superoxid dismutase, Fe-Mn 2.56708 0.017280 0.200466 1.107444   P60329 P61454 superoxid dismutase, Fe-Mn 2.439761 0.032515 1.107449   P61459 p18454 p01456 p184711 0.302443 0.438711 0.507744 0.57569 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>											
Personal protein 3075224 0.209279 0.149824 1.054438   Poilage					PG0421						
Point <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>											
P P		_									
PG089 PG189 PG089 Cytochrome du biquino locale e, subuniti l 2.800766 1.341222 1.902803 3.028433   PG089 PG181 formateteriahydrofolakse, subuniti l 2.80766 1.341222 1.902803 3.028433   PG089 PG181 conserved hypothetical protein 2.873625 0.144311 0.080072 0.991244   PG089 PG1545 superoxide dismutase, Fe-Mn 2.56043 0.107280 0.070004 0.702888   PG0899 PG1546 hypothetical protein 2.519494 NA NA   PG1899 hypothetical protein 2.419798 0.012235 NA NA   PG1899 PG01896 hypothetical protein 2.439761 0.015259 0.032515 1.105449   PG1899 PG0189 PG0189 PG1816 hypothetical protein 2.317830 0.651159 0.507254 0.887111   PG0189 PG0181 hypothetical protein 2.317630 0.591130 0.570784 0.217930 0.759961   PG0189 hypothetical protein 2.307367											
Provide <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>PG0275</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					PG0275						
Person Point <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>											
P00209 PG1545 superoxide (finutase, Fe-Mn 2.596043 0.107280 0.070004 0.702988   P00395 PG1545 PG1546 hypothetical protein 2.513494 NA NA NA   P01395 PG1869 hypothetical protein 2.479331 0.575099 0.20496 1.107444   P01395 PG1869 hypothetical protein 2.4393761 0.0575099 0.20496 1.107444   P01395 PG0432 NOL1-NOP2-sun family protein 2.418099 0.387241 0.699786 0.857111   P01395 PG153 hypothetical protein 2.317630 0.591150 0.501124 0.691925   P01325 PG1639 hypothetical protein 2.317630 0.570784 0.681925   P01315 PG1639 hypothetical protein 2.317630 0.472903 0.382821 1.683798   P00317 PG152 hypothetical protein 2.307677 0.499237 0.388232 0.681798   P00317 PG152 hypothetical protein 2.307677 0.499237 0.388242 <td></td>											
P61642 P6089 PG1546 P6189 PG1546 P6189 hypothetical protein 2.54798 0.912935 NA NA   P61811 PG1869 hypothetical protein 2.519494 NA NA NA   P61811 PG1886 hypothetical protein 2.47931 0.575099 0.20496 1.107444   P6186 PG1286 ferritin 2.499761 0.015259 0.032515 1.105479   P6186 PG1286 Ferritin 2.317630 0.922838 1.203936 0.887111   P60386 PG2116 hypothetical protein 2.317630 0.561159 0.501234 0.661252   P61312 PG1103 ferrous iron transport protein 2.317630 0.561159 0.501234 0.368232 0.683798   P61397 PG1039 Protein 2.317630 0.279964 0.271930 0.759967   P61398 PG047 cell division protein 2.317630 0.499237 0.388232 0.683798   P60369 PG144 ferrous iron frasport protein 2.304671 0.477003											
PG1869 PG1869 hypothetical protein 2.519494 NA NA NA   PG1861 PG088 hypothetical protein 2.479331 0.575099 0.20496 1.107444   PG1865 PG1286 ferritin 2.439761 0.015259 0.032515 1.105479   PG1866 PG1286 ferritin 2.438086 0.922838 1.203936 0.837141   PG1867 PG1869 PG1153 hypothetical protein 2.317630 0.561159 0.570784 0.617464   PG2186 PG1153 hypothetical protein 2.317630 0.561159 0.570784 0.617464   PG2186 PG1043 ferrous iron transport protein 2.317630 0.561159 0.570784 0.617464   PG2185 PG1043 protein 2.317630 0.568159 0.271930 0.759896   PG1152 PG0536 hypothetical protein 2.31666 1.756660 0.933857 1.487261   PG0537 PG152 hypothetical protein 2.304671 0.472003 0.138921 1.3											
PC3841 PG0888 hypothetical protein 2.479331 0.575099 0.200496 1.107444   PC3546 PC1286 ferrilin 2.439761 0.015259 0.032515 1.105479   PC3546 PC3166 hypothetical protein 2.439761 0.015259 0.032515 1.105479   PC3546 PC3116 hypothetical protein 2.358085 0.922838 1.203936 0.837941   PC3265 PC3116 hypothetical protein 2.317925 0.951113 0.570784 0.617464   PC3135 PC1639 hypothetical protein 2.315405 0.279964 0.271930 0.759967   PC3135 PC1639 hypothetical protein 2.315405 0.279964 0.271930 0.759967   PC3135 PC30536 hypothetical protein 2.304671 0.472003 0.138921 1.327281   PC3205 PC3089 peptidase, M24 family 2.206088 0.457746 0.190328 0.956852   PC3205 PC3089 peptidase, M24 family 2.206088 0.457746 0.190328											
P61345 PG1285 Formation protein 2.439761 0.015259 0.032515 1.105479   P61365 PG0432 NOL1-NOP2-sun family protein 2.439761 0.015259 0.032515 1.105479   P61365 PG0432 NOL1-NOP2-sun family protein 2.438085 0.922383 1.203366 0.834924   P61365 PG1153 hypothetical protein 2.317630 0.561159 0.501234 0.691784   P61365 PG1043 ferrous iron transport protein 2.317630 0.570784 0.617464   P61363 hypothetical protein 2.317630 0.561159 0.501234 0.961925   P61363 PG0536 hypothetical protein 2.317630 0.497203 0.38827 0.488232   P61364 PG152 hypothetical protein 2.304671 0.472003 0.138921 1.327281   P60366 PG1424 ATP:cob()lalamin adenosyltransferase 2.147720 0.256980 0.223139 1.079117   P60386 PG1344 hypothetical protein 2.0149270 0.286980 0.223193			_								
PG1380 PG0432 NOL1-NOP2-sun family protein 2.418099 0.387241 0.699786 0.857111   PG0880 PG2116 hypothetical protein 2.358085 0.922838 1.203936 0.83741   PG0880 PG2116 hypothetical protein 2.317925 0.951113 0.570784 0.617464   PG0432 PG1153 hypothetical protein 2.317925 0.951113 0.570784 0.617464   PG0432 PG1633 hypothetical protein 2.315405 0.279964 0.271930 0.759967   PG133 PG0536 hypothetical protein 2.317405 0.756660 0.983857 1.487261   PG0437 PG152 hypothetical protein 2.307367 0.499237 0.358232 0.683798   PG0437 PG152 hypothetical protein 2.304671 0.472003 0.138921 1.327281   PG0439 PG0447 cel division protein FISH, putative 2.307671 0.499237 0.268802 0.683798   PG0439 PG124 ATP:cob(()alamin adenosyltransferase 2.147720											
Page 3 PG2116 PG1286 PG1153 Pypothetical protein hypothetical protein PG1043 2.358085 0.922838 1.203936 0.834992   P61286 P60432 P62116 PG1153 hypothetical protein PG1043 Lansport protein B 2.317925 0.951113 0.570784 0.617464   P62116 PG1043 PG1043 PG1043 PG1043 PG0047 0.83857 1.487261   P61043 PG0047 PG1152 hypothetical protein PG0047 PG1152 hypothetical protein PG0047 PG1152 hypothetical protein PG0047 0.349923 0.489237 0.358232 0.683798   PG0047 PG1152 hypothetical protein PG0047 PG1152 hypothetical protein 2.304671 0.472003 0.138921 1.327281   P61152 PG0047 PG1152 hypothetical protein 2.304671 0.472003 0.138921 0.358232 0.68582   P60149 PG1124 ATP:cob(l)alamin adenosyltransferase 2.147720 0.256980 0.223139 1.079117   P61344 PG1134 hypothetical protein 2.066294 0.687294 0.402948											
PG2286 PG0432 PG2116 PG0437 PG2116 PG0437 PG1133 PG1153 PG0437 PG0437 PG1043 hypothetical protein PG0437 PG1043 Content of the term PG0437 PG1143 Nopothetical protein PG0437 PG1153 Content of term PG0437 PG1153 Nopothetical protein PG0536 Content of term PG0536 Nopothetical protein PG0437 Content of term PG0536 Nopothetical protein PG0536 Content of term PG0437 Nopothetical Protein PG0437 Content of term PG0437 Nopothetical Protein PG0437 Nopothetical Protein PG0439 Nopothetical Protein PG0459 Nopothetical Protein PG0860 Nopothetical Protein PG0860 Nopothetical Protein PG0860 Nopothetical Protein											
P60432 PG1033 firmus iron transport protein 2.317250 0.501113 0.50124 0.91925   P62116 PG103 firmus iron transport protein 2.315405 0.271930 0.759967   P6103 PG0536 hypothetical protein 2.315405 0.279964 0.271930 0.759967   P61043 PG0536 hypothetical protein 2.310966 1.756660 0.983857 1.487261   P60376 PG0143 PG0536 hypothetical protein 2.304671 0.472003 0.138921 1.327281   P60376 PG1152 hypothetical protein 2.304671 0.472003 0.138921 1.327281   P60479 PG1152 hypothetical protein 2.304671 0.472003 0.138921 1.327281   P60479 PG1925 PG0889 petidase. 2.147720 0.256980 0.223139 1.079117   P61134 PG1434 Hioredoxin reductase 2.147720 0.256980 0.223139 1.079117   P60889 PG144 thioredoxin reductase 2.141720 0.256											
PG1153 PG1639 hypothetical protein 2.315405 0.279964 0.271930 0.759967   PG1153 PG0047 PG0036 hypothetical protein 2.310966 1.756660 0.938857 1.487261   PG0047 PG1152 hypothetical protein 2.304671 0.472003 0.138921 1.327281   P60536 PG0147 PG1152 hypothetical protein 2.304671 0.472003 0.138921 1.327281   P60537 PG0889 peptidase. M24 family 2.206088 0.457746 0.190328 0.956852   P60889 PG0459 ISPg5, transposase Orf1 2.119096 0.906827 0.26344 1.788664   P61134 PG1154 PG1124 ATP:cob(I)alamin adenosyltransferase 2.147720 0.256980 0.223139 1.079117   P60459 PG0459 ISPg5, transposase Orf1 2.119096 0.906827 0.262344 0.780648   P61347 PG0886 hypothetical protein 2.062294 0.687294 0.402948 1.247463   P62006 PG1641					PG0432						
PG1043 PG0536 PG0536 PG0536 hypothetical protein person PG0536 hypothetical protein PG0536 2.30966 1.756660 0.93857 1.487261   PG0536 PG047 cell division protein FtsH, putative 2.307367 0.499237 0.358232 0.683798   PG0536 PG1152 PG2205 2-dehydropantoate 2-reductase 2.297543 0.248975 0.191895 0.879221   PG1152 PG2089 PG0889 peditase, M24 family 2.206088 0.457746 0.190328 0.956852   PG0147 PG1124 ATP:col()alamin adenosyltransferase 2.147720 0.226898 0.223139 1.079117   PG0143 PG1134 thioredoxin reductase 2.014813 0.502851 0.318247 0.790169   PG1134 PG1134 thioredoxin reductase 2.014813 0.502851 0.318247 0.790169   PG2006 PG0886 hypothetical protein 2.066294 0.687294 0.402948 1.247463   PG2006 PG0886 hypothetical protein 2.038139 0.33736 0.490660 1.283505											
PG1539 PG0047 Cell division protein FISH, putative 2.304671 0.499237 0.358222 0.633798   P60536 PG0047 PG1152 hypothetical protein 2.304671 0.472003 0.138921 1.327281   P60152 PG2205 2-dehydropantoate 2-reductase 2.297543 0.248975 0.191895 0.879221   P61152 PG2205 2-dehydropantoate 2-reductase 2.297543 0.248975 0.191895 0.879221   P61154 PG0889 peptidase, M24 family 2.20608 0.457746 0.190328 0.956852   P60889 PG0459 PG0459 PG0459 reductase 2.1147720 0.256980 0.223139 1.079117   P60885 PG1124 ATP:cob(i)alamin adenosyltransferase 2.0147720 0.256980 0.223139 1.079117   P60859 PG0459 PG144 thioreductae 2.114813 0.502851 0.318247 0.790169   P61841 PG0866 hypothetical protein 2.066294 0.687294 0.402948 1.247463   P62006											
Peos36 PG0047 Cell Mislion Proteinin Fish, putative 2.307367 0.499237 0.53232 0.633785   P60047 PG1152 PG2055 2-dehydropantoate 2-reductase 2.297543 0.448975 0.191895 0.873221   P61152 PG2055 2-dehydropantoate 2-reductase 2.297543 0.248975 0.191895 0.878221   P61152 PG205 2-dehydropantoate 2-reductase 2.297543 0.248975 0.190328 0.956852   P60869 PG0189 petidase, M24 family 2.206088 0.457746 0.190328 0.956852   P60459 PG1124 ATP:cocl()alarnin adenosyltransferase 2.114720 0.256880 0.223139 1.079117   P60459 PG1134 thioredoxin reductase 2.014813 0.502851 0.318247 0.790169   P61541 PG0886 hypothetical protein 2.066294 0.687294 0.402948 1.247463   P62006 PG0886 hypothetical protein 2.038139 0.318247 0.623937   P62006 PG0886 hypothetical protein <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>											
PG2205 PG2205 2-dehydropantoate 2-reductase 2.297543 0.248975 0.191895 0.879221   PG205 PG0889 peditase, M24 family 2.206088 0.457746 0.190328 0.956852   PG1124 ATP:cob(1)alamin adenosyltransferase 2.147720 0.256980 0.223139 1.079117   PG1124 PG0455 PG0459 ISPg5, transposase Orf1 2.119096 0.906827 0.626344 1.788664   PG1134 PG1134 thioredoxin reductase 2.014813 0.502851 0.318247 0.790169   PG1541 PG0886 hypothetical protein phosphatase 2.086294 0.687294 0.402948 1.247463   PG2006 PG1547 hypothetical protein 2.036668 0.310983 0.618928 1.1418024   PG2006 PG2006 hypothetical protein 2.03668 0.310983 0.490660 1.283505   PG2006 PG2006 hypothetical protein 2.014262 0.73736 0.490660 1.283505   PG2007 PG2008 hypothetical protein 1.94151											
P62205 PG1124 PG0889 PG1124 peptidase, M24 family 2.206088 0.457746 0.190328 0.956852   PG1124 PG1124 ATP:cob(l)alamin adenosyltransferase 2.147720 0.256980 0.223139 1.079117   PG0859 PG0124 ATP:cob(l)alamin adenosyltransferase 2.119096 0.906827 0.626344 1.788664   PG0859 PG1134 thioredoxin reductase 2.114813 0.502851 0.318247 0.790169   P61541 PG0886 phosphotyrosine protein phosphatase 2.081997 0.132307 0.111410 0.818024   P62008 PG1541 PG0886 hypothetical protein 2.036683 0.687294 0.623937   P62006 PG2006 PG2008 TonB-dependent receptor, putative 2.036139 0.587414 0.347619 0.623937   P61015 PG02006 PG2006 Pypothetical protein 1.994151 1.235040 0.631428 0.750596   P61997 PG1373 PG2006 hypothetical protein 1.982645 0.767475 NA 1.281659   P											
P 60889 P 61124 P 60459 P G0124 P 60459 P G1124 P 60459 P G1124 P G1244 P G1247 P G1244 P G1237 P G1125 P G1244 P G1237 P G1044 P G1257 P G1244 P G1034 <											
PG0459 PG0459 ISPg5, transposase Orf1 2.119096 0.906827 0.626344 1.788664   P61134 PG1134 PG1134 PG1134 PG1134 PG1841 0.502851 0.318247 0.790169   P61641 PG1841 PG1641 PG0866 PG0866 PG1841 0.502851 0.318247 0.790169   P60866 PG1841 PG1641 PG0866 Pg0886 hypothetical protein phosphatase 2.081997 0.132307 0.111410 0.818024   P62006 PG0886 hypothetical protein 2.036689 0.310983 0.618928 1.247463   P62007 PG2087 PG2088 TonB-dependent receptor, putative 2.03668 0.310983 0.649060 1.283505   P62008 PG1015 hypothetical protein 2.014262 0.737336 0.490660 1.283505   P61015 PG0890 PG1015 hypothetical protein 1.984151 1.23040 0.61428 0.750558   P60937 PG0890 alkaline phosphatase, putative 1.979087 0.393651											
PG1134 PG1841 PG1134 PG1841 PG1134 PG1841 PG1134 PG1841 thioredoxin reductase 2.114813 0.502851 0.318247 0.790169   PG1841 PG1841 PG1841 Phosphotyrosine protein phosphatase 2.081997 0.132307 0.111410 0.818024   PG1841 PG0886 PG0886 PG0886 PG0886 0.618928 1.247463   PG2008 PG1847 PG1841 phosphotyrosine protein 2.039668 0.310983 0.618928 1.14189   PG2006 PG2006 PG2008 TonB-dependent receptor, putative 2.036139 0.587414 0.347619 0.623937   PG1915 PG2006 PG2006 hypothetical protein 1.994151 1.235040 0.631428 0.750596   PG1944 PG1373 hypothetical protein 1.982645 0.767475 NA 1.281659   PG0257 PG0890 alkaline phosphatase, putative 1.979087 0.393651 0.287934 1.091932   *Gene ID according to JCVI (formerly TIGR) PG0034 thioredoxin 1.941068 0.421491 <											
PG1641 PG0886 PG1641 PG0886 phosphotyrosine protein phosphatase 2.081997 0.132307 0.111410 0.818024   PG0886 PG1547 PG0886 hypothetical protein 2.066294 0.687294 0.402948 1.247463   PG2008 PG1547 PG0886 hypothetical protein 2.039668 0.310983 0.618928 1.14189   PG2006 PG2006 PG1015 PG2006 hypothetical protein 2.03663 0.310983 0.618928 1.247463   PG1015 PG2006 hypothetical protein 2.0377336 0.490660 1.283505   PG1017 PG1015 hypothetical protein 2.014262 0.737336 0.490660 1.283505   PG1017 PG1015 hypothetical protein 1.994151 1.235040 0.631428 0.750559   PG0890 PG1015 hypothetical protein 1.982645 0.767475 NA 1.281659   PG0891 PG0890 alkaline phosphatase, putative 1.979087 0.393651 0.287934 1.096172   PG0257 PG094											
PG0886 PG0886 PG0886 PG0886 PG0886 PG0886 PG0886 PG0886 Npothetical protein 2.066294 0.182307 0.117410 0.818024   PG1547 PG0886 PG1547 hypothetical protein 2.03668 0.310983 0.618928 1.141889   PG2008 PG1547 hypothetical protein 2.036139 0.587414 0.347619 0.623937   PG0886 PG2006 PG2006 PG2006 PG2006 Npothetical protein 2.014262 0.73736 0.490660 1.283505   PG0890 PG0151 PG0890 hypothetical protein 1.994151 1.235040 0.631428 0.750596   PG0144 PG1373 hypothetical protein 1.982645 0.767475 NA 1.281659   PG0144 PG1373 hypothetical protein 1.962645 0.767475 NA 1.281659   PG0257 PG0190 alkaline phosphatase, putative 1.979087 0.393651 0.287934 1.091972   *Gene ID according to JCVI (formerly TIGR) PG0034 thioredox											
PG2006 PG1547 hypothetical protein 2.039668 0.310983 0.618928 1.141889   PG2006 PG2006 PG2006 PG2008 TonB-dependent receptor, putative 2.036139 0.587414 0.347619 0.623937   PG2006 PG2006 PG2006 PG2006 hypothetical protein 2.014262 0.73736 0.490660 1.283505   PG0039 PG1015 PG2006 hypothetical protein 1.994151 1.235040 0.631428 0.750596   PG0034 PG1373 hypothetical protein 1.982645 0.767475 NA 1.281659   PG0034 PG0890 alkaline phosphatase, putative 1.979087 0.330851 0.287934 1.001972   *Gene ID according to JCVI (formerly TIGR) PG0034 thioredoxin 1.941068 0.421491 0.148859 2.094214											
PG2006 PG1015 PG1373 PG2006 PG2007 PG2008 PG1015 PG1373 TonB-dependent receptor, putative 2.036139 0.587414 0.347619 0.623937   PG1015 PG1373 PG2006 hypothetical protein 2.014262 0.73736 0.490660 1.283505   PG1041 PG1044 PG1073 hypothetical protein 1.994151 1.235040 0.631428 0.750596   PG1044 PG1373 hypothetical protein 1.982645 0.767475 NA 1.281659   PG0034 PG0890 alkaline phosphatase, putative 1.979087 0.393651 0.287934 1.091932   *Gene ID according to JCVI (formerly TIGR) PG0044 thioredoxin 1.941068 0.421491 0.148859 2.094214											
PG1015 PG2006 hypothetical protein 2.0014262 0.037336 0.490660 1.28305   PG1373 PG0090 PG1015 hypothetical protein 1.994151 1.235040 0.631428 0.750596   PG0034 PG1373 hypothetical protein 1.982645 0.767475 NA 1.281659   PG0257 PG0890 alkaline phosphatase, putative 1.979087 0.393651 0.287934 1.096172   *Gene ID according to JCVI (formerly TIGR) PG0034 thioredoxin 1.941068 0.421491 0.148859 2.04214											
PG1373 PG0890 PG2006 PG0890 hypothetical protein 2.014262 0.73/36 0.490660 1.283505   PG0890 PG1014 PG0890 PG1014 PG1373 hypothetical protein 1.994151 1.235040 0.631428 0.750596   PG0914 PG0890 alkaline phosphatase, putative 1.979087 0.393651 0.287934 1.096172   *Gene ID according to JCVI (formerly TIGR) PG0034 thioredoxin 1.941068 0.421491 0.148859 2.094214											
PG1044 P60034 P60257 PG1373 PG080 hypothetical protein 1.982645 0.767475 NA 1.281659   *Gene ID according to JCVI (formerly TIGR) PG1034 PG0034 PG1044 thioredoxin tion dependent repressor, putative 1.979087 0.393651 0.287934 1.009172   *Gene ID according to JCVI (formerly TIGR) PG0034 thioredoxin 1.941068 0.421491 0.148859 2.094214					PG1373						
PG0034 PG0257 PG0034 PG0257 PG0034 PG0257 PG087 PG004 1.92087 0.393651 0.287934 1.096172   *Gene ID according to JCVI (formerly TIGR) PG0034 thioredoxin 1.941068 0.421491 0.14859 2.094214											
*Gene ID according to JCVI (formerly TIGR) PG034 thioredoxin 1.969862 0.54867 0.379213 1.01932   *Gene ID according to JCVI (formerly TIGR) PG034 thioredoxin 1.941068 0.421491 0.148859 2.04214											
*Gene ID according to JCVI (formerly TIGR) PG0034 thioredoxin 1.941068 0.421491 0.14859 2.094214											
	*0		to ICV/L (for	morby TIC							
Fig - ion change (ratio or transcript abundance) PG0257 conserved hypothetical protein 1.865442 0.595673 0.249365 0.900714											
	<b>+</b> Fid =	· ioiu change (	rado or trans	script abu	inuance)	PG0257	conserved hypothetical protein	1.865442	0.595673	0.249365	0.900714


Fig. 3. Microarray analysis of oxygen and SigH-dependent gene expression in *P. gingivalis* 

Expression of the fifty genes most upregulated in the parental strain (*P. gingivalis* W83) in the presence of oxygen (W83+O/W83-O) was compared to that of: a SigH-deficient mutant (V2948 strain) grown anaerobically (V2948 – O/W83-O), V2948 grown erobically (V2948 + O/W83 + O), and V2948 grown in the presence and absence of oxygen (V2948+O/V2948–O). Ratios of gene expression are shown in graphical form on the left and in numerical form on the right. A value greater than one indicates an increase in mRNA expression for the strain labeled with Cy-5 (red color) and conversely, a value less than 1 indicates a decrease in expression for the Cy-5 labeled strain. mRNA probe labeled with Cy3 is designated in green.




#### Fig. 4. Verification of SigH – dependent expression of *ftn* and PG0421


Gene expression in *P. gingivalis* wild-type strain (W83) (Panels A and C) and SigHdeficient mutant (V2948) (Panels B and D) was examined using RNAseq. The green arrows indicate open reading frames (ORFs) and their direction of transcription. Reads derived using RNAseq are shown below each ORF. Expression of PG0421 is shown in Panels A and B. Expression of *ftn* (PG1286) is shown in Panels C and D.



#### Fig. 5. Sensitivity of *P. gingivalis* strains to thiol and oxidative stress

*P. gingivalis* wild-type strain (W83) and SigH-deficient mutant (V2948) were inoculated in BHI media and divided into aliquots that were then supplemented with various concentrations of diamide, hydrogen peroxide, or plumbagin. Unsupplemented BHI cultures served as controls. The ability of the various compounds to inhibit microbial growth was determined following a 12 hr anaerobic incubation by comparing growth of the bacteria in the presence and absence of the compound. Mean and error bars indicating standard deviations using triplicate samples are shown. Experiments were conducted three times with similar results.





#### Fig. 6. Role of SigH in survival of *P. gingivalis* with host cells

*P. gingivalis* wild type (W83) and SigH-deficient mutant (V2948) strains were incubated for 30 min with HN4 cells. Total bacteria (extracellular and intracellular) (Panel A) or intracellular bacteria (Panel B) recovered from host cells were plated on blood agar plates and incubated for 7 days anaerobically. The number of colony forming units/ml (number of colonies on blood plates) from the host-bacteria mixture is shown. Mean and error bars indicating standard deviations from triplicate samples are shown.

Α

-35	N <sub>15-19</sub>	-10	Gene ID
<b>tgaaca</b> aa	AGGGGATATTT	rtcg <b>gttag</b>	<b>A</b> PG1286
<b>CCAAGA</b> TA		GCAGA- <b>GTTTT</b>	<b>C</b> PG0421
<b>agaagg</b> CA	ATGATGTGTGGC	G <u>GTTGT(</u>	<b>G</b> PG1642
<b>CCAAGA</b> TT	CGAACCTTTTT	NCC <b>GTTCA</b>	<b>r</b> PG1545
<b>ACAACC</b> GA	ATTACTTCTTTT	TTT <b>GTTAT</b>	<b>A</b> PG1321
<b>GCAACA</b> AC	CAAAAGGGCTA	rgct <b>gtttc</b>	<b>A</b> PG1190
<b>tgaaca</b> at	TAAGACGAACTC	CTT <b>GTTTT</b>	<b>A</b> PG1729
<b>ACAAGC</b> TC	CAATACTCCAC	C <u>GTTGT(</u>	<b>G</b> PG2205
<b>TGAAAC</b> TT	TTTCGGTGTTA	AAGN <b>GTGTT</b>	<b>r</b> PG1841
<b>TGAACT</b> TT	TTGGCCGCTTT	rcc <b>gtttt</b>	<b>P</b> G0275
<b>agaaca</b> at	ATATTCTGTGA	TTN <b>GTTAT</b>	<b>A</b> PG0686
<b>CGAATC</b> CC	CGGAGTCAGCCC	ICAATC <b>GTTTT</b>	<b>C</b> PG0434
<b>gcaaga</b> at	CCTGCTGCACG	GNN <b>GTTTC</b>	<b>G</b> PG1124
<b>CGAATA</b> TO	GCTATTCAGTAC	TTTTN- <b>GTTTC</b>	<b>G</b> PG0889
<b>TGAACA</b> CC	CCGCCAAACGGT	CATT <b>GTTAT</b>	<b>G</b> PG0010
<b>GTAAAC</b> GA	AAAGGCTACTA	rattt- <b>gtttc</b>	<b>A</b> PG1134



/

В

<u>ACAAAT</u> TGGGATTGCTCGTTTTTT	PG1551
<u>GAAGAC</u> TTATGAGATTAACTTTGC <u>ACTTGA</u>	PG0034
<b>GGAAAG</b> TGGTTGAAAGAGATATTN <u>GGGAAA</u>	PG2209
<u>GCAAAG</u> GGATATATATTTGCAGCT <u>GTAACT</u>	PG0209
<u>GCAATA</u> GCGGCCACGGCTATCACC <u>CTGCTG</u>	PG1638

#### Fig. 7. SigH target promoters in P. gingivalis W83

A. 16 genes downregulated in the SigH mutant V2948 were used to determine transcriptional start sites using transcriptome analysis data. Regions upstream of start sites were examined for the presence of promoter sequences. Putative -35 and -10 sequences are in bold and underlined.

B. Consensus sequence of *P. gingivalis* SigH-dependent promoters. Sequence logo was generated using WebLogo (http://weblogo.berkley.edu). The height of the letters corresponds to their conservation within promoter sequences. C. Alignment of promoter sequences of 5 genes downregulated in V2948 that do not have the consensus sequence as shown in panel B.

## Table 1

60 most highly downregulated genes in V2948 when compared to the parental W83 strain.

locus*	common_name	M∱	Fld <sup>≭</sup>	t	Ь	repeat <sup>§</sup>
PG1286	Ferritin	-6.034202	0.015259	-68.479645	0.000000 (7.9E-16)	12
PG0421	hypothetical protein	-3.579756	0.083635	-43.136924	0.000000 (1.3E-13)	12
PG1642	cation-transporting ATPase, EI-E2 family, authentic frameshift	-3.451853	0.091388	-34.343927	0.000000 (1.5E-12)	12
PG1545	superoxide dismutase, Fe-Mn	-3.220545	0.107280	-61.428030	0.000000 (2.6E-15)	12
PG1321	Formate—tetrahydrofolate ligase	-3.154633	0.112295	-19.001963	0.000000 (9.2E-10)	12
PG1641	phosphotyrosine protein phosphatase	-2.918043	0.132307	-110.35678	0.000000 (4.2E-18)	12
PG1190	Glycerate dehydrogenase	-2.843835	0.139290	-26.540702	0.000000 (2.5E-11)	12
PG1729	thiol peroxidase	-2.837745	0.139879	-34.986562	0.000000 (1.2E-12)	12
PG1841	conserved hypothetical protein	-2.792744	0.144311	-14.702032	0.000000 (1.4E-08)	12
PG1842	acetyltransferase, GNAT family	-2.417317	0.187204	-19.516994	0.000000 (6.9E-10)	12
PG0275	thioredoxin family protein	-2.310300	0.201618	-33.715855	0.000000 (1.9E-12)	12
PG0686	conserved hypothetical protein	-2.256500	0.209279	-10.910157	0.000000 (3.1E-07)	12
PG1640	DNA-damage-inducible protein F	-2.223689	0.214093	-15.750918	0.000000 (7.4E-08)	10
PG1868	membrane protein, putative	-2.170893	0.222073	-22.985489	0.000000 (1.2E-10)	12
PG0434	hypothetical protein	-2.073447	0.237591	-37.571574	0.000000 (5.7E-13)	12
PG2205	2-dehydropantoate 2-reductase	-2.005926	0.248975	-25.635413	0.000000 (3.7E-11)	12
PG1124	ATP:cob(I)alamin adenosyltransferase, putative	-1.960271	0.256980	-63.692982	0.000000 (7.8E-15)	12
PG1639	hypothetical protein	-1.836684	0.279964	-25.210544	0.000000 (4.4E-11)	12
PG1547	hypothetical protein	-1.685091	0.310983	-10.151634	0.000001 (6.3E-07)	12
PG0209	formate-nitrite transporter	-1.525891	0.347265	-34.171754	0.000000 (1.6E-12)	12
PG0432	NOL 1-NOP2-sun family protein	-1.368698	0.387241	-10.399818	0.000000 (4.9E-07)	12
PG1551	hmuY protein	-1.355295	0.390855	-29.589327	0.000000 (7.7E-12)	12
PG0890	alkaline phosphatase, putative	-1.345013	0.393651	-44.945949	0.000000 (8.1E-14)	12
PG0617	hypothetical protein	-1.329643	0.397867	-11.092075	0.000000 (2.6E-07)	12
PG0034	Thioredoxin	-1.246425	0.421491	-39.745567	0.000000 (3.1E-13)	12
PG1553	CobN-magnesium chelatase family protein	-1.235170	0.424792	-6.217059	0.000066	12
PG1042	Glycogen synthase, putative	-1.228527	0.426753	-14.040858	0.000000 (2.3E-08)	12
PG0080	hypothetical protein	-1.210114	0.432234	-3.013580	0.014631	11
PG2209	conserved hypothetical protein	-1.174035	0.443180	-16.606236	0.000000 (3.9E-09)	12

~
- <b></b>
- <b></b>
0
~
-
<u> </u>
<b>+</b>
utho
5
_
~
$\geq$
0)
~
<u> </u>
_
<u> </u>
S
0
¥ .
0
4

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

epeat <sup>§</sup>	12
re	

locus*	common_name	M⁺	Fld <sup>≭</sup>	t	Ρ	repeat <sup>§</sup>
PG0889	peptidase, M24 family	-1.127380	0.457746	-31.351640	0.000000 (4.1E-12)	12
PG1638	thioredoxin family protein	-1.124267	0.458735	-12.596331	0.000000 (7.1E-08)	12
PG0025	fumarylacetoacetate hydrolase family protein	-1.110962	0.462985	-14.075698	0.000000 (2.2E-08)	12
PG1152	hypothetical protein	-1.083132	0.472003	-10.025723	0.000001 (7.2E-07)	12
PG1423	hypothetical protein	-1.062350	0.478851	-7.263607	0.000047	10
PG1625	hypothetical protein	-1.031446	0.489220	-38.348483	0.000000 (4.6E-13)	12
PG0259	conserved hypothetical protein	-1.017716	0.493898	-17.708272	0.000000 (1.9E-09)	12
PG1556	conserved hypothetical protein	-1.010324	0.496435	-5.421035	0.000421	10
PG0047	Cell division protein FtsH, putative	-1.002205	0.499237	-12.419474	0.000000 (8.2E-08)	12
PG1134	thioredoxin reductase	-0.991798	0.502851	-59.004766	0.000000 (4.1E-15)	12
PG0278	hypothetical protein	-0.957113	0.515087	-18.863828	0.000000 (9.9E-10)	12
PG1129	Ribonucleotide reductase	-0.955183	0.515776	-25.569153	0.000000 (3.8E-11)	12
PG0433	tetrapyrrole methylase family protein	-0.954717	0.515943	-9.905916	0.000004 (3.8E-06)	10
PG0491	conserved hypothetical protein	-0.941769	0.520594	-22.970842	0.000000 (1.2E-10)	12
PG0046	phosphatidate cytidylyltransferase	-0.941199	0.520800	-41.745913	0.000000 (1.8E-13)	12
PG1671	hypothetical protein	-0.924388	0.526904	-19.534158	0.000000 (6.8E-10)	12
PG0707	TonB-dependent receptor, putative	-0.923790	0.527122	-15.874653	0.000000 (6.3E-09)	12
PG0340	hypothetical protein	-0.913792	0.530788	-16.025064	0.000000 (5.7E-09)	12
PG0644	TonB-linked receptor Tlr, authentic frameshift	-0.889595	0.539765	-10.442068	0.000000 (4.8E-07)	12
PG0783	hydrolase, putative	-0.882396	0.542466	-21.345972	0.000000 (2.6E-10)	12
PG1044	Iron dependent repressor, putative	-0.876025	0.544867	-13.317626	0.000000 (3.9E-08)	12
PG2040	DNA-binding protein, histone-like family	-0.863655	0.549559	-9.787825	0.000001 (9.1E-07)	12
PG1972	Hemagglutinin protein HagB	-0.844263	0.556995	-11.942377	0.000000 (1.2E-07)	12
PG2115	Protease PrtT, degenerate	-0.837659	0.559551	-4.432481	0.001007	12
PG1043	ferrous iron transport protein B	-0.833517	0.561159	-21.171608	0.000000 (2.9E-10)	12
PG1674	Hemagglutinin protein HagB, degenerate	-0.824790	0.564564	-2.680892	0.021374	12
PG2008	TonB-dependent receptor, putative	-0.767551	0.587414	-4.479731	0.000932	12
PG0435	Capsular polysaccharide biosythesis protein, putative	-0.727857	0.603800	-12.252655	0.000000 (9.4E-08)	12
PG0010	ATP-dependent Clp protease, ATP-binding subunit ClpC	-0.720886	0.606725	-16.979237	0.000000 (3.1E-09)	12
PG2216	hypothetical protein	-0.720043	0.607079	-4.741918	0.000608	12
PG1555	conserved domain protein	-0.719785	0.607188	-8.109344	0.000006 (5.7E-06)	12

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

# Table 2

60 most highly upregulated genes in V2948 when compared to the parental W83 strain.

locus*	common_name	Мŕ	$\mathrm{Fld}^{\sharp}$	t	Ъ	repeat <sup>§</sup>
PG1484	hypothetical protein	2.099982	4.287042	26.382838	0.000000 (2.7E-11)	12
PG1482	conjugative transposon protein TraF	2.093370	4.267439	22.848107	0.000000 (1.3E-10)	12
PG1483	conjugative transposon protein TraE	1.947643	3.857439	32.154368	0.000000 (3.1E-12)	12
PG1474	conjugative transposon protein TraO	1.937859	3.831366	40.145591	0.000000 (2.7E-13)	12
PG0606	hypothetical protein	1.930285	3.811305	8.643301	0.000003	12
PG1480	conjugative transposon protein Tral	1.743379	3.348184	14.201320	0.000000 (2.0E-08)	12
PG1476	conjugative transposon protein TraM	1.678199	3.200283	38.684244	0.000000 (4.2E-13)	12
PG1475	conjugative transposon protein TraN	1.672028	3.186622	8.500293	0.000004	12
PG1534	conserved domain protein	1.609411	3.051272	10.727336	0.000039	11
PG1974	hypothetical protein	1.583181	2.996297	49.457197	0.000000 (2.8E-14)	12
PG1477	hypothetical protein	1.573150	2.975536	9.623705	0.000001	12
PG1479	conjugative transposon protein TraJ	1.555254	2.938855	23.738661	0.000000 (8.4E-11)	12
PG1481	conjugative transposon protein TraG	1.540755	2.909468	34.855289	0.000000 (1.3E-12)	12
PG1478	conjugative transposon protein TraK	1.482257	2.793854	23.184716	0.000000 (1.1E-10)	12
PG1683	conserved hypothetical protein	1.433670	2.701330	39.828657	0.000000 (3.0E-13)	12
PG1010	ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein	1.374896	2.593492	52.412469	0.000000 (1.5E-14)	12
PG1745	phosphoribulokinase family protein	1.370986	2.586472	22.364335	0.000000 (1.6E-10)	12
PG1473	conjugative transposon protein TraQ	1.352018	2.552689	23.740666	0.000000 (3.9E-10)	11
PG1494	conserved hypothetical protein	1.291271	2.447436	18.081931	0.000000 (1.6E-09)	12
PG1684	Hypothetical protein	1.218886	2.327670	126.990104	0.000000 (9.0E-19)	12
PG1497	DNA-binding protein, histone-like family	1.166672	2.244932	12.700840	0.000000 (6.5E-08)	12
PG1535	transcriptional regulator, putative	1.154254	2.225693	34.191452	0.000000 (1.6E-12)	12
PG0906	lipoprotein, putative	1.145365	2.212022	27.472915	0.000000 (1.7E-11)	12
PG1007	transcriptional regulator, GntR family	1.101514	2.145798	28.705630	0.000000 (1.1E-11)	12
PG1663	ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein	1.085281	2.121788	31.306825	0.000000 (4.2E-12)	12
PG1960	ribosomal protein L28	1.074119	2.105436	24.181677	0.000000 (6.9E-11)	12
PG1119	flavodoxin, putative	1.041524	2.058401	54.008493	0.000000 (1.1E-14)	12
PG1496	Hypothetical protein	1.039165	2.055038	10.057285	0.000001	12
PG0656	ribosomal protein L34	0.949020	1.930561	22.713565	0.000000 (1.4E-10)	12

~
~
_
<b>—</b>
_
~
-
~
_
1
<u> </u>
Itho
≚
•
~
<
5
Mar
nuscri
_
0
ö
0
<b></b> .
-
9
-

	non
NIH	
PA A	Р
uthor	t
NIH-PA Author Manuscript	ты <i>ž</i>
· · · ·	

locus*	common_name	Мŕ	Fld <sup>‡</sup>	t	Р	repeat <sup>§</sup>
PG1664	ABC transporter, permease protein, putative	0.942746	1.922183	24.905838	0.000000 (5.0E-11)	12
PG0607	Hypothetical protein	0.934248	1.910894	26.892089	0.000000 (2.2E-11)	12
PG1826	conserved domain protein	0.901095	1.867483	104.112734	0.000000 (1.0E-18)	12
PG1008	Hypothetical protein	0.895692	1.860502	29.357205	0.000000 (8.4E-12)	12
PG1009	Hypothetical protein	0.885176	1.846990	42.007912	0.000000 (1.7E-13)	12
PG1959	ribosomal protein L33	0.875783	1.835004	27.945881	0.000000 (1.4E-11)	12
PG0121	DNA-binding protein HU	0.872345	1.830637	12.626815	0.000000 (6.9E-08)	12
PG1890	lipoprotein, putative	0.860410	1.815555	12.624885	0.000000 (6.9E-08)	12
PG1435	Integrase	0.858677	1.813375	6.251841	0.000062	12
PG1662	Hypothetical protein	0.852168	1.805212	6.034404	0.000085	12
PG1432	Sensor histidine kinase	0.839072	1.788999	8.275893	0.00009	12
PG1609	methylmalonyl-CoA decarboxylase, gamma s.	0.834169	1.782830	9.434488	0.000001	12
PG0536	Hypothetical protein	0.812835	1.756660	18.157552	0.000000 (1.5E-09)	12
PG0928	response regulator	0.812297	1.756005	15.231637	0.000000 (9.7E-09)	12
PG0520	chaperonin, 60 kDa	0.810891	1.754295	11.133896	0.000000 (2.5E-07)	12
PG0609	Hypothetical protein	0.797196	1.737720	4.029668	0.002401	11
PG1004	prolyl oligopeptidase family protein	0.796070	1.736364	30.484226	0.000000 (5.6E-12)	12
PG1676	phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (ATP)	0.787653	1.726264	38.083595	0.000000 (4.9E-13)	12
PG1634	Hypothetical protein	0.787164	1.725679	10.500075	0.000000 (4.5E-07)	12
PG1786	Hypothetical protein	0.786857	1.725312	13.041755	0.000000 (4.9E-08)	12
PG1586	batE protein	0.784310	1.722269	35.544134	0.000000 (1.0E-12)	12
PG1267	Hypothetical protein	0.777617	1.714297	12.905965	0.000000 (5.5E-08)	12
PG0192	Cationic outer membrane protein OmpH	0.775456	1.711731	15.072637	0.000000 (1.1E-08)	12
PG0292	chromate transport protein, putative	0.774012	1.710018	38.544254	0.000000 (4.3E-13)	12
PG0521	chaperonin, 10 kDa	0.771302	1.706810	12.126001	0.000000 (1.0E-07)	12
PG2212	Hypothetical protein	0.771003	1.706456	7.927241	0.000007	12
PG0350	internalin-related protein	0.770678	1.706072	33.152570	0.000000 (2.2E-12)	12
PG0681	Hypothetical protein	0.769667	1.704876	12.227690	0.000000 (9.6E-08)	12
PG0293	secretion activator protein, putative	0.763501	1.697605	23.413056	0.000000 (9.8E-11)	12
PG1005	lipoprotein, putative	0.760033	1.693529	25.405906	0.000000 (4.0E-11)	12
PG0138	malonyl CoA-acyl carrier protein transacylase	0.746919	1.678205	17.931087	0.000000 (1.7E-09)	12

Mol Oral Microbiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 June 01.

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

#### Table 3

Hemin uptake in P. gingivalis strains

Strain	Hemin uptake <sup>*</sup> at 10 min	Hemin uptake <sup>*</sup> at 30 min
W83	$1010\pm55.9$	$1365\pm48.0$
V2498	$281\pm52.6$	$506\pm53.4$

\* the numbers show hemin uptake that was calculated by subtracting passive hemin binding/uptake (done by performing the assay on ice) from total hemin uptake (done by performing the assay at 37°C).