# E1A dependent up-regulation of c-jun/AP-1 activity

Issay Kitabayashi<sup>1</sup>, Robert Chiu<sup>2\*</sup>, Gabriel Gachelin<sup>1,3</sup> and Kazushige Yokoyama<sup>1</sup> 'Tsukuba Life Science Center, The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research (RIKEN), Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan, 2Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center and Division of Surgical Oncology, Department of Surgery, UCLA School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA 90024, USA and <sup>3</sup>Department of Immunology, Institute Pasteur, 25 rue du Dr Roux, 75724 Paris Cedex 15, France

Received August 10, 1990; Revised and Accepted December 17, 1990

# ABSTRACT

E1A, the early region 1A transcription unit of human adenovirus, exhibits multiple functions that regulate the expression of some cellular genes and promote cell growth and division. We found that ElA stimulated cjun gene expression at least fifty-fold in rat 3Y1 cells in a serum-independent manner, concomitantly with ElA down-regulation of jun B expression. The ElAdependent induction of c-jun transcription resulted in increase amount of cJun/APl. This induction was mediated by the enhancement of the binding activity of the transcription factor cJun/AP1 to an AP1 binding site in the c-jun promoter. Additionally, this induction can be repressed by introducing junB into the cells. Taken collectively, these results suggest that the differential expression of two closely related proteins greatly expands their cellular regulation. Induction of c-jun expression by El A as well as c-jun autoregulation may amplify the action of E1A during adenovirus infection. Therefore, some of the biological effects of El A may include mediating the constitutive activation of c-jun, which is important in transcriptional regulation and oncogenic transformation.

# **INTRODUCTION**

The early region IA (E1A) transcription unit of human adenovirus encodes nuclear phosphoproteins (1,2), which are important in transcriptional regulation and oncogenic transformation  $(3-6)$ . During the early stages of infection, the EIA 12S and 13S mRNA are the first detectable viral transcripts (7). The products encoded by the ElA transcripts have a pleiotropic effect on the regulation of a selected set of both viral and cellular genes (for review, see ref. 8, 9) and appear to act directly or indirectly through cisacting promoter or enhancer elements  $(10-12)$ . E1A activation involves cellular factors and presumably reflects cellular modes of regulation.

Transcription factor API mediates gene induction by phorbol ester tumor promoters  $(13-15)$ , transforming oncogenes  $(16,17)$ and polypeptide hormones (18). API is <sup>a</sup> complex whose major components are the products of the c-jun and c-fos protooncogenes, the cJun and cFos proteins  $(19-21)$ . cJun is a sequence specific DNA-binding protein recognizing the same sequence motif, termed the TRE, as AP1 (19,22). Two other jun-related genes, termed junB and junD; have been isolated and characterized recently  $(23-25)$ . These jun-proteins are encoded by different genes, but are clearly related to cJun and bind to the same consensus sequence as cJun/APl (26). While cJun/AP1 is an efficient activator of the c-jun and collagenase promoters, which contain a single TRE, JunB and JunD are not efficient activators (27,28). The c-jun gene is positively autoregulated by the binding of its own gene product to an API site in the c-jun promoter (29), and this autoregulation can be repressed by JunB (27). The mRNA levels of c-jun and junB increase rapidly as part of the response of cultured cells to serum, growth factors or tumor promoters (23,30). Along with other 'immediate early' gene products, the cJun/API may play a key role in regulating many genes involved in cellular proliferation (31).

The mechanism by which EIA stimulates transcription is an area of intense investigation. Several studies have suggested that EIA proteins are capable of modulating the activity of cellular transcription factors. In this report, we demonstrate that EIA induces expression of c-jun in a serum-independent manner and down-regulates expression of junB in rat 3Y1 cells. Deletion analysis of rat c-jun promoter and mutation of the API binding site have revealed that the AP-1 binding site is a target for ElAmediated transcriptional activation of this gene. The consequence of the constitutive activation of c-jun could be a result of the function of EIA mediated activation of cJun/API, which is subsequently amplified by the autregulation mechanism in the presence of <sup>a</sup> low level of the negative regulator JunB. We propose that ElA mediated constitutive activation of c-jun plays an important role in regulating the expression of a set of other genes, such as those in the promotion of cell growth, division and transformation.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Cell culture and RNA analysis

Cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (GIBCO)

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed

## 650 Nucleic Acids Research, Vol. 19, No. 3

and antibiotics (100 unit/ml penicillin, 50  $\mu$ g/ml streptomycin). Total RNA (20  $\mu$ g), prepared by the guanidium isothiocyanate method (32), was separated on a 1.2% agarose gel that contained 6% formaldehyde (33) and transferred to <sup>a</sup> hybond-N filter (Amersham). The DNA probe was radiolabelled using <sup>a</sup> random primer kit (Boehringer Mannheim) and [32p]-dCTP (Amersham,  $3000\mu$ ci/mmol). The filters were prehybridized for 5h and hybridized with  $[32p]$ -labelled probe for 20h at 42°C in  $6 \times$ SSC  $(1 \times SSC: 150mM$  NaCl, 15mM Sodium citrate, pH7.0), 0.5% Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS),  $5 \times$  Denhardt's solution, 50mM EDTA and  $100\mu$ g/ml denatured salmon sperm DNA. Filters were washed several times at 65°C in 0.2 x SSC and 0.1 % SDS, and exposed to RX-film (Fuji film) at  $-70^{\circ}$ C with an intensifying screen.

#### Plasmids

To construct the  $-4,500/ + 874c$ -junCAT reporter, a 4.5kb HindIII-FspI fragment containing the 5'-regulatory region of rat c-jun genomic DNA (34) was cloned into the HindIll site of pICAT, in which NdeI/HindIII fragment of pSVOCAT was replaced by NotI/Clal fragment from a multiple cloning sites of pBluescript (Stratagene). The other c-jun/CAT reporters were constructed by various deletion fragments from the 5'-regulatory region of the rat c-jun gene and these fragments were inserted into multiple cloning sites of pICAT. pElA13Sneo (Adl2 ElA 13S cDNA with <sup>a</sup> neo resistant gene) was kindly given from Dr. K. Shiroki (Tokyo University). pMElAl3Sneo is a framshift mutant of ElA generated by deletion of 4 bases at the KpnI site (+88 to +91 coding sequence for EIA) of pElA13neo (35). The TRE/tkCAT and tkCAT reporters, and RSV-cjun and RSVjunB expression vectors were described previously (13,27,29). CRE/tkCAT was generated by insertion of a synthetic oligonucleotide containing CRE into BamHI-HindIll site of pBLCAT2. In RSV-mJB an 8bp BglII linker was inserted at the AccI site at position  $+822$  of the junB cDNA.

#### Transfection and CAT assay

Cells were transfected by a calcium phosphate co-precipitation procedure as described previouly (36). Cells were harvested 60h after transfection. As an internal control for the variation in transfection efficiency,  $2.5\mu$ g of RSV- $\beta$ -gal was co-transfected with the test plasmid to normalize the CAT assay. Equal amounts of the cell lysates were incubated with  $8\mu$ ci of [<sup>14</sup>C]chloramphenicol and 4mM acetyl-coenzyme A at 37°C for 30 min in a total volume of  $200\mu l$  reaction mixture. [<sup>14</sup>C]chloramphenicol and its acetylated products were separted by thin-layer chromatography and chromatograms were exposed to x-ray film. The conversion of chloramphenicol to the acetylated form was measured using Bio-image analyzer BAS2000 (Fuji film).

#### DNA binding assay

Nuclear extracts were prepared as described elsewhere (36). Extracts from 3Y1 or E1AY4 cells were incubated on ice with  $2\mu$ g of poly(dI.dC) in 10mM Hepes, pH8.0, 17% glycerol, 1mM EDTA,  $20$ mM NaCl,  $4$ mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>,  $1$ mM dithiothreitol and 0. 1mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) in <sup>a</sup> total volume of  $20\mu$ . After 10 min. the  $[32p]$ -labeled probe and the competitor oligonucleotides were added and the incubation was continued for another 20 min. The reaction mixture was subjected to electrophoresis on a 5% polyacrylamide gel in  $0.5 \times$  TBE (44.5mM Tris, 44.5mM Boric acid, 1.25mM EDTA). The gel was dried and subjected to autoradiography.

# Cell labelling, immunoprecipitation, and gel electrophoresis

Cell proteins were biosynthetically labeled for 3 hrs by addition of 1- $\left[35\right]$ ]methionine (200  $\mu$ ci/ml, Amersham) to subconfluent 10cm dishes of 3Y1 and ElAY cells cultured in methionine-free DMEM containing 2% dialyzed fetal bovine serum. The supernatant of cell lysates were incubated with  $10 \mu l$  of anti-cjunpeptide antibody (Ab-2, Oncogene Science) at 4'C for <sup>12</sup> hr, and followed by agitation with 20  $\mu$ l of protein-A-sepharose (Pharmacia) for 2hr. Cell lysates, immunoprecipitation and gel electrophoresis were prepared as previously described (21). Anti-CREBP1 antiserum was kindly given from Dr. Shunsuke Ishii (RIKEN). ElAl and EIA5 are polyclonal anti-ElA 13S Cterminal peptide (amino acids  $250 - 265$  and  $256 - 265$ , respectively) antiserum.

## RESULTS

## Constitutive activation of c-jun by EIA in rat embryonal fibroblast

It was previously reported that API-binding activity is induced to significantly higher levels by cAMP in the presence of ElA proteins (37). To determine which of the known jun genes was responsible for the appearance of AP1-binding activity, we analyzed by Northern blot, RNA extracted from rat embryonal fibroblast 3Y1 cells (38) and EIA transformed 3Y1 cells (E1AY,39) with various jun-specific probes. Surprisingly, the steady state level of c-jun transcripts was strongly induced in the ElAY cells ranging from fifty- to one hundred-fold (Figure la, lane 2). The same blot was sequentially rehybridized with probes specific for junB and junD. mRNAs for these genes exhibited different expression patterns from that of c-jun. Expression of junB in wild-type 3Y1 cells was relatively high (at least fiveto ten-fold higher than c-jun), but was decreased four- to sixfold in the ElAY cells (Figure la, lane 4). The third member of the jun family, junD, notably reached a new steady state level of expression in the EIA transformed cells, However, the magnitude of this induction response was much lower than that of c-jun and did not exceed two- to three-fold (Figure la, lane 6). To examine whether <sup>a</sup> CRE/ATF binding protein CREBP1 (53) and an API complex protein c-Fos are regulated by ElA protein, the same blot was also probed for CREBP1 and c-fos expression. Unlike the jun transcripts, no difference of CREBP1 and c-fos transcripts could be detected between wild-type and E1A transformed 3Y1 cells (Figure 1a, lanes  $7-10$ )

ElAY4 cells display <sup>a</sup> 3-fold shorter doubling time as compared to wild-type 3Y1 cells. In an attempt to determine whether the increased cell proliferation is mediated by ElA due to the induction of cellular oncogenes that most likely regulate the expression of proliferation-related genes (40), we monitored the levels of several such proto-oncogene transcripts, c-myc, cmyb and c-Ha-ras, in 3Y1 and ElAY cells (Figure la, lane  $9-14$ ). For example the c-Ha-ras was notably induced by E1A. The induction of ras oncogenes may be responsible for initiation of c-jun gene transcription and lead to activation of c-jun/API. The fact that the ras oncoprotein stimulates APl activity has been reported previously (16,17).

The growth of ElAY4 cells was less-dependent upon serum than that of wild-type 3Y1 cells (data not shown). The level of c-jun mRNA was not strongly decreased under conditions of serum starvation, whereas the levels of junB and fos mRNA were decreased to an undetectable level (Figure lb). This result indicates that E1A can activate c-jun expression in a serum- and fos-independent manner.



Figure 1. Differential expression of jun genes in EIA transformed rat embryonal fibroblast 3Y1 cells. (a) Total RNA (20µg) 3Y1 (EIA -) or E1AY4 (EIA +) cells were separated on agarose-formaldehyde gels and transfered onto Hybond-N filters. The filters were hybridized with the following specific probes: rat c-jun Sacl-FspI fragment (c-jun), rat junB BamHI-SacI fragment (junB), junD probes corresponding to first amino-terminal 93 amino acids of mouse junD (24,25) prepared by polymerase chain reaction (54), v-fos PstI-PvuII fragment (c-fos), 3.7 kb human CREBPl-1 cDNA (CREBP1, 53), human c-myc cDNA (c-myc), v-myb PstI-XbaI fragment (c-myb), v-Ha-ras HindIII fragment (c-Ha-ras), adenovirus type 12 (Ad12) E1A 13S cDNA (E1A) and rat  $\beta$ -actin cDNA (actin). Exposure times were: .12 h for c-jun and c-myc, 20 h for junB, junD, c-Ha-ras and ElIA, 60 h for c-fos and c-myb, and 8 h for actin. (b) c-jun expression is serum independent in ElIA transformants. ELIAY4 cells were maintained in 0.5% serum for 42 <sup>h</sup> (lane 1) or cells were grown in <sup>a</sup> standard culture condition with <sup>1</sup>0% serum (lane 2) before cells were harvested. 20 $\mu$ g RNA samples were analyzed by blot hybridization with c-jun, junB, junD, c-fos, and  $\beta$ -actin specific probes. Exposure times were: 12 h for c-jun, 40 h for junD and c-fos, 72 h for junB, and 10 h for actin.

#### Coding sequence of ElA is required to induce c-jun expression

Focusing our attention on the constitutive activation of c-jun by E1A, we observed up-regulation of  $c$ -jun in all of the tested E1Atransformed cells, but not in a chemical carcinogen induced transformed 3Y1 cell, D303. (Figure 2, Lanes  $1-9$ ). It is clear that the induction of c-jun expression in these cells is due to the expression of coding sequences of EIA, regardless of the tumorgenic potential of adenovirus type (type 12 or 5) or the different mRNA species of EIA (12S or 13S). This finding suggests that the induction of c-jun is correlated with the cell transforming activity of ElA (conserved regions <sup>1</sup> and 2), and not simply a result of cellular transformation.

Expression of the EIA 13S product in <sup>a</sup> G418 selected pool was sufficient to induce c-jun expression in these cells (Figure 2, lanes  $10-11$ ). In contrast, the G418 selected pool transfected with <sup>a</sup> 13S cDNA framshift mutant, pMElAl3Sneo (35), did not show stimulation of c-jun expression activity nor did cells transfected with pSV2neo alone (Figure 2, lanes  $9,12-13$ ). These results are consistent with <sup>a</sup> requirement for functional EIA proteins.

#### AP-1 binding site is a target for E1A-mediated transcriptional activation

To further address the question of whether the induction of cjun expression by E1A is primarily due to transcriptional regulation, we isolated rat c-jun <sup>5</sup>'-promoter regions. A reporter construct,  $-730/ + 874$ JunCAT, was co-transfected with an E1A expression vector, pElAl3Sneo or pElA12Sneo, into rat 3Y1 or mouse F9 cells (Figure 3a). The transient expression of EIA 13S and 12S cDNA led to <sup>a</sup> significant increase in CAT activity by a factor of 5 to 7 and 3 to 5, respectively.-

To assess the role of the various sequence elements in the cjun promoter in allowing E1A-dependent induction, we employed



Figure 2. Constitutive activation of c-jun in all E1A transformants. Total RNAs from the following clones (lanes  $1-\overline{8}$ ) and G418-selected pool (lanes  $9-13$ ) derived from  $3Y1$  cells: 1. wild-type  $3Y1$ ; 2 and 3, Ad12 E1A transformed cells, EIAYI and EIAY4, respectively; 4. Adl2 EIA+EIB transformed cells, CYpAdC3; 5. Ad12-E1A 12S cDNA transformed cells, YH12S; 6. Ad12-E1A 13S cDNA transformed cells, YH13S; 7. Ad5-E1A 13S cDNA transformed cells; 8. Nitrosoguanidine-transformed cells, D303; 9. pSV2neo; 10 and 11, pElA13Sneo; <sup>12</sup> and 13, pMEIA13Sneo, <sup>a</sup> frameshift mutant of pElAl3Sneo generated by deletion of 4 bases at the KpnI site.  $20\mu$ g of RNA samples were blot hybridized with c-jun and  $\beta$ -actin specific probes.

<sup>a</sup> variety of rat c-jun promoter constructs fused to the CAT gene in transient transfections. As shown in Figure 4, analysis of <sup>5</sup>' promoter deletions indicated that inducible expression was not affected by deletion to  $-79$ , but that there was a sharp reduction to basal level upon further deletion to  $-62$ . This would therefore indicate that the AP1 binding site  $(-63$  to  $-72)$  is uniquely required for stimulated expression. Mutation of AP<sup>I</sup> binding site resulted in severe decreases in ElA-induced transcriptional levels (Figure 3a, 4), further proof that the API binding site is <sup>a</sup> target for E1A-mediated transcriptional activation. The deletions downstream of the transcription start site did not affect the E1A inducibility of c-jun promoter (Figure 4).

The API binding site in the c-jun promoter diverges from the consensus sequence found in the collagenase promoter by a single



Figure 3. (a) Trans-activation of c-jun promoter-CAT fusion gene by E1A, cJun and CREBP1. 3Y1 cells were co-transfected with 2  $\mu$ g of  $-730/+874c$ -junCAT or  $-730/ + 874$ (mAP1)c-junCAT and 5  $\mu$ g of indicated expression vectors (pI2H13S, pI2H12S, RSVc-jun and RSVCREBP1). (b) TRE and CRE differ in ElA-dependent transactivation. TRE/tkCAT, CRE/tkCAT or tkCAT were cotransfected with indicated expression plasmids into 3Y1 cells. Cells were harvested 60 h after transfection and cell lysates were analyzed for CAT activity.

base-pair insertion rendering it more similar to <sup>a</sup> CREB binding site (41,42). The question arises as to whether <sup>a</sup> specific TRE sequence is necessary for ElA stimulation, or possibly, that CREB/ATF family members bind to this site and activate c-jun expression. The results presented in Figure 3 support the assumption that the TRE element is <sup>a</sup> regulatory target for EIA control. Co-transfection of pE1A13Sneo with a  $-730/+874c$ junCAT, TRE/tkCAT or CRE/tkCAT resulted in a large stimulation in CAT activity in 3Y1 and F9 cells. However, cotransfection of pElA12Sneo with a reporter gene as described above resulted in only modest activation of c-junCAT and TRE/tkCAT activity as compared to EIA 13S cDNA. This result suggests that c-junTRE and collagenase TRE are differentially regulated from CRE by EIA. In contrast to the transfection of tkCAT, lacking both a TRE and a CRE, into either cell type 3Y1 or F9, no response was observed due to EIA (Figure 3b). CREBPl/ATF2 mediates transcription by E1A protein has been reported (43). It has also been demonstrated that cJun-CREBPl complexes have <sup>a</sup> high affinity for CRE and <sup>a</sup> low affinity for the API site (44). To test the possibility that homodimer CREBP1 or heterodimer cJun/CREBPl activates c-junCAT, we cotransfected CREBP1 and c-jun expression vectors or combinations of both with various reporter genes as described above. We found that CREBP1 and cJun-CREBPl complexes have trans-activation activity through c-junTRE, collagenase TRE and CRE/ATF site. However, it has higher activity mediated through the CRE/ATF site. Interestingly, CREBP1 represses c-Jun dependent activation of both c-jun and collagenase TRE. This repression may be due



Figure 4. The EIA responsive element in the rat c-jun promoter maps to the TRE element. On the top is an extended map of the rat c-jun promoter, indicating locations of various protein binding sites. Deletion mutants, as depicted schematically below the wild-type  $-4500/+874CAT$  construct, were assayed by co-transfection into 3Y1 cells with or without the ElA-expressing plasmid pElA13Sneo. Cell extracts were assayed for CAT activity. The numbers refer to the 5'and 3' ends of jun sequence.  $-79/ +874$  contains the promoter region from position  $-79$  to  $+874$ , in which the high-affinity binding site for AP1  $(5'$ -GTGACATCA-3') is included. mAP1  $(5'$ -GATCCATCA-3' $)$  -730/+874cjunCAT, in which the API-binding site is inactivated by site-directed mutagenesis. The trans-activation by E1A refers to the ratio of CAT enzyme activity in E1Aexpressing cells to that in non-ElA-expressing cells and are averages of three different experiments.



**Figure 5.** Immunoprecipitation of cJun protein from  $[35S]$ methionine labelled 3Y1 or ElAY4 cells. Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with either anti-cjun-peptide antiserum or preimmune rabbit serum. The immune complexes were resolved on 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels. Arrowhead marks the position of cJun protein. Non-specific bands were indicated by a small arrow with asterisk.

to the formation of cJun-CREBPl complexes with a lower affinity to TRE. We conclude that the AP1 binding site in the c-jun promoter is functioning more like <sup>a</sup> TRE than <sup>a</sup> CRE. The TRE element in the c-jun promoter is a target for ElA trans-activation and is not needed in conjunction with other targets.



Figure 6. (a) ElA-dependent induction of AP-1 binding activity. A double stranded oligonucleotides (5'-atgttataaagcaTGAGTCAgacacctctctggct-3') was <sup>32</sup>p-endlabeled and used as a probe in a gel mobility shift assay. After incubation of the probe with the indicated increased amount of nuclear extracts  $(\mu g)$  from 3Y1 or ElAY4 cells, the reaction mixture was subjected to electophoresis on <sup>a</sup> 5% polyacrylamide gel. The specificity of the binding complex was determined by competition with a 50-fold excess of non-radiolabelled mutated AP-1 oligonucleotides (5'-agcttgAATCTCAgaagct-3', lane 8) or wild-type AP-1 oligonucleotides (5'-agcttgTGAGTCAgaagct-3', lane 9). In the experiments shown, the free probe has been electrophoresed to the bottom of the gel. (b) The antibody interferes with the specificity of the binding complex. The DNA probe was incubated with 4  $\mu$ g of E1AY4 nuclear extracts (lane 2) and indicated antibodies (lanes  $3-8$ ). Lanes 3 and 4, anti-c-jun-peptide antiserum, Ab-1 (c-jun1) and Ab-2 (c-jun2), respectively; Lane 5, anti-CREBPI antiserum; Lanes 6 and 7, adenovirus type <sup>12</sup> E1A polyclonal antibodies, ElA1 and ElA5, respectively; lane 9, rabbit preimmune serum. Lanes 9 and 10 were performed by competition with wild type (S) or mutated API (N) oligonucleotides as described above.

## ElA-dependent enhancement of AP1 binding and increase in cJun/AP1 synthesis

To extend the studies of the induction of c-jun RNA levels, immunoprecipitations of this protein were performed. Both wild type and EIA transformed cells were labeled with [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine and immunoprecipitated with polyclonal rabbit anti-cjun-peptide antiserum. Five fold differences in the levels of API were seen in the presence of EIA proteins (Figure 5). This result suggested that ElA up-regulation of c-jun activity results in increases of cJun/API synthesis.

To determine whether the *in vivo* inducibility of the c-jun promoter in the presence of EIA would be reflected in vitro, we assayed the DNA-binding activity of nuclear extracts from 3Y1 and ElAY4 cells with respect to the TRE in the human collagenase gene promoter in vitro. As shown in Figure 6a, gel retardation assays revealed one (I) and three (I, II and III) AP-1 binding complexes with 3Y1 and EIA transformant ElAY4 nuclear extracts, respectively. The specificity of binding complex was indicated by competition with a 50-fold excess of nonradiolabelled mutated AP1 (N) or wild-type AP1 (S) oligonucleotide probes (Figure 6a, lanes 8,9). Nuclear extracts from E1AY4 cells had <sup>a</sup> 5- to 10-fold increase of DNA-binding activity to the TRE as compared to the binding activity from wild type cell extracts. To further identify the specific TRE binding protein(s), a specific antibody interference of mobility shift was performed. Addition of two anti-cjun antibodies resulted in eliminatation of complex <sup>I</sup> and reduction of complex H formation (Figure 6b). Furthermore, addition of anti-CREBPl and EIA antibodies had no effect on the gel mobility shift (Figure 6b). These results provide the evidence that cJun/API is <sup>a</sup> TRE



Figure 7. (a) The c-jun promoter is responsive for ElA-mediated transcriptional activation and this activation is repressed by overexpression of junB. Two  $\mu$ g of rat c-jun promoter-CAT gene plasmids  $-4,5000/+874c$ -junCAT,  $-79/+874c$ junCAT, and  $-62/+873c$ -junCAT was co-transfected with 10  $\mu$ g of PUC18, 5 $\mu$ g of pElAneo and 5  $\mu$ g of PUC18, or 5  $\mu$ g of pElAneo and 5  $\mu$ g of pRSV junB into 3Y1 cells. Cells were harvested 60 h after transfection. Cell extracts were incubated with [14C]-chloramphenicol, and the reaction products were separted by thin-layer chromatography to determine CAT enzyme activity (percent acetylation). (b) JunB inhibits the ElA-dependent trans-activation of c-jun through the AP-1 binding site. 3Y1 and E1AY4 cells were transfected with 2  $\mu$ g of  $-79/+874c$ -junCAT with 5  $\mu$ g PUC18, 5  $\mu$ g pRSVc-jun or pRSVjunB. Cells were harvested 60 <sup>h</sup> after transfection and analyzed for CAT activity (percent acetylation).

binding protein which mediates ElA dependent activation of cjun expression.

## JunB inhibits the ElA-dependent trans-activation of c-jun

One possible mechanism for the induction of c-jun in ElAY cells is the decrease in levels of the negative regulator JunB (see Figure la). To examine this possibility, we compared the effects of transient expression of junB and EIA on c-jun promoter and deletion mutant CAT-activities in 3Y1 cells. As shown in Figure 7a, the E1A-dependent activations of  $-4,500/ +874c$ -junCAT and  $-79/+874c$ -junCAT are inhibited by junB expression. More directly, to test whether the transient expression of junB could repress a trans-activation caused by the ElA-dependent constitutively activated c-jun, we co-transfected a junB expression vector with  $-79/+874c$ -junCAT reporter gene in 3Y1 and ElAY4 cells. As shown in Figure 7b, overexpression of junB led to repression of the c-jun promoter in E1AY4 cells. This repression did not occur in wild-type 3Y1 cells. A JunB framshift mutant, RSV-mJB, failed to repress ElA-dependent constitutive activation of c-jun (data not shown) indicating that repression by RSV-junB is not due to competition for transcription factors shared by the RSV promoters. These results indicate that the expression of c-jun might be repressed by the junB gene product in wild-type cells, and that the repression would be released through <sup>a</sup> decrease in the level of the repressor JunB in ElAY cells, resulting in the constitutive activation of c-jun expression.

#### **DISCUSSION**

#### Differential expression of c-jun and junB in ElA transformants

Our results show that c-jun is expressed at very low levels in the wild-type 3Y1 cells, while its steady state mRNA levels are increased more than fifty-fold when the cells are transformed by E1A (Figure la, lane 2). In contrast, the junB gene is expressed at high levels in the wild-type cells and is reduced 4- to 6-fold in the EIA transformed cells (Figure la, lane 4). We investigated the actual involvement of the junB gene product in controlling c-jun promoter activity in ElA-transformed cells. As shown in Figure 7b, introduction of junB into ElA-transformed cells resulted in a significant repression of c-jun promoter activity. These data strongly suggest that the differential expression of c-jun/junB is one of the crucial controlling elements to modulate the cellular regulation mediated by cJun/API. In EIA transformed cells, repression of c-jun promoter activity by JunB would be released, resulting in the constitutive activation of cjun. Thus, JunB acts as a repressor of the c-jun gene. This observation is consistent with our previous finding and other reports (27,45). The controlled mechanism of junB expression by EIA is also at the transcriptional level (Kitabayashi et al. unpublished data)

It is known that deregulation of human c-jun expression can participate in malignant transformation of normal mammalian cells (31). On the other hand, overexpression of junB inhibits the c-jun transforming activity (45). Our results regarding the importance of differential expression of c-jun and junB repeatedly suggest that the constitutive activation of c-jun is favorable for cell transformation. This up-regulation of c-jun in EIA transformants results in activation of a set of other genes, which are involved in the promotion of cellular proliferation.

#### cJun/AP-1 involved in ElA trans-activation

It was unclear whether the c-jun promoter, previously shown to contain TRE, CAAT and SPI sequences as regulatory elements, was <sup>a</sup> direct target for regulation by EIA during 3Y1 cell transformation (47). Here we report that the minimum sequence requirement for ElA-dependent transactivation is clearly localized to the AP-1 binding site of rat c-jun promoter acting as <sup>a</sup> TRE not a CRE. Mutation of the API binding site resulted in severe decreases in ElA-induced transcriptional levels (Figure 3a, 4). Futhermore, immunoprecipitation of API from both 3Y1 and ElAY cells indicated that the amounts of API protein increased 4-5 fold in the EIA transformed cells. The evidence of the specific antibody effect on the mobility shift (Figure 6b) prove that cJun/API is <sup>a</sup> TRE binding protein which mediates EIA dependent activation of c-jun expression.

Recently, it was demonstrated that levels of junB and c-fos mRNAs were modestly induced by cyclic AMP alone and strongly induced by cyclic AMP plus EIA. However, these increases were not detected by introducing EIA alone (37). In contrast, we found strongly up-regulated c-jun and downregulated junB in EIA transformants. The reasons for these disparate results are unknown. A possible explanation however, may be due to differences in the cell-types used in the studies. Indeed, Van Dam et al. (46) reported recently that the regulation of the junB gene is dependant upon cell type and transforming adenovirus serotype. A recent report by Offringa et al. (47) suggests that ElA represses trancsription of the collagenase gene via the phorbol ester responsive element, TRE. However, they also demonstrated that E1A activates AP-<sup>1</sup> activity in the presence or absence of TPA with either  $1 \times \text{iunTRE-CAT}$  or  $-1100/+740$ jun-CAT construct (46,47). It is clear that the reponses to  $E1A$ -action in HeLa tk<sup>-</sup> cells vary from gene to gene even though the action occurs via the same El A-dependent target DNA element. In addition, Hela cells contain <sup>a</sup> papilloma virus E7-like protein which may inhibit EIA mediated transactivation. This could be the reason why we did not observe the strong trans-activation in HeLa cells in either case using a  $-4,500/+874$ cjunCAT or  $-73/+63$ COL.CAT construct as a reporter gene (data not shown).

#### Mechanism of ElA-mediated transcriptional activation

Mechanisms of c-jun constitutive activation by ElA have not been fully elucidated, it is quite clear that efficient induction requires the integrity of the API binding site within the c-jun promoter. The possible explanation for this requirement is that initially modification of an existing APl or API like activity occurs, and this modified activity then mediates the initial transcriptional activation of the c-jun gene and leads to amplification of the response. One might predict that, once induced, this gene would be permanently activated or overexpressed in the presence of low levels of repressor. The analysis of F9 cell clones in which human cJun and avian vJun are constitutively expressed from the RSV promoter revealed activation of the endogenous mouse c-jun gene, providing strong support for this autoregulation model (28). This autoregulation mechanism may involve modification of preexisting API activity. EIA might modulate the phosphorylation state of the AP-1 transcription factor, either directly or indirectly. Therefore, the transcriptional activity would be associated with changing DNA binding affinity of modifed API. This model is supported by reports that E1A can affect the phosphorylation of E2F, E4F, and TFIIIC transcription factors mediated by ElA induced cellular kinase  $(48-50)$ .

Constitutive expression of cJun or vJun in F9 cells leads to activation of differentiation inducible genes such as Endo B (K 18), tissue plasminogen activator (tPA), and TROMA-l antigen (28). Analysis of the tPA and Endo B gene has identified API binding sites within their differentiation response elements (51,52). By extrapolation we suggest that constitutive activation of c-jun promotes cell proliferation. Indeed, a number of genes encoding growth regulatory molecules affecting proliferation and differentiation of early embryonic cells have been shown to possess <sup>a</sup> TRE element. The differential expression of TRE binding proteins during cell transformation mediated by ElA is therefore likely linked to the regulation of these genes. Hence, at least part of the effects of EIA on the rat embryonal fibroblast cells are likely to be mediated by a cascade of transcriptional activities, in which cJun may play one of the final roles through direct binding and activation of various regulatory genes whose expression is induced during this process.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to M. Karin and P. Angel for c-jun cDNA and COL.CAT constructs, K. Ryder and D. Nathans for junB and junD cDNA clones, K. Shiroki for E1A expression vector, K. Fujinaga and G. Kimura for 3Y1 transformants used in this study. We thank Drs. A. Berk, E. Soeda and T. Imai for helpful discussions, Drs. J. Fraser, J. Gasson and S. Hirai for critical reading of the manuscript. We are also indebted to Drs. D. Morton and I. Chen for advice and generous support. This work was supported by grants from the Frontier Research Program and Life Science Research Project of RIKIN, California Institute for Cancer Research, and Cancer Research Coordinating Committee University of California. R.C. is a scholar of the American Foundation for AIDS Research.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Spindler, K.R., Rosser, D.S.E. and Berk, A.J. (1984) J. Virol. 49:132-141.
- 2. Harlow, E., Franza, B.R.Jr. and Schley, C. (1985) J. Virol. 55:533-546. 3. Graham, F.L., Van der Eb, A.J. and Heijneker, H.L. (1974) Nature 251:687-691.
- 4. Berk, A.J., Lee, F., Harrison, T., Williams, J. and Sharp, P.A. (1979) Cell 17:935-944.
- 5. Jones, N., and Shenk, T. (1979) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76:3665:3669.
- 6. Lillie, J.W., Green, M. and Green, M.R. (1986) Cell 46:1043-1051.
- 7. Ferguson, B., Krippl, B., Andrisani, O., Jones, N., Westphal, H. and Rosenberg, M. (1985) Mol. Cell. Biol. 5:2653-2661.
- 8. Berk, A.J. (1986) Annu. Rev. Genet 20:45-79.
- 9. Flint, J. and Shenk, T. (1990) Annu. Rev. Genet. 23:141-161.
- 10. Stein, R. and Ziff, E.B. (1984) Mol. Cell. Biol. 4:2792-2801.
- 11. Sassone-Corsi, P., and Borreli, E. (1987) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84:6430-6433.
- 12. Rochette-Egly, C., Fromental, C. and Chambon, P. (1990) Genes Dev.  $4:137-150$ .
- 13. Angel, P., Imagawa, M., Chiu, R., Stein, B., Imbra, R.J., Rahmsdorf, H.J., Jonat, C., Herrlich, P. and Karin, M. (1987) Cell 49:729-739.
- 14. Lee, W., Mitchell, P. and Tijian, R. (1987) Cell 49:741-752.
- 15. Chiu, R., Imagawa, M., Imbra, R.J., Bockoven, J.R. and Karin, M. (1987) Nature 329:648-651.
- 16. Schöntal, A., Herrlich, P., Rahmsdorf, H. J. and Ponta, H. (1988) Cell 54:325-334.
- 17. Wasylyk, C., Imler, J.L. and Wasylyk, B. (1988). EMBO J. 7:2475 -2483.
- 18. Brenner, D.H., O'Hara, M., Angel, P., Chojkier, M. and Karin, M. (1989) Nature  $337:661 - 663$ .
- 19. Bohmann, D., Bos, T., Admon, A., Nishimura, T., Vogt, P. and Tijian, R. (1987) Science 238: 1386-1392.
- 20. Rauscher, F.J., Cohen, D.R., Curran, T., Bos, T.J., Vogt, P.K., Bohmann, D., Tjian, R. and Franza, B.R.Jr. (1988) Science 240:1010-1016.
- 21. Chiu, R., Boyl, W.J., Meek, J., Smeal, T., Hunter, T. and Karin, M. (1988) Cell54:541-552.
- 22. Angel, P., Allegretto, E., Okino, S., Hattori, K., Boyle, W., Hunter, T. and Karin, M. (1988a) Nature 332:166-171.
- 23. Ryder, K., Lau, L. and Nathans, D. (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 85:1487-1491.
- 24. Ryder, K., Lanahan, A., Perez-Albueme, E. and Nathans, D. (1989) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 86:1500-1503.
- 25. Hirai, S., Ryseck, R., Mechta, F., Bravo, R. and Yaniv, M. (1989) EMBO J. 8:1433-1439.
- 26. Nakabeppu, Y., Ryder, K. and Nathtans, D. (1988) Cell 55:907-915.
- 27. Chiu, R., Angel, P. and Karin, M. (1989) Cell 59:979-986.
- 28. Yang-Yen, H.-F., Chiu, R. and Karin, M. (1990) New Biol. 2:351-361.
- 29. Angel, P., Hattori, K., Smeal, T. and M. Karin. (1988b) Cell 55:875-885.
- 30. Lamph, W.W., Wamsley, P., Sassone-Corsi, P. and Verma, I. V. (1988) Nature 334:629-631.
- 31. Schiitte, J., Minna, J. and Birrer, M. (1989a) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA  $86:2257 - 2261$ .
- 32. Chirgwin, J.M., Przybyla, A.E., MacDonald, R.J. and Rutter, W.J. (1979) Biochemistery 18:5294-5299.
- 33. Tomas, P.S. (1980) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77:5201-5205.
- 34. Kitabayashi, I., Saka, F., Gachelin, G. and Yokoyama, K. (1990) Nucleic Acids Res. 18:3400.
- 35. Sugisaki, H., Sugimoto, K., Takanami, J., Shiroki, K., Saito, I., Shimojo, H., Sawada, Y., Uemizu, Y., Uesugi, S. and Fujinaga, K. (1980) Cell 30:777-786.
- 36. Katoh, S., Ozawa, K., Kondoh, S., Soeda, E., Israel, A., Shiroki, K., Fujinaga, K., Itakura, K., Gachelin, G. and Yokoyama, K. (1990) EMBO J. 9:127-135.
- 37. Muller, U., Roberts, M.P., Engel, D.A., Doerfler, W. and Shenk, T. (1989) Genes Dev. 3:1991-2002.
- 38. Kimura, G., Itagaki, A. and Summers, J. (1975) Int. J. Cancer 15:694-706.
- 39. Shiroki, K., Hashimoto, S., Saito, I., Fukui, Y., Kato, H. and Shimojo, H. (1984) J. Virol.50:854-863.
- 40. Pardee, A.B. (1989) Science 246:603-608.
- 41. Hattori, K., Angel, P., LeBeau, M. and Karin, M. (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 85:9148-9152.
- 42. Montminy, M.R., Sevarino, K.A., Wagner, J.A., Mandel, G. and Goodman, R.H. (1986) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83:6682-6686.
- 43. Liu, F. and Green, M.R. (1990) Cell 61:1217-1224.
- 44. Macgregor, P.F., Abate, C. and Curran, T. (1990) Oncogene 5: 451-458.
- 45. Schutte, J., Viallet, J., Nau, M., Segel, S., Federko, J. and Minna, J. (1989b) Cell 59:987-997.
- 46. Offringa, R., Gebel, S., Van Dam, H., Timmers, M., Smits, A, Zwart, R., Stein, B., Bos, J.L., Van der Eb, A. and Herrlich, P. (1990) Cell, in press
- 47. Van Dam, H., Offringa, R., Meijer, I., Stein, B., Smits, A.M., Herrlich, P., Bos, J.L. and Van Der Eb, A.J. (1990) Mol. Cell. Biol. 10:5857-5864.
- 48. Bagchi, S., Raychaudhuri, P. and Nevins, J.R. (1989) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:4352-4356.
- 49. Hoeffler, W.K., Kovelman, R. and Roeder, R.G. (1988) Cell 53:907-920.
- 50. Raychaudhuri, P., Bagchi, S. and Nevins, J.R. (1989) Genes Dev.  $3:620-627$ .
- 51. Rickles, R.J., Darrow, A.L. and Strickland, S. (1989) Mol. Cell. Biol.  $9:1691-1704.$
- 52. Oshima, R.G., Abrams, L. and Kulesh, D. (1990) Genes Dev. 4:835-848.
- 53. Maekawa, T., Sakura, H., Kanei-Ishii, C., Sudo, T., Yoshimura, T., Fujisawa, J.-I., Yoshida, M. and Ishii, S. (1989) EMBO J. 8:2023-2028.
- 54. Saiki, P., Gelfand, D.H., Stoffel, S., Schart, S.J., Higuchi, R., Horn, G.T., Mullis, K.B. and Erlich, H.A. (1988) Science 239:487-491.