A Mycoplasma protein homologous to mammalian SRP54 recognizes a highly conserved domain of SRP RNA

Tore Samuelsson

Department of Medical Biochemistry, University of Göteborg, Medicinareg. 9, S-413 90 Göteborg, Sweden

Received July 6, 1992; Revised and Accepted September 28, 1992

GenBank accession no. M91593

ABSTRACT

A protein homologous to SRP54, a subunit of the mammalian signal recognition particle (SRP), was identified in Mycoplasma mycoides. The mycoplasma protein was expressed in E.coli and purified to near homogeneity. It was shown to bind specifically in vitro to a small mycoplasma RNA with structural features related to the RNA component of SRP. These findings provide evidence of a ribonucleoprotein complex in mycoplasma reminiscent of SRP. A part of the RNA was protected from ribonuclease digestion in the presence of the SRP54 homologue. The protected region contains structural elements that have been highly conserved in SRP RNAs during evolution.

INTRODUCTION

The mycoplasmas are free-living microorganisms with unusually small genomes $(600-1500 \text{ kb})$ $(1,2)$. The limited amount of genetic information is reflected in the biochemical repertoire of the organisms. For instance, the mycoplasmas lack many routes of intermediary metabolism present in bacteria with larger genomes. We have previously shown that the population of tRNAs in M.mycoides is severely restricted and employs a mode of codon reading which resembles that of mitochondria $(3-6)$. During evolution the mycoplasmas have been under a pressure to eliminate genes and they seem to have retained only the biochemical functions that are essential for survival. Therefore, they may be regarded as 'minimal' living organisms and are valuable experimental tools for the analysis of the biochemical machinery required for an autonomous living system.

We have now extended our investigations of the translational machinery in mycoplasma by examining macromolecules that are related to the mammalian signal recognition particle (SRP). The SRP plays an essential role in the targeting of protein to the membrane of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) in mammalian cells (for a review see ref 7). SRP recognizes the aminoterminal signal sequence as it emerges from the ribosome and the resulting complex is transferred to a receptor protein located in the membrane of the ER. The SRP from mammalian cells is composed of an RNA molecule (\sim 300 nt) and six different polypeptides. One of the polypeptides, SRP54, seems to bind

* To whom correspondence should be addressed

the signal sequence (8,9) as well as the SRP RNA (9,10). The SRP54 is apparently composed of two domains. The aminoterminal G-domain has the sequence elements of a GTPbinding site and the C-terminal M-domain binds the signal sequence as well as the SRP RNA $(8-10)$. The M-domain is unusually rich in methionines and a structural model has been presented where these amino acids are part of a structure which binds to the signal sequence with hydrophobic interactions (11).

It has recently been shown that organisms other than mammals possess macromolecules related to SRP. RNA molecules with ^a structural motif found also in mammalian SRP RNA have been identified in lower eukaryotes, prokaryotes as well as archaebacteria (Fig. 6) (12,13). The 4.5S RNA of E.coli is one example (14). In addition, proteins with amino acid sequences related to those of mammalian SRP54 proteins have been identified in yeast $(15,16)$ and E.coli $(11,17,18)$. Particularly noteworthy is the recent demonstration that the E. coli protein, ffi, forms an SRP-like complex with 4.5S RNA (19,20). Very little is known about the function of this bacterial complex. Evidence has been presented to indicate that it has a function related to that of the mammalian SRP (19,20) but it has also been suggested that the 4.5S RNA has ^a basic role in the mechanism of protein synthesis (21,22).

We have previously reported the primary structure of ^a small RNA species from M .*mycoides* that contains the structural domain typical for SRP RNAs (Fig 6) (23). We have attempted to identify molecules that interact with this RNA and thereby elucidate its function. We now report on ^a mycoplasma protein related to the mammalian SRP54 protein that specifically binds to the mycoplasma RNA in vitro.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cloning of the gene encoding protein SRPM54

Recombinant DNA techniques are described in Sambrook et al (24). Oligonucleotides were either purchased from Symbicom, Umea, Sweden or synthesized on ^a Beckman DNA synthesizer (DNA SM). PCR was carried out as described (24) using 1μ g mycoplasma DNA $/\mu$ l, 1 μ M oligonucleotides and Taq DNA polymerase (Promega). The reaction was allowed to proceed for 30 cycles of replication. For the production of ^a pUC9 library

of BeIII fragments of M. mycoides DNA the vector was linearized with BamHI and ligated to a mixture of Bg/Π fragments. The ligation mixture was used to transform XLBlue cells (Stratagene). The resulting colonies were screened by hybridization to a cloned PCR fragment radiolabeled using the Multiprime DNA labelling system from Amersham. Restriction fragments of the insert which contained the SRPM54 gene were cloned in M13 vectors and sequenced (25). For computer analysis programs of the University of Wisconsin Genetics Computer Group were used (26).

Expression of SRPM54 in E.coli

In order to express SRPM54 in E. coli we made use of the system described in ref (27) with PET vectors (Novagene) in combination with BL21(DE3) pLysS as the host strain. The host contains a chromosomal copy of the T7 RNA polymerase gene under the control of the lac promoter. Synthesis of the enzyme is induced by the addition of IPTG to the medium. The PET vectors feature the promoter and transcription terminator of phage T7 together with an E. coli translation initiation signal. In order to clone the genes derived from SRPM54 in pET vectors the following procedure was used. An adaptor oligonucleotide was used to introduce a BamHI restriction site at the AccI site being part of the pUC9 polylinker region downstream of the SRPM54 gene in plasmid pUC9/M54. The resulting plasmid was designated pUC9/M54/BamHI. A construct with ^a NdeI site in nucleotide position 1301 (Fig. 2) was obtained in the following way. The NdeI site was first introduced by in vitro mutagenesis (28) of a fragment of SRPM54 that contains the N-terminal region of SRPM54. The mutation was verified by sequencing and ^a fragment encompassing the N-terminal end of SRPM54 up to a $\overline{B}sp1286I$ site at position 1515 was ligated to a fragment from plasmid pUC9/M54/BamHI reaching from the Bspl2861 site at position 1515 to the BamHI site. Finally, the resulting NdeI/BamHI fragment was isolated and introduced into the expression vector PET3a to obtain the plasmid pET3a/M54.

Purification of SRPM54

For the growth of cells and purification of SRP54 we used ^a modification of a previously described procedure (29). E.coli BL21(DE3) pLysS was transformed with plasmid pET3a/M54. Cells were grown overnight on LB plates with 50 mg/L of ampicillin and 20 mg/L of chloramphenicol and were then used to inoculate a liquid culture (2L) with the same medium. Cells were grown at 37°C until an optical density of 0.4 was reached. The temperature was then shifted to 30°C and growth was allowed to proceed for 2 hours. The cells were finally harvested and stored at -20° C.

For the purification of protein all operations were carried out at $+4^{\circ}$ C. Cells were suspended in 50 ml of 50 mM Na⁺/Hepes pH 7.6, 2 mM EDTA, 10 mM NaHSO3, 2 mM dithiothreitol, ² mM benzamidine, 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF). After the addition of 5 ml 10% NP-40 the mixture was kept on ice for 10 min and 55 ml of 0.4M NaCl, 20% glycerol was then added. The resulting mixture was homogenized with Ultraturrax and centrifugation was for 20 min at 10,000 rpm using a Beckman JA-10 rotor. The supernatant was diluted with 220 ml of ^a buffer A containing ²⁰ mM Hepes pH 7.6, ⁵⁰ mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, ¹ mM EDTA, ² mM DTT, 0.1 mM PMSF, 0.1 mM benzamidine. It was loaded to ^a column of S-Sepharose Fast Flow (Pharmacia) 4.3×13 cm och the column was washed with 200 ml of buffer A. Elution was carried out with the same buffer using ^a linear gradient of ^a total volume of ¹ L from ⁵⁰ mM NaCl to ¹ M NaCl. Fractions were analyzed by SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and SRPM54 was shown to elute at approximately 0.5 M NaCl. Fractions containing SRPM54 were pooled and the protein was concentrated by loading it to another column of S-Sepharose, 1.5×3 cm. Protein bound to the column was eluted with buffer A containing 1.0 M NaCl and fractions containing protein were pooled and dialyzed against ^a buffer containing ²⁰ mM Tris HCI pH 7.5, 0.5 M NaCl, 50% glycerol, ² mM DTT, ¹ mM EDTA. The purified protein was finally stored at -20° C.

Protein samples were analyzed by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (24). For analysis by Western blotting we used a sample of antibody against the $E.$ coli ffh protein that was generously provided by Harris Bernstein, Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of California Medical School, San Francisco (19).

Production of mycoplasma SRP RNA by in vitro transcription

The oligonucleotides shown in Fig. 4 were phosphorylated and ligated in order to form a 110 bp fragment. This fragment was purified by gel electrophoresis on SeaPlaque agarose (FMC Bio-Products) and cloned into the EcoRI/HindIII sites of M13mp18. After verifying the sequence the EcoRI/HindIll fragments were cloned in the plasmid vector pUC9. The resulting plasmid was denoted pRNM. Transcription in vitro of plasmid DNA digested with FokI was carried out essentially as previously described (5) using 40 mM TrisHCl pH 7.5, 6 mM $MgCl₂$, 2 mM spermidine, 10 mM NaCl, 10 mM dithiothreitol and 0.5 mM concentration each of ATP, UTP, CTP and GTP. For the synthesis of radioactive RNA adenosine $5'-[\alpha^{-32}P]$ triphosphate (Amersham) was included in the transcription mixture. Labeling of RNAs by ligating radioactive pCp to the ³' terminus was carried out as described by England et al (30). For the synthesis of tRNA the plasmid pYGLY6 described in ref (31) was used.

Chromatography on immobilized mycoplasma SRP RNA

The mycoplasma SRP RNA homologue, 0.2 mg, was produced by in vitro transcription as described above. It was oxidized with periodate (32) and coupled to agarose adipic acid hydrazide (33) as previously described. The protein sample (SRPM54 purified by S-Sepharose chromatography) was mixed with the resin (1 ml) by stirring overnight. The resin was then washed with a buffer containing ¹⁰ mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, ² mM DTT, ¹ mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, ⁵⁰ mM NaCl. Stepwise elution was finally carried out with the same buffer using increasing concentrations of NaCl.

Figure 1. Oligonucleotides used for PCR amplification of part of the gene encoding protein SRPM54. Oligonucleotides are shown together with the corresponding amino acid sequence in SRP54 proteins from eukaryotes and E. coli (see Fig 3). The oligonucleotide in A is based on the E. coli protein only. Shown in B is the lower DNA strand that was used in PCR as well as the upper complementary strand and its coding sequence. The nucleotide symbols are: $W = A$ or T, S $= C$ or G, $Y = C$ or T, $R = A$ or G. The corresponding sequences in the mycoplasma protein gene are shown in Fig. 2.

Figure 2. Nucleotide sequence of a fragment of M.mycoides DNA featuring the gene encoding protein SRPM54. The amino acid sequence corresponding to SRPM54 is shown in bold letters. Also indicated are open reading frames flanking the SRPM54 coding sequence. The sequence altered to a NdeI site used for cloning in pET vectors is shown in lower case (nucleotide positions 1301–1306). The sequences in the SRPM54 gene that correspond to the oligonucleotides used for PCR
(Fig. 1) are shown underlined.

RESULTS

Cloning of the gene encoding protein SRPM54

An alignment of SRP54 proteins from mammals, yeast and E. coli reveals a few regions with significant homology (15). Using these conserved sequence elements of the SRP54 protein family it was possible to construct degenerate oligonucleotides (Fig. ¹ and 2) for PCR cloning of the mycoplasma homologue. The degeneracy of the oligonucleotides was kept to a minimum by taking advantage of the unusual codon usage in mycoplasmas (34) and avoiding G and C in the third position of many codons. The oligonucleotides contained HindUI and EcoRI recognition sites to facilitate the cloning of the PCR products into vectors with ^a polycloning site. A PCR reaction using these oligonucleotides and M. mycoides chromosomal DNA resulted in ^a single amplified fragment, approximately 450 bp in size. This fragment was cloned in M13mp18 and sequence analysis revealed an open reading frame with extensive homology to the E.coli SRP54 homologue, ffh.

A pUC9 library of BglII fragments of M. mycoides DNA was then screened using the PCR fragment as ^a probe. Two colonies that hybridized to the probe were selected for further study and were shown to contain the same plasmid with a 2.8 kb Bg/Π insert. This recombinant was designated pUC9/M54. The nucleotide sequence of the insert is shown in Fig. 2. It contains an open reading frame corresponding to a protein of a predicted molecular weight of 50 kDa. This protein, denoted SRPM54, is homologous to SRP54 proteins previously identified from mammalian cells $(11,18)$, yeast (15) , and E.coli (17) (Fig 3). It is most closely related to the E. coli protein $(f\hbar)$ (41% identical amino acids).

The codon usage in the SRPM54 gene is typical for species of Mycoplasma (34). Most notably, there is an abundance of codons with A or U in their third positions (Table I). This is a reflection of the fact that the mycoplasma genome has an A/Tcontent which is close to 75% (1).

Upstream of the SRPM54 coding sequence is another open reading frame of unknown significance. In between these open reading frames there are no typical bacterial promoter or transcription termination signals. Therefore, it seems likely that the SRPM54 protein gene is part of ^a transcriptional unit with more than one protein.

Can .MVLADLGRk itSALRs.LS NATIINEEvl naMLKEVCTA LLEADVNIKL VKqLReNVKS aIdlEEMas. Sp .MVFADLGRR lnSALgd.FS kATsVNEElV dtLLKnICTA LLEtDVNVrL VqELRsNIKk KInvstLpq.
Sc .MVLADLGkR inSAvnnaiS NtqddfttsV dvMLKgIvTA LLEsDVNIaL VskLRNNIrS qllsEnrsek Ec ..mFdnLtdR lsrtLRnisg rgr.ltEDnV kdtLrEVrmA LLEADValpv VrEFiNrVKe KavghEvnks
Mm mgfgdfLskR mqksieknMk NsT.lNEEnI ketLKEIrls LLEADVNIea aKEiiNNVKq Kalggyiseg I
Can ...GlNKrKm IQhAVFkELv KLV...dPgV KAWTPtKGKq NVIMfV**GLQG SGK**TTTCSKL AYYYQRKGWK Sp ...GiNgkri VQkAVFdELc sLV...dPkV dAFTPkKGrP sVI<mark>MmVGLQG SGK</mark>TTTCSKL AlhYQRrGLK Sc sTtnaqtkKl IQktVFdELc KLVtcegsEe KAFvPkKrKt NIIMfV**GLQG SGK**TTsCtKL AvYYskrGFK
Ec lTpGqefvKi Vrn....... eLVaaMgeEn qtLnlaaqpP aVVLma**GLQG aGK**TTsvgKL gkFlreKhkK mm asahqqmiKi Vhe....... eLVniLgkEn apLdi.nkKP sVVMmVGLQG SGKTTTanKL AYLInkKnkK II
Can tCL.ICADTF RAGAFDQLKQ NAtKARIPFY GSYTEmDPVI IAsEGVEKFK NENFEIIIV**D TSG**RHkQEDs Sp sCL.VaADTF RAGAFDQLKQ NAiKARVPYF GSYTEtDPVV IAkEGVDKFK NDrFDVIIV**D TSG**RHqQEqe Sc vgL.VCADTF RAGAFDQLKQ NAirARIPFY GSYTEtDPak VAeEGInKFK kEkFDIIIV**D TSG**RHhQEEe Ec kvLvVsADvY RpaAikQLet lAeqvgVdFF pSdvgqkPVd IvnaalkeaK lkfYDV11VD TaGRlhvDEa Mm kvLlVglDiY RpGAiEQLvQ lgqKtntqvF ekg.kqDPVk tAeqalEyaK enNFDVVIlD TaGRlqvDqv III Can LFEEMlQVaN AIQPDniVYV MDASIGQAcE aQAKAFKDKv DvasVIV**TKL D**GHAkGGGAL SAVAATKsPI
Sp LFaEMveISd AIrPDqtImI LDASIGQAAE sQsKAFKEta DFgaVII**TKL D**GHAkGGGAL SAVAATKtPI Sp LFaEMveISd AIrPDqtImI LDASIGQAAE sQsKAFKEta DFgaVII**TKL D**GHAkGGGAL SAVAATKtPI
Sc LFqEMieISN vIkPnqtImV LDASIGQAAE qQsKAFKEss DFgaII**ITKM D**GHArGGGAi SAVAATntPI
Ec MmDEikQVha sInPvetlFV vDAmtGQdAa ntAKAFnEal pLtgV Mm LmkELdnlkk ktsPneilLV vDgmsGQeii nvtneFnDKl kLsgVVVTKL DGdArGGatL SisylTKlPI G \leftarrow \rightarrow M Can IFIGTGEHID DFEPFktqpF ISKLLGMGDI EGLIDKVnEL .kLDDnEALI EKLKhGQ.FT LRDMyEQFQN
Sp VFIGTGEHIn DLErFsPrsF ISKLLGLGD1 EGLmEhVqsL .DFDkkn.MV knLeqGk.FT vRDFrDQLqN Sp VFIGTGEHIn DLErFSPrsF ISKLLGLGD1 EGLmEhVqsL .DFDkkn.MV knLeqGk.FT vRDFrDQLgN
Sc IFIGTGEHIh DLEkFsPksF ISKLLGiGDI EsLfEglgtv snkEDakAtm EnigkGk FT LIDFkkoMOt Sc – IFIGTGEHIh DLEkFsPksF ISKLLGiGDI EsLfEqlqtv snkEDakAtm EniqkGk.FT L1DFkkQMQt
Ec – kFlGvGEktE aLEPFhPdri aSriLGMGDV lsLIEdIesk VDraqaEkLa sKLKkGdgFd LnDFlEQLrq Mm kFIGeGEgyn aLaaFyPkrm adrLMGMGDI EtLfEravEn IDersiqktm nrMflGQ.Fd LeDLrnQLaq Can IMKMGPFSqi LGMIPGfGTd fMsKgNEQES mARLKKLMtI MDSMnDQELD StDgaKvFsK QPgRIQRVAR Sp IMKLGPLSkm asMIPGMs.n mMngmNDeEg slRMKrMLyI vDSMTEQELD S..dgllFVe QPSRVlRVAR Sc IMKMGPLSni aqMIPGMs.n mMnqvgEeEt sqkMKKMvyV LDSMTkeELE S..dgrmFIe ePtRmvRVAk Ec mknMGgMasl MGklPGMG.q ipdnvksQmd dkvLvrMeaI inSMTmkEra kpE....iIK g.SRkrRIAa
Mm IaKMGsLnkl MkMlP.in.k vses.qiQEa qrkLavFsil MDSMTlkErr dpr....vlK aiSRknRIik Can GSGvStrDVq ELLTQYtKFA QMvK......KM GGiKGLFKGG dMSKNvSqsQ MAKlnQQMAK Sp GSGtSVlEVe EtisQvrvFA QMaK......Ki GGKdGiL..G kLggNpaaal kkdprQlaAm
Sc GSGtSVfEVe miLmQqqmmA rMaqtatqqq pgapganarM pGmpnMpgmp nMpgmpnMPg MpKvtpQMmq sc GsGrsvrive milmuqqdmma rmaqtatqqq pgapganarM pGmpnMpgmp nMpgmpnMPg MpKvtpQMmc
Ec GGGmqVqDVn rLLkQFddmq rMmKK.....RKGgMakm mrSmkgmMPp gfpgr....
Mm GSGrSekEfn ELinsFe...K.....GKKqvLeit kM Can mMDPRVLHHM GGMAGLQS..........MM rqFQqGAaGn MKGmM.gFNN M...
Sp qkrmqaMqmq GGMpGLnpqs mnfqdiskMa nmlmqGqqpq qaGqM.dFsq Mlnq; Sp qkrmqaMgmg GGMpGLnpgs mnfgdiskMa nmLmgGggpg gaGgM.dFsg MLnqfQnmQk pprrr. Sc qaqqklkqnp GlMqn..... MM nmFggGmgGg MgGgMpdmNe MMkmmQdpQm qqmakqfgmg

Figure 3. Alignment of M.mycoides SRPM54 and related proteins. Shown are sequences from canine (Can) (11,18), S.pombe (Sp) (15) S.cerevisiae (Sc) (15), E.coli (Ec) (17) and M.mycoides (Mm). The alignment was generated using the program PILEUP of the University of Wisconsin Genetics Computer Group. Capital letters indicate identical or similar amino acids. G- and M-domains are indicated and in the G-domain the three consensus sequence elements of a GTP-binding domain $(I-III)$ (39) are shown in bold.

Expression of SRPM54 in E.coli

To allow a biochemical analysis of the SRPM54 cloned and expressed it in $E. coli$. A system was used based on T7 RNA polymerase described in ref (27) with the vector pET3a in combination with E . coli BL21(DE3) as the host organism. The gene for SRPM54 was cloned in pET3a, giving rise to the plasmid denoted pET3a/M54. E.coli strain BL21(DE3) pLysS was then transformed with this construct. Expression of the mycoplasma protein severely retarded the growth of the host strain. Nevertheless, analysis by SDS-PAGE showed that $2-3$ hours after induction of T7 RNA polymerase ^a product of size (50 kDa) had been synthesized. It constituted approximately 3% of the total soluble cellular protein. Therefore, the yield of protein was reasonably high in spite of the fact that the codon usage of mycoplasma with a strong bias towards A/U-rich codons differs considerably from that of E. coli.

The products of the expression system were analyzed by Western blotting using antibody against the E .coli ffh protein (data not shown). This antibody crossreacted with the recombinant mycoplasma protein produced in our expression mobility of this protein was identical to that of a protein in a mycoplasma extract responding to the antibody. These findings suggest that the recombinant protein is identical to an authentic mycoplasma protein.

The SRPM54 protein was purified from a cellular extract using chromatography on S-Sepharose (see Materials and Methods and Fig 7). The purification in this step was approximately 20-fold and analysis by SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophor that SRPM54 constituted $50-60\%$ of the total protein. The vield of SRPM54 protein was approximately ¹ mg from ¹ medium.

Table I. Codon usage of the M.mycoides SRPM54 gene

Phe UUU 15 UUC Phe - 0 Leu UUA 41 Leu UUG 1	Ser UCC Ser UCA Ser UCG Ser	UCU 4 Tyr - 0 10 - 0	Tyr UAU 7 UAC - 0 Stop UAA - 0 Stop UAG - 0	Cys UGU - 0 UGC $\bf{0}$ C _{VS} UGA Trp 0 UGG Trp - 0
CUU Leu CUC Leu CUA Leu CUG Leu	CCU $\overline{2}$ Pro CCC - 0 Pro CCA 6 Pro CCG Pro $\bf{0}$	3 His His 0 7 0	CAU 2 CAC - 0 Gln CAA 22 Gln CAG - 0	CGU ₂ Arg Arg CGC - 0 Arg CGA $\overline{2}$ CGG - 0 Arg
AUU 30 Ile AUC Ile $\mathbf{1}$ AUA $\overline{2}$ Ile. Met AUG 20	Thr ACU 10 ACC Thr Thr ACG Thr	$\bf{0}$ ACA 8 0	Asn AAU 27 Asn AAC 4 Lys AAA 48 Lys AAG 5	Ser AGU 9 AGC $\overline{}$ Ser Arg AGA 15 Arg AGG $\mathbf{0}$
GUU 20 Val GUC Val GUA Val GUG Val	Ala GCU 20 Ala - 1 Ala 6 Ala 0	GCC - 1 GCA 5 Glu GCG 0	Asp GAU 20 Asp GAC 0 Glu GAA 33 GAG 3	Gly GGU 13 Gly GGC - 0 Gly GGA 19 GGG - 1 Gly

SRPM54 specifically binds mycoplasma SRP RNA

We have previously reported the sequence of a mycoplasma RNA which has a structural motif also found in SRP RNAs (23) . This RNA will be referred to as 'SRP RNA' although we do not know whether it is involved in signal recognition. The interaction between the mycoplasma SRP RNA and SRPM54 was studied using RNA produced by in vitro transcription. We constructed a synthetic gene where the RNA coding sequence is located immediately downstream of the T7 RNA polymerase promoter (Fig. 4). At the 3' end of the coding sequence there is a $FokI$ restriction site. This fragment was introduced into pUC9 to produce the recombinant pRNM. The RNA was produced by cleavage of pRNM DNA with $FokI$ followed by transcription with T7 RNA polymerase. The RNA product had the expected size as verified by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of radioactively labeled RNA.

The interaction of SRPM54 with SRP RNA was first examined with ribonuclease digestion assays. In these studies we used SRPM54 purified by S-Sepharose chromatography as described above. In one experiment uniformly labeled SRP RNA was incubated with SRPM54 protein and digested with RNase A. A fragment of approximately 45 nucleotides was protected from cleavage (Fig 5A, lane 5). S-Sepharose fractions lacking the 50 kDa SRPM54 protein were not able to protect the RNA from cleavage, indicating that SRPM54 was responsible for the protection against RNase. Furthermore, in a control experiment we made an extract of $E.$ coli BL21(DE3) cells transformed with plasmid pET3a without insert. When this extract was fractionated using S-Sepharose chromatography we failed to observe any protection against cleavage by RNase A. The nuclease protection was also specific with respect to the RNA as shown by the following circumstances. A large excess, approximately 105-fold, of unlabeled polyU was used in all experiments. Furthermore, when labeled transfer RNA was used instead of SRP RNA we did not observe any protection dependent on SRPM54 (Fig 5A, lanes $3-4$ and $7-8$).

In order to define the region of the SRP RNA that interacts with the SRPM54 protein we carried out RNase protection experiments using \overline{RNA} radiolabeled at its 3' terminus. The results obtained by digestion of the RNA in the absence of protein is shown in Fig $5B$, lanes $1-3$. The cleavage pattern revealed pyrimidines exposed to the nuclease (also shown in Fig 6). It may be noted that since RNase A has a preference for single stranded regions the observed digestion pattern is compatible with the postulated secondary structure in Fig 6. When nuclease cleavage was carried out in the presence of SRPM54 protein an entirely different pattern was obtained. A region spanning nucleotide positions 18 to 62 was now protected (Fig 5B, lanes $3-6$ and Fig 6). The size of the protected region (45 nucleotides) agreed with the size of the uniformly labeled fragment observed in Fig 5A, lane 5. Similar experiments were also carried out with RNase T1 (data not shown). Although this enzyme cleaved poorly at the 5' end of the unprotected region of the RNA (presumably because this region lacks G residues that are exposed to the enzyme) we observed a comparatively efficient cleavage at G-61

HindIII T7 5' 5'
AGCT**TAATACGACTCACTATAG** CCGCGATAAGAATAACATCTG AACGAGTTAGGACCGGAAGGTAGCAGCT ATAAGGAAAAGTGTTCTGTAT TGCGGTAAGATGTAA**CATCC**G ATTATGCTGAGTGATATCGGCG CTATTCTTATTGTAGACTTGC TCAATCCTGGCCTTCCATCGTCGATATT CCTTTTCACAAGACATAACGC CATTCTACATTGTAGGCTTAA

Figure 4. Synthetic gene encoding the mycoplasma SRP RNA. The oligonucleotides used for construction of ^a synthetic gene for mycoplasma SRP RNA are shown. The T7 RNA polymerase promoter as well as the FokI site are indicated by bold letters.

Figure 5. SRPM54 protein protects mycoplasma SRP RNA from ribonuclease cleavage. Radiolabeled RNA (SRP RNA or tRNA as indicated) at ^a concentration of 10 nM was incubated with or without 0.1 μ g of SRPM54 in a mixture containing 1 μ g/ μ l of polyuridylic acid, 40 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 4 mM MgCl₂, 10% glycerol, 200 mM NaCl in a final volume of 7 μ l. After 1 min at room temperature 1μ l of H₂O was added that contained RNase A in the amounts indicated. After incubation for 15 min at room temperature the mixture was extracted with phenol/chloroform. Carrier tRNA, $2 \mu g$, was then added and the RNA precipitated with ethanol. After centrifugation the pellet was washed with 70% ethanol and finally dissolved in 2 μ 50% formamide and loaded on a 10 % denaturing polyacrylamide gel. Panel A. The RNA used was produced by in vitro transcription of a synthetic gene using adenosine $5'-[\alpha^{-3}P]$ triphosphate. Shown to the left of the gel are the positions of size markers. *Panel B.* Experiments were carried out as described above except that the RNA was produced by ligating radiolabeled pCp to to the ³' end of unlabeled RNA (30). The concentration of labeled RNA in the incubation mixture was 80 nM. Bands appear in duplicate since the RNAs were obtained by in vitro transcription using T7 RNA polymerase, a procedure which gives rise to products not entirely homogenous at their 3' ends (5). The cleavage observed between nucleotides 59 and 60 in lanes $4-6$ is a product of a nuclease present in the preparation of SRPM54 as shown by experiments carried out in the absence of RNase (data not shown). Indicated to the left of the gel are nucleotide positions in the RNA as shown in Fig 6.

in the presence of SRPM54. In addition, a low amount of nuclease activity in our preparation of SRPM54 cleaved the RNA on the $3'$ side of nucleotides 15 and 59 (Fig 5B, lanes $4-6$). Taken together our results from the nuclease protection experiments therefore show that the region of the RNA corresponding to nucleotide positions 18-59 is resistant to nuclease and presumably is in more or less close contact with the protein. This part of the SRP RNA contains structural elements that have been well conserved during evolution (12,13). The remaining part of the RNA (to the left in Fig 6), on the other hand, does not seem to interact with the protein. A comparison of bacterial RNAs homologous to mammalian SRP RNA reveals that this part of the RNA has been poorly conserved during evolution and shows no consensus sequence elements (13).

A specific interaction between SRP RNA and SRPM54 was also shown by gel retardation experiments and an assay based on the binding of RNA-protein complexes to nitrocellulose filters (data not shown). In addition, the SRPM54 protein was specifically retarded on a column of immobilized mycoplasma SRP RNA (Fig 7). This column was prepared by covalently attaching the ³' end of the mycoplasma RNA to an agarose matrix (see Materials and Methods). SRPM54 purified by S-Sepharose chromatography was applied to such a column and a majority of the polypeptides in the loaded fraction were eluted with buffer containing $0.3M$ NaCl (Fig 7, lanes $3-4$). On the other hand, SRPM54 remained on the column also in the presence of buffer containing 1.5 M NaCl. When the column finally was eluted with 0.1% SDS only SRPM54 was released (Fig 7, lanes $5-6$). These results indicate that SRPM54 interacted very strongly with the SRP RNA.

DISCUSSION

We have made use of conserved sequence elements of SRP54 proteins from mammals, yeast and E.coli to clone a related protein from *M. mycoides*. The predicted size of this protein (50 kDa) agrees with the observed molecular weight of a protein that we identified in ^a mycoplasma extract using antibody against the E. coli ffh protein.

Figure 6. M.mycoides SRP RNA homologue and a region of the molecule interacting with SRPM54. The mycoplasma SRP RNA homologue features the structural domain characteristic of SRP RNAs (12,13). The consensus elements of this domain are the nucleotides shown in bold letters as well as a characteristic secondary structure in the right part of the molecule. The arrows indicate the sites of cleavage with RNase A and the region within the box represents the part of the molecule being protected from cleavage in the presence of SRPM54 (Fig SB).

Figure 7. SRPM54 is specifically retarded on ^a column of immobilized SRP RNA. Samples of protein were analyzed by SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. An extract of E. coli cells producing SRPM54 was prepared (lane 1) and SRPM54 was purified from this extract with S-Sepharose chromatography (lane 2). This material was then loaded on ^a column of immobilized mycoplasma SRP RNA. Lanes $3-4$ show material that was eluted with buffer containg $0.3M$ NaCl and lanes 5-6 show the protein eluted with 0.1% SDS after the column had been extensively washed with 1.5 M NaCI.

The SRP54 protein from mammalian cells is believed to be composed of two domains (11,18). The N-terminal domain features sequence elements consistent with ^a GTP binding site. Also in the mycoplasma protein we have found these structural elements and the entire G-domain is extensively homologous to the SRP54 proteins previously identified (Fig. 3). For instance, a comparison to the E. coli protein shows 41% identical amino acids. The C-terminal M-domain of mammalian SRP54 is unusually rich in methionines and a model has been presented where these amino acids are part of a structure which recognizes the signal sequence (11). The M-domain appears to be less conserved than the G-domain. A comparison between the Mdomains of the E. coli and M. mycoides proteins shows only 33% identical amino acids. Furthermore, the mycoplasma protein does not contain as many methionines as the SRP54 proteins from eukaryotes and E.coli.

In order to examine the biochemical properties of SRPM54 we developed a system for efficient expression and purification of the protein. The codon usage of the mycoplasma SRP54 gene differs considerably from that of E. coli (Table I). For instance, in E. coli the codon AGA is an unusual codon that is read by tRNAs present at a low concentration. This codon is frequent in the SRPM54 message but there is nevertheless ^a substantial production of the recombinant protein in our expression system. These results demonstrate that the production of large quantities of mycoplasma proteins in E. coli is feasible. In our case we have benefited from the complete absence of the codon UGA which is used as a tryptophan codon in Mycoplasma but signifies a stop in the universal code (35).

A variety of techniques were used to examine the specificity in the interaction between the SRPM54 protein and the mycoplasma SRP RNA. Most notably, ribonuclease protection studies as well as an affinity chromatography on a column of immobilized SRP RNA demonstrated ^a stable and specific binding. These findings strongly suggest that also in $viv\omega$ the protein occurs in a complex with the RNA.

We were also able to define ^a region of the mycoplasma SRP RNA that interacts with SRPM54. This region corresponds exactly to ^a domain extremely well conserved in SRP RNAs during evolution. The consensus features of the domain include a typical secondary structure as well as certain conserved nucleotides as shown in Fig 6. One would expect that the 'consensus domain' of the RNA and its binding to the SRP54 protein is functionally important. Consistent with this idea is the observation that SRP RNA homologues from ^a wide variety of bacteria are able to compensate for a loss of the E. coli gene for 4.5S RNA although the only structural similarity between these RNAs is in the 'consensus domain' (36,37).

Evidence has previously been presented that the RNA-binding capacity of the mammalian SRP54 protein resides solely in the C-terminal M-domain (9,10). In accordance with these findings preliminary data in our laboratory obtained with a corresponding fragment of the mycoplasma protein suggests that it efficiently binds the mycoplasma SRP RNA. Furthermore, it appears to protect exactly the same region of the RNA as the full length protein (unpublished observations).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have identified a protein in *M.mycoides* that interacts in vitro with ^a mycoplasma RNA structurally related to SRP RNA. These findings suggest that the organism possesses an SRP-like complex of a type previously identified in E. coli (19,20). Mycoplasmas are extremely simple prokaryotes that presumably have retained a minimal number of genes that are all required for survival. This would suggest that such SRP-like complexes are ubiquitous among bacterial species and that they serve an essential function. Consistent with this notion is the fact that the 4.5S RNA is required for viability of E. coli (38) and that related RNAs have been found in a wide variety of bacterial species (13).

In order to examine the function of the mycoplasma ribonucleoprotein complex it will be necessary to identify additional molecules that interact with this complex. If it has a function in secretion or targeting proteins to membranes the mycoplasmas will become attractive experimental organisms for the functional analysis of the SRP complex since they have a simple membrane system as well as a restricted biochemical machinery in general.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank Monica Olsson for excellent technical assistance and professor Ulf Lagerkvist and Dr Per Elias for valuable suggestions during this work. This investigation was supported by Grant B-BU 9676-306 from the Swedish Natural Science Research Council.

REFERENCES

- 1. Razin, S. Microbiol. Rev. 49, 419-455 (1985).
- 2. Samuelsson, T. and Borén, T. (1992) In J. Maniloff (ed.), Mycoplasmas: Molecular Biology and Pathogenesis. American Society for Microbiology (in press).
- 3. Samuelsson, T., Elias, P., Lustig, F., and Guindy, Y.S. (1985) Biochem. J. 232, 223-228.
- 4. Samuelsson, T., Guindy, Y.S., Lustig, F., Boren, T., and Lagerkvist, U. (1987) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 84, 3166-3170.
- 5. Samuelsson, T., Boren, T., Johansen, T.-I., and Lustig, F. (1988) J. Biol. Chem. 263, 13692-13699.
- 6. Guindy, Y.S., Samuelsson, T., and Johansen, T.-I. (1989) Biochem. J. 258, 869-873.
- Walter, P. and Lingappa, V.R. (1986) Annu. Rev. Cell. Biol. 2, 499-516.
- 8. High, S., and Dobberstein, B. (1991) J. Cell Biol. 113, 229-233.
9. Zonf. D. Bernstein, H. Johnson, A. F. and Walter, P. (1990) EM
- Zopf, D., Bernstein, H., Johnson, A.E., and Walter, P. (1990) EMBO J. 9, 4511-4517.
- 10. Römisch, K., Webb, J., Lingelbach, K., Gausepohl, H. and Dobberstein, B. (1990) J. Cell Biol. 111, 1793-1802.
- 11. Bernstein, H.D., Poritz, M.A., Strub, K., Hoben, P.J., Brenner, S., and Walter, P. (1989) Nature 340, 482-486.
- 12. Poritz, M.A., Strub, K., and Walter, P. (1988) Cell 55, 4-6.
- 13. Larsen, N. and Zwieb, C. (1991) Nucl. Acids Res. 19, 209-215.
- 14. Hsu, L. M., Zagorski, J. and Fournier, M. J. (1984) J. Mol. Biol. 178, $509 - 531.$
- 15. Hann, B.C., Poritz, M.A., and Walter, P. (1989) J. Cell. Biol. 109, 3223-3230.
- 16. Hann, B.C., and Walter, P. (1991) Cell 67, 131-144.
- Byström, A.S., Hjalmarsson, K.J., Wikström, P.M., and Björk, G.R. (1983) EMBO J. 2, 899-905.
- 18. R6misch, K., Webb, J., Herz, J., Prehn, S., Frank, R., Vingron, M., and Dobberstein, B. (1989) Nature 340, 478-482.
- Poritz, M.A., Bernstein, H.D., Strub, K., Zopf, D., Wilhelm, H, and Walter, P. (1990) Science 250, 1111-1117.
- 20. Ribes, V., R6misch, K., Giner, A., Dobberstein, B. and Tollervey, D. (1990) Cell. 63, 591-600.
- Bourgaize, D.B., and Fournier, M.J. (1987) Nature, 325, 281-284.
- 22. Brown, S. (1989) J. Mol. Biol. 209, 79-90
- 23. Samuelsson, T. and Guindy, Y.S. (1990) Nucleic Acids Res. 18, 4938.
24. Sambrook. J.. Fritsch, E.F. and Maniatis. T. (1989) Molecular Cloning-Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E.F. and Maniatis, T. (1989) Molecular Cloning-
- A laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press. 25. Sanger, F., Nicklen, S. and Coylson, A.R. (1977) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
- U.S.A. 74, 5463-5467.
- 26. Devereux, J., Haeberli, P. and Smithies, 0. (1984) Nucl. Acids. Res. 12, 387-395
- 27. Studier F.W., Rosenberg, A.H., Dunn J.J., and Dubendorff J.W. (1990) Meth. Enzymol. 185, 60-89.
- 28. Kunkel, T.A. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 82, 488-492.
- 29. Elias, P., Gustafsson, C.M., and Hammarsten, 0. (1990) J. Biol. Chem. 265, 17167-17173.
- 30. England, T.E., Bruce, A.G. and Uhlenbeck, O.C. (1980) Methods Enz'ymol. 65, $65-74$.
- 31. Samuelsson, T. and Olsson, M. (1990). J. Biol. Chem. 265, 8782-8787.
- 32. Remy, P., Birmelé, C. and Ebel, J.P. (1972) FEBS Lett. 27, $134 138$.
- 33. Burrell, H.R., and Horowitz, J. (1975) FEBS Lett. 49, 306-309.
- 34. Andachi, Y., F. Yamao, A. Muto, and S. Osawa. (1989). J. Mol. Biol. $209, 37-54.$
- 35. Yamao, F., A. Muto, Y. Kawauchi, M. Iwami, S. Iwagami, Y. Azumi, and S. Osawa. (1985) Proc. Nati. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 82, 2306-2309.
- 36. Brown, S, Thon, G., and Tolentino, E. (1989) J. Bacteriol. 171: 6517-6520.
- 37. Brown, S. (1991). J. Bacteriol. 173: 1835-1837.
- 38. Brown, S. and Fournier, M.J. J. Mol. Biol. (1984) 178, 533-550.
- 39. Dever, T.E., Glynias, M.J., and Merrick, W.C. (1987) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 84, 1814-1818.