

doi 10.1308/147870811X565070

peri-operative care series

What is the common thread joining surgeons of different specialties? It is of course the fact that we all operate on patients. However, the operation itself is only one aspect of looking after our patients, which begins with optimising them for their surgery and continues in the post-operative period. All of us are busier than ever with clinics overrunning, wards overflowing and the constant pressures of meeting targets. Is it any wonder we struggle to keep abreast of developments in our own specialties, let alone those in others?

I would like therefore to introduce our new series on peri-operative care. The aim is to provide a series of concise articles that will summarise the latest developments in aspects of such care. The author of the first piece is Jo Fitz-Henry, Consultant Anaesthetist at Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, who will be the series co-editor for these articles. We are approaching key people in their respective fields to write articles that will be of use and relevance to all surgeons regardless of their specialty.

But when does peri-operative care begin and when does it end? Is it really our responsibility as surgeons? We all know that such aspects of patient care are as integral to the outcome of the patient as the operation itself. Perhaps it is with this aspect of looking after our patients that we see team work at its best – the anaesthetist, the surgeon, physicians,

pre-operative nurses, the scrub nurse and so on all working to prepare the patient for his or her journey through the operating theatre. Ideally it is like a dance with all steps beautifully synchronised.

The peri-operative period usually starts when we decide a patient needs surgery. In the case of trauma this initial period may last a few minutes but for elective surgery it tends to be weeks. This allows us to optimise our patients, taking on board their co-morbidity, which seems a natural place to start the series. The ASA classification celebrates its 70th anniversary this year and Jo's article succinctly tells us what we as surgeons need to know.

We would be grateful for your feedback on this series so that we can make it useful for you, the readers. For example, there are now so many blood-thinning agents. Do you really know what their trade names are and when to stop them? This is the sort of issue that we will address in this new series. We would like your opinions on other articles that you would like to see. Comments on individual articles or on the series can be posted on the Readers' Pages on the *Annals* website: http://www.rcseng.ac.uk/publications/readerspages>

JYOTI SHAH Associate Editor

The ASA classification and peri-operative risk

Jo Fitz-Henry

Consultant Anaesthetist, Nottingham City Hospital, Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust

CORRESPONDENCE TO

Jo Fitz-Henry, Department of Anaesthesia, City Campus, Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, Hucknall Road, Nottingham NG5 1PB E: jo.fitz-henry@nuh.nhs.uk

Question

A 70-year-old woman is referred to an orthopaedic outpatient clinic for consideration for a total hip replacement. She is a treated hypertensive and a lifelong smoker, and has a BMI of 35. How do these factors affect her ASA status and what effect does this have on her perioperative management?

The American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status (ASA PS) classification was introduced to clinical practice 70 years ago. How has it changed in that time and how does it affect perioperative management in the 21st century? Turn overleaf to find out more about the ASA classification.

History

In 1941 the American Society of Anesthetists (later the American Society of Anesthesiologists, ASA) published a booklet for its members containing the first version of a 'physical status' classification for patients about to undergo surgery.

In May 1941, Meyer Saklad in Anesthesiology described the new 'six degree' ASA PS grading of a patient's physical state as just one of the components of the operative risk.1

He listed the other components as:

- the planned surgical procedure;
- the ability and skill of the surgeon in the particular procedure contemplated;
- the attention to postoperative care; and
- the past experience of the anaesthetist in similar circumstances.

The classification of physical state was, in Saklad's opinion, a useful statistical tool and he warned: 'No attempt should be made to prognosticate the effect of a surgical procedure upon a patient of a given Physical State.' Saklad gave several examples of systemic illnesses for the first four classes in order to standardise the classification. There was no suggestion that patients in a poor physical state should be targeted for optimisation pre surgery. The 1941 version of the ASA classification is shown in Figure 1.

The current ASA PS classification was proposed by Dripps et al in 1961² and adopted by the ASA in 1962.⁵ It took on the

more familiar form used to the present day, with classes 1 to 4 being retained, emergency classes 5 and 6 being replaced by using the prefix 'E' to classes 1 to 4; the original class 7 became class 5 in the new version (Figure 1). Unlike the 1941 version, no examples of each class were given. One of the criticisms of the 1962 version is that the allocated ASA PS class is left to the judgement of the individual anaesthetist; thus there is more inter-observer variability.

In 1980, ASA PS class 6 was added to take into account the brain-stem-dead organ donor - patients that are already dead before entering theatre.

Currently the ASA PS has two functions:

1. To quantify the amount of physiological reserve that a patient possesses at the time at which they are assessed for a surgical procedure. This may change before the patient actually undergoes the procedure, either by optimisation and improvement of their physical state or because they deteriorate and have less reserve.

It should not be used as a sole predictor of operative risk to the patient. A patient of ASA PS 3 still has that physical status whether he or she is having a skin lesion removed under local anaesthetic or undergoing a pancreatectomy but the overall risk is much greater in the latter operation.4

2. As a method of adjusting healthcare billing in the US.

FIGURE 1 Evolution of the ASA PS classification

ASA PS classification version 1 (1941)

Each class was supported by several examples of patients who would fall into that category.

Class	3 1	no systemic disturbance
Class	s 2	moderate and definite systemic disturbance either pre-existing or caused by the condition that is to be treated by surgical intervention
Class	3	severe systemic disturbance
Class	s 4	extreme systemic disorders [that are] an eminent threat to life regardless of the type of treatment.
Class	5 5	emergency surgery in patients that would otherwise be graded as class 1 or 2

Class 7 was added at a later date - a moribund patient not expected to survive 24 hours with or without an operation

emergency surgery in patients that would otherwise be graded as class 3 or 4

ASA PS classification version 2 (1962, amended 1980)

ASA PS 1	normal healthy patients
ASA PS 2	patients with mild systemic disease
ASA PS 3	patients with severe systemic disease
ASA PS 4	patients with severe systemic disease that is a constant threat to life
ASA PS 5	moribund patients who are not expected to survive without the operation
ASA PS 6	a declared brain-dead patient whose organs are being removed for donor purposes
Е	prefix (later suffix) for patients undergoing emergency procedures

Class 6

Other factors affecting views of ASA PS class

The current version of the ASA PS does not provide specific defining examples of 'unwellness' for each class. A cohort of anaesthetists may ascribe different PS classes to the same patient; this is a well-known problem when the ASA PS is part of the standardisation data for a study. Some anaesthetists will class a patient with several 'mild' or well-controlled systemic illnesses as ASA PS2, whereas other anaesthetists will 'add together' the physical effect of those illnesses and classify that patient as ASA PS3.

Additionally, anaesthetists will also take into account factors that are not medical conditions in their own right but that adversely influence how a patient will tolerate a systemic medical condition or operative procedure. The most common of these are the extremes of age (neonates and age over 80) – many anaesthetists will grade a fit 80-year-old as ASA PS3. Other influencing factors are obesity and smoking history.

ASA PS is not exhaustive of physical risk factors

The ASA classification for a particular patient is based on systemic disease. The extent of this disease is evident from the patient's past medical history and medication list, and the amount of limitation that the disease causes to his or her everyday life.

There are also some 'card carrying' physical problems that add to a patient's operative risk. A useful catch-all question to pick these up is: 'Have you had any problems with previous anaesthetics?' and 'Has anyone in your family had any problems with anaesthetics?'

- 1. Difficult airway
 - Patients may have a letter written by a previous anaesthetist, a Difficult Airway Society card or a MedicAlert®-type bracelet.
- 2. Genetic problems
 - Malignant hyperpyrexia and suxamethonium apnoea: usually all the blood relatives of an index case are tested and the patient will know his or her status.
- 3. Jehovah's Witnesses
 - This subject will be covered more fully in a later article. Jehovah's Witnesses carry a higher peri-operative risk in operations with expected major blood loss and a sensitive pre-operative discussion needs to take place about what level of blood transfusion/replacement they will accept.

Making ASA PS work for surgeons

The ASA PS classification is only one component of the overall procedural risk assessment but is easily assessed at the first surgical consultation with that patient.

As a general rule of thumb, a patient who is ASA 5 or 4 (or has any other anaesthetic risk factors as described above) should have a senior anaesthetic consultation as soon as you consider them for surgery. An exception would be when patients have repeated elective procedures (such as a six-monthly cystoscopy) and their physical state has been stable since their last anaesthetic. The consultation may be with your regular anaesthetist or through an anaesthetic pre-operative clinic.

A planned consultation with the anaesthetist will allow for quantifying the risks that the patient's medical problems present in the peri-operative period and for a clear and unhurried discussion of the pre-operative management (investigation and optimisation), anaesthetic techniques and the need for post-operative critical care support.

This helps the anaesthetist but what about the surgical team? In an era in which peri-operative outcomes are scrutinised, this strategy allows for fewer cancellations on the day of surgery because the patient is 'unfit for surgery'; better planning of the operating lists; and making sure the least-fit patients are receiving the services of the most experienced team.

References

- Saklad M. Grading of patients for surgical procedures. Anesthesiology 1941;
 22: 281–84 (http://iournals.lww.com/anesthesiology/toc/1941/05000).
- Dripps RD, Lamont A, Eckenhoff JE. The role of anesthesia in surgical mortality. JAMA 1961; 178: 261–66.
- New Classification of Physical Status. Anesthesiology 1963; 24: 111 (http://journals.lww.com/anesthesiology/toc/1963/01000).
- Owens WD. American Society of Anesthesiologists Physical Status Classification System is not a risk classification system. *Anesthesiology* 2001; 94: 378.

SUMMARY

The ASA classification is an assessment of the patient's preoperative physical status. On its own, the ASA classification of physical status is not a predictor of operative risk.

Operative risk is a combination of:

- > the physical status of the patient;
- > the physiological derangement that the procedure will cause;
- > the skill and experience of the operator;
- > the skill and experience of the anaesthetist (including the choice of anaesthetic); and
- > the physiological support service in the peri-operative period (including pre-operative optimisation and critical care).

A patient for an elective procedure with an ASA grade of 3 or 4 needs a consultation with a senior anaesthetist as far before the proposed surgery as is possible. This will enable the patient's physical condition to be optimised with better post-operative outcome and will reduce the chance of 'on the day' cancellation due to being medically unfit.