

Carrie R. Daniel,^{5*} Rashmi Sinha,⁵ Yikyung Park,⁵ Barry I. Graubard,⁵ Albert R. Hollenbeck,⁶ Lindsay M. Morton,⁵ and Amanda J. Cross⁵

⁵Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, Rockville, MD, and ⁶Research and Strategic Analysis Department, AARP, Washington, DC

Abstract

Meat intake has been inconsistently associated with risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL), a heterogeneous group of malignancies of the lymphoid tissue etiologically linked to immunomodulatory factors. In a large U.S. cohort, we prospectively investigated several biologically plausible mechanisms related to meat intake, including meat-cooking and meat-processing compounds, in relation to NHL risk by histologic subtype. At baseline (1995–1996), participants of the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study completed a diet and lifestyle questionnaire (n = 492,186), and a subcohort (n = 302,162) also completed a questionnaire on meat-cooking methods and doneness levels. Over a mean of 9 y of follow-up, we identified 3611 incident cases of NHL. In multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression models, we found no association between intake of red meat, processed meat, fish, poultry, heme iron, nitrite, nitrate, animal fat, or protein and NHL risk. MelQx (2-amino-3,8-dimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoxaline) and DiMelQx (2-amino-3,4,8-trimethylimidazo[4,5-f] quinoxaline), heterocyclic amines formed in meats cooked to well done at high temperatures, were inversely associated with chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma [n = 979; HR (95% CI) for the highest vs. lowest quintile of intake: 0.73 (0.55, 0.96) and 0.77 (0.61, 0.98), respectively]. In this large U.S. cohort, meat intake was not associated with NHL or any histologic subtypes of NHL. Contrary to findings in animal models and other cancer sites, meat-cooking and -processing compounds did not increase NHL risk. J. Nutr. 142: 1074–1080, 2012.

Introduction

Non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL)⁷, a heterogeneous group of malignancies of the lymphoid tissue, is the seventh most common cancer in U.S. men and women with more than half of cases occurring in adults aged ≥ 65 y (1). Immunosuppression, infection, certain medical conditions, and occupational exposures have been implicated in the etiology of NHL with suggestive evidence for other environmental risk factors, such as tobacco use and obesity (2,3). Some prospective studies have linked intake of red meat, poultry, and other animal products to increased NHL risk (4–6), but the evidence is both limited and inconsistent, particularly among histologic subtypes of NHL

(7,8). Intriguingly, occupations in the meat industry, such as butchers, have also been linked to an increased risk of NHL (9,10).

A diet high in red meat, which is a significant source of fat and heme iron, may have immunomodulatory and carcinogenic effects (11–13). Cooked and processed meat can also be a source of several known mutagens, including *N*-nitroso compounds (NOC), heterocyclic amines (HCA), and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) (14,15). Conversely, raw or undercooked meat may contain viral and bacterial contaminants, but it is unclear what role, if any, this may play in human cancer etiology (16). In a large U.S. cohort, we investigated meat intake in relation to NHL risk, with consideration of potential variation by histologic subtype as well as mechanisms related to meat cooking and processing.

Participants and Methods

Ethics statement. The conduct of the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study was reviewed and approved by the Special Studies Institutional Review Board of the U.S. National Cancer Institute, and all participants gave informed consent by virtue of completing and returning the questionnaire.

Study cohort. The NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study is a large prospective cohort of U.S. men and women, aged 50–71 y, residing in 6

© 2012 American Society for Nutrition.

Manuscript received January 11, 2012. Initial review completed February 13, 2012. Revision accepted March 28, 2012. First published online April 25, 2012; doi:10.3945/jn.112.158113.

¹ Supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Cancer Institute. None of the authors had any conflicts of interest.

² Author disclosures: C. R. Daniel, R. Sinha, Y. Park, B. I. Graubard, A. R. Hollenbeck, L. M. Morton, and A. J. Cross, no conflict of interest.

³ This trial was registered at clinicaltrials.gov as NCT00340015.

⁷ Abbreviations used: CLL/SLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma; DiMelQx, 2-amino-3,4,8-trimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoxaline; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; HCA, heterocyclic amine; MelQx, 2-amino-3, 8-dimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoxaline; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; NOC, *N*-nitroso compound; PAH, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon.

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: carrie.daniel@nih.hhs. gov.

states (CA, FL, LA, NJ, NC, and PA) and 2 metropolitan areas (Atlanta, GA, and Detroit, MI) and is described in detail elsewhere (17). The baseline questionnaire on demographic characteristics, diet, and lifestyle was completed by 567,169 participants during 1995-1996. Of those, 566,401 completed the survey satisfactorily and consented to be a part of the study. We further excluded proxy respondents (n = 15,760) and participants with prevalent cancer (as noted by cancer registry or selfreport; n = 51,223) or end-stage renal disease (n = 997) at baseline, a death-only report for any cancer (n = 1804), zero person-years of followup (n = 36), or implausibly high (men: >6141 kcal/d; women: >4791 kcal/d) or low (men: <415 kcal/d; women: <318 kcal/d) total energy intake (18) (n = 4395). After exclusions, the baseline analytic cohort included 492,186 participants (*n* = 293,466 men, 198,720 women). An additional Risk Factor Questionnaire with a meat-cooking module was sent ~6 mo after the baseline questionnaire; 302,162 participants (n =176,179 men, 125,983 women) responded and met the inclusion criteria above (hereafter referred to as the "subcohort").

Dietary assessment. Participants were asked to report their usual dietary intake over the past year using a 124-item FFQ developed and validated by the National Cancer Institute (18). Nutrient and total energy intakes were calculated by using the 1994-1996 USDA's Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals (19). The red meat variable contained all types of fresh (beef, pork, hamburger, steak, and liver) and processed (bacon, cold cuts, ham, hot dogs, and sausage, excluding low-fat versions made from poultry products) red meat. Poultry intake comprised fresh (chicken, turkey, and ground poultry) and processed (poultry cold cuts, low-fat sausages, and low-fat hot dogs) poultry. Fish intake included all types of finfish/shellfish and canned tuna. We further investigated intake of fat (total, saturated, monounsaturated, and polyunsaturated fatty acids), protein, and various animal sources of these nutrients (e.g., the contribution from red meat, white meat, dairy, and eggs). The validated meat-cooking module, in conjunction with the CHARRED (Computerized Heterocyclic Amines Resource for Research in Epidemiology of Disease) database (20-22), assessed the usual meatcooking method and internal and external appearance (browning or doneness level) of hamburgers/cheeseburgers, steak (beef), bacon, and chicken to estimate values of the following: 3 HCA [2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenyl-imidazo(4,5-b)pyridine, 2-amino-3,8-dimethylimidazo(4,5-f) quinoxaline (MeIQx), and 2-amino-3,4,8-trimethylimidazo(4,5-f)quinoxaline (DiMeIQx)], one PAH [benzo(a)pyrene], mutagenic activity (a measure of total mutagenic potential incorporating all meat-related mutagens), and heme iron intake by using an exposure index described in detail elsewhere (21,23). Similarly, intake of nitrate and nitrite was estimated by using a database of measured values from 10 types of processed meat, constituting 90% of the processed meat types consumed in the United States (21).

Case ascertainment. Cancer cases were ascertained through linkage with the 8 original state cancer registries plus an additional 2 states (AZ, TX). The cancer registries are certified by the North American Association of Central Cancer Registries as being \geq 90% complete within 2 y of cancer incidence (24). Follow-up for each subject began on the date of questionnaire return and continued until the date of cancer diagnosis, date of censoring due to loss to follow-up, death, or December 31, 2006, whichever came first.

Using the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, 3rd edition (ICD-0–3) (25), in accordance with the WHO classification and the International Lymphoma Epidemiology Consortium (InterLymph) guidelines (26), we restricted our definition of primary incident NHL to the following histology codes: 9591, 9670–71, 9673, 9675, 9678–80, 9684, 9687, 9689–91, 9695, 9698–9702, 9705, 9708–09, 9714, 9716–19, 9727–29, 9760–64, 9823, 9826–27, 9831–37, and 9940. In concordance with recent analyses of NHL (8,27), we did not include plasma cell myelomas in our NHL case definition due to distinct etiology and incidence patterns (28). We further investigated the most common subtypes of NHL: diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL; histology codes 9678–80, 9684), follicular lymphoma (9690–91, 9695, 9698), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma (CLL/SLL; 9670, 9823). Defined subtypes with <100 cases in each sex or NHL of

unknown lineage/not otherwise specified were not included in the subtype analysis; the proportion of NHL, not otherwise specified in our study was consistent with overall SEER (Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results) data (29).

Statistical analyses. All dietary variables were adjusted for total energy intake by using the nutrient density method and presented for ease of interpretability as units per 1000 kcal/d of total energy intake. Residual energy adjustment (30) produced similar results. The association between meat intake and risk of NHL was evaluated with Cox proportional hazards regression models with time since entry (person-years) as the underlying time metric. The HR, 95% CI, and P values for linear trend (using the median value within quintiles) are reported across sex-specific quintiles of intake with the lowest intake quintile representing the referent group. Given the limited range of intake, rare meat was modeled as a dichotomous variable (any vs. none), whereas well-done meat was modeled in tertiles. We confirmed that the Cox proportional hazards assumption was met through assessment of interaction terms for the exposures with follow-up time. Multivariable models included age (continuous), sex, education (less than high school, high school graduate, some college, college graduate), family history of any cancer (first-degree relative), race (non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, other), BMI $[<25 \text{ (reference)}, 25 \text{ to } <30, \geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2]$, smoking status (never, quit ≥ 10 y ago, quit 1 to 9 y ago, quit <1 y ago or currently smoking), alcohol intake (none, >0 to <5, 5 to <15, 15 to <30, ≥30 g/d), frequency of vigorous physical activity (never/rarely, 1-3 times/mo, 1-4 times/wk, ≥ 5 times/wk), and intake of fruit, vegetables [MyPyramid Equivalents Database (31) servings per 1000 kcal/d], and total energy (continuous). Risk estimates were mutually adjusted for quintiles of other meat intake, such that the sum of all the meat variables in the model would represent total meat intake [i.e., red meat intake was adjusted for poultry and fish intake, and vice versa (32)].

Results are presented for both sexes combined, because we did not observe any statistically significant interactions with sex. We also assessed whether associations varied by smoking status, BMI, or alcohol intake and conducted a lag analysis that excluded the first 2 y of follow-up. Statistical tests for interaction evaluated the significance of categorical cross-product terms in the multivariate-adjusted models. All statistical tests were 2-sided and considered significant at P < 0.05. All statistical analyses were conducted by using SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute, Inc.).

Results

Over a mean follow-up of 9 y, we ascertained 3611 NHL cases (n = 888 DLBCL, n = 612 follicular, n = 979 CLL/SLL) in the baseline analysis and 2155 NHL cases (n = 509 DLBCL, n = 368 follicular, n = 586 CLL/SLL) in the subcohort analysis. Individuals in the highest compared with the lowest category of red meat intake were more likely to be non-Hispanic white, current smokers, and to have a higher BMI (**Table 1**).

We observed no significant associations between meat intake and NHL (**Table 2**). We found a nonsignificant inverse trend between total processed meat intake and follicular lymphoma (*P*-trend = 0.07) and between processed red meat intake and CLL/SLL (*P*-trend = 0.08). Fish intake above the first quintile (Q) appeared to be associated with an increased risk of follicular lymphoma [HR(95% CI), Q2 vs. Q1 (reference): 1.41 (1.10, 1.82)] but was attenuated across the upper categories of intake [Q5 vs. Q1: 1.27 (0.97, 1.65); *P*-trend = 0.19]. We also observed no associations in analyses of individual meat items (chicken, pork, sausage, etc.) or other animal products and related nutrients, such as intake of eggs, dairy, animal fat or protein, total fat, saturated fat, (n-3) or (n-6) PUFA, and fat or protein from dairy or meat sources (data not shown).

Endogenous (heme iron and *N*-nitroso compounds) and exogenous (HCA and PAH) compounds related to meat intake were not associated with total NHL risk (Table 3). We also

	Quintile of red meat intake										
Characteristic	1	2	3	4	5						
п	98,365	98,366	98,366	98,366	98,366						
Red meat, g/1000 kcal	9.7 ± 0.02	21.8 ± 0.01	31.6 ± 0.02	42.9 ± 0.02	66.8 ± 0.06						
Age, y	62.4 ± 0.02	62.4 ± 0.02	62.1 ± 0.02	61.9 ± 0.02	61.3 ± 0.02						
Male, %	59.6	59.6	59.6	59.6	59.6						
Race, %											
White, non-Hispanic	87.5	90.7	92.0	93.1	92.8						
Black, non-Hispanic	5.7	4.3	3.7	3.7	2.8						
College and post-college, %	46.4	40.6	37.9	35.6	32.4						
Currently married, %	63.3	67.3	69.7	71.1	71.3						
Positive family history of cancer, %	47.6	48.8	49.2	49.2	48.3						
Smoking status, %											
Never smoker	40.8	40.1	37.0	37.5	33.8						
Current smoker or quit $<$ 1 y ago	7.4	11.3	13.0	16.0	18.6						
Alcohol intake, g/d	14.5 ± 0.14	14.1 ± 0.11	12.5 ± 0.09	11.2 ± 0.08	9.4 ± 0.06						
BMI, <i>kg/m²</i>	25.8 ± 0.01	26.7 ± 0.02	27.1 ± 0.02	27.6 ± 0.02	28.3 ± 0.02						
Physical activity (vigorous \geq 20 min), %											
<1 times/mo	13.6	15.8	17.3	19.4	23.4						
≥5 times/wk	27.2	20.7	18.0	16.1	14.2						
Daily dietary intake											
White meat, g/1000 kcal	36.6 ± 0.10	33.4 ± 0.08	32.4 ± 0.07	32.3 ± 0.07	32.8 ± 0.07						
Processed meat, g/1000 kcal	5.3 ± 0.02	8.2 ± 0.02	10.6 ± 0.02	13.6 ± 0.03	19.1 ± 0.04						
Fruit, <i>MPED² servings/1000 kcal</i>	1.7 ± 0.003	1.3 ± 0.003	1.1 ± 0.002	1.0 ± 0.002	0.8 ± 0.002						
Vegetables, MPED servings/1000 kcal	1.3 ± 0.003	1.1 ± 0.002	1.1 ± 0.002	1.1 ± 0.002	1.0 ± 0.002						
Total energy intake, <i>kcal/d</i>	1760 ± 2.5	1790 ± 2.5	1830 ± 2.5	1870 ± 2.6	1930 ± 2.7						

TABLE 1	Selected characteristics	of the NIH-AARP	Diet and Health	Study cohort b	y red meat intake
	$(n = 492, 186)^{1}$				

¹ Values are means \pm SE or percentages.

² MPED, MyPyramid Equivalents Database.

observed no association with rare red meat intake, but few participants reported consuming hamburger (<6%) or steak (<17%) that was red or deep pink on the inside. Ever consuming "just done (still juicy)" chicken was associated with an increased risk of follicular lymphoma [HR (95% CI): 1.42 (1.09-1.85)]. Conversely, intake of red meat cooked well to very well done [HR (95% CI): 1.00 (reference), 0.77 (0.63, 0.95), 0.78 (0.62, 0.98); P-trend = 0.12] and 2 HCA, MeIQx and DiMeIQx, was inversely associated with risk of CLL/SLL [HR (95% CI) for O5 vs. Q1: 0.78 (0.62, 0.98), P-trend = 0.12; 0.73 (0.55, 0.96), Ptrend = 0.01; 0.77 (0.61, 0.98), *P*-trend = 0.07, respectively]. The associations we observed between total mutagenic activity and risk of total NHL and the 3 main subtypes were consistent with the overall results presented for individual cooking mutagens [HR (95% CI) for Q5 vs. Q1: 0.95 (0.82, 1.08); 0.96 (0.73, 1.28); 1.21 (0.86, 1.69); 0.84 (0.64, 1.10) for total NHL, DLBCL, follicular lymphoma, and CLL/SLL, respectively; data in text only]. No associations were observed with "medium-done" meat intake (data not shown). We found no significant interactions with gender, smoking status, BMI, or alcohol intake across any of the exposures or NHL subtypes.

Discussion

In this large U.S. cohort, we found no association between intake of meat or other animal products and risk of NHL. However, the highest versus lowest quintiles of MeIQx and DiMeIQx intakes were associated with a 20–30% lower risk of the CLL/SLL subtype. We had a limited range of intakes to assess rare or potentially undercooked meat but ever consuming "just done" chicken appeared to be associated with an increased risk of follicular lymphoma. We cannot rule out the possibility of chance as an explanation for the few significant findings by subtype. Overall, our results do not support the hypothesis that meat intake increases NHL risk.

Although the range of red meat intake in this large cohort is comparable to that of similarly sized pooled prospective analyses (33,34), our null results are in contrast to other prospective studies reporting positive associations between intake of red meat (4,5), poultry (6), processed meat (6), animal fat (4), and a "fat and meat" dietary pattern (35) and NHL risk. However, results were largely inconsistent across studies, particularly by subtype. Our null findings for meat intake across NHL subtypes were consistent with the null associations we observed for meat components, including heme iron, nitrate and nitrite, fat, and protein intake by NHL subtype. In general, many of the previously reported associations between various types of meat intake and NHL subtypes have been for follicular lymphoma (6,35-37), whereas in the largest pooled prospective investigation to date of diet and CLL/SLL risk, no associations with food groups were observed (33). Although the inverse associations in this cohort between intake of well-done red meat, DiMeIQx, and MeIQx and CLL/SLL appear to contradict direct associations observed for other cancer sites (14), the literature suggests that the association between meat-cooking and NHL risk may be less straightforward. Well-done meat intake was previously associated with lower risk of NHL in 2 prospective cohorts of U. S. women (4,5), whereas across retrospective case-control studies both significant positive and inverse associations with intake of pan-fried red meat, well-done meat, and HCA have been reported (8,37-39). Similar to our findings, one casecontrol study reported an inverse association between DiMeIQx

Median intake within	Ν	IHL (<i>n</i> = 3	3,611)	D	LBCL (n =	888)	Fol	licular (<i>n</i>	= 612)	CLL/SLL (<i>n</i> = 979)			
quintile, <i>g/1000 kcal</i>	Cases	HR ²	95% CI	Cases	HR ²	95% CI	Cases	HR ²	95% CI	Cases	HR ²	95% CI	
	п			п			п			п			
Red meat, total													
9.8	696	1.00		169	1.00		118	1.00		199	1.00		
21.4	763	1.08	0.97, 1.20	185	1.13	0.92, 1.40	131	1.07	0.83, 1.37	214	1.03	0.84, 1.25	
31.6	790	1.12	1.01, 1.25	190	1.19	0.96, 1.48	137	1.10	0.85, 1.42	215	1.03	0.84, 1.26	
42.9	678	0.97	0.87, 1.09	174	1.11	0.89, 1.39	109	0.87	0.66, 1.15	166	0.80	0.64, 0.99	
62.7	684	1.01	0.90, 1.14	170	1.11	0.88, 1.40	117	0.94	0.71, 1.25	185	0.93	0.75, 1.16	
<i>P</i> -trend		0.41			0.64			0.27			0.16		
Red meat, nonprocessed													
6.8	716	1.00		182	1.00		118	1.00		195	1.00		
15.3	757	1.01	0.91, 1.12	178	0.99	0.80, 1.23	130	1.01	0.78, 1.31	221	1.08	0.88, 1.32	
22.7	747	0.99	0.89, 1.11	175	0.98	0.78, 1.23	122	0.92	0.70, 1.21	200	0.98	0.79, 1.21	
31.6	720	0.97	0.86, 1.09	179	1.02	0.81, 1.29	131	0.98	0.74, 1.30	187	0.94	0.75, 1.18	
48.1	671	0.93	0.83, 1.05	174	1.02	0.80, 1.29	111	0.84	0.63, 1.13	176	0.94	0.75, 1.19	
P-trend		0.27			0.77	·		0.33			0.48		
Processed meat, total													
2.2	705	1.00		174	1.00		119	1.00		196	1.00		
5.3	729	1.03	0.93, 1.15	177	1.06	0.86, 1.31	120	0.98	0.76, 1.27	209	1.04	0.86, 1.27	
8.6	767	1.09	0.98, 1.22	176	1.07	0.86, 1.33	146	1.19	0.92, 1.52	207	1.04	0.85, 1.27	
13.3	719	1.03	0.92, 1.15	185	1.14	0.92, 1.42	124	1.00	0.77, 1.30	184	0.92	0.75, 1.14	
23.6	691	0.99	0.89, 1.11	176	1.07	0.86, 1.34	103	0.83	0.63, 1.10	183	0.93	0.75, 1.15	
P-trend		0.45			0.68			0.07			0.20		
Processed meat, red													
1.4	681	1.00		181	1.00		108	1.00		190	1.00		
3.7	748	1.10	0.99, 1.23	171	0.99	0.80, 1.24	122	1.13	0.86, 1.48	213	1.09	0.89, 1.34	
6.4	747	1.12	1.00, 1.25	176	1.04	0.83, 1.31	135	1.26	0.96, 1.66	215	1.11	0.90, 1.37	
10.1	730	1.10	0.98, 1.24	182	1.09	0.87, 1.38	127	1.19	0.89, 1.59	193	1.00	0.80, 1.26	
19.9	705	1.07	0.95, 1.20	178	1.07	0.84, 1.35	120	1.13	0.84, 1.52	168	0.88	0.70, 1.11	
P-trend		0.91			0.66			0.90			0.08		
Poultry													
4.4	700	1.00		181	1.00		113	1.00		189	1.00		
10.3	746	1.04	0.94, 1.16	175	0.98	0.80, 1.21	135	1.14	0.88, 1.47	197	1.01	0.82, 1.23	
16.7	747	1.04	0.94, 1.16	192	1.08	0.88, 1.33	120	1.00	0.77, 1.31	185	0.95	0.77, 1.17	
26.0	713	1.00	0.90, 1.12	163	0.92	0.74, 1.15	122	1.02	0.78, 1.33	207	1.08	0.88, 1.33	
47.1	705	1.00	0.90, 1.12	177	0.99	0.80, 1.24	122	1.02	0.78, 1.34	201	1.08	0.88, 1.34	
P-trend		0.77			0.79			0.80			0.25		
Fish													
2.1	688	1.00		193	1.00		106	1.00		184	1.00		
4.9	698	1.01	0.91, 1.12	171	0.90	0.73, 1.11	114	1.07	0.82, 1.40	188	1.01	0.82, 1.24	
7.9	765	1.10	0.99, 1.23	158	0.83	0.67, 1.03	149	1.41	1.10, 1.82	214	1.14	0.93, 1.39	
12.5	728	1.06	0.95, 1.18	184	0.98	0.79, 1.20	113	1.08	0.82, 1.45	204	1.09	0.88, 1.33	
23.1	732	1.07	0.96, 1.16	182	0.96	0.78, 1.19	130	1.27	0.97, 1.65	189	1.01	0.82, 1.25	
P-trend		0.24			0.75			0.19			0.98		

TABLE 2 Intake of meat and risk of NHL: NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study baseline questionnaire $(n = 492, 186)^{1}$

¹ CLL/SLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma; DLBCL; diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma.

² Cox proportional hazards regression multivariable model adjusted for age, sex, education, family history of any cancer, race, BMI, smoking status, physical activity, and intake of alcohol, fruit, vegetables, and total energy; mutually adjusted for other meat intake.

and NHL (38). However, in direct contrast to our findings, a larger case-control study of NHL subtypes reported a positive association between MeIQx and DiMeIQx intake and CLL/SLL (8).

A dual hypothesis for meat-cooking and NHL risk may be plausible. The primary hypothesis that carcinogenic compounds formed in well-done grilled and pan-fried meat may increase NHL risk is largely supported by animal-feeding studies in which HCA derived from cooked meat induced lymphomas in rodent models (40). The potential role of chronic immune stress, viruses, and other infectious agents in NHL etiology (2) suggests a secondary hypothesis that rare or undercooked meat may be a source of viral or bacterial contaminants destroyed by cooking to a high level of doneness and temperature (41). In support of the latter, occupational exposure to meat (e.g., butchers, slaughterhouse workers, farmers) has also been linked to increased NHL risk (9,10). The role of oncogenic viruses and other contaminants were also cited as a potential explanation for the positive association observed between poultry intake and follicular lymphoma in the EPIC (European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition) study (6). Although we found no associations with total poultry intake, we found that ever consuming "just done" chicken was associated with higher risk of follicular lymphoma. In our analysis, intake of MeIQx

TABLE 3Intake of meat cooked to different doneness levels, meat-cooking mutagens, and meat-related compounds in relation to risk
of NHL: NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study subcohort (n = 302, 162)¹

Median intake within	NHL (<i>n</i> = 2155)			DLBCL $(n = 509)$			Follicular ($n = 368$)			CLL/SLL $(n = 586)$		
quantile, <i>U/1000 kcal</i>	Cases	HR ²	95% CI	Cases	HR ²	95% CI	Cases	HR ²	95% CI	Cases	HR ²	95% CI
	п			п			п			п		
Steak and hamburgers, rare, g												
0	1946	1.00		463	1.00		330	1.00		529	1.00	
5.4	209	1.03	0.89, 1.20	46	0.93	0.68, 1.27	38	1.17	0.82, 1.66	57	1.01	0.79, 1.35
Chicken, just done, g												
0	1478	1.00		339	1.00		245	1.00		405	1.00	
4.9	677	1.03	0.91, 1.15	170	1.06	0.84, 1.35	123	1.42	1.09, 1.85	181	0.93	0.74, 1.16
Steak and hamburgers, well to very well done, g												
0.06	769	1.00		186	1.00		119	1.00		224	1.00	
1.6	671	0.87	0.78, 0.96	153	0.86	0.69, 1.07	115	0.95	0.73, 1.23	177	0.77	0.63, 0.95
8.1	715	0.90	0.80, 1.01	170	0.94	0.74, 1.20	134	1.08	0.81, 1.44	185	0.78	0.62, 0.98
<i>P</i> -trend		0.31			0.97			0.39			0.12	
Chicken, well to very well done, g												
0	797	1.00		199	1.00		139	1.00		220	1.00	
1.6	632	1.11	0.91, 1.35	134	1.00	0.67, 1.50	112	1.46	0.86, 2.48	166	0.87	0.61, 1.23
8.9	726	1.16	0.95, 1.41	176	1.16	0.77, 1.73	117	1.44	0.85, 2.46	200	0.97	0.68, 1.37
<i>P</i> -trend		0.22			0.20			0.65			0.47	
PhIP, ng												
2.1	471	1.00		100	1.00		78	1.00		136	1.00	
10.9	424	0.90	0.79, 1.03	95	0.97	0.73, 1.29	80	1.01	0.74, 1.38	114	0.83	0.64, 1.06
24.7	432	0.93	0.81, 1.06	106	1.11	0.84, 1.46	71	0.90	0.65, 1.25	122	0.90	0.70, 1.15
49.4	389	0.85	0.74, 0.98	101	1.07	0.81, 1.42	62	0.80	0.57, 1.12	100	0.75	0.58, 0.98
123.6	439	0.98	0.86, 1.12	107	1.14	0.87, 1.51	77	0.99	0.72, 1.36	114	0.90	0.69, 1.14
<i>P</i> -trend		0.71			0.29			0.85			0.72	
MelQx, ng												
0.5	453	1.00		119	1.00		75	1.00		128	1.00	
2.4	450	1.00	0.87, 1.13	95	0.81	0.62, 1.07	71	0.94	0.68, 1.30	134	1.03	0.81, 1.32
5.3	415	0.92	0.80, 1.05	97	0.84	0.64, 1.10	73	0.96	0.69, 1.32	117	0.90	0.70, 1.16
10.3	435	0.97	0.85, 1.11	90	0.79	0.59, 1.04	70	0.91	0.65, 1.27	116	0.90	0.70, 1.17
24.4	402	0.90	0.78, 1.04	108	0.95	0.72, 1.24	79	1.01	0.73, 1.40	91	0.73	0.55, 0.96
<i>P</i> -trend		0.12			0.82			0.91			0.01	
DiMelQx, ng												
0	789	1.00		190	1.00		132	1.00		230	1.00	
0.04	93	1.05	0.85, 1.31	24	1.16	0.76, 1.78	12	0.84	0.47, 1.53	23	0.85	0.55, 1.30
0.19	428	0.96	0.85, 1.08	94	0.88	0.69, 1.13	72	0.97	0.73, 1.30	113	0.86	0.69, 1.08
0.58	408	0.93	0.82, 1.04	87	0.83	0.64, 1.07	71	0.95	0.71, 1.27	124	0.96	0.77, 1.20
1.7	437	1.01	0.90, 1.14	114	1.10	0.87, 1.39	81	1.08	0.82, 1.43	96	0.77	0.61, 0.98
<i>P</i> -trend		0.98			0.38			0.59			0.07	
BaP, <i>ng</i>												
0.2	435	1.00		90	1.00		77	1.00		121	1.00	
1.5	435	1.00	0.88, 1.15	121	1.36	1.03, 1.78	70	0.90	0.65, 1.24	114	0.95	0.73, 1.22
6.2	429	0.98	0.86, 1.13	102	1.14	0.85, 1.51	71	0.90	0.65, 1.25	111	0.91	0.71, 1.18
16.8	424	0.99	0.86, 1.13	91	1.04	0.77, 1.39	80	1.02	0.75, 1.41	115	0.96	0.74, 1.24
44.0	432	1.04	0.91, 1.19	105	1.25	0.94, 1.66	70	0.91	0.66, 1.27	125	1.09	0.85, 1.41
<i>P</i> -trend		0.42			0.74			0.86			0.20	
Heme iron, μg												
48.1	446	1.00		107	1.00		70	1.00		124	1.00	
100.9	442	0.97	0.85, 1.11	102	0.96	0.73, 1.26	69	0.96	0.68, 1.34	129	1.01	0.79, 1.30
151.3	438	0.96	0.84, 1.10	108	1.02	0.77, 1.34	82	1.13	0.81, 1.56	106	0.84	0.64, 1.09
212.7	414	0.92	0.80, 1.06	94	0.90	0.68, 1.20	68	0.93	0.66, 1.32	117	0.94	0.72, 1.22
336.0	415	0.96	0.83, 1.10	98	0.98	0.68, 1.20	79	1.10	0.78, 1.55	110	0.93	0.71, 1.23
<i>P</i> -trend		0.37			0.77			0.82			0.54	
Nitrate + nitrite from processed meat sources, mg												
0.04	398	1.00		110	1.00		67	1.00		94	1.00	
0.10	442	1.07	0.94, 1.23	95	0.86	0.65, 1.13	87	1.26	0.91, 1.74	124	1.25	0.95, 1.63
0.18	445	1.06	0.92, 1.22	97	0.87	0.66, 1.16	65	0.93	0.66, 1.32	140	1.36	1.04, 1.78

(Continued)

Median intake within	N	NHL (n = 2155)			DLBCL (n = 509)			icular (<i>r</i>	1 = 368 <i>)</i>	CLL/SLL (n = 586)		
quantile, <i>U/1000 kcal</i>	Cases	HR ²	95% CI	Cases	HR ²	95% CI	Cases	HR ²	95% CI	Cases	HR ²	95% CI
0.27	425	0.99	0.85, 1.14	102	0.91	0.68, 1.21	81	1.15	0.82, 1.61	110	1.02	0.77, 1.36
0.47	445	1.02	0.88, 1.18	105	0.93	0.70, 1.24	68	0.96	0.67, 1.37	118	1.08	0.81, 1.44
P-trend		0.68			0.95			0.50			0.50	

¹ BaP, benzo(a)pyrene; CLL/SLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma; DiMelQx, 2-amino-3,4,8-trimethylimidazo(4,5-f)quinoxaline; DLBCL; diffuse large Bcell lymphoma; MelQx, 2-amino-3,8-dimethylimidazo(4,5-f)quinoxaline; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; PhIP, 2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenyl-imidazo(4,5-b)pyridine.

² Cox proportional hazards regression multivariable model adjusted for age, sex, education, family history of any cancer, race, BMI, smoking status, physical activity, and intake of alcohol, fruit, vegetables, and total energy; meat types cooked to different levels of doneness were mutually adjusted for other cooked and uncooked meat intake.

and DiMeIQx may simply be a proxy for well-done red meat intake (42), which was also significantly associated with lower risk of CLL/SLL.

In the largest prospective investigation of meat intake and NHL risk to date, we were able to consider various mechanisms related to meat cooking and processing, as well as risk by histologic subtypes. Although the prospective design avoids recall and selection bias, diet and lifestyle information obtained by self-report among older adults is subject to measurement error and may not entirely reflect lifelong cumulative exposures or the most pertinent time period for NHL etiology. In this analysis, intake was only estimated at one point in time (baseline); however, red meat intake or cooking preference earlier in life may be more relevant for NHL risk than diet in later life, which may reflect greater health awareness. Due to a large number of comparisons, we cannot exclude the possibility that the few significant results may be attributable to chance, but it is also unlikely, given the size of the study, that any strong associations would have been missed. Previously reported associations between meat and NHL in case-control and early prospective studies may have been subject to recall bias and/or residual confounding, because many lacked adjustment for risk factors such as BMI. However, given the inconsistency of our findings across intake categories and subtypes, we also cannot rule out the possibility of residual confounding by unknown or unmeasured factors in this analysis. The statistically significant inverse associations we observed for intake of MeIQx and DiMeIQx were confined to the top quintile, which followed a skewed distribution with most of the population consuming relatively low amounts of these compounds (43).

Intakes of red meat, processed meat, poultry, fish, heme iron, nitrite, nitrate, or other animal products were not associated with NHL or with any of the histologic subtypes in this large U.S. cohort. Contrary to findings in animal models and in other cancer sites, meat-cooking and -processing compounds did not appear to increase NHL risk. MeIQx and DiMeIQx, which are HCA found in well-done meat cooked at high temperatures, were inversely associated with CLL/SLL, the most common subtype among older adults in the Western world. To our knowledge, this is the first prospective investigation of potentially mutagenic compounds related to meat intake, meat cooking, and NHL risk by subtype. Although these findings may be due to chance, the potential relationship between undercooked meat and NHL risk deserves further attention, because the limited range of "rare" meat intake within this population may not have been adequate to evaluate this hypothesis.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Sigurd Hermansen and Kerry Grace Morrissey from Westat for study outcomes ascertainment and management and Leslie Carroll and Adam Risch at Information Management Services for data support and analysis. The authors also acknowledge the late Dr. Arthur Schatzkin, who was the visionary investigator and founder of the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study. C.R.D. performed statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript; C.R.D., R.S., A.J.C., and L.M.M. conceived of the project; and R.S., A.J.C., L.M.M., B.I.G., Y.P., and A.R.H. contributed to the design of the study and/or its components. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Cancer incidence data from the Atlanta metropolitan area were collected by the Georgia Center for Cancer Statistics, Department of Epidemiology, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University. Cancer incidence data from California were collected by the California Department of Health Services, Cancer Surveillance Section. Cancer incidence data from the Detroit metropolitan area were collected by the Michigan Cancer Surveillance Program, Community Health Administration, State of Michigan. The Florida cancer incidence data used in this report were collected by the Florida Cancer Data System (FCDC) under contract with the Florida Department of Health (FDOH). The views expressed herein are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the FCDC or FDOH. Cancer incidence data from Louisiana were collected by the Louisiana Tumor Registry, Louisiana State University Medical Center in New Orleans. Cancer incidence data from New Jersey were collected by the New Jersey State Cancer Registry, Cancer Epidemiology Services, New Jersey State Department of Health and Senior Services. Cancer incidence data from North Carolina were collected by the North Carolina Central Cancer Registry. Cancer incidence data from Pennsylvania were supplied by the Division of Health Statistics and Research, Pennsylvania Department of Health, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. The Pennsylvania Department of Health specifically disclaims responsibility for any analyses, interpretations, or conclusions. Cancer incidence data from Arizona were collected by the Arizona Cancer Registry, Division of Public Health Services, Arizona Department of Health Services. Cancer incidence data from Texas were collected by the Texas Cancer Registry, Cancer Epidemiology and Surveillance Branch, Texas Department of State Health Services.

Literature Cited

- Howlader N, Noone AM, Krapcho M, Neyman N, Aminou R, Waldron W, Altekruse SF, Kosary CL, Ruhl J, Tatalovich Z, et al., eds. SEER cancer statistics review, 1975–2008. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute; 2010.
- Alexander DD, Mink PJ, Adami H-O, Chang ET, Cole P, Mandel JS, Trichopoulos D. The non-Hodgkin lymphomas: a review of the epidemiologic literature. Int J Cancer. 2007;120 Suppl 12:1–39.
- 3. Lim U, Morton LM, Subar AF, Baris D, Stolzenberg-Solomon R, Leitzmann M, Kipnis V, Mouw T, Carroll L, Schatzkin A, et al. Alcohol,

smoking, and body size in relation to incident Hodgkin's and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma risk. Am J Epidemiol. 2007;166:697–708.

- Chiu BC, Cerhan JR, Folsom AR, Sellers TA, Kushi LH, Wallace RB, Zheng W, Potter JD. Diet and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma in older women. JAMA. 1996;275:1315–21.
- Zhang S, Hunter DJ, Rosner BA, Colditz GA, Fuchs CS, Speizer FE, Willett WC. Dietary fat and protein in relation to risk of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma among women. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1999;91:1751–8.
- Rohrmann S, Linseisen J, Jakobsen MU, Overvad K, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Tjonneland A, Boutron-Ruault MC, Kaaks R, Becker N, Bergmann M, et al. Consumption of meat and dairy and lymphoma risk in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. Int J Cancer. 2011;128:623–34.
- World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research. Food, nutrition, physical activity, and the prevention of cancer: a global perspective. Washington, DC: AICR; 2007.
- Morton LM, Wang SS, Cozen W, Linet MS, Chatterjee N, Davis S, Severson RK, Colt JS, Vasef MA, Rothman N, et al. Etiologic heterogeneity among non-Hodgkin lymphoma subtypes. Blood. 2008;112: 5150–60.
- Neasham D, Sifi A, Nielsen KR, Overvad K, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Tjønneland A, Barricarte A, González CA, Navarro C, Rodriguez Suarez L, et al. Occupation and risk of lymphoma: a multicentre prospective cohort study (EPIC). Occup Environ Med. 2011;68:77–81.
- Moore T, Brennan P, Becker N, de Sanjosé S, Maynadié M, Foretova L, Cocco P, Staines A, Nieters A, Font R, et al. Occupational exposure to meat and risk of lymphoma: a multicenter case-control study from Europe. Int J Cancer. 2007;121:2761–6.
- 11. Philpott M, Ferguson LR. Immunonutrition and cancer. Mutat Res Fundam Mol Mech Mutag. 2004;551(1–2):29–42.
- Toyokuni S. Iron and carcinogenesis: from Fenton reaction to target genes. Redox Rep. 2002;7:189–97.
- Cross AJ, Leitzmann MF, Gail MH, Hollenbeck AR, Schatzkin A, Sinha R. A prospective study of red and processed meat intake in relation to cancer risk. PLoS Med. 2007;4:e325.
- Zheng W, Lee SA. Well-done meat intake, heterocyclic amine exposure, and cancer risk. Nutr Cancer. 2009;61:437–46.
- Mirvish SS. Role of N-nitroso compounds (NOC) and N-nitrosation in etiology of gastric, esophageal, nasopharyngeal and bladder cancer and contribution to cancer of known exposures to NOC. Cancer Lett. 1995;93:17–48.
- DiGiacomo RF, Hopkins SG. Food animal and poultry retroviruses and human health. Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract. 1997;13:177–90.
- 17. Schatzkin A, Subar AF, Thompson FE, Harlan LC, Tangrea J, Hollenbeck AR, Hurwitz PE, Coyle L, Schussler N, Michaud DS, et al. Design and serendipity in establishing a large cohort with wide dietary intake distributions : the National Institutes of Health-American Association of Retired Persons Diet and Health Study. Am J Epidemiol. 2001;154: 1119–25.
- 18. Thompson FE, Kipnis V, Midthune D, Freedman LS, Carroll RJ, Subar AF, Brown CC, Butcher MS, Mouw T, Leitzmann M, et al. Performance of a food-frequency questionnaire in the US NIH-AARP (National Institutes of Health-American Association of Retired Persons) Diet and Health Study. Public Health Nutr. 2008;11:183–95.
- Tippett KS. Design and operation: the continuing survey of food intakes by individuals and the diet and health knowledge survey, 1994–96. Beltsville, MD: USDA, Agricultural Research Service; 1998.
- Cantwell M, Mittl B, Curtin J, Carroll R, Potischman N, Caporaso N, Sinha R. Relative validity of a food frequency questionnaire with a meatcooking and heterocyclic amine module. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2004;13:293–8.
- Sinha R, Cross A, Curtin J, Zimmerman T, McNutt S, Risch A, Holden J. Development of a food frequency questionnaire module and databases for compounds in cooked and processed meats. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2005;49:648–55.
- National Cancer Institute. CHARRED: Computerized Heterocyclic Amines Resource for Research in Epidemiology of Disease, 2002–2006, version 1.7 [cited March 23, 2012]. Available from: http://dceg.cancer. gov/neb/tools/charred 2006.
- Kazerouni N, Sinha R, Hsu CH, Greenberg A, Rothman N. Analysis of 200 food items for benzo[a]pyrene and estimation of its intake in an epidemiologic study. Food Chem Toxicol. 2001;39:423–36.

- Michaud DS, Midthune D, Hermansen S, Leitzmann MF, Harlan LC, Kipnis V, Schatzkin A. Comparison of cancer registry case ascertainment with SEER estimates and self-reporting in a subset of the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study. J Registry Manag. 2005;32:70–7.
- 25. World Health Organization. International Classification of Diseases for Oncology. 3rd ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2000.
- 26. Turner JJ, Morton LM, Linet MS, Clarke CA, Kadin ME, Vajdic CM, Monnereau A, Maynadié M, Chiu BC-H, Marcos-Gragera R, et al. InterLymph hierarchical classification of lymphoid neoplasms for epidemiologic research based on the WHO classification (2008): update and future directions. Blood. 2010;116:e90–8.
- Bertrand KA, Birmann BM, Chang ET, Spiegelman D, Aster JC, Zhang SM, Laden F. A prospective study of Epstein-Barr virus antibodies and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Blood. 2010;116:3547–53.
- Seegmiller AC, Xu Y, McKenna RW, Karandikar NJ. Immunophenotypic differentiation between neoplastic plasma cells in mature Bcell lymphoma vs plasma cell myeloma. Am J Clin Pathol. 2007;127: 176–81.
- 29. Ries LAGHD, Krapcho M, Mariotto A, Miller BA, Feuer EJ, Clegg L, Eisner MP, Horner MJ, Howlader N, Hayat M, eds., et al. SEER survival monograph: cancer survival among adults: US SEER program, 1988–2001, patient and tumor characteristics. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute, SEER Program; 2007.
- Willett W, Stampfer MJ. Total energy intake: implications for epidemiologic analyses. Am J Epidemiol. 1986;124:17–27.
- Friday JE, Bowman SA. MyPyramid Equivalents Database for USDA survey food codes, 1994–2002 version 1.0. [cited March 23, 2012]. Beltsville, MD: USDA, ARS, Community Nutrition Research Group. Available from: http://www.ars.usda.gov/ba/bhnrc/fsrg/. 2006.
- Kulldorff M, Sinha R, Chow WH, Rothman N. Comparing odds ratios for nested subsets of dietary components. Int J Epidemiol. 2000;29: 1060–4.
- 33. Tsai HT, Cross AJ, Graubard BI, Oken M, Schatzkin A, Caporaso NE. Dietary factors and risk of chronic lymphocytic leukemia and small lymphocytic lymphoma: a pooled analysis of two prospective studies. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2010;19:2680–4.
- 34. Lee JE, Spiegelman D, Hunter DJ, Albanes D, Bernstein L, van den Brandt PA, Buring JE, Cho E, English DR, Freudenheim JL, et al. Fat, protein, and meat consumption and renal cell cancer risk: a pooled analysis of 13 prospective studies. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2008;100:1695–706.
- Erber E, Maskarinec G, Gill JK, Park SY, Kolonel LN. Dietary patterns and the risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma: the Multiethnic Cohort. Leuk Lymphoma. 2009;50:1269–75.
- 36. Purdue MP, Bassani DG, Klar NS, Sloan M, Kreiger N; Canadian Cancer Registries Epidemiology Research Group. Dietary factors and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma by histologic subtype: a case-control analysis. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2004;13:1665–76.
- 37. Chang ET, Smedby KE, Zhang SM, Hjalgrim H, Melbye M, Ost A, Glimelius B, Wolk A, Adami H-O. Dietary factors and risk of nonhodgkin lymphoma in men and women. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2005;14:512–20.
- Cross AJ, Ward MH, Schenk M, Kulldorff M, Cozen W, Davis S, Colt JS, Hartge P, Cerhan JR, Sinha R. Meat and meat-mutagen intake and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma: results from a NCI-SEER case-control study. Carcinogenesis. 2006;27:293–7.
- Cross AJ, Lim U. The role of dietary factors in the epidemiology of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Leuk Lymphoma. 2006;47:2477–87.
- 40. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Final report on carcinogens background document for selected heterocyclic amines: PhIP, MeIQ, and MeIQx. Prepared by the Technology Planning and Management Corp for the USDHHS National Toxicology Program. Research Triangle Park (NC). Available from: htp.neihs.nih.gov/htp/ newhomeroc/roc11/HCAsPub.pdf: 2002.
- 41. Thomas C, King DJ, Swayne DE. Thermal inactivation of avian influenza and Newcastle disease viruses in chicken meat. J Food Prot. 2008;71:1214–22.
- 42. Sinha R, Rothman N, Salmon CP, Knize MG, Brown ED, Swanson CA, Rhodes D, Rossi S, Felton JS, Levander OA. Heterocyclic amine content in beef cooked by different methods to varying degrees of doneness and gravy made from meat drippings. Food Chem Toxicol. 1998;36:279–87.
- Sinha R. An epidemiologic approach to studying heterocyclic amines. Mutat Res Fundam Mol Mech Mutag. 2002;506–507:197–204.