## Hierarchy and plasticity in the crypt: back to the drawing board

Catherine Legraverend<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>, Philippe Jay<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CNRS, UMR-5203, Institut de Génomique Fonctionnelle, Montpellier, France; <sup>2</sup>INSERM U661, Montpellier, France; <sup>3</sup>Universités de Montpellier 1&2, France Cell Research (2011) **21**:1652-1654. doi:10.1038/cr.2011.180; published online 22 November 2011

The prevailing concept in the field of stem cell research is that of a multipotent self-renewing cell, positioned at the origin of a hierarchical tree of branching specificities, increasing maturity and decreasing self-renewal ability. In the epithelium of the small intestine, until very recently, the supra-Paneth crypt base columnar (CBC) cell position +4 (cp4) (counting from the bottom of the crypt) was widely assumed to be the preferred position of multipotent stem cells [1, 2]. Yet electron microscopy, as well as autoradiography and lineage tracing studies, supported the presence of undifferentiated [3], actively cycling [4], multipotent CBC stem cells located between Paneth cells in the crypt [5-7]. Based on the results of expression and lineage studies with Lgr5-EGFP-IRES-CreERT2 knock-in mice and Rosa26-LacZ reporter mice, it was possible to show that multipotent CBC cells expressing the Lgr5 orphan receptor are present throughout the gastro-intestinal tract [7]. But they are not alone. Another recent lineage study revealed the existence of multipotent, self-renewing Lgr5- CBC cells expressing the Bmil proto-oncogene and preferentially located above the highest

Paneth cell [8]. This discovery brought the cp4 model back under the spotlight, and subsequent expression studies revealed a partial overlap between the  $Lgr5^+$  and  $Bmi1^+$  CBC cell populations [9]. In a recent issue of *Nature*, Huan Tian and colleagues now tackle the issue of their contribution to the turnover of the intestinal epithelium [10].

Tian and colleagues generated reporter mouse lines allowing (1) visualization of the cells expressing Lgr5 or Bmil using a fluorescent reporter (EGFP), (2) the elimination of  $Lgr5^+$ cells through expression of the diphtheria toxin receptor (DTR) under the control of the Lgr5 promoter, (3) tracing of the progeny of  $Lgr5^+$  or  $Bmi1^+$  stem cells through specific expression of an inducible Cre recombinase controlled by the Lgr5 or the Bmil promoter, and a LacZ reporter (R26R) that is irreversibly activated following Cre activity. Using such expression and fate-mapping genetic tools for each of the stem cell populations, Tian and colleagues reached the unexpected conclusion that *Lgr5*<sup>+</sup> stem cells are dispensable. A 10day exposure to diphtheria toxin (DT) of their knock-in mouse expressing the DTR in Lgr5<sup>+</sup> cells (Lgr5<sup>DTR-EGFP/+</sup>) resulted in the complete elimination of the  $Lgr5^+$  cell population with no detectable effect on intestinal epithelial homeostasis. The same result was obtained after keeping intestinal epithelium-derived organoids in the presence of DT for up to two months in culture.

npg

Could rare  $Lgr5^+$  cells that survive the DT regimen be responsible for this result? To answer this question, Tian and colleagues created a hybrid knock-in mouse line in which one Lgr5 allele enables DT-mediated Lgr5<sup>+</sup> cell ablation, and the other Lgr5 allele allows tracing of the  $LacZ^+$  progeny of any remaining *Lgr5*<sup>+</sup> cell. Since newborn mice do not survive inactivation of both Lgr5 alleles, the only option was to remove pieces of small intestine before birth, graft them into immuno-compromised mice, wait for the epithelium to reach its fully mature state, and proceed with  $Lgr5^+$ cell ablation combined with induction of LacZ expression by tamoxifen (TAM). As in the Lgr5<sup>DTR-EGFP/+</sup> knockin mouse, homeostasis was maintained in the grafted tissue from Lgr5<sup>DTR-EGFP/</sup> Cre-ERT2; R26R mice, with all epithelial lineages represented, but not a single Lgr5-derived Lac $Z^+$  cell in sight.

Against all expectations,  $Lgr5^+$  cells appear to be dispensable. Since  $Bmi1^+$ cells had been characterized as multipotent stem cells, the next obvious question was: could  $Bmi1^+$  cells substitute for  $Lgr5^+$  cells? Answering this question required the production of a new mouse line, in which the progeny of  $Bmi1^+$ cells could be traced after destruction of  $Lgr5^+$  cells. As a result of  $Lgr5^+$  cell depletion in  $Bmi1-Cre^{ER}$ ;R26R; $Lgr5^{DTR-}$ 

Correspondence: Catherine Legraverend<sup>a</sup>, Philippe Jay<sup>b</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>E-mail: catherine.legraverend@igf.cnrs.fr <sup>b</sup>E-mail: philippe.jay@igf.cnrs.fr

npg 1653

<sup>EGFP/+</sup> mice, the population of *Bmi1*<sup>+</sup> cells was greatly expanded, resulting in a higher proportion of fully *Bmi1-LacZ*<sup>+</sup> crypts, in which all newly produced *Lgr5*<sup>+</sup> cells (after 3 days of recovery without DT) were *LacZ*<sup>+</sup>. These new and important observations led the authors to conclude that there is a hierarchy of stem cells, with *Bmi1*<sup>+</sup> cells taking on the role of "workhorse" stem cells that was previously attributed to *Lgr5*<sup>+</sup> cells [11].

However, there may be other interpretations. The efficiency of the method used to eliminate  $Lgr5^+$  cells leaves no room for speculation: in Bmil- $Cre^{\text{ER}}$ ; R26R;  $Lgr5^{\text{DTR-EGFP/+}}$ , all  $LacZ^+$ cells, including Lgr5<sup>+</sup> cells (after three days in the absence of DT), are derived from Lgr5- cells. Most importantly, replenishment of Lgr5<sup>+</sup> cells is not a pre-requisite to maintain homeostasis, as shown in Lgr5<sup>DTR-EGFP/+</sup> mice treated with DT for 10 days. So, in case of severe Lgr5<sup>+</sup> cell depletion, Bmi1<sup>+</sup> cells can take over cell production, all lineages included, in a substantial (36%) proportion of the crypts. But the situation may be quite different in the intact epithelium, where a small proportion of CBC cells stains positive for both Lgr5 and Bmi1 [14]. The low frequency (2.3%) of fully *LacZ*<sup>+</sup> crypts observed in Bmil-CreER;R26R control mice does not warrant the participation of Lgr5-Bmil<sup>+</sup> cells (cp4 and above), but may instead reflect that of Lgr5+Bmi1+ cells. Taken together, these results suggest that Bmil<sup>+</sup>Lgr5<sup>-</sup> cells probably are not heavily involved in the normal turnover of the intestinal epithelium but are actively recruited after destruction of  $Lgr5^+$  cells. Therefore, coming to a decision as to whether the Bmil+Lgr5cell sits at the apex of a hierarchy in the intact epithelium may require further evaluation of the potential of such cells. In *Bmil-Cre*<sup>ER</sup>;*R26R*;*Lgr5*<sup>DTR-EGFP/+</sup>mice treated with DT, the majority (64%) of the crypts is only partially  $LacZ^+$ , suggesting that other cryptogenic cells can also substitute. The key issue is to

find out whether restoration of homeostasis after a severe injury involves a dedicated reserve of CBC stem cells (hierarchy), or simply any other kind of crypt progenitor normally destined to differentiate (plasticity). In the latter case, the degree of proximity to the niche may be important in determining whether or not a crypt progenitor can revert to a stem cell state and contribute to restoring a normal intestinal epithelium architecture. The distribution of  $Bmil^+$  cells with a peak at cell positions 4-6 from the bottom of the crypt may explain their crypt regeneration capacity, but other candidates may also exist. It should be kept in mind that Bmil expression is restricted to the duodenum and jejunum, which leaves wide open the question of the identity of the cells capable of replacing missing Lgr5<sup>+</sup> cells in the ileum and colon.

In future studies it will be interesting to extrapolate the  $Lgr5^+$  cell ablation protocol to *Bmi1*<sup>+</sup> cells: can *Lgr5*<sup>+</sup> cells restore the  $Bmil^+$  cell pool in  $Bmil^{DTR}$ mice treated with DT? Because Bmi1 is required for the postnatal maintenance of stem cells in multiple tissues [12-14], targeting the BmilDTR construct specifically to intestinal epithelial cells may spare the other organs in order for the mice to survive. Depending on the results, it should be possible to determine whether or not a hierarchy of stem cells exists within the crypt, and the nature of the relationship between Bmil<sup>+</sup> cells and Lgr5<sup>+</sup> cells. Again, however, this should not necessarily be extrapolated to the organization of the intact, unperturbed epithelium.

The surprising dispensability of  $Lgr5^+$  cells makes us now wonder whether both  $Bmi1^+$  CBC cells and  $Lgr5^+$  CBC cells might be dispensable? Could other types of progenitors take over and rescue the damaged epithelium? Self-renewal is not a trait specific to stem cells: analysis of Dlb-1 lectin-positive clones induced by ENU in the intestinal epithelium of  $Dlb-1^{-/-}$  mice revealed the presence of clones

containing only one type of long-lived progenitor (mucous, columnar or stem) [5]. Combining  $Bmi1^+$  and  $Lgr5^+$  cell ablation in  $Lgr5^{DTR}$ ; $Bmi1^{DTR}$  mice with a dose of abdominal irradiation sufficient to kill progenitors locating higher up in the crypt [15], could be useful to determine the limit of damage along the crypt-villus axis beyond which restoration of normal epithelial architecture and function is impossible.

Finally, as Matthew Bjerknes and Hazel Cheng said in their recent paper: "when shifts in cell type proportion are observed following perturbation, cellular reprogramming also needs to be considered as a contributing cause, either at the level of a multipotent precursor, or in their committed progeny" [16]. Recent literature incessantly pushes back the limits of cell reprogramming, allowing new conceptual frameworks to depict tissue regeneration and cellular plasticity around the stem cell phenotype. Further surprising results are expected.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR-09-BLAN-0368-01), Institut National du Cancer (INCa PLBIO09-070) and Association pour la Recherche Contre le Cancer (ARC SL220110603456). We thank Daniel Fisher and David Hodson for critical comments on the manuscript.

## References

- Marshman E, Booth C, Potten CS. The intestinal epithelial stem cell. *BioEs*says 2002; 24:91-98.
- 2 He XC, Zhang J, Tong WG, *et al.* BMP signaling inhibits intestinal stem cell self-renewal through suppression of Wnt-beta-catenin signaling. *Nat Genet* 2004; **36**:1117-1121.
- 3 Trier JS. Studies on small intestinal crypt epithelium. I. The fine structure of the crypt epithelium of the proximal small intestine of fasting humans. *J Cell Biol* 1963; **18**:599-620.
- 4 Hughes WL, Bond VP, Brecher G, *et al.* Cellular proliferation in the mouse

as revealed by autoradiography with tritiated thymidine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1958; **44**:476-483.

- 5 Bjerknes M, Cheng H. Clonal analysis of mouse intestinal epithelial progenitors. *Gastroenterology* 1999; **116**:7-14.
- 6 Bjerknes M, Cheng H. Multipotential stem cells in adult mouse gastric epithelium. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2002; 283:G767-G777.
- 7 Barker N, van Es JH, Kuipers J, *et al.* Identification of stem cells in small intestine and colon by marker gene *Lgr5*. *Nature* 2007; **449**:1003-1007.
- 8 Sangiorgi E, Capecchi MR. Bmi1 is expressed *in vivo* in intestinal stem cells. *Nat Genet* 2008; **40**:915-920.
- 9 Snippert HJ, van Es JH, van den Born M, *et al.* Prominin-1/CD133 marks

stem cells and early progenitors in mouse small intestine. *Gastroenterol-* ogy 2009; **136**:2187-2194.e1.

- 10 Tian H, Biehs B, Warming S, *et al.* A reserve stem cell population in small intestine renders *Lgr5*-positive cells dispensable. *Nature* 2011; **478**:255-259.
- 11 Snippert HJ, van der Flier LG, Sato T, *et al.* Intestinal crypt homeostasis results from neutral competition between symmetrically dividing Lgr5 stem cells. *Cell* 2010; **143**:134-144.
- 12 Lessard J, Sauvageau G. Bmi-1 determines the proliferative capacity of normal and leukaemic stem cells. *Nature* 2003; **423**:255-260.
- 13 Park IK, Qian D, Kiel M, *et al.* Bmi-1 is required for maintenance of adult

self-renewing haematopoietic stem cells. *Nature* 2003; **423**:302-305.

- 14 Molofsky AV, He S, Bydon M, Morrison SJ, Pardal R. Bmi-1 promotes neural stem cell self-renewal and neural development but not mouse growth and survival by repressing the p16<sup>lnk4a</sup> and p19<sup>Arf</sup> senescence pathways. *Genes Dev* 2005; **19**:1432-1437.
- 15 Bhanja P, Saha S, Kabarriti R, et al. Protective role of R-spondin1, an intestinal stem cell growth factor, against radiation-induced gastrointestinal syndrome in mice. PLoS One 2009; 4:e8014.
- 16 Bjerknes M, Cheng H. Cell lineage metastability in *Gfi1*-deficient mouse intestinal epithelium. *Dev Biol* 2010; 345:49-63.