New gene locus modifying susceptibility to certain B-tropic murine leukemia viruses

(N- and B-tropism/mouse embryo fibroblasts/genetic control of susceptibility to virus)

Alain Declève, Ohtsura Niwa, Joan Kojola, and Henry S. Kaplan

Cancer Biology Research Laboratory, Department of Radiology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California 94305

Contributed by Henry S. Kaplan, October 28, 1975

ABSTRACT A new gene, SRV, the dominant allele of which occurs in mice of strain C57BL, independently modifies the slope ("hitness") and level of sensitivity of the titration curves obtained when one subclass of B-tropic murine leukemia viruses is propagated on mouse embryo cells of nonpermissive Fv-I genotypes. Replication of another subclass of B-tropic viruses is not modified with respect to hitness, and that of N-tropic viruses is not affected.

Susceptibility of mouse embryo cells to murine leukemia virus (MuLV) infection in vitro has been reported to be governed by a single gene, Fv-1 (1-5). MuLV that preferentially replicated on cells of $Fv-1^{nn}$ genotype were designated "N-tropic", whereas those that replicated better on cells of Fv-1^{bb} genotype were designated "B-tropic". Heterozygotes were reported to be dominant for resistance. Titration patterns of N- and B-tropic MuLV demonstrated that host cell restriction is determined by two apparently independent parameters: (1) the slope of the titration curve at low multiplicity of infection ("hitness"), expressed in only two modes, either 1-hit or 2-hit; and (2) the level of sensitivity, a graded response manifested by the relative positions of the titration curves and by the relative endpoint dilution titers (6, 7). Ntropic viruses grown on F_1 hybrid (Fv-1^{nb}) cells exhibited 2-hit kinetics and a level of sensitivity identical to that on nonpermissive homozygous cells. For B-tropic viruses the situation was strikingly different. The WN2226-B virus on $(BALB/c \times DBA/2)F_1$ hybrids (7) and radiation leukemia virus passaged in vitro (RadLV*) on (BALB/c × NIH/ Swiss) F_1 hybrids (6) yielded titration curves with 2-hit kinetics but the level of sensitivity was intermediate between those of permissive and nonpermissive homozygous hosts. The behavior of RadLV* grown on (C57BL \times NIH/ Swiss)F1 hybrids was anomalous: not only was the level of sensitivity intermediate between the levels of the homozygous parental cells, but the titration curve was clearly indicative of 1-hit kinetics (6).

The present report presents evidence that this anomalous behavior is unique to a specific subclass of B-tropic viruses and that, in addition to Fv-1, another gene, dominant only in certain strains of mice, also plays a major role in the control of cell restriction. This gene, which interacts with Fv-1, has been designated SRV because it was first discovered to modify "sensitivity to RadLV".

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals. C57BL/Ka and BALB/c mice were obtained from our own colony. NIH/Swiss mice, originally obtained from Dr. Carl Hansen, National Institutes of Health Laboratory Animal Genetic Center, were kindly provided by Dr. Paul Arnstein, National Cancer Institute–California Department of Health. All F_1 hybrid, backcross, and outcross matings were performed in our laboratory. In each cross, the female is designated first. Mice of both sexes were used for intrathymic (IT) injections at 3–4 weeks of age.

Tissue Culture. Primary cultures were prepared as previously described (8) from 13- to 15-day embryos of the above strains and crosses. Cell suspensions of the primary cultures were slow frozen in liquid nitrogen in minimal essential medium (MEM) with 20% fetal calf serum and 10% dimethylsulfoxide, thawed, and incubated at 37° 4–5 days before secondary cultures of mouse embryo fibroblasts (MEF) were plated.

Virus Preparations. Five B-tropic and 5 N-tropic viruses were studied (Table 1). WN 1802-B, passage 2, was kindly provided by Dr. Raymond C. Tennant, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tenn. Tennant virus, a gift from Dr. Jay A. Levy, University of California, San Francisco, was passaged more than 20 times in our laboratory on C57BL and BALB/c mouse cell lines (Tennant/BL and Tennant/BA, respectively) prior to use in these studies.

Assays. The *in vitro* and *in vivo* immunofluorescence (IF) assays (16, 17) and the reverse XC plaque assay (18) have been previously described.

RESULTS

IF and XC Titration Patterns in Reciprocal F₁ Hybrid Cells. Titrations of RadLV* and WN 1802-B (both B-tropic viruses) on reciprocal (NIH/Swiss \times C57BL)F₁ hybrid MEF displayed 1-hit kinetics with a level of sensitivity intermediate between the levels observed on C57BL MEF and NIH/ Swiss MEF, whereas on $(NIH/Swiss \times BALB/c)F_1$ hybrid MEF these viruses exhibited titration curves with 2-hit kinetics at a level intermediate between those of the homozygous (Fv-1^{bb}, Fv-1ⁿⁿ) parent cells (Fig. 1A). The other Btropic viruses (Tennant/BL and Tennant/BA) yielded 2-hit intermediate titration curves on either $(NIH/Swiss \times BALB/$ c) or (NIH/Swiss \times C57BL)F₁ hybrid cells (Fig. 1B). All of the N-tropic viruses yielded 2-hit titration curves on both types of F1 hybrid cells which were almost superimposable on their titration curves on homozygous nonpermissive (Fv- 1^{bb}) cells (Fig. 1C). Thus, distinctively different patterns of response are manifested by three different groups of viruses, of which RadLV*, Tennant, and Gross-AKR leukemia virus passaged in vitro (GLV*) are typical representatives. Experimental variations were observed with respect to plateau values and displacement of individual curves, but their relative positions remained the same.

Titration Patterns of RadLV In Vivo. RadLV was as-

Abbreviations: MuLV, murine leukemia virus; RadLV and RadLV*, wild-type and *in vitro*-passaged radiation leukemia virus; GLV*, *in vitro*-passaged Gross-AKR leukemia virus; IF, immunofluorescence; IT, intrathymic; MEF, mouse embryo fibroblasts.

	Virus	Source	Tissue culture passage history	Fv-1 tropism	Refs.
1.	RadLV	Radiation-induced lymphoma tissues from C57BL/Ka mice.	Passaged in vivo in C57BL mice.	В	9, 10
2.	RadLV*	Radiation-induced lymphoma tissue from C57BL/Ka mice, adapted to replication <i>in vitro</i> .	>50 passages in C57BL/Ka MEF.	В	11, 12
3.	WN 1802-B	Spleen from normal 18-month-old BALB/c mouse.	Serial passage in BALB/c MEF.	В	13
4.	Tennant/BA	Leukemic tissue extract from C58 mouse, inoculated into, extracted from, and serially passaged in BALB/c mice.	20th BALB/c MEF passage in our laboratory.	В	14
5.	Tennant/BL	Same as Tennant/BA.	20th C57BL/Ka MEF passage in our laboratory.	В	14
6.	GLV*	Thymus from leukemic C ₃ H mouse inoculated with Gross passage A virus after long serial passage in C ₂ H mice.	>50 passages in C_3 H MEF.	N	6
7.	RadLV/N	Radiation-induced lymphoma tissue from C57BL/Ka mice.	20th NIH/Swiss MEF passage.	Ν	15
8.	57B-1(B)	IdUrd-induced <i>in vitro</i> from C57BL/Ka MEF.	C57BL (BL-5) cells for 20 + 100 cell generations.	N	а
9.	57B-1(N)	IdUrd-induced <i>in vitro</i> from C57BL/Ka MEF.	20 cell generations on C57BL(BL-5) cells, then passaged on NIH/3T3 cells for 100 cell generations.	N	а
10.	57B-2(N)	IdUrd-induced <i>in vitro</i> from C57BL/Ka MEF.	NIH/3T3 cells for 20 + 100 cell generations.	N	а

Table 1. Murine leukemia viruses tested

^a E. Gelmann, A. Declève, O. Niwa, S. Greenspan, and H. S. Kaplan, submitted for publication.

sayed in vivo in C57BL/Ka, BALB/c, NIH/Swiss, (C57BL \times NIH)F₁ hybrid, and (BALB/c \times NIH)F₁ hybrid mice. Despite the poor replication of RadLV in NIH/Swiss mice, the results shown in Fig. 2 indicate that the titration patterns described for RadLV* *in vitro* are also displayed by RadLV *in vivo*. (C57BL \times NIH)F₁ hybrid mice exhibited approximately 1-hit kinetics similar to those of C57BL mice and a

level of sensitivity intermediate between the levels found in NIH and C57BL parents. In contrast, the $(BALB/c \times NIH)F_1$ hybrids responded to RadLV with multi-hit kinetics and an intermediate level of sensitivity.

Titration Patterns of RadLV* on Individual Mouse Embryo Cell Cultures of Selected Outcross and Backcross Genotypes. A tentative model was developed to explain the

Table 2. Segregation of Fv-1 and SRV genotypes in

			Pa	rental														
N	Aurine st or cross	rain s:	NIH /Sw	C57BL /Ka	$\frac{\text{Recip.}}{F_1}$	A ₁	A ₃	A ₄	A ₆	A ₇	B ₁	B ₂	B ₃	B_6	\mathbf{B}_{γ}	.57BL	C_{s}	
	/Hit-	RadLV*	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	1
Diama	ness)	GLV*	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2
type		(RadLV*	L	н	М	L	М	М	М	М	М	М	L	М	М	М	М	М
	Levela	GLV*	н	М	М	н	М	М	M	н	М	М	н	н	М	.М	М	М
	(Fv-1	{bb bn nn	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Geno- type	(SRV	{ Mm mm	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

Combined Fv-1/SRV genotypes: bnMm, 9; bnmm, 10; nnMm, 9; nnmm, 10.

^a Levels of sensitivity: H = high, M = medium, L = low.

				Percentage of mi					
	Gene	otype	Rad	LV*	GLV	*	genotypes		
Mouse strain or cross	Fv-1	SRV	Hitness	Levela	Hitness	Level	Expected	Observed	
C57BL/Ka	bb	ММ	1	Н	2	М	100	100	
BALB/c	bb	mm	1	Н	2	Μ	100	100	
NIH/Swiss	nn	mm	2	L	1	н	100	100	
$(C57BL \times NIH)F_1$	bn	Mm	1	М	2	М	100	100	
$(BALB \times NIH)F_1$	bn	mm	2	Μ	2	Μ	100	100	
$(BALB \times C57BL)F_1$	bb	Mm	1	н	2	М	100	100	
$(BALB \times C57BL)F_1 \times NIH$	bn	Mm	1)	Μ	2	М	50	50	
	bn	mm	$2\int (1:1)^{0}$	М	2	М	50	50	
$(C57BL \times NIH)F_1 \times NIH$	bn	Mm	1)	M)	²)	М	25	23.6	
	bn	mm	2	M	2	Μ	25	26.3	
	nn	Mm	$2(^{(1:3)})$	$M(^{(1:3)})$	$1(^{(1:1)})$	н	25	23.6	
	nn	mm	2)	L)	1J	н	25	26.3	

Table 3. Model for genetic control of susceptibility to RadLV

^a Levels of sensitivity: H = high, M = medium, L = low.

^b 1:2-hit ratios are indicated in parentheses.

anomalous behavior of RadLV* and WN 1802-B in (C57BL \times NIH) versus (BALB/c \times NIH)F₁ hybrid crosses. This model postulates that an additional gene, SRV, modifies the hitness component of the restrictive action of Fv-1 and thus increases susceptibility to some B-tropic viruses. Its dominant allele M (for modifier) is homozygous in strain C57BL mice, and its recessive allele m is homozygous in BALB/c and NIH/Swiss mice. The Fv-1/SRV genotypes of C57BL/ Ka, BALB/c, and NIH Swiss mice would therefore be bbMM, bbmm, and nnmm, respectively, and the genotypes of F₁ hybrids of those strains would be bnMm for (C57BL × NIH)F₁, bnmm for $(BALB/c \times NIH)F_1$, and bbMm for $(BALB/c \times C57BL)F_1$. According to this model, the conversion from 2-hit to 1-hit kinetics occurs only when the M allele of SRV is present together with nb heterozygosity at the Fv-1 locus.

To test this model, an experiment was first performed

NIH/Swiss, C57BL/Ka, F1 hybrid, and backcross embryo fibroblasts

with outcross embryo cell cultures. Individual embryos from the outcross $(BALB/c \times C57BL)F_1 \times NIH/Swiss$ would be expected to segregate between two genotype categories: bnMm and bnmm. If the model is correct, the ratio of 1:2hit kinetics expected for RadLV* in the individual outcross embryos should be 1:1. That is exactly what was found when RadLV* was titered on MEF cultures from 26 individual embryos of the $(BALB/c \times C57BL)F_1 \times NIH/Swiss$ outcross; 13 embryo cell cultures replicated RadLV with 1-hit kinetics and 13 with 2-hit kinetics. All titration curves had a level of sensitivity intermediate to those on homozygous BALB/cbb, C57BLbb, and NIHnn MEF cultures. These intermediate curves were similar to curves B and C in Fig. 1A for RadLV* on F1 hybrids. When cultures of the same 26 individual (BALB/c \times C57BL) \times NIH/Swiss outcross embryo cells were infected simultaneously with GLV*, all responded

bac	backcross embryo cell cultures ($n = 38$)																								
D ₃	D4	\mathbf{D}_{s}	D ₈	D,	D ₁₀	E2	E3	E4	E,	E,	Е,	F ₁	F ₂	F3	F4	F ₅	F ₆	F,	G,	G2	G3	G₄	G,	G,	Totals
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	1-hit:9 2-hit:29
2	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	1	1-hit:19 2-hit:19
М	L	М	М	L	М	L	L	М	М	М	М	М	М	М	L	М	L	М	М	L	М	\mathbf{L}	М	М	L:10 M:28
М	н	М	н	Н	М	Н	н	н	М	н	М	н	М	н	Н	М	н	М	М	н	н	н	М	н	M:19 H:19
\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	bn:19 nn:19
\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Mm:18 mm:20

with 2-hit kinetics.



FIG. 1. (A) Titration curves of RadLV*-type B-tropic viruses on MEF of: C57BL/Ka or BALB/c, (\bullet) Curve A; (C57BL \times NIH)F₁, (\bullet) Curve B; (BALB/c \times NIH)F₁, (\circ) Curve C; NIH/ Swiss, (\circ) Curve D.

(B) Titration curves of Tennant-type B-tropic viruses on MEF of: C57BL/Ka or BALB/c, (\triangle); (C57BL × NIH)F₁, (\triangle); (BALB/c × NIH)F₁, (\triangle); NIH/Swiss, (\triangle).

(C) Titration curves of N-tropic viruses on MEF of: NIH/Swiss, (\blacksquare); (C57BL × NIH)F₁ or (BALB/c × NIH)F₁, (\blacksquare); C57BL/Ka or BALB/c, (\Box).

Next, RadLV* and GLV* were assayed in parallel on $(NIH \times C57BL)F_1 \times NIH$ individual backcross embryo cell cultures. Four different genotypes were expected: bnMm, bnmm, nnMm, and nmm. Since the M allele has no apparent effect on infection by GLV*, suggesting that only the Fv-1 alleles determine hitness for N-tropic viruses, a ratio of 1:2-hit kinetics of 1:1 was expected for GLV*. A 1:2-hit ratio of 1:1 would also be expected for RadLV* if the M allele is able to override the repressive effect of the homozygous Fv-



FIG. 2. Dose-response curves of wild-type RadLV in vivo in the thymus of 1-month-old C57BL/Ka (\blacksquare), BALB/c (\bullet), (C57BL × NIH)F₁ (\blacksquare), (BALB/c × NIH)F₁ (\bullet), and NIH/Swiss (\Box) mice. Thymus cell suspensions were processed for fluorescence 7 days after the virus was injected into both lobes of the thymus.

 I^{nn} genotype, whereas a 1:2-hit ratio of 1:3 would be expected if the *M* allele is recessive to the $Fv-I^{nn}$ genotype.

Table 2 summarizes the results. A 1:2-hit ratio of 1:3 was found for RadLV*, indicating that the M allele cannot override the $Fv-1^{nn}$ genotype to convert 2-hit to 1-hit kinetics. The expected 1:1 ratio was observed for GLV*. In addition, it was found (Fig. 3B) that for GLV* all 1-hit curves in backcross embryos were superimposable on the 1-hit titration curve for GLV* on NIH/Swiss MEF (high level of sensitivity) and all 2-hit curves were superimposable on the 2-hit titration curve for GLV* on C57BL MEF (medium level of sensitivity). With RadLV* the observations were strikingly different; three different families of curves could be observed: (a) a low level 2-hit curve (Fig. 3A, Curve D) superimposable on the 2-hit titration curve obtained on NIH/Swiss MEF (Fig. 3A, Curve E); (b) a medium level 2-hit curve (Fig. 3A, Curve C) intermediate between the titration curves on the homozygous MEF's (Fig. 3A, Curves A and E); and (c) a medium level 1-hit curve (Fig. 3A, Curve B) also intermediate between the titration curves on homozygous parent cells. These findings suggest that the Mallele not only induces a 2-hit to 1-hit conversion in the presence of the $Fv-1^b$ allele, as stated earlier, but also acts independently from the $Fv-1^b$ allele to govern the level of sensitivity. From the observed phenotypes presented in Table 2, one can deduce the Fv-1/SRV genotypes if the assumptions of this model for the dual action of the SRV gene are correct. In Table 2 the different genotypes are listed in accordance to the phenotypic observations. Of the 38 individual backcross embryos tested, nine exhibited 2-hit kinetics with GLV* and intermediate 1-hit kinetics with RadLV* (corresponding to genotype $Fv-1^{bn}$ SRV^{Mn}); 10 exhibited 2-hit kinetics with both GLV and RadLV, with an intermediate level of sensitivity for RadLV (Fv-1^{bn} SRV^{mm}); nine exhibited 1-hit kinetics for GLV and 2-hit medium level curves for RadLV (Fv-1nn SRVMm); and 10 exhibited 1-hit kinetics with GLV*, and low level 2-hit kinetics with RadLV (Fv-1ⁿⁿ SRV^{mm}). Thus, the ratios obtained experimentally, summarized in Table 3, are entirely consistent with the model proposed here for the genetic control of sensitivity to RadLV-type B-tropic viruses.

DISCUSSION

The titration patterns of N-tropic and B-tropic MuLV on mouse embryo cells of homologous, heterologous, F_1 hybrid, outcross, and backcross genotypes indicate that host cell re-



FIG. 3. (A) Titration curves of RadLV* on MEF of: C57BL/ Ka (Fv-1^{bb} SRV^{MM}), Curve A (Δ); Fv-1^{bn} SRV^{mn} backcross, Curve B (Δ); Fv-1^{bn} SRV^{mm} and Fv-1ⁿⁿ SRV^{Mm} backcross, Curve C (O); Fv-1ⁿⁿ SRV^{mm} backcross, Curve D (\bullet); NIH/Swiss (Fv-1ⁿⁿ SRV^{mm}), Curve E (\Box).

(B) Titration curves of GLV* on MEF of: C57BL/Ka $(Fv-1^{bb} SRV^{MM})$, (O); NIH/Swiss $(Fv-1^{nn} SRV^{mm})$, (\bullet); $(Fv-1^{bn} SRV^{Mm})$ and $(Fv-1^{bn} SRV^{mm})$, backcross (Δ); $(Fv-1^{nn} SRV^{Mm})$ and $(Fv-1^{nn} SRV^{mm})$, backcross (Δ). Standard errors of the means of multiple titrations are indicated for each point in the backcross embryo titration curves.

striction of MuLV replication is determined for RadLV* and WN 1802-B, both B-tropic viruses, by two separate genes, Fv-1 and SRV. The SRV alleles are dominant in C57BL mice and recessive in NIH and BALB/c mice. Both loci (SRV and Fv-1) control the two independent major parameters described earlier (6, 7), namely hitness and level of sensitivity. However, whereas the Fv-1 gene can operate independently with respect to both hitness and level of sensitivity, the SRV gene operates independently only on the level of sensitivity. Its action on hitness operates through Fv-1.

These experiments also clearly demonstrate that at least two distinct classes of B-tropic viruses exist; the RadLV type, which respond to the SRV gene action for both hitness and level of sensitivity, and the Tennant type, which do not appear to respond to the SRV gene. None of the N-tropic viruses appeared to be influenced by the SRV gene, although the proximity of their titration curves makes it difficult to exclude small effects on levels of sensitivity.

The nature of the difference between the B-tropic virus classes is not clear. Since RadLV* of C57BL origin (SRV^{MM}) and WN 1802-B of BALB/c origin (SRV^{mm}) responded in the same manner to the SRV gene, and since Tennant virus passaged in BALB/c cells did not differ.from Tennant virus passaged in C57BL cells, the difference in response to the SRV gene of these B-tropic viruses cannot be accounted for by their passage history. The strain C58 (Fv- I^{nn}) origin of Tennant virus may offer an explanation for its failure to respond to SRV by conversion from 2-hit to 1-hit kinetics.

Since N-tropic viruses and the two subclasses of B-tropic viruses respond differently to the hitness and level of sensitivity cellular control mechanisms, specific viral attributes determined by differences in these types of viral genomes must be recognized by the cell. It may be, therefore, that it is the relative efficiency of recognition of these distinctive viral traits which differs from one type of virus-host cell interaction to another. In this context, the SRV gene might act by modifying the efficiency of the dual restriction mechanism in such a way that the host cells acquire new viral recognition capabilities. The level within the cell at which the SRV gene acts is not known. It may involve either a receptor-adsorption penetration type of control mechanism, as has been found with the avian leukosis and sarcoma viruses (19-21) or some step (transcription, translation, or assembly) following integration of the viral genome, as seems to be the case for the Fv-1 gene control system (22-24).

It is noteworthy that the action of the SRV gene could be observed by both the IF and XC assays. This indicates that the SRV locus does not act through the induction of infectious xenotropic viruses, since such viruses apparently do not induce plaque formation. A helper type of interaction between ecotropic and xenotropic viruses, or between mixtures of ecotropic viruses, cannot be excluded.

The SRV gene effect was also observed in vivo after virus inoculation into the mouse thymus. Since earlier studies have suggested a correlation between the *in vivo*. IF assay and the leukemogenicity of thymotropic MuLV (17) it will be of interest to evaluate the influence of the SRV gene on viral leukemia induction. It will also be desirable to study crosses between other strains of mice to ascertain whether the SRV^M allele is present in some $Fv-1^{nn}$ strains. Such studies may clarify the relationship between SRV and other genes known to control susceptibility of mice to leukemia induction by MuLV (1).

We thank Barbara Franks, Nancy Ginzton, Marilyn Travis, and James Williams for expert technical assistance. We also thank Drs. Miriam Lieberman and Theodore Pincus for their critical review of the manuscript. These studies were supported by Grants CA-03352 and CA-10372 from the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, and by the Joseph Edward Luetje Memorial Fund.

- 1. Lilly, F. & Pincus, T. (1973) Adv. Cancer Res. 17, 231-277.
- Pincus, T., Hartley, J. W. & Rowe, W. P. (1971) J. Exp. Med. 133, 1219-1233.

- Pincus, T., Rowe, W. P. & Lilly, F. (1971) J. Exp. Med. 133, 1234-1241.
- 4. Odaka, T. (1969) J. Virol. 3, 543-548.
- 5. Lilly, F. (1970) J. Nat. Cancer Inst. 45, 163-169.
- Declève, A., Niwa, O., Gelmann, E. & Kaplan, H. S. (1975) Virology 65, 320–332.
- Pincus, T., Hartley, J. W. & Rowe, W. P. (1975) Virology 65, 333–342.
- Declève, A., Lieberman, M., Hahn, G. M. & Kaplan, H. S. (1970) J. Virol. 5, 437–445.
- 9. Lieberman, M. & Kaplan, H. S. (1959) Science 130, 387-388.
- 10. Kaplan, H. S. (1967) Cancer Res. 27, 1325-1340.
- Lieberman, M., Niwa, O., Declève, A. & Kaplan, H. S. (1973) Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 70, 1250-1253.
- 12. Declève, A., Niwa, O., Gelmann, E. & Kaplan, H. S. (1975) Virology 63, 367–383.
- Hartley, J. W., Rowe, W. P. & Huebner, R. J. (1970) J. Virol. 5, 221-225.

- 14. Tennant, J. R. (1972) J. Nat. Cancer Inst. 28, 1291-1303.
- Lieberman, M., Kaplan, H. S. & Declève, A. (1975) in *The Biology of Radiation Carcinogenesis*, ed. Yuhas J. (Raven Press, New York), in press.
- Declève, A., Niwa, O., Hilgers, J. & Kaplan, H. S. (1974) Virology 57, 491-502.
- 17. Declève, A., Lieberman, M., Niwa, O. & Kaplan, H. S. (1974) Nature 252, 79-80.
- Niwa, O., Declève, A., Lieberman, M. & Kaplan, H. S. (1973) J. Virol. 12, 68-73.
- 19. Vogt, P. K. & Ishizaki, R. (1965) Virology 26, 664-672.
- 20. Steck, F. T. & Rubin, H. (1966) Virology 29, 628-641.
- 21. Piraino, F. (1967) Virology 32, 700-707.
- 22. Huang, A. S., Besmer, P., Chu, L. & Baltimore, D. (1973) J. Virol. 12, 659-662.
- 23. Krontiris, T. G., Soeiro, R. & Fields, B. N. (1973) Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 70, 2549-2553.
- Sveda, M. M., Fields, B. N. & Soeiro, R. (1974) Cell 2, 271– 277.