Retargeting Vesicular Stomatitis Virus Using Measles Virus Envelope Glycoproteins

Camilo Ayala-Breton,¹ Glen N. Barber,² Stephen J. Russell,^{1,3} and Kah-Whye Peng^{1,4}

Abstract

Oncolytic vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) has potent antitumor activity, but infects a broad range of cell types. Here, we used the measles virus (MV) hemagglutinin (H) and fusion (F) envelope glycoproteins to redirect VSV entry and infection specifically to tumor-associated receptors. Replication-defective VSV, deleted of its glycoprotein gene (VSVAG), was pseudotyped with MV-F and MV-H displaying single-chain antibodies (scFv) specific for epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), folate receptor (FR), or prostate membrane-specific antigen (PSMA). Viral titers were ~10⁵ PFU/ml, but could be concentrated to 10⁷ PFU/ml. Immunoblotting confirmed incorporation of the MV-H-scFv and MV-F into functional VSV virions. Although VSV-G was able to infect all tumor cell lines tested, the retargeted VSV infected only cells that expressed the targeted receptor. *In vivo* specificities of the EGFR-, FR-, and PSMA-retargeted VSV were assessed by intratumoral injection into human tumor xenografts. Analysis of green fluorescent protein reporter gene expression indicated that VSV infection was restricted to receptor-positive tumors. In summary, we have demonstrated for the first time that VSV can be efficiently retargeted to different cellular receptors using the measles display technology, yielding retargeted VSV vectors that are highly specific for tumors that express the relevant receptor.

Introduction

VESICULAR STOMATITIS VIRUS (VSV) is a new promising oncolytic agent due to its preferential replication in tumor cells, fast replication cycle, and high burst size (Balachandran and Barber, 2000, 2004). However, one of the main concerns with using VSV for treatment of cancer is toxicity, as the virus presents broad tropism for different types of cells, including neurons (Clarke et al., 2006; Johnson et al., 2007). Various approaches have been used to engineer a VSV that replicates preferentially in tumor cells, but is attenuated in normal cells (Obuchi et al., 2003; Stojdl et al., 2003; Bergman et al., 2007; Edge et al., 2008; Kelly et al., 2010), including exploiting the defective interferon (IFN) response pathways in tumor cells (Garcia-Sastre and Biron, 2006). As the virus is exquisitely sensitive to the antiviral effects of IFN produced by infected cells, VSV expressing murine IFN- β (VSV-mIFN β) or human IFN- β (VSV-hIFN β) have been generated to obtain viruses that replicate selectively in tumor cells, and they are significantly less toxic than wild-type VSV (Obuchi et al., 2003). Despite this improvement, it was reported in a toxicology study that BALB/c mice given high intravenous doses of VSV-mIFN β (10¹⁰ TCID₅₀) showed signs of neurotoxicity, occurring between days 2 and 7, manifested as seizures, wholebody tremors, and weight loss of 10% on day 1 (Jenks *et al.*, 2010). BALB/c mice (*n*=16) given 10⁸ or 10⁹ TCID₅₀ of VSV-mIFN β intravenously did not exhibit neurological symptoms, although animals appeared scruffy and lost 6–16% of initial body weight between days 1 and 7 (Jenks *et al.*, 2010).

Hence, there is a strong rationale to include strategies, other than intracellular restriction, to achieve tumor-selective replication of the virus (Russell and Peng, 2007). Here, we explored the feasibility of using transductional targeting to control the tropism of VSV, with the aim of maintaining the high infectivity of VSV in tumor cells and ablating VSV infection of nontarget cells. This is especially important in the context of cancer therapy, where VSV could be used to treat patients with an immune system compromised by the disease (such as in multiple myeloma) or by repeat cycles of chemotherapy.

Previous works have reported the specific retargeting of measles virus (MV) to different cellular receptors

¹Department of Molecular Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905.

²Department of Medicine, University of Miami School of Medicine, Miami, FL 33136.

³Division of Hematology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905.

⁴Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905.

EGFR-, FOLATE R-, AND PSMA-TARGETED VSV

overexpressed in tumor cells (Hadac *et al.*, 2004). This change in the specificity of virus entry and infection is achieved by modifying the measles hemagglutinin protein (MV-H) to bear a single-chain antibody (scFv) directed to the desired tumor-associated cellular receptors (Nakamura *et al.*, 2005). The retargeting of MV can include ablation of MV-H tropism for CD46 and SLAM, the natural cellular receptors of the Edmonston vaccine strain of measles virus (Dörig *et al.*, 1993; Tatsuo *et al.*, 2000b; Hadac *et al.*, 2004). Some examples of retargeted MV include those redirected to cellular receptors such as prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA), epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), α -folate receptor (α FR), CD38, and $\alpha v\beta$ 3 integrins (Peng *et al.*, 2003; Nakamura *et al.*, 2005; Hasegawa *et al.*, 2006; Liu *et al.*, 2009; Ong *et al.*, 2009).

In this work, we demonstrated the feasibility of pseudotyping VSV with measles fusion protein (MV-F) and MV-H bearing scFvs directed to three well characterized tumorassociated cellular receptors EGFR, α FR, and PSMA. The resulting viruses exhibited very specific tropism for receptorpositive cells, whereas infection of receptor-negative cells was reduced at least 50-fold compared with the parental VSV vector. The specificity of the pseudotyped VSV was also confirmed in subcutaneous tumors established in mice.

Materials and Methods

Cells and viruses

Human cortical neuronal cells HCN-1A [American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), Manassas, VA; CRL-10442] were maintained in medium as recommended by ATCC. CHO cell lines stably expressing CD46 (CHO-CD46), FR (CHO-FR), and EGFR (CHO-EGFR) have been described previously (Nakamura et al., 2004). The PC3 cells stably expressing PSMA (PC3-PSMA, originally named PC3-PIP) were a kind gift from Dr. Michel Sadelain (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center) (Chang et al., 1999). KAS 6/1 multiple myeloma cells were a gift from Dr. Diane Jelinek (Mayo Clinic), and SKOV3ip.1 ovarian tumor cells were a gift from Dr. Ellen Vitetta (University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center). KAS 6/1 cells are positive for CD46 and EGFR, but not aFR or PSMA. SKOV3ip.1 cells express CD46, EGFR, and α FR, but not PSMA. VSV (Indiana strain) with a deleted glycoprotein gene that was replaced by a green fluorescent protein (GFP) cDNA (VSV Δ G) was described previously (Majid *et al.*, 2006). The Vero-αHis cells express a membraneanchored single-chain antibody that recognizes a sixhistidine peptide (Nakamura et al., 2005).

Preparation of VSVAG pseudotypes

For pseudotyping VSVAG with MV glycoproteins, three different types of plasmids were used: pCGF encoding parental Edmonston strain MV-F protein, pCGH encoding parental Edmonston strain MV-H protein, or pTNHaa (Nakamura *et al.*, 2004) encoding a mutated MV-H protein, with two point mutations, Y481A and R533A, that block the interaction of MV-H with MV receptors CD46 and SLAM, respectively (Vongpunsawad *et al.*, 2004). The plasmids encoding MV-H bearing an scFv directed against either α FR, EGFR, or PSMA (pTNHaa- α FR, pTNHaa- α EGFR, or pTNHaa- α PSMA) were used in this study (Nakamura *et al.*, 2004).

2005; Hasegawa et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2009). HEK-293T cells (10^{7}) were seeded in a 150-mm plate. Next day, $30 \,\mu g$ of pMD-G (plasmid encoding VSV-G protein) or 30 µg of a plasmid encoding MV-H protein (pCGH or pTNHaa) and $30 \,\mu g$ of a plasmid encoding MV-F protein (pCGF) were transfected into the cells using the calcium phosphate method. To avoid cell fusion due to the intracellular expression of MV-F and MV-H, $6.6 \,\mu g$ of fusion inhibitory peptide (FIP; Bachem, Americas Inc., Torrance, CA) per milliliter of culture medium was added to the cells 5 hr post transfection. The following day, transfected cells were infected for 3 hr with VSV Δ G-G (VSV Δ G pseudotyped with VSV-G protein) at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 3 in the presence of FIP. The virus inoculum was then removed, and cells were washed five times and incubated in OptiMEM (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) plus FIP. After 24 hr of infection, cells and supernatant were freeze-thawed two times; then the supernatant was clarified (5 min at 1,600 rpm) and stored at -80°C. Titer for each virus was determined in Vero-αHIS cells using the standard TCID₅₀ titration method as described previously for MV (Hadac et al., 2004). The viral supernatants were also concentrated by centrifugation for 5 min at 2,500 rpm in an Amicon Ultra-15 device with a 100,000 molecular weight cutoff (Millipore, Billerica, MA). The supernatant that did not pass through the filter was collected and stored at -80°C.

Plaque reduction neutralization (PRN) assay

Two hundred TCID₅₀ of MV expressing GFP (MVG), VSVAG-G, or VSV-H/F pseudotypes in 50 μ l of OptiMEM was incubated for 1 hr at 37°C in 5% CO₂ with twofold dilutions of anti-VSV serum (rat anti-VSV pooled serum) or anti-measles serum (pooled human measles immune serum; Valley Biomedical, lot no. C80553). Vero- α His cells (1.5×10^4) resuspended in OptiMEM were then added to each mixture and incubated at 37°C. After 2 hr, 50 μ l of Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium/5% fetal bovine serum was added, and cells were incubated for 1–2 days. The PRN antibody titer was reported as the dilution of serum that reduced numbers of plaques by at least 80%.

Immunoblotting for viral proteins

Protein lysates were fractionated by PAGE in 10% Tris-HCl Criterion precast gels (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Bio-Rad). Membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat milk in Trisbuffered saline (TBS)–Tween for 1 hr at room temperature, incubated with primary antibodies [polyclonal rabbit α MV-H (Hadac *et al.*, 2004), polyclonal α VSV structural proteins (Jenks *et al.*, 2010)], washed five times with TBS-Tween, incubated with secondary antibody conjugated to peroxidase, and washed again five times. Signal was developed using Pierce ECL western blotting substrate kit (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) following the conditions recommended by the manufacturer.

In vivo experiments

All procedures involving animals were approved by and performed according to guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Mayo Foundation. Six-week-old female CB17 ICR SCID mice (n=3 per group; Taconic Farms, Germantown, NY) were irradiated with 150 Gy; 24 hr later, human myeloma KAS 6/1 cells were injected in the right flanks of the mice. When tumors reached 0.5 cm in diameter, mice received one intratumoral injection of VSV pseudotypes (10^6 TCID₅₀/ 100μ l). Two days post injection, mice were euthanized and tumors were harvested. Tumor 5- μ m cryosections were stained with DAPI, and GFP expression was analyzed using a Zeiss LSM 510 confocal microscope to detect areas of viral infection.

Results

Optimization the pseudotyping of VSV with MV-F and MV-H-scFv proteins

To pseudotype VSV with MV-F and MV-H proteins, 293T cells were first transfected with plasmids expressing MV-H and MV-F proteins and then were infected with a mutant VSV lacking the glycoprotein gene (Fig. 1). Progeny VSV were harvested from the supernatant and used in the study. Due to deletion of the G gene from its genome, infectivity of the progeny VSV is driven exclusively by the incorporated MV-F and MV-H or H-scFv proteins. It was reported that the truncation of the cytoplasmic tails of native MV glycoproteins is required to enable their incorporation into HIV-1 lentiviral vectors (Frecha *et al.*, 2008; Funke *et al.*, 2008). To

determine if MV-F or MV-H with shorter cytoplasmic tail could enhance their incorporation into VSV, we pseudotyped VSV vectors with parental MV-F/H or two mutant MV glycoproteins: MV-H Δ 24 (MV-H with an N-terminal deletion of 24 amino acids) and MV-F Δ 30 (with a complete deletion of the cytoplasmic tail except for the three membrane-proximal residues RGR). Results in Fig. 1 show a 10–100-fold reduction in the viral titers of VSV pseudotyped with the truncated glycoproteins. Hence, for all subsequent studies, MV-F and MV-H with parental cytoplasmic tails were used.

VSV can be pseudotyped with MV-F and MV-H bearing a single-chain antibody

To study the possible retargeting of VSV, the virus was pseudotyped with MV-F and either one of the following different versions of MV-H: parental Edmonston strain MV-H, MV-H bearing a single-chain antibody (H-scFv) directed to EGFR, α FR, and PSMA. These tumor-associated receptors are overexpressed in tumor cells (Ekstrand *et al.*, 1991; Toffoli *et al.*, 1997; Wang *et al.*, 2007) and have been used as targets in studies using retargeted MV (Hasegawa *et al.*, 2006; Paraskevakou *et al.*, 2007; Liu *et al.*, 2009).

Viral titers from the pseudotyped VSV are shown in Fig. 2. To demonstrate that the infection was due to MV-F/H pseudotyped VSV and not because of residual input VSV Δ G-G virus, MV-H plasmid was not transfected into the cells to



FIG. 1. (A) Schematic representation of the protocol for pseudotyping VSV Δ G with MV or VSV glycoproteins. The recovered supernatant contains VSV Δ G-FH or VSV Δ G-G vectors that can infect target cells and express viral proteins, but cannot produce viral progeny due to deletion of the VSV-G gene from the viral genome. (B) Titers of VSV pseudotyped with MV F/H glycoproteins bearing parental MV-H and F proteins or with truncated cytoplasmic tails (MV-H Δ 24 or MV-F Δ 30). Viral titers were determined on Vero-aHis cells. Results show representative data from two experiments.



FIG. 2. Titers of retargeted VSV vectors. VSV were pseudotyped with VSV-G, MV-F alone, or a combination of MV-F and MV-H with and without an scFv, and titers were determined on Vero- α His cells. Results show average of four independent experiments.

generate VSV Δ G-F, and that virus had minimal infectivity (Fig. 2). In contrast, there was robust infection for other viral vectors, with titers ranging from 10⁷ TCID₅₀ for VSV pseudo-typed with MV-H/F to 10⁵ TCID₅₀ for VSV pseudotyped with MV-H-scFv. Viral titers of pseudotyped vectors bearing retargeted envelopes could be increased to 1×10^7 when concentrated by ultrafiltration or sucrose cushion.

To prove that the infection of pseudotyped VSV was dependent on the incorporation of MV-F/H proteins, viruses were analyzed by PRN assays (Table 1). It was observed that the infection of MVG and VSVAG-G were only neutralized when viruses were incubated with antimeasles or anti-VSV serum, respectively. On the other hand, pseudotyped VSV was not neutralized by anti-VSV serum, but only by antimeasles serum, indicating that the infectivities of VSV pseudotypes were due to the incorporated MV F and H proteins.

To confirm the incorporation of the MV-H/F proteins into VSV virions, proteins lysates from the purified vector stocks were analyzed by immunoblot. As shown in Fig. 3, VSV-G was detected only in VSV Δ G-G lysates; MV proteins, on the other hand, were observed in the lanes where lysates of VSV Δ G-FH (and retargeted versions) were loaded.

VSV infection can be specifically retargeted by MV glycoproteins

After confirming that VSV can be pseudotyped with MV-H bearing an scFv, the next step was to determine if its in-

 Table 1. Plaque Reduction Neutralization (PRN)

 Titers of Pseudotyped VSV

Virus	Reciprocal PRN titer			
	aMV-serum		αVSV-serum	
	Exp. 1	<i>Exp.</i> 2	Exp. 1	<i>Exp.</i> 2
MVG	128	128	<2	<2
VSV∆G-FH	512	256	<2	<2
VSV∆G-αEGFR	512	256	<2	<2
VSV∆G-αFR	512	512	<2	<2
VSVΔαPSMA	512	1,024	<2	<2
VSV∆G-G	<2	<2	2,048	2,048

fectivity can indeed be retargeted to cells expressing the corresponding receptor. To test the specificity of VSV pseudotypes, virus infection was performed on an array of CHO cells expressing the specific receptors. As shown in Fig. 4A, virus entry and infection, as shown by the presence of GFP expression, were restricted to receptor-positive cells, and not in receptor-negative cells, for each of the respective retargeted VSV vectors. It is important to note that MV-H-scFv contained two point mutations at residues 481 and 533, rendering them unable to interact with MV natural receptors, CD46 and SLAM (Nakamura *et al.*, 2004). Therefore, VSVAG- α EGFR, VSVAG- α FR, and VSVAG- α PSMA were not able to infect CHO-CD46 or CHO-SLAM cells. The numbers of GFP-positive cells were counted, demonstrating the specificity of these pseudotyped vectors (Fig. 4B).

To evaluate the tropism of the VSV vectors in neurons, human cortical neuronal cells HCN-1A were transduced with VSV Δ G-G or the VSV Δ G-FH-retargeted vectors. As expected, these CD46, EGFR-positive human HCN-1A cells were transduced by VSV Δ G-G, VSV Δ G-FH, and VSV Δ G- α EGFR, but not by α FR- or PSMA-specific vectors (Fig. 5).

Specificity of retargeted VSV pseudotypes is conserved in vivo

After confirming that the retargeted VSV vectors specifically infected receptor-positive cells, we wanted to know if the same specificity is conserved when theses viruses are injected in mice. Human tumor cell lines, KAS 6/1 (EGFR-, αFR-, and PSMA-negative cells), SKOV3ip.1 (EGFR- and αFR-positive cells), PC3 (PSMA-negative cells), and PC3-PSMA cells were injected subcutaneously in the flanks of either SCID or athymic mice. Once the tumors reached 0.5 cm in diameter, 10⁶ infectious viruses were injected intratumorally. The tumors were harvested 2 days later for analysis. As shown in Fig. 6, there was robust GFP expression in the receptor-positive tumor, but not in receptor-negative tumors. Hence, we confirmed that the corresponding retargeted VSV vectors were stable and maintained their tropism in vivo and could efficiently infect receptor-positive tumors (Fig. 6).

Discussion

In this article, we have demonstrated that VSV can be retargeted by the incorporation of MV F and H proteins displaying scFv against EGFR, aFR, and PSMA. The pseudotyped VSV preferentially infected cells expressing the corresponding receptor of the displayed scFv. This specificity was conserved in vitro and in vivo. One of the main concerns with the use of VSV as a modality for cancer therapy is its neurotoxic effects when injected into experimental animals (Clarke et al., 2006; Jenks et al., 2010). Several groups have thus engineered VSV to restrict virus replication in normal cells while conserving its oncolytic properties selectively in tumor cells. Strategies include insertion of transgenes such as IFN- β (Obuchi *et al.*, 2003), which restricts VSV replication in normal but not in cancer cells, or mutations in the matrix protein, which interferes with transport of mRNA from the nucleus to the cytoplasm (Stojdl et al., 2003), and insertion of miRNA sequences to restrict viral replication in specific cell types (Edge et al., 2008; Kelly et al., 2010). However, a 100% protection has not yet been achieved when animals were



FIG. 3. Immunochemical analysis of VSV pseudotypes. Viral supernatants were purified, and proteins were fractionated by SDS-PAGE. MV and VSV proteins were detected with polyclonal anti-MV or anti-VSV antibodies.

given very high doses of these viruses. For example, BALB/c mice tolerated 10^9 TCID₅₀ VSV-mIFN β , but 10^{10} TCID₅₀ VSV-mIFN β injected intravenously resulted in encephalitis in the animals (Jenks *et al.*, 2010). BALB/c mice injected intracranially with VSV incorporating neuronal miRNA (VSV-mir125L) target sequences tolerated significantly higher

doses of virus (intracranial injection of 1×10^4 VSV). Although all mice had to be euthanized due to neurotoxicity from parental VSV, only one of 10 mice eventually succumbed to VSV-mir125L-induced encephalitis (Kelly *et al.*, 2010).

An additional layer of targeting could be added by transductional targeting through control of VSV infection and cell entry. So far, there have been no published reports on engineering the G protein of the virus for retargeting, but a number of VSV have been pseudotyped with envelope glycoproteins from various viruses, including MV, lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus, and Sindbis virus (Tatsuo et al., 2000a; Bergman et al., 2004; Muik et al., 2011). By using VSV vectors pseudotyped with H and F proteins from the Edmonston vaccine and KA wild-type strains of MV, it was demonstrated that the H protein of MV controls virus tropism (Tatsuo et al., 2000a). In that study, a mutant VSV lacking the glycoprotein gene (VSV ΔG) was used to infect cells transiently expressing MV-H and MV-F to generate a VSV that incorporated MV-H/F proteins. The tropism of the resulting virus was limited to cells expressing CD46 and SLAM, which are the cellular receptors of MV. Recently, it was demonstrated that targeting ligands, including singlechain antibodies, could be displayed as C-terminal extensions on the hemagglutinin attachment protein of MV coat proteins of MV, resulting in exquisite control of MV tropism (Nakamura et al., 2005; Lech and Russell, 2010). Using this



FIG. 4. Retargeted VSV pseudotypes preferentially transduced receptorpositive cells. (A) Photographs of SKOV3.ip.1, KAS 6/1, PC3, and PC3-PSMA cells at 24 hr post transduction by retargeted VSV pseudotypes (MOI 3.0). GFP signal was observed under an epifluorescence microscope. (B) Quantitation of the numbers of VSVtransduced GFP-expressing cells at 24 hr post infection (MOI 0.1). Data show the average of three independent experiments.



FIG. 5. Human neuronal cells were not transduced by α FRor α PSMA-retargeted VSV Δ G pseudotypes (MOI 1.0). Representative photographs of GFP-expressing cells taken at 48 hr post transduction under an epifluorescence microscope (100× magnification) are shown.

VSV∆G-PSMA

MV display platform, the titers obtained for the MV pseudotyped vectors were 10^7 and 10^5 TCID₅₀/ml for VSV Δ G-FH and VSVAG-FH-scFv, respectively, which were lower than that obtained for the parental VSV Δ G-G at 10⁸ TCID₅₀/ml. This reduction in the titer of viral yield could be due to less efficient incorporation of the foreign capsid proteins incorporated into the VSV virions. Further increases in titers (50fold) could be obtained through ultrafiltration of the vectors. We also tested if modification of the cytoplasmic tails of the glycoproteins could increase vector yield. In another study, where the MV envelope glycoproteins were used successfully to pseudotype lentiviral vectors, thus permitting infection of quiescent cells, the MV proteins were truncated to enable more efficient incorporation into HIV-1 vectors (Frecha et al., 2008, 2010; Funke et al., 2009). In contrast to the HIV-1 vectors, we determined that VSV vectors more efficiently incorporated H/F with native cytoplasmic tails than with the truncated tails, giving higher titer vectors when tested on indicator cells.

As observed with MV, the tropisms of the VSV/MV pseudotypes were controlled very tightly by the displayed scFv, both *in vitro* and *in vivo* by intratumoral injection of the vectors into subcutaneous tumors in mice. There was a clear difference in the presence or absence of GFP-positive cells in



FIG. 6. Specificity of retargeted VSV pseudotypes was retained *in vivo*. Subcutaneous SKOV3.ip.1, KAS 6/1, PC3, or PC3-PSMA tumors were injected intratumorally with one dose of 10^6 retargeted VSV vectors. Tumors were harvested 48 hr later, and GFP signals were detected using a fluorescence microscope. Representative images are shown ($100 \times$ magnification). Color images available online at www .liebertonline.com/hum

receptor-positive tumors and receptor-negative tumors, confirming that the specificity of VSV, given by MV-F and MV-H proteins, is still conserved *in vivo*. Importantly, the VSV pseudotypes ablated for binding to CD46 and SLAM, but retargeted to tumor-associated receptors such as α FR or PSMA, did not infect human neuronal cells. In contrast, both the parental VSV Δ G-G and VSV Δ G-FH were able to transduce the neuronal cells. Hence, transductional targeting of VSV may be an effective approach to minimize the neurotoxicity associated with experimental inoculation of replication-competent VSV into research animals (Clarke *et al.*, 2006; Jenks *et al.*, 2010).

This demonstration that it is feasible to redirect the infection of VSV to tumor-associated receptors via an scFv in the MV-H protein is the first step to obtaining an oncolytic VSV whose replication and spread are dependent on the H-scFv attachment protein. A potential weakness in using the MV H and F envelopes is the prevalence of preexisting antimeasles antibodies in the patient population that could neutralize the systemically administered virus, thus decreasing the antitumor potential of this virus. Although first it is necessary to test if a replicative VSV-FH has efficacy against tumor cells, it is important to note that there are several strategies to avoid virus neutralization by the immune system. One of them is to use VSV-FH to treat malignancies, such as multiple myeloma, where the patients have no or low antimeasles antibodies (Dingli et al., 2005). Other strategies include shielding viral envelope proteins with polymers or hiding the viruses in cell carriers that home to the tumor sites (Russell and Peng, 2009; Fisher and Seymour, 2010). However, the prevalence of antimeasles antibodies in the population also implies that the chimeric virus VSV-FH (if transmissible) would not likely represent a risk for the caregivers of the cancer patients.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated, as proof of concept, that VSV infection can be specifically retargeted using MV proteins bearing an scFv in the H protein and that the FH pseudotyped VSV infects only cells expressing the corresponding receptor both in *vitro* and *in vivo*. This work represents an advance in controlling the specificity of VSV vectors with the goal of increasing the safety of VSV when used as an anticancer therapy.

Acknowledgments

We thank Suzanne Greiner for expert technical assistance with the animal experiments. This work is funded by grants from the NIH/NCI (CA118488, CA129193, and CA129966).

Author Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist for all authors.

References

- Balachandran, S., and Barber, G.N. (2000). Vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) therapy of tumors. IUBMB Life 50, 135–138.
- Balachandran, S., and Barber, G.N. (2004). Defective translational control facilitates vesicular stomatitis virus oncolysis. Cancer Cell 5, 51–65.
- Bergman, I., Whitaker-Dowling, P., Gao, Y., and Griffin, J.A. (2004). Preferential targeting of vesicular stomatitis virus to breast cancer cells. Virology 330, 24–33.

- Bergman, I., Griffin, J.A., Gao, Y., and Whitaker-Dowling, P. (2007). Treatment of implanted mammary tumors with recombinant vesicular stomatitis virus targeted to her2/neu. Int. J. Cancer 121, 425–430.
- Chang, S.S., Reuter, V.E., Heston, W.D., *et al.* (1999). Five different anti-prostate-specific membrane antigen (psma) antibodies confirm psma expression in tumor-associated neovasculature. Cancer Res. 59, 3192–3198.
- Clarke, D.K., Cooper, D., Egan, M.A., et al. (2006). Recombinant vesicular stomatitis virus as an hiv-1 vaccine vector. Springer Semin. Immunopathol. 28, 239–253.
- Dingli, D., Peng, K.W., Harvey, M.E., et al. (2005). Interaction of measles virus vectors with Auger electron emitting radioisotopes. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 337, 22–29.
- Dörig, R.E., Marcil, A., Chopra, A., and Richardson, C.D. (1993). The human CD46 molecule is a receptor for measles virus (Edmonston strain). Cell 75, 295–305.
- Edge, R.E., Falls, T.J., Brown, C.W., et al. (2008). A let-7 micro-RNA-sensitive vesicular stomatitis virus demonstrates tumorspecific replication. Mol. Ther. 16, 1437–1443.
- Ekstrand, A.J., James, C.D., Cavenee, W.K., *et al.* (1991). Genes for epidermal growth factor receptor, transforming growth factor *α*, and epidermal growth factor and their expression in human gliomas *in vivo*. Cancer Res. 51, 2164–2172.
- Fisher, K.D., and Seymour, L.W. (2010). HPMA copolymers for masking and retargeting of therapeutic viruses. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 62, 240–245.
- Frecha, C., Costa, C., Negre, D., *et al.* (2008). Stable transduction of quiescent T cells without induction of cycle progression by a novel lentiviral vector pseudotyped with measles virus glycoproteins. Blood 112, 4843–4852.
- Frecha, C., Levy, C., Cosset, F.L., and Verhoeyen, E. (2010). Advances in the field of lentivector-based transduction of T and B lymphocytes for gene therapy. Mol. Ther. 18, 1748–1757.
- Funke, S., Maisner, A., Muhlebach, M.D., et al. (2008). Targeted cell entry of lentiviral vectors. Mol. Ther. 16, 1427–1436.
- Funke, S., Schneider, I.C., Glaser, S., et al. (2009). Pseudotyping lentiviral vectors with the wild-type measles virus glycoproteins improves titer and selectivity. Gene Ther. 16, 700–705.
- Garcia-Sastre, A., and Biron, C.A. (2006). Type 1 interferons and the virus-host relationship: a lesson in detente. Science 312, 879–882.
- Hadac, E.M., Peng, K.W., Nakamura, T., and Russell, S.J. (2004). Reengineering paramyxovirus tropism. Virology 329, 217–225.
- Hasegawa, K., Nakamura, T., Harvey, M., *et al.* (2006). The use of a tropism-modified measles virus in folate receptor-targeted virotherapy of ovarian cancer. Clin. Cancer Res. 12, 6170–6178.
- Jenks, N., Myers, R., Greiner, S.M., *et al.* (2010). Safety studies on intrahepatic or intratumoral injection of oncolytic vesicular stomatitis virus expressing interferon- β in rodents and non-human primates. Hum. Gene Ther. 21, 451–462.
- Johnson, J.E., Nasar, F., Coleman, J.W., *et al.* (2007). Neurovirulence properties of recombinant vesicular stomatitis virus vectors in non-human primates. Virology 360, 36–49.
- Kelly, E.J., Nace, R., Barber, G.N., and Russell, S.J. (2010). Attenuation of vesicular stomatitis virus encephalitis through microRNA targeting. J. Virol. 84, 1550–1562.
- Lech, P.J., and Russell, S.J. (2010). Use of attenuated paramyxoviruses for cancer therapy. Expert Rev. Vaccines 9, 1275– 1302.
- Liu, C., Hasegawa, K., Russell, S.J., et al. (2009). Prostate-specific membrane antigen retargeted measles virotherapy for the treatment of prostate cancer. Prostate 69, 1128–1141.

EGFR-, FOLATE R-, AND PSMA-TARGETED VSV

- Majid, A.M., Ezelle, H., Shah, S., and Barber, G.N. (2006). Evaluating replication-defective vesicular stomatitis virus as a vaccine vehicle. J. Virol. 80, 6993–7008.
- Muik, A., Kneiske, I., Werbizki, M., et al. (2011). Pseudotyping vesicular stomatitis virus with lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus glycoproteins enhances infectivity for glioma cells and minimizes neurotropism. J. Virol. 85, 5679–5684.
- Nakamura, T., Peng, K.W., Vongpunsawad, S., et al. (2004). Antibody-targeted cell fusion. Nat. Biotechnol. 22, 331–336.
- Nakamura, T., Peng, K.W., Harvey, M., et al. (2005). Rescue and propagation of fully retargeted oncolytic measles viruses. Nat. Biotechnol. 23, 209–214.
- Obuchi, M., Fernandez, M., and Barber, G.N. (2003). Development of recombinant vesicular stomatitis viruses that exploit defects in host defense to augment specific oncolytic activity. J. Virol. 77, 8843–8856.
- Ong, H.T., Trejo, T.R., Pham, L.D., et al. (2009). Intravascularly administered RGD-displaying measles viruses bind to and infect neovessel endothelial cells in vivo. Mol. Ther. 17, 1012–1021.
- Paraskevakou, G., Allen, C., Nakamura, T., et al. (2007). Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-retargeted measles virus strains effectively target EGFR- or EGFRviii expressing gliomas. Mol. Ther. 15, 677–686.
- Peng, K.W., Donovan, K.A., Schneider, U., et al. (2003). Oncolytic measles viruses displaying a single-chain antibody against cd38, a myeloma cell marker. Blood 101, 2557–2562.
- Russell, S.J., and Peng, K.W. (2007). Viruses as anticancer drugs. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 28, 326–333.
- Russell, S.J., and Peng, K.W. (2009). Measles virus for cancer therapy. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 330, 213–241.
- Stojdl, D.F., Lichty, B.D., Tenoever, B.R., et al. (2003). VSV strains with defects in their ability to shutdown innate immunity are potent systemic anti-cancer agents. Cancer Cell 4, 263–275.

- Tatsuo, H., Okuma, K., Tanaka, K., *et al.* (2000a). Virus entry is a major determinant of cell tropism of Edmonston and wildtype strains of measles virus as revealed by vesicular stomatitis virus pseudotypes bearing their envelope proteins. J. Virol. 74, 4139–4145.
- Tatsuo, H., Ono, N., Tanaka, K., and Yanagi, Y. (2000b). [the cellular receptor for measles virus: Slam (cdw 150)]. Uirusu 50, 289–296.
- Toffoli, G., Cernigoi, C., Russo, A., Gallo, A., *et al.* (1997). Overexpression of folate binding protein in ovarian cancers. Int. J. Cancer 74, 193–198.
- Vongpunsawad, S., Oezgun, N., Braun, W., and Cattaneo, R. (2004). Selectively receptor-blind measles viruses: identification of residues necessary for slam- or cd46-induced fusion and their localization on a new hemagglutinin structural model. J. Virol. 78, 302–313.
- Wang, X., Yin, L., Rao, P., et al. (2007). Targeted treatment of prostate cancer. J. Cell. Biochem. 102, 571–579.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Kah-Whye Peng Department of Molecular Medicine Mayo Clinic 200 First Street SW Rochester, MN 55905

E-mail: peng.kah@mayo.edu

Received for publication August 11, 2011; accepted after revision December 14, 2011.

Published online: December 15, 2011.