3' End of the malEFG operon in E.coli: localization of the transcription termination site

Eric Francoz and Elie Dassa

Unité de Programmation Moléculaire et de Toxicologie Génétique, CNRS UA271, INSERM U163, Insitut Pasteur, 28 rue du Dr Roux, 75015 Paris, France

Received January 7, 1988; Revised and Accepted March 9, 1988

Accession no.X06663

### ABSTRACT

The nucleotide sequence of a 981 bp's HincII-PvuII DNA fragment containing the 3' end of the malEFG operon in E. coli was determined. This sequence displayed a putative Rho-independent transcription termination site localized 87 bp's after the stop codon of malG. When cloned into plasmid pKG1800, the HincII-PvuII fragment containing this structure acted as a strong transcription termination signal. By S1 mapping, we demonstrated that the 3' end of the malEFG transcript coincided with the putative transcription termination site. One short open reading frames orf1 (123 bp) and and the beginning of another one orf2 were localized after malG. The transcription termination site is localized within orf1. Consequently malG is the last gene of the malEFG operon. orf2 corresponds exactly to the 5' part of the xylE gene reported independantly (Davis & Henderson, 1987) as the gene coding for the XylE protein, the xylose-proton symport of Escherichia coli.

### **INTRODUCTION**

In *Escherichia coli*, high affinity transport of maltose and maltodextrins is mediated by a shock sensitive, periplasmic binding protein-dependent transport system (reviewed in 1). Five proteins, localized in the three layers of the bacterial envelope, are involved in the transport and are encoded by genes clustered in the *malB* region of the chromosome. These genes are organized in two divergent operons : the *malKlamBmalM* operon and the *malEFG* operon (2; 3; figure 1). *lamB* encodes the maltoporin, which is necessary for maltodextrins uptake and serves as a receptor for bacteriophage Lambda (4). *malE* codes for the periplasmic maltose binding protein (5). *malF*, *malG* and *malK* encode three membrane proteins that are supposed to form a complex in the inner membrane (6; 7). The protein encoded by the *malM* gene has been recently shown to be localized in the periplasmic space but its role is unknown (8).

The DNA sequence of all genes known to be involved in maltose transport has been established (8-13). The complete DNA sequence of the *malKLamBMalM* operon is known and the transcription termination site is localized (8).

The question of the end of the *malEFG* operon was still left open. From genetic complementation and mapping of mutations affecting growth on maltose, *malG* was considered as the last gene of the *malEFG* operon (3). However, from the genetic analysis of mutants impaired in maltodextrins but not in maltose transport, Wandersman et al. (14) suggested the existence of an additional gene localized after *malG* and involved in

maltodextrins transport. Moreover, down to 60 base-pairs (bp) after the stop codon of *malG*, no potential transcription termination signal had been found, while the beginning of a new open reading frame had been detected (13), that could, for example, encode a protein involved in maltodextrins but not in maltose entry.

To decide about the existence of a such supplementary gene, we sequenced the 980 bp's 3'-flanking region of *malG* and we determined the 3' end of the *malEFG* transcript. We found a typical potential Rho-independent transcription termination signal 87 bp's after the *malG* stop codon. This signal acts as a transcriptional terminator when cloned in plasmid pKG1800. By S1 nuclease mapping of the 3' end of a *malG* transcript, we concluded that the *malEFG* operon ends 87 base pairs after the translational stop of the *malG* gene.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# 1) Bacterial and phage strains

The bacterial strains used in this work are listed in Table I.

Strain RR1 $\Delta$ M15 was used as recipient for pUR250 derivative plasmids. Strain TG1 was used for the propagation of phage M13mp8 derivatives. Strains ED51 and ED52 are derivatives of strain CSR603 (15), containing the F'*lacIQ* episome and transformed respectively with plasmids pTAC12 (16) and pTMG1 (see below).

The M13mp8 (17) replicative form, cut with *Sma*I and dephosphorylated, was purchased from Amersham. Competent cells were prepared according to the method of Hanahan (18).

Strains	genotypes	origin
RR1ΔM15	leu, pro, thi, rpsL, hsd (r-,m-) lacZ∆M15 F'(lacl <sup>Q</sup> lacZ∆M15)	U. RUTHER
EFF46	same as RR1 $\Delta$ M15 but containing pEFF46	This work
TG1	pro, thi, hsd (r-) lacZ∆M15	S. WAIN-HOBSON F'(lacIQ lacZ ΔM15 )
N100	pro, recA, galK, rpsL	K. MAC KENNEY
EF 3	same as N100 but containing pEF3	This work
ED 51	thr1, leuB, proA2(Δ), argE3, thi1, ara14, lacY, galK2, xyl5, man1, rpsL 31, tsx33, supE44, phr1, recA1, uvrA 56, F(lacIQZ::Tn5) (pTMG1)	This work
ED52	same as ED52 but containing pTAC 12	This work
ED11	argH, his, recA, rpsL, malG1, F' lacl $Q$	This work

**TABLE 1 :** Escherichia coli strains used for this work.

 All strains are derivatives of Escherichia coli K-12.

### 2). Plasmids

- Plasmid pMB3 (described in 13) carried an insertion of a 6 kilobases (kb) Bgl II fragment from the *malEFG* operon of phage  $\lambda apmalB13$  (19) in the *BamH*I site of pBR322 (Niels Fiil, unpublished). It contained DNA sequences extending more than 2 kb after the translational stop signal for *malG*. This plasmid complemented mutations in *malF* and *malG* and was used as source of DNA in subsequent subcloning experiments.

- Plasmid pED4 was described in (13).

- Plasmid pUR250 (20) was described in (13) and was used for subcloning.

- Plasmid pKG1800 (21) was used to test the presence of transcription termination sites .

- Plasmid pTAC12 (16) was used to overproduce MalG and its mRNA under the control of the *tac* promoter. In order to efficiently repress the *tac12* promoter, *E. coli* strains overproducing the *lac* repressor (*lac IQ* strains) were used.

# 3) DNA cloning and sequencing techniques

General procedures for the isolation of DNA fragments, cloning, rapid purification of plasmids and their characterisation by restriction enzyme analysis have been described in (22).

The DNA sequence of the 3' flanking region of *malG* was determined using a "shot-gun" strategy (23; 24).

Plasmid pEF2 were sonicated in a Vibracell sonicator (Sonics and Materials) and DNA was fractionated on a 5% polyacrylamide gel. Fragments between 400 bp and 700 bp were purified, end-repaired by a treatment with T4 DNA-polymerase, followed by a filling-in reaction with *E. coli* DNA-polymerase (Klenow fragment) and ligated to dephosphorylated, *Sma* I cleaved, M13mp8 replicative form. The products of the reaction were used to transfect *E. coli* strain TG1. Recombinant clones carrying fragments from the 3'-end of the *malEFG* operon were screened by plate hybridization using a 979 bp *HincII-PvuII* probe (fragment A on the figure 1) labelled by nick-translation (22).

Single-stranded templates were prepared from plaques exhibiting positive hybridization signals and were sequenced by the dideoxy chain termination procedure (25) using  $\alpha^{35}$ S dATP (Amersham 400 Ci/mmol) and buffer gradient gels (26). Sequences were compiled and analyzed using the programs of Staden adapted by B. Caudron for the Centre de calcul de l'Institut Pasteur (27).

### 4) Assay of B-galactosidase

The activity of B-galactosidase was determined according to Miller (28) with the following modifications.

- Bacteria were lysed by sonication to preserve the activity of an hypothetic chimeric protein.

- Bacterial debris were removed by centrifugation before reading the absorbance of the reaction mixture.

- Proteins were determined according to Bradford (29).

Strains were grown in minimal M63 medium containing glucose and casaminoacids and

were induced by Isopropyl  $\beta$ -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (10<sup>-3</sup>M) and cyclic AMP (10<sup>-3</sup>M) during two generations before the lysis to ensure a complete induction.

# 5) Maxicells

Maxicells were prepared according to (15). Labelled proteins were analysed by autoradiography after electrophoresis on SDS-polyacrylamide gels (30).

# 6) RNA preparation and nuclease S1 mapping

Total RNA's were prepared by the hot phenol method (31) from strain ED51 either uninduced or induced with IPTG ( $10^{-3}$ M). S1-nuclease mapping of the 3' end of malEFG mRNA was realized as described (31). Two kinds of probes were prepared : 1- a FokI fragment (figure 6A) from plasmid pTMG1 3'-labelled only on the coding strand with the Klenow fragment of the *E. coli* DNA polymerase and <sup>32</sup>P dTTP (32); 2- a single stranded probe from phage HP2, a derivative of phage M13mp8 containing in its *SmaI* site a 979 bp *HincII-PvuII* fragment from plasmid pED4 prepared as described (33)

# 7) Materials

All enzymes were purchased from Boehringer (Mannheim) except for the T4 DNA Ligase which was purchased from Biolabs. They were used according the specifications of the supplyer. Labelled deoxyribonucleotides ( $^{35}$ S and  $^{32}$ P) were purchased from Amersham. Dideoxyribonucleotides and cold deoxyribonucleotides were from Boehringer. Nitrocellulose filters were purchased from Schleicher and Schuell.

# **RESULTS**

# 1. Nucleotide sequence

A 2.8 kb *Hinc* II fragment, named C (figure 1) from pMB3, starting 30 nucleotides before the *malG* stop codon and ending near the hybrid *BamHI-BglII* site of this plasmid was subcloned into the *HincII* site of pUR250. By restriction enzyme analysis of recombinant plasmids, we characterized plasmid pEF1, in which the *malB* fragment is cloned on its natural orientation downstream to the *lac* promoter and plasmid pEF2, where the same insert was present on the opposite orientation. As pEF1 gave poorer DNA yields, we used pEF2 as source of DNA to subclone random DNA fragments from the distal part of the *malEFG* operon into M13mp8, as described in Materials and Methods. Using this shotgun method, we determined the nucleotide sequence of 981 bp's 3' to the *HincII* site in *malG* (figure 2).

We found total agreement with the sequence previously determined (13) for positions 1 to 87. At position 36, two nucleotides after the stop codon of *malG*, we found an ATG codon preceeded by a sequence GAAAGGT that is complementary to the 3' end of the 16S RNA of *E. coli* (34). This ATG was followed by an open reading frame (*orf1*, 123 bp long) having a coding capacity for a polypeptide with 41 amino acids.

We found within *orf 1*, at position 103, a GC-rich palindromic structure followed by a stretch of T's that have all the characters of a typical Rho-independent transcription termination



# Figure 1 : Structure of the *malB* region and fragments cloned in differents plasmids. Upper part : Structure of the *malB* region.

Lower part : Blow up of the end of the malEFG operon

- The DNA fragments clones in the plasmids used in this work are represented.
- pTMG1 was constructed by cloning the 1350 bp fragment B under the *tac* promoter in the *Pvu*II site of pTAC12.
- pEF1 and pEF2 were constructed by cloning in both orientations the 2.8 kb fragment C in the *HincII* site of pUR250.
- pEF3 was constructed by cloning, in its natural orientation, the 979 bp fragment A in the SmaI site of pKG1800

site (figure 3). In accordance with Brendel and Trifonov (35), we found two nucleotides after the run of thymine residues a TCTG motif and five nucleotide before a CGG motif. This structure has a high stabilization energy (36)  $\Delta G = -12.6$  kilocalories (-52.6 kilojoules) and could constitute a transcription termination signal for the *malEFG* operon.

At position 402, the beginning of a second open reading frame (orf 2) preceeded by a potential ribosome binding site GCAGG was detected. The region comprised between the stop codon for orf1 and the start codon for orf2 is 241 bp's long and is AT-rich (69.1%). Translation stop codons were distributed rather evenly within the three reading frames. A search by eye for regions of homology with the consensus sequence of *Escherichia coli* promoters indicated three possible occurences of a promoter.

The fragment of orf 2 was 578 bp long and encoded the 192 first amino acids of a

60 AACGGCCTGACGGCAGGTGGTGTGTGAAAGGTTAAAGATGTTGTTCTGCCAATGTTATGCCG AsnGlyLeuThrAlaGlyGlyValLysGly\*\*\* MetLeuPheCysGlnCysTyrAlaA HincII orf1 120 CTGCACCCTCAACTTACGTTATCCCAACTTGTGACTGTTATTCGGCGCTCCACGGAGCGC  $la {\tt AlaProSerThrTyrValIleProThrCysAspCysTyrSerAlaLeuHisGlyAlaL}$ 180 TTTTTTTCTTCGTCTGCAATCTGAATCGTTCGCCGGTTAATATTTCCATCATAGAGCTT euPhePhePheArgLeuGlnSerGluSerPheAlaGly\*\*\* 240 ATTATTTTACGTTATTTGTTTTCCCACTTACGATAATTCTCTTTCGTGCTCTGAGTCAC 300 **GGCAATAGTATTGTTTTTATCAATTTTGGATAATTATCACAATTAAGATCACAGAAAAGA** 360 CATTACGTAAACGCATTGTAAAAAATGATAATTGCCTTAACTGCCTGACAATTCCAACAT 420 CAATGCACTGATAAAAGATCAGAATGGT<u>CTAAGGCAGGT</u>CTGAATGAATACCCAGTATAA DdeI MetAsnThrGlnTyrAsn xylE 480 **TTCCAGTTATATATTTTCGATTACCTTAGTCGCTACATTAGGTGGTTTATTATTTGGCTA** SerSerTyrIlePheSerIleThrLeuValAlaThrLeuGlyGlyLeuLeuPheGlyTyr 540 CGACACCGCCGTTATTTCCGGTACTGTTGAGTCACTCAATACCGTCTTTGTTGCTCCACA  $\label{eq:linear} AspThrAlaValIleSerGlyThrValGluSerLeuAsnThrValPheValAlaProGln \\$ 600 AAACTTAAGTGAATCCGCTGCCAACTCCCTGTTAGGGTTTTGCG<u>TGGCCA</u>GCGTTCTGAT Rall 660 TGGTTGCATCATCGGCGGTGCCCTCGGTGGTTATTGCAGTAACCGCTTCGGTCGTCGTGA GlyCysIleIleGlyGlyAlaLeuGlyGlyTyrCysSerAsnArgPheGlyArgArgAsp 720 TTCACTTAAGATTGCTGCTGTCCTGTTTTTTTTTTTTCTGGTGTAGGTTCTGCC<u>TGGCCA</u>GA SerLeuLysIleAlaAlaValLeuPhePheIleSerGlyValGlySerAlaTrpProGlu Ball 780 ACTTGGTTTTACCTCTATAAACCCGGACAACACTGTGCCTGTTTATCTGGCAGGTTATGT  ${\tt LeuGlyPheThrSerIleAsnProAspAsnThrValProValTyrLeuAlaGlyTyrVal}$ 840 CCCGGAATTTGTTATTATCGCATTATTGGCGGTATTGGCGTTGGTTTAGCCTCAATGCT ProGluPheValIleTyrArgIleIleGlyGlyIleGlyValGlyLeuAlaSerMetLeu 900 CTCGCCAATGTATATTGCGGAACTGGCTCCAGCTCATATTCGCGGGAAACTGGTCTCTTT SerProMetTyrIleAlaGluLeuAlaProAlaHisIleArgGlyLysLeuValSerPhe 960 TAACCAGTTTGCGATTATTTTCGGGCAACTTTTAGTTTACTGCGTAAACTATTTTATTGC AsnGlnPheAlaIleIlePheGlyGlnLeuLeuValTyrCysValAsnTyrPheIleAla CCGTTCCGGTGATGCCAGCTG **PvuII** ArgSerGlyAspAlaSer

### Figure 2 : Sequence and structure of the 3' flanking region of malG.

The non-coding strand is represented and the protein sequence is shown under the DNA sequence. The numbering starts in the *Hinc*II cutting site located at the 3' end of *malG*. Relevant restriction sites are also shown and underlined. Stop codons are symbolized by three stars. The transcription termination site falls between the two arrows ( $\blacktriangle$ ). Putative ribosome binding sites are boxed.



### Figure 3 : Secondary structure of the transcription termination site.

The consensus sequences A and B described by Brendel and Trifonov (34) are shown. The 3' end of the *malEFG* transcript is located within a zone delimited by black arrows. This secondary structure is highly stabilized, its free energy is -52,6 kilojoules/mole.

polypeptide. In this fragment, the frequency of optimal codons (37) had a low value (f=0.48). Hence orf 2 could be a gene expressed at low levels. It contained a large excess of hydrophobic amino acids and could determine part of an hydrophobic, probably membrane-bound protein. orf2 corresponded exactly to the xylE gene, coding for xylose-proton symport in *Escherichia coli.*, whose nucleotide sequence has been recently reported by Davis and Henderson (39).

# 2. XylE is not co-expressed with MalG

To monitor its eventual expression, xylE was fused to the  $\alpha$ -lacZ coding sequence present on pED4. On this plasmid, a translational fusion between XylE and the  $\alpha$ -fragment of  $\beta$ -galactosidase could be constructed by deleting DNA fragments starting from the 5' proximal *Bal*I site in xylE and ending at *Eco*RI site in the *lacZ* DNA sequence (figure 4 top). From the DNA sequence of pED4, one expects to construct an in frame fusion by digesting the 5'-protruding ends of the *Eco*RI site with the Mung Bean nuclease and ligating the generated flush end to the 3' end of the *Bal*I site. The mixture was used to transform strain RR1 $\Delta$ M15. All ampicillin-resistant transformants presented a white Lac<sup>-</sup> phenotype on 5-Bromo-4-Chloro-3-Indolyl  $\beta$ -D-Galactoside (Xgal), ampicillin, IPTG plates.

We characterized plasmid pEFF46 from one white clone. A *HincII-PvuII* fragment was subcloned in M13 mp8. The sequence at the fusion joint indicated that *xylE* was fused in frame with *lacZ* (figure 4, bottom). One codon of *lacZ* was lost in the course of the fusion experiment. This anomaly could be attributed to a limited double strand digestion by the Mung Bean nuclease. The activity of the fusion protein was assayed and found to be undistinguishable from that present in the *lacZ* deletion strain RR1 $\Delta$ M15, even in the presence of the inducers IPTG and cAMP (table II). Addition of maltose (0.2 %) did not change the



### Figure 4 : Construction of a translational xylE:lacZ fusion in pEFF46.

The top of the figure represents the structure of the insert present in pED4, a derivative of pUR250. An in-frame fusion is realized by digesting the plasmid DNA with *Eco*RI and *Bal*I, removing the 5' protruding end of the *Eco*RI site with the Mung Bean Nuclease and ligating. To check the nucleotide sequence of the fusion joint, a *Hinc*II-*Pvu*II fragment of pEFF46 starting from the *Hinc*II site in *malG* and ending in the *Pvu*II site in *lacZ* was subcloned in M13mp8. The nucleotides from the *lacZ* sequence are in bold characters. The amino acid sequence is shown under the DNA sequence and the numbers refer to the position of the residues in the mature  $\beta$ -galactosidase.

basal level of  $\beta$ -galactosidase. Expression of the *xylE*::*lacZ* fusion could however be induced by xylose (data not shown). These result suggest that *xylE* does not belong to the *malEFG* operon since it is not co-expressed with *malG*.

We then undertook to determine directly the transcription termination site.

# 3. The potential transcription termination site detected 3' to malG is functional.

A 979 bp HincII-PvuII fragment, named fragment A (figure 1), carrying the potential

TABLE 2 :	Specific activity of β-galactosidase and	l of the	e hybrid xylE::lad	Z protein fusion.
	Activities are expressed in $\mu$ mole min <sup>-1</sup>	mg <sup>-1</sup>	o-nitrophenol fo	rmed.

strains	no induction	IPTG cAMP
RR1∆M15	0.045	0.060
RR1∆M15 pUR250	7.8	114
RR1∆M15 pEFF46	0.21	0.057

transcription terminator was cloned in the unique *Smal*I site present in the *galE* gene of the plasmid pKG1800, between the *gal* promoter and the *galK* gene. We characterized, by restriction enzyme analysis, the recombinant plasmid pEF3 which contained this fragment in its natural orientation under the control of the *gal* promoter.

Plasmid pEF3 was unable to complement the galK mutation of strain N100. This indicated that the galK gene present on the plasmid pEF3 was not expressed and, consequently, that fragment A contained a strong transcription termination signal.

To confirm this result, we undertook a precise localization of the 3' end of the malEFG transcript. For this purpose, we constructed the recombinant plasmid pTMG1 in order to amplify mRNA's from the distal end of the operon. A 1350 bp DdeI fragment containing malG, named B on figure 1 was end-repaired using *E.coli* DNA polymerase Klenow fragment in the presence of the four dNTP's and ligated to pTAC12 cleaved by PvuII and dephosphorylated. The ligation products were used to transform strain ED11. Mal<sup>+</sup>, ampicillin-resistants derivatives were screened on Mac Conkey agar plates supplemented with maltose and ampicillin. Plasmid DNA was isolated from Mal<sup>+</sup> colonies and analyzed with restriction enzymes. All Mal<sup>+</sup> clones yielded a recombinant plasmid in which the *DdeI* fragment was cloned in the right orientation under the the control of the *tac* promoter.

*malG* was correctly expressed on pTMG1 (figure 5, lane 3). Maxicells prepared from strain ED52 (containing pTMG1) were shown to direct the synthesis of a 24 kd polypeptide, with the same migration as MalG on SDS-polyacrylamide gels, as determined previously in an



### Figure 5 : Synthesis of polypeptides directed by pTMG1.

Polypeptides synthetized in maxicells analyzed by autoradiography after electrophoresis on SDS-polyacrylamide gels (29).

- Lane 1 : Size markers; phosphorylase b (M.W. 92,500), bovine serum albumine (M.W. 69,000), ovalbumine (M.W. 46,000), carbonic anhydrase (M.W. 30,000).

- Lane 2 : Maxicell total extract of strain ED 52 containing the plasmid pTAC12. The polypeptide with M.W. 30 000 is probably the  $\beta$ -lactamase and that with M.W. 45 000 is encoded by the Flac factor.

- Lane 3 : Maxicell total extract of strain ED51 containing the recombinant plasmid pTMG1. The apparent M.W. of MalG is 24 000.

*in vitro* coupled transcription-translation system (Dassa and Hofnung, 1985). This polypeptide was absent in extracts made in parallel from strain ED51 (containing pTAC12).

Total RNA's were prepared from strain ED51, carrying plasmid pTMG1 as described in Materials and Methods. After hybridization of either induced or uninduced mRNAs with the *FokI* probe and treatment with S1 nuclease, a family of fragments ranging between 221 and 226 bp's was protected (figure 6B). This size corresponds exactly to the distance between the end of the probe and the putative transcription termination site. The 3' end of the transcript is localized within the stretch of thymidine residues following the palindrome (figure 3). A band that could correspond to the native double-stranded probe is present on the top of the gel.



Figure 6 : S1 nuclease mapping of the 3' end of the *malEFG* operon. -part A : Structure of the *FokI* probe used for the S1 mapping. A 444 bp's *FokI* fragment from pTMG1 was 3'end-labelled. By using  $\alpha$  <sup>32</sup>P dTTP, only the *FokI* site in *malG* was labelled. The deduced location of the 3' end of the *malEFG* transcript is indicated () -part B : Autoradiography of a gel showing S1 nuclease mapping of the 3' end of transcripts

terminating within the *malEFG* operon. The *FokI* probe (20 000 cpm in each experiment) was denatured and hybridized to RNA's of strains ED51 and ED52. Hybrids were digested with nuclease S1 and run on a sequencing gel. Reaction products of a dideoxy DNA sequence were loaded as size markers.

Lane 1 : Double stranded probe without RNA and S1 nuclease treatment.

Lane 2 : Probe without RNA but treated with 300 units of S1 nuclease.

Lane 3 : Probe with ED 52 RNA prepared after IPTG induction treated with 300 units of S1 nuclease.

Lane 4 : Same experiment as in Lane 3 but treated with 1000 units of S1 nuclease.

Lane 5 : Probe with ED 52 RNA prepared without induction treated with 300 units of S1 nuclease.

Lane 6: Same experiment as in Lane 5 but treated with 1000 units of S1 nuclease.

Alternatively, this band could be interpreted as fragments protected by mRNA's longer than the probe. However this hypothesis is ruled out by a second S1 experiment with a single stranded probe prepared from phage HP2 (see materials and methods, section 6). Only fragments sizing between 121 bp and 126 bp were protected, corresponding to the distance between the end of the probe and the putative Rho-independent transcription termination site (data not shown). Thus the 3' end of the *malEFG* transcript is localized between 87 bp and 92 bp after the stop codon of *malG* which is the last gene of the *malEFG* operon.

### DISCUSSION

We determined the nucleotide sequence of the 3' end region of the *malEFG* operon. This sequence contains one open reading frame *orf1* and the beginning of the *xylE* gene encoding the proton-dependent xylose transport system (39). The 3' end of the *malEFG* transcript coincides with a putative Rho-independent transcription termination site located within *orf1*. By fusion with *lacZ*, we also showed that *xylE* does not belong to the *malEFG* operon.

While seaking for mutants affected in maltodextrins but not in maltose uptake, Wandersmann et al. (14) isolated two mutants which mapped in *malG* or in a yet unidentified new gene located downstream *malG*. If this gene existed, it would encode a protein involved specifically in maltodextrins uptake. Our results, demonstrating that *malG* is the last gene of the *malEFG* operon, suggest that these Mal<sup>+</sup>Dex<sup>-</sup> mutations are located within *malG* and consequently, that the MalG protein could exhibit different recognition sites for maltose and for maltodextrins. This conclusion is consistent with the recent report of a mutation in *malG* allowing growth on the maltodextrin analog 4-β-D-Maltopentaosyl-D-gluco pyranoside that presented severe perturbations in the specificity of maltose transport (38).

The sequence of the *malG-xylE* intergenic divide has been established independently by Davis and Henderson (39) who reported also the complete sequence of *xylE*. The sequence they determined for the 981 bp's fragment is identical to that presented in this paper excepting two differences in the stem and loop structure defining the transcription termination signal of the *malEFG* operon. At position 116, we found a GCGC motif rather than GCCG as in their sequence. If the latter was true, the stem of the terminator would be destabilized by the presence of a non pairing GC sequence (107-108). This difference may be due to base compression on the gels while sequencing DNA fragments with secondary structures (the DNA sequence for positions 1 to 175 was covered only once in the work from Davis and Henderson) or to allelic differences between the strains used. Our gels show clearly that the actual sequence is GCGC and this is substantiated by our results showing that the Rho-independent transcription termination signal acts as a strong terminator.

The complete sequence of the *malB* regulon involved in maltose transport is now established. A function for all the genes except *malM* has been proposed. The complete molecular description of the regulon opens the way to an exhaustive study of this system.

# AKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Maurice Hofnung for his constant interest, for helpful discussions and for reviewing the manuscript. We thank W. Saurin for help in computer analysis.

This work was supported by grants from the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale, the Ligue

Nationale contre le Cancer and the Association pour le Développement de la Recherche sur le Cancer.

### REFERENCES

- Hengge R. & Boos W. (1983) Biochem. Biophy. Acta, 732, 443-478.
- 2 -Hofnung M. (1974) Genetics, 76, 169-184.
- 3 -Silhavy T.J., Brickman E., Bassford P.J. Jr., Casadaban M.J., Shuman H.A., Schwartz V., Guarente L., Schwartz M. & Beckwith J. (1979) Molec. Gen. Genet., **174**, 249-259.
- Szmelcman S. & Hofnung M. (1975) J. Bacteriol., 124, 112-118. 4 -
- Kellerman O. & Szmelcman S. (1974) Eur. J. Biochem. 47, 139-149. 5 -
- 6 -Shuman H.A. (1982) Ann. Microbiol. (Institut Pasteur), 133A, 153-159.
- 7 -Shuman H.A., Silhavy T.J. & Beckwith J. (1980) J. Biol. Chem. 256, 168-174.
- 8 -Gilson E., Rousset J.P., Charbit A., Perrin D. & Hofnung M. (1986) J. Mol. Biol., 191, 303-311.
- 9 -Clément J.M. & Hofnung M. (1981) Cell, 27, 507-514.
- 10 -
- Gilson E., Nikaido H. & Hofnung M. (1982). Nucl. Acid. Res., 10, 7449-7458. Duplay P. Bedouelle H., Fowler A., Zabin I., Saurin W. & Hofnung M. (1984) J. Biol. 11 -Chem., **259**, 10606-10613. Froshauer S. & Beckwith J. (1984) J. Biol. Chem., **259**, 10896-10903.
- 12 -
- Dassa E. & Hofnung M. (1985). EMBO J., 4, 2287-2293. 13 -
- Wandersmann C., Schwartz M. & Ferenci T. (1979) J. Bacteriol., 140, 1-13. Sancar A., Mack A.M. & Rupp W.D. (1979) J. Bacteriol., 137, 692-693. 14 -
- 15 -
- Amann E., Brosius J. & Ptashne M. (1983) Gene, 25, 167-178. 16 -
- 17 -Messing J. & Vieira J. (1982) Gene, 19, 269-276.
- Hanahan D. (1983) J. Mol. Biol., 166, 557-580 18 -
- Marchal C., Greenblatt J. & Hofnung M. (1979) J. Bacteriol. 136, 1109-1119. 19 -
- 20 Rüther U. (1982) Nucl. Acid. Res., 10, 5765-5772.
  21 Mac Kenney K., Shimatake H., Court D., Schmeissner U., Brady C. & Rosenberg M. (1981) in Gene Amplification and Analysis, Chirikjian J.C. & Papas T.S. eds., Vol. II, pp. 383-414, Elsevier North Holland.
- 22 -Maniatis T., Frisch E.F. & Sambrook J. (1982) Molecular cloning, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor N.Y.
- 23 -Messing J., Crea R. & Seeburg P.H. (1981) Nucl. Acid. Res., 9, 309-321.
- 24 Deininger P.L. (1983) Anal. Biochem., 129, 216-223.
- 25 -Sanger F., Nicken S. & Coulson A.R. (1977) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.USA, 74, 5463-5467.
- 26 -Biggin M., Gibson T. & Hong G. (1983) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.USA, 80, 3963-3965.
- Staden R. (1982) Nucl. Acid. Res., 10, 2951-2961. 27 -
- Miller J. (1972) Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor N.Y. 28 -
- 29 -Bradford M. (1976) Anal. Biochem., 72, 248-254
- 30 -Laemmli U.K., (1970) Nature, 227, 680-685.
- 31 -Aiba H., Sankar A. & de Crombrugghe B. (1981) J. Biol. Chem., 256, 11905-11910.
- Burke J.F. (1984) Gene, 30, 63-63. 32 -
- 33 -Weaver R.F. & Weissman C. (1979) Nucl. Acid. Res., 7,1175-1193.
- Shine J. & Dalgarno L. (1974) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.USA, 75, 3737-3742. 34 -
- Brendel V. & Trifonov E.N. (1984) Nucl. Acid. Res., 12, 4411-4427. 35 -
- 36 -Tinoco I. Jr., Borer P.N., Dengler B., Levine M.D., Uhlenbeck O.C., Crothers D.M. & Gralla J. (1973). Nature New Biology, 246, 40-41.
- 37 -Ikemura T. (1981) J. Mol. Biol., 151, 389-409.
- 38 Ferenci T., Muir M., Lee K. S. & Maris D. (1986) Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 840, 44-50
- 39 Davis E. O. & Henderson P. J. F. (1987) J. Biol. Chem., 262, 13928-13932