Surfactant Protein D (Sp-D) Binds to Membrane-proximal Domain (D3) of Signal Regulatory Protein α (SIRP α), a Site Distant from Binding Domain of CD47, while Also Binding to Analogous Region on Signal Regulatory Protein β (SIRP β)^{*S}

Received for publication, November 15, 2011, and in revised form, April 3, 2012 Published, JBC Papers in Press, April 16, 2012, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M111.324533

Bénédicte Fournier^{‡1}, Rakieb Andargachew^{‡2}, Alexander Z. Robin^{‡2}, Oskar Laur[§], Dennis R. Voelker[¶], Winston Y. Lee[‡], Dominique Weber[‡], and Charles A. Parkos[‡]

From the [‡]Epithelial Pathobiology Research Unit, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia 30322, the [§]Emory Vaccine Center, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia 30322, and the [¶]Department of Medicine, National Jewish Health, Denver, Colorado 80206

Background: Binding of SIRP α to its ligands CD47 and surfactant protein D (Sp-D) regulates many myeloid cell functions. **Results:** Sp-D binds to *N*-glycosylated sites in the membrane-proximal domain of SIRP α and SIRP β , another related SIRP. **Conclusion:** Sp-D binds to a site on SIRP α distant from that of CD47.

Significance: Multiple ligand binding sites on SIRP α may afford differential regulation of receptor function.

Signal regulatory protein α (SIRP α), a highly glycosylated type-1 transmembrane protein, is composed of three immunoglobulin-like extracellular loops as well as a cytoplasmic tail containing three classical tyrosine-based inhibitory motifs. Previous reports indicate that SIRP α binds to humoral pattern recognition molecules in the collectin family, namely surfactant proteins D and A (Sp-D and Sp-A, respectively), which are heavily expressed in the lung and constitute one of the first lines of innate immune defense against pathogens. However, little is known about molecular details of the structural interaction of Sp-D with SIRPs. In the present work, we examined the molecular basis of Sp-D binding to SIRP α using domain-deleted mutant proteins. We report that Sp-D binds to the membraneproximal Ig domain (D3) of SIRP α in a calcium- and carbohydrate-dependent manner. Mutation of predicted N-glycosylation sites on SIRP α indicates that Sp-D binding is dependent on interactions with specific N-glycosylated residues on the membrane-proximal D3 domain of SIRPa. Given the remarkable sequence similarity of SIRP α to SIRP β and the lack of known ligands for the latter, we examined Sp-D binding to SIRPB. Here, we report specific binding of Sp-D to the membrane-proximal D3 domain of SIRPB. Further studies confirmed that Sp-D binds to SIRP α expressed on human neutrophils and differentiated neutrophil-like cells. Because the other known ligand of SIRP α , CD47, binds to the membrane-distal domain D1, these findings indicate that multiple, distinct, functional ligand bind-

19386 JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY

ing sites are present on SIRP α that may afford differential regulation of receptor function.

Signal regulatory proteins (SIRPs)³ are glycosylated type-1 transmembrane receptors belonging to the immunoglobulin superfamily. Three members have been described so far, SIRP α , SIRP β , and SIRP γ . The former two are expressed mainly on myeloid cells such as neutrophils (PMNs), macrophages, and dendritic cells as well as on neurons (1). SIRP α , the best characterized of the SIRPs, is expressed on the cell surface as a noncovalently linked cis-homodimer and contains three extracellular Ig loops (2). The membrane-distal loop termed D1 consists of an immunoglobulin variable-type domain, whereas the membrane-proximal D2 and D3 loops have structures consistent with immunoglobulin constant domains. There is a single pass transmembrane domain and a cytoplasmic tail containing an immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibitory motif (ITIM) that, in some cells, has been shown to interact with SHP-1 and SHP-2 (Src homology region domain-containing phosphatase), tyrosine phosphatases, therefore preventing signaling pathways regulated by some tyrosine kinases (3–5). Thus, SIRP α is an inhibitory receptor, whose activation leads to inhibition of several important myeloid cell functions such as phagocytosis by macrophages or cytokine production (6-8).

A major SIRP α ligand, CD47, is a membrane receptor with an unusual immunoglobulin-like structure that has been shown to interact with the distal Ig domain of SIRP α (D1) (9–12). Interestingly, splenic macrophages from CD47-expressing mice clear infused blood cells from CD47^{-/-} mice, strongly suggesting that interaction of SIRP α with CD47 contributes to recognition of self (13). Two other SIRP α ligands have been reported

³ The abbreviations used are: SIRP, signal regulatory protein; ABTS, 2,2'-azinobis[3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid]-diammonium salt; CRD, carbohydrate recognition domain; HEK293T, human embryonic kidney cell line with T antigen; PMN, polymorphonuclear leukocyte (neutrophil); Sp-D, surfactant protein D.



^{*} This work was supported, in whole or in part, by National Institutes of Health Grants DK079392 and DK072564 (to C. A. P.). This work was also supported by a Senior Research Award from the Crohn's and Colitis Foundation of America sponsored by the Rodenberry Foundation (to B. F.) and by core facilities (confocal microscopy, molecular cloning, and cell culture) funded by a National Institutes of Health sponsored Digestive Diseases Research Development Center Grant R24 DK064399.

S This article contains supplemental Figs. S1–S5.

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed: Dept. of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, 105-B Whitehead Bldg., 615 Michael St., Atlanta, GA 30322. Tel.: 404-712-2818; Fax: 404-727-8538; E-mail: bfourni@emory.edu.

² Both authors contributed equally to this work.

in the literature, namely surfactant proteins D and A (Sp-D and Sp-A), both of which belong to the collectin family. Collectins represent a family of soluble humoral pattern recognition molecules capable of binding the carbohydrate moieties of microorganisms and therefore participate in the immune response against pathogens. Pulmonary surfactant is composed mainly of phospholipids, but also contains various surfactant proteins including Sp-D and representing 10% of the total surfactant pool (14). Sp-D, the focus of this study, consists of a central collagenous domain involved in oligomerization in a trimeric structure and a globular C-terminal lectin-like domain, responsible for carbohydrate recognition (CRD, carbohydrate recognition domain). The trimeric helical structure of Sp-D further oligomerizes into a tetrameric structure that is generally thought to facilitate recognition of bacterial and viral pathogens. The globular trimeric CRD has a high affinity for clustered sugars and specificity for saccharides such as maltose or glucose (15, 16). The ability to differentiate some carbohydrates from others has been suggested to represent an ancient form of pattern recognition that has evolved to discriminate self from foreign pathogen invaders. Indeed, Sp-D is an important component of the pulmonary surfactant involved in host innate immunity capable of binding most Gram-negative bacteria as well as several Gram-positive bacteria, leading to increased opsonization and killing of bacteria (14, 17, 18). Sp-D usually binds to its ligands by a CRD-dependent cell binding requiring calcium and inhibited by several saccharides (18, 19). Besides a high level of expression in the lungs, Sp-D expression has also been reported in the small and large intestinal epithelial cells of humans, pigs, rats and, to a lesser extent mice (20-23). Interestingly, Sp-D expression in these locations is limited to epithelial cells in contact with an environment (17). Sp-D function in the intestine, however, remains unknown.

Surfactant protein D has been reported to bind to macrophage SIRP α (24, 25). Sp-D binding to SIRP α was reported to activate SHP-1 phosphorylation leading to the inhibition of p38 activation and decreased cytokine production (24). However, little is known about the structural interaction of Sp-D with SIRP α and more specifically which SIRP α domain(s) binds to Sp-D.

Another closely related family member, SIRP β , shares a high degree of homology with the SIRP α ectodomain, and whether Sp-D is also capable of binding to SIRP β has not yet been studied. Intriguingly, no ligand has been described for SIRP β so far. SIRP β is a cysteine-linked homodimer with three immunoglobulin-like extracellular loops. SIRP β , however, has a very short cytoplasmic tail, and the transmembrane domain has been reported to interact with DAP12, an adaptor protein containing an immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motif (ITAM) in human monocytic cell lines as well as in peritoneal macrophages (26, 27). DAP12 has been shown to trigger tyrosine kinase, Syk, leading to activation of MAPK (27, 28). Thus, SIRP β has been proposed to function as an activating receptor promoting macrophage phagocytosis through DAP12 (27).

In this paper, using a panel of eukaryotically expressed recombinant SIRP α domains, we report that Sp-D binds to SIRP α D3 domain in a calcium- and saccharide-dependent manner. Furthermore, we show that Sp-D binds to all four

N-glycosylated sites within or in immediate proximity of the D3 domain of SIRP α , with strongest binding to a *N*-glycosylated site located at Asn-240 on SIRP α . We show that Sp-D binds to and co-localizes with SIRP α expressed on CHO-SIRP α cells as well as to human promyelocytic cells and PMNs. Given the high degree of structural similarity of SIRP α with SIRP β , we report that Sp-D avidly binds to the D3 domain of SIRP β which opens new interpretations to the relative contributions of SIRP proteins to the regulation of acute inflammatory responses *in vivo*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents and Antibodies—Recombinant human Sp-D (R&D Systems) is commercially available. The following antibodies were used in this study: monoclonal mouse anti-human Sp-D (R&D Systems) and polyclonal goat anti-human Sp-D (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Rabbit polyclonal antiserum against recombinant SIRP α ectodomain was produced by Covance Research Products. Monoclonal antibodies against SIRP α D1 (SAF17.2) and SIRP α D3 (SAF4.2) have been described previously (9). LPS from *Salmonella minnesota* R595 was obtained from List Biological Laboratories.

Cell Lines—Human promyelocytic cell lines (HL60 and PLB985) used in this study were described previously (29, 30). They were differentiated as described previously (31). Briefly, they were incubated in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 20% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1.25% dimethyl sulfoxide for 6–7 days. Human embryonic kidney cell line with T antigen (HEK293T) and wild-type Chinese hamster ovary cell line (CHO-K1) were purchased from ATCC. CHO-K1 cells were transfected with plasmid pcDNA3 containing the SIRP α gene corresponding to GenBank entry BC 029662.1. The GenBank entry for SIRP β constructs was NM 006065. Cells expressing the plasmid were selected with G418 and cloned. Clones stably expressing SIRP α were selected by flow cytometry on a FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences) as described previously (2).

Generation of Truncated and Mutated SIRP α Plasmid Constructs—Site-directed mutagenesis was performed by overlap extension using complementary PCR primers containing the mutation (32). Forward and reverse end primers were designed with HindIII and BamHI sites. DNA constructs encoding various ectodomains of SIRP α tagged with His₁₀ (SIRP α -His) were cloned into pcDNA3 (Invitrogen) as described previously (9). All constructs were verified by DNA sequencing.

Purification of Recombinant Proteins—HEK293T cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS. Transient transfections of HEK293T cells with SIRP α plasmids were conducted using polyethylenimine (PEI) as described previously (33). His₁₀-tagged recombinant proteins such as SIRP α D1D2D3-His were purified by gravity-flow chromatography using nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid-agarose according to the instructions of the manufacturer (Qiagen). Proteins were characterized by SDS-PAGE, and protein purity was assessed by staining the gel with Coomassie Blue.

In Vitro Binding Assays—In vitro binding assays were performed as described previously with several changes (34). Immulon II 96-well plates were coated overnight at 4 °C with 2



 μ g/ml SIRP α D1D2D3-His and blocked for 1 h with 3% BSA. 2 μ g/ml rhSp-D in PBS containing 0.1 g/liter CaCl_2, 0.1 g/liter MgCl_2 6H_2O (PBS with Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+}), and 9% BSA were added and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. After washing, 1 μ g/ml monoclonal anti-human Sp-D in PBS containing Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} and 3% BSA was added to the wells and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. After extensive washes, 0.4 μ g/ml horse-radish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) in PBS containing Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} and 3% BSA was used to detect the primary antibody. Peroxidase was detected with one-step Ultra TMB ELISA (Thermo Scientific). The reaction was stopped with 1 $\rm M$ H_2SO₄, and the $A_{405~\rm nm}$ was measured.

CD47 inhibition of Sp-D binding to SIRP α was performed as follows. Immulon II wells were coated with SIRP α D1D2D3-His at 1 μ g/ml and further incubated with supernatants of transfected CHO cells containing soluble CD47 ectodomain fused to alkaline phosphatase (CD47AP) (34). Sp-D binding was assessed with mAb anti-SP-D as described above. Conversely, SIRP α D1D2D3-His-coated wells were incubated with Sp-D prior to CD47AP addition, and CD47 binding was evaluated by colorimetry using *p*-nitrophenyl phosphate (Sigma-Aldrich) at 405 nm.

Production of Mouse Anti-human SIRPa D3-His-Female BALB/c mice were immunized by injecting 50 μ g of purified human SIRP a D3-His emulsified with complete Freund's adjuvant (Sigma-Aldrich). Four boosts of 50 μ g of SIRP α D3-His emulsified in incomplete Freund's adjuvant (Sigma-Aldrich) were subsequently administered intraperitoneally. Serum specificity was determined by ELISA. In brief, Immulon II 96-well plates were coated overnight at 4 °C with 20 µg/ml goat antirabbit IgG, y-specific (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) and blocked as mentioned previously. 20 µg/ml rabbit Fc-tagged SIRP α proteins were then added to the well before incubation with mouse anti-D3 serum diluted 1:1000. Detection was performed by adding 0.4 μ g/ml peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG, light chain-specific (Jackson Laboratories). Color was developed by ABTS (Sigma-Aldrich) and read at 405 nm.

To further determine serum specificity, and more specifically, CD47 binding in the presence of the anti-D3 serum, microtiter wells were coated with 0.5 μ g/ml SIRP α D1D2D3 ectodomain overnight followed by incubation with a 1:25 dilution of anti-SIRP α D3 serum or comparable amounts of normal control serum. Cell culture supernatant containing soluble CD47AP was added to the wells. CD47 binding was further measured using *p*-nitrophenyl phosphate.

Serum inhibition binding assays were performed similarly. Coated SIRP α proteins were incubated with sera. Sp-D binding was detected with 1 μ g/ml polyclonal goat anti-human Sp-D and 0.4 μ g/ml peroxidase-conjugated donkey anti-goat IgG (H+L) (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories), followed by Ultra TMB ELISA.

Immmunoblotting—Western blot analysis was performed as described previously (9) using 5% Western blocking reagent (Roche Applied Science). Polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF; Bio-Rad) membrane was incubated with mAbs anti-SIRP α (SAF17.2 or SAF4.2) and the addition of goat anti-mouse IgG



FIGURE 1. **Sp-D binds to the D3 domain of SIRP** α . *A*, maps of soluble Histagged SIRP α fusion proteins. Domains are in *bold*, whereas *numbers* indicate amino acid residues delineating the three domains according to the crystal structure of Hatherley *et al.* (48), and amino acids for domain-specific mutant constructs. *B, in vitro* binding assay of Sp-D to various SIRP α domains immobilized on an Immulon plate and assessed colorimetrically by using a one-step Ultra TMB ELISA. SIRP α D1D2D3 A_{405} was used as 100%. Boiled SIRP α was heated for 5 min at 100 °C. *C*, far Western blot analysis for Sp-D binding to various SIRP α domains. SIRP α domains were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a PVDF membrane, which was subsequently incubated with Sp-D. *D*, Western blot of stripped membrane (*C*) using SIRP α mAb SAF1.2 and SAF4.2 (9) confirming the presence of protein in each *lane*. An unrelated protein (JAM-L) was used as a negative control (*CT*). Results are representative of one of three independent experiments. *Error bars*, S.E.

(H+L) conjugated to peroxidase (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) and revealed by Western blotting chemilumines-cence (Roche Applied Science).

Far Western Blot Analysis—Far Western blotting was carried out according to a previously described procedure with slight modifications (35). 500 ng of denatured SIRP proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes. Membranes were blocked with a binding/blocking buffer (20 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM CaCl₂, 1% polyvinylpyrrolidone, 5% BSA) for 3–4 h and incubated overnight at room temperature in binding/blocking buffer containing 5 μ g/ml rhSp-D. Sp-D bound to the membrane was further detected with 0.1 μ g/ml polyclonal anti-human Sp-D and 0.4 μ g/ml peroxidase-conjugated donkey anti-goat IgG followed by chemiluminescence.





FIGURE 2. **Sp-D binding to SIRP** α **is not impaired by CD47 binding but is inhibited by an anti-human SIRP** α **D3 serum.** *A*, *in vitro* binding assay showing that CD47 and Sp-D can both bind to SIRP α independently. Microtiter wells coated with SIRP α were first incubated with either recombinant ectodomain of CD47 or purified Sp-D, blocked, and then incubated with respective ligands to assess the binding of Sp-D (after incubation with CD47) or CD47 (after incubation with Sp-D). *B*, *in vitro* binding assay confirming anti-SIRP α D3 serum specificity for the D3 domain. Goat anti-rabbit IgG, γ -specific, was coated on microtiter plates, blocked, and subsequently incubated with rabbit Fc-tagged SIRP α WT or mutant proteins. Incubation with diluted anti-SIRP α D3 serum generated in BALB/c mice as detailed under "Materials and Methods" was followed by addition of peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG. IgG binding was further determined by colorimetry using ABTS at 405 nm. *C*, Western blot of various SIRP α domains revealed with the mouse anti-human SIRP α D3 serum diluted 1:4000 followed by goat anti-mouse as described under "Materials and Methods." *D*, CD47 binding to immobilized SIRP α D1D2D3 incubated with normal and anti-D3 sera determined as indicated in Fig. 1*B*. Results are representative of one of three independent experiments. *Error bars*, S.E.

Treatment by N-Glycosidase F and O-Glycosidase—500 ng of denatured His-tagged SIRP α protein was incubated with 200 units/ml N-glycosidase F from *Elizabethkingia miricola* (Sigma-Aldrich) in a buffer containing 150 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 12 mM 1,10-phenanthroline, and 1.2% Nonidet P-40 overnight at 30 °C. To remove O-glycosylated carbohydrates, SIRP α was incubated with 250 milliunits/ml neuraminidase from *Arthrobacter ureafaciens* (Roche Applied Science) and 125 milliunits/ml O-glycosidase from *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (Roche Applied Science) in 50 mM sodium acetate, pH 5.0, overnight at 37 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}.$

Preparation of Human Blood PMNs—Human PMNs were freshly isolated from healthy donors as described previously (36). In brief, blood was subjected to density gradient centrifugation with Polymorphprep (Nycomed Pharma, Oslo, Norway). Remaining erythrocytes were lysed by hypotonic lysis. PMN activation was induced with 0.2 μ M phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate for 10 min at 37 °C.

FIGURE 3. **Sp-D binding to SIRP***α* is calcium-dependent, sugar-specific, and inhibited by LPS. A, in vitro Sp-D binding to SIRP*α* is inhibited by EDTA and EGTA. Calcium chelators (5 mM) were added at the same time as Sp-D. Sp-D binding to microtiter wells coated with SIRP*α* D1D2D3 or D3 domains was assessed as in Fig. 1*B. B, in vitro* binding assay of Sp-D in presence of solutions of various carbohydrates is shown. Graded concentrations of sugars were added at the same time as Sp-D, and the sugar concentration inhibiting 50% of Sp-D binding was then calculated. *C, in vitro* binding assay of Sp-D to SIRP*α* and SIRP*β* in presence of LPS was performed by adding increasing concentrations of LPS from *S. minnesota* at the same time as Sp-D. *Error bars*, S.E.

Immunofluorescence Microscopy—Cells were incubated with $3 \,\mu$ g/ml rhSp-D in either DMEM containing 9% BSA (for CHO cells) or RPMI with 3% BSA (for suspension cells) for 2 h at room temperature and fixed with 1% paraformaldehyde for 40 min at room temperature. A permeabilization step of 0.1% Triton X-100 in Hanks' balanced salt solution containing CaCl₂ (HBSS⁺) for 10 min at room temperature was included when nucleus staining was applied. After a blocking step, cells were incubated with primary antibodies. SIRP α ectodomain was stained with a polyclonal rabbit anti-human SIRP α serum and Sp-D by monoclonal mouse anti-human Sp-D before to be subsequently labeled with 2 μ g/ml secondary antibodies: Alexa Fluor 555 donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) and Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (Invitrogen). Nuclei were stained using 0.1 μ M To-Pro3-iodide (Invitrogen) in HBSS⁺ (15 min at room temperature). Suspension cells were mounted in 1:1 (v/v)phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and ProLong Gold antifade reagent (Invitrogen) and were visualized on a Zeiss LSM 510 Meta Confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss Microimaging, Thornwood, NY).

Statistical Analysis—The statistical method used to analyze results was a Student's t test with two-tailed distribution using GraphPad Prism software. Results are expressed in mean \pm S.E.

RESULTS

Sp-D Binds to SIRP α *D3*—To determine which extracellular domain(s) is involved in Sp-D binding, mutant proteins con-

taining various domains were generated and expressed in HEK293T cells. His-tagged whole or truncated extracellular domains were generated as shown in Fig. 1A and purified as detailed under "Materials and Methods." Using an in vitro binding experiment as described previously (9), solutions of purified recombinant His-tagged proteins were incubated in microtiter plates to coat the wells. Subsequent ELISAs confirmed binding of the recombinant proteins. As shown in Fig. 1B, Sp-D was observed to label the SIRP α D1D2D3 ectodomain as well as the D2D3 and D3 domains, whereas significantly reduced binding was observed for the D1 and D1D2 domains. To further confirm that Sp-D binds to the D3 domain, we performed a far Western blot analysis. For these experiments, various truncated or complete extracellular domains of SIRP α were separated by electrophoresis and blotted on a membrane further incubated with Sp-D. As shown in Fig. 1, C and D, Sp-D bound to D3, but not to the D1D2 domains, confirming the in vitro binding experiments.

Another SIRP α ligand known to bind to a different region of the extracellular domain of SIRP α is CD47. In particular, CD47 has been shown to bind to the membrane distal D1 domain (9–12). As can be seen in Fig. 2*A*, CD47 binding to SIRP α did not impair Sp-D binding, nor was Sp-D binding to SIRP α inhibited by CD47, strongly suggesting that the D1 domain is not involved in Sp-D binding. However, treatment of SIRP α D1D2D3-His by SAF4.2, a monoclonal antibody specific for

FIGURE 4. **Sp-D binds to** *N*-glycosylated sites on the SIRP α D3 domain. *A*, map of SIRP α *N*-glycosylation-sites as determined by the NetNGlyc 1.0 server from the Center of Biological Sequence Analysis. Strong putative *N*-glycosylation sites are indicated in *red*, whereas weak sites are in *dashed red*. *B*, *in vitro* binding assay of various mutants lacking one or several *N*-glycosylated sites in the SIRP α D3 domain as performed as in Fig. 1*B*. *C*, far Western blot analysis of various *N*-glycosylated site mutants. Purified *N*-glycosylated site mutants were separated by SDS-PAGE, and Sp-D binding was assessed as in Fig. 1C. An unrelated protein (JAM-L) was used as a negative control (*CT*). *D*, PVDF membrane from Fig. *C* stripped and blotted for SIRP α (SAF17.2) as for Fig. 1D. Results are representative of one of two independent experiments. *Error bars*, S.E.

SIRP α D3, did not inhibit Sp-D binding either (data not shown). We hypothesized that the epitope recognized by this antibody was different from the Sp-D binding site(s). To investigate this possibility, we generated a polyclonal anti-human D3 serum by immunizing BALB/c mice with purified human D3-His protein. The polyclonal serum was specific for SIRP α D3 domain because it was observed to bind selectively to recombinant D3 domain (Fig. 2, B and C). Furthermore, binding specificity of anti-D3 antiserum to D3 and not D1 was verified by experiments, demonstrating that preincubation of SIRP α D1D2D3 with this pAb did not inhibit CD47 binding (Fig. 2D). Importantly, anti-D3 pAb was effective in dramatically decreasing Sp-D binding (Fig. 2*E*), which supports SIRP α D3-mediated binding interactions as being critical for Sp-D binding. Taken together, these results indicate that Sp-D associates with the membrane-proximal immunoglobulin loop D3 on SIRP α .

Sp-D Binding to SIRP α Is Calcium-dependent, Carbohydratespecific, and Inhibited by LPS—Because Sp-D is a lectin-like molecule that has been shown to require calcium for pathogen binding, we further investigated whether Sp-D requires calcium to interact with SIRP α . As indicated in Fig. 3A, Sp-D bind-

ing to SIRP α , or a mutant containing only the D3 domain, was inhibited by 5 mM EDTA or EGTA, suggesting that Sp-D binding to SIRP α D3 is indeed calcium-dependent. In addition, the Sp-D binding has been reported to be mediated through its CRD that is sensitive to specific sugar residues. Thus, we examined the ability of various sugars to inhibit Sp-D association to SIRP α . As shown in Fig. 3B, mono- or disaccharides containing a glucosyl group such as glucose, maltose, and glucuronic acid as well as carbohydrates derived from mannose, e.g. methyl α -D-mannopyranoside strongly inhibited Sp-D binding to SIRP α . On the other hand, carbohydrates derived from galactose, i.e. lactose were less capable of inhibiting Sp-D, whereas glucosamine did not diminish Sp-D binding (Fig. 3B). These results are consistent with a previous report showing that carbohydrates with glucosyl residues are strong inhibitors, whereas glucosamine and galactosamine are weaker inhibitors (16). Our data are thus consistent with, Sp-D binding to SIRP α in a calcium as well as carbohydrate-dependent manner.

Sp-D is also known to bind with high affinity to rough LPS, the major component of Gram-negative cell walls (19). Therefore, we assessed whether Sp-D binding to SIRP α was affected

by LPS from *S. minnesota* Re mutant that expresses a truncated rough LPS known to be a strong ligand for Sp-D (37). The Re mutant expresses the shortest form of LPS among different *S. minnesota* strains containing only lipid A and 3-deoxy-Dmanno-octulosonic acid (38). As shown in Fig. 3*C*, increasing concentrations of LPS significantly decreased Sp-D binding to SIRP α . We observed 50% inhibition of Sp-D binding to SIRP α at a concentration of ~40 µg/ml LPS, which is consistent with previous reports (39).

Sp-D Binds to N-Linked Glycans of SIRP α —We observed that Sp-D bound strongly to boiled SIRP α (Fig. 1*B*), suggesting that the structure recognized by Sp-D is not a conformational epitope of the protein. Indeed, the CRD of Sp-D detects carbohydrates including those expressed on glycosylated proteins (25). Because SIRP α is heavily glycosylated, we examined whether Sp-D detected glycans present on SIRP α in an N-linked or O-linked configuration. For these experiments, full-length extracellular domain mutants of SIRPa D1D2D3-His were digested by either N- or O-glycosidases to remove N-linked or O-linked sugars, respectively. As can be seen in supplemental Fig. S1B, the N-glycosidase-treated SIRP α molecular mass was significantly lower than that of nontreated samples, indicating that N-glycosidase F removed N-linked glycans of SIRP α . Interestingly, SIRP α treated with *N*-glycosidase F was unable to bind Sp-D (supplemental Fig. S1A), whereas O-glycosidase treatment did not prevent Sp-D binding to SIRP α (supplemental Fig. S1A), implying that SIRP α binds to *N*-linked glycans of SIRP α .

N-Linked Glycan at Position 240 in D3 Is Major Binding Site of Sp-D-To determine which N-glycosylation sites mediate Sp-D binding we analyzed SIRP α glycosylation using NetNGlyc software from ExPASy. From this analysis, one strong N-glycosylation sites is predicted to lie at the C-terminal domain of the D2 domain (position 215-217) and three in the D3 domain (positions 240-242, 262-264, 289-291) (Fig. 4A). We thus mutated predicted N-glycosylation sites (Asn-Xaa-Ser/Thr) by replacing the third amino acid by an alanine (S217A, T242A,S264A,S291A). Mutation of the four D3 N-glycosylation sites led to a major decrease of molecular mass as well as staining pattern consistent with loss of glycosylation (Fig. 4D), suggesting that SIRP α D3 domain is indeed the main glycosylated domain of SIRP α . SIRP α lacking all *N*-glycosylation sites present in D3, resulted in an absence of Sp-D binding (Fig. 4C), indicating that N-linked glycosylation of the SIRP α D3 domain is indeed critical in mediating binding interactions with Sp-D. However, it is possible that the absence of binding may be secondary to structural instability of SIRP α because the protein lacks main N-glycosylation sites. To rule out this possibility, we assessed whether the S217A,T242A,S264A,S291A mutant was still capable of binding CD47. Importantly, WT and mutant SIRP α were both observed to bind CD47 in a similar fashion, suggesting that the ligand binding structure of SIRP α is not impaired (data not shown). Furthermore, mutations in two D3 N-glycosylation sites promoted a significant decrease in Sp-D binding, which was confirmed by results of far Western blot analyses (Fig. 4, C and D). Thus, the mutagenesis results in this report suggest that binding of Sp-D to SIRP α D3 is mediated by

FIGURE 5. **Sp-D binds to CHO-K1 cells stably expressing SIRP** α . *A*, CHO-K1 and CHO-SIRP α -expressing cells were incubated with Sp-D, and Sp-D binding was assessed by immunofluorescence using antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (green). Nuclei were stained with To-Pro3 after permeabilization with 0.1% Triton X-100 (blue). B, co-localization of Sp-D and SIRP α on CHO-SIRP α was determined by immunofluorescence using anti-rabbit conjugated to Alexa Fluor 555 (red, SIRP α) and anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 (green, Sp-D). Results are representative of one of four independent experiments.

contributions from all *N*-linked glycosylated residues in that domain.

To further examine the role of the above *N*-glycosylation sites in Sp-D binding, we mutated three of the four D3 *N*-glycosylation sites leaving only one intact (Fig. 4*B*). Interestingly, binding studies with these mutants suggest that all *N*-glycosylation sites on SIRP α D3 partially mediate Sp-D binding (Fig. 4*B*). However, the *N*-glycan linked to the Asn-240 site showed higher affinity for Sp-D than other sites because Sp-D binding to D3 mutants 217, 264, and 291 containing only the Asn-240 site was highest (Fig. 4*B*). This suggests that glycan linked to the 240 *N*-glycosylation site is the major Sp-D binding site.

Sp-D Binds to SIRP α Expressed in Cells—Because we observed that Sp-D bound purified soluble extracellular SIRP α domains in cell-free systems, we sought to verify that Sp-D was also able to bind SIRP α expressed on the eukaryotic cell surface. We first used CHO cells stably expressing functional human SIRP α (CHO-SIRP α). We examined whether CHO-SIRP α was able to bind Sp-D. As can be seen in Fig. 5*A*, we observed low levels of Sp-D binding to untransfected CHO-K1. However, Sp-D binding was significantly increased after SIRP α was expressed on the surface of CHO cells (Fig. 5*A*). Furthermore, incubation of CHO cells expressing SIRP α with Sp-D demonstrated co-localization of Sp-D with SIRP α (Fig. 5*B*).

In other reports, surfact ant protein Sp-D has been shown to bind to macrophage-expressed SIRP α and repress cytokine

FIGURE 6. **Sp-D binding co-localizes with SIRP** α **expression on neutrophil-like cells.** Two cell lines tested in this study, HL60 and PLB985, exhibited similar results (PLB985 staining results shown). Cells were stained as indicated in Fig. 5*A*. *A*, Sp-D binding is significantly increased on differentiated neutrophil-like cells versus nondifferentiated cells. *B*, Sp-D and SIRP α binding co-localize on neutrophil-like cells. Neutrophil-like cells were stained as in Fig. 5*B*. Results are representative of one of four independent experiments.

production (24, 25). However, little is known about Sp-D binding to neutrophils, which represent the first line of defense against pathogen invasion. Thus, we assessed Sp-D binding to human promyelocytic leukemia cells differentiated to neutrophil-like cells by dimethyl sulfoxide. We first examined SIRP α expression by these cells by flow cytometry. As shown in supplemental Fig. S2, SIRP α expression was much higher in differentiated cells than in nondifferentiated cells. This observation was confirmed by immunofluorescence showing than SIRP α staining was much higher in differentiated cells than observed in nondifferentiated cells (Fig. 6). Accordingly, Sp-D binding to differentiated cells was significantly higher than observed in nondifferentiated cells, which directly correlated with increased SIRP α expression (Fig. 6). We also confirmed that Sp-D binding to cellular SIRP α is calciumdependent and carbohydrate-specific (supplemental Fig. S3). Co-localization of Sp-D binding with SIRP α was observed on differentiated cells, whereas Sp-D and SIRP α

did not co-localize as well in nondifferentiated cells (Fig. 6*B*). Extending these findings to natural human cells, we observed that Sp-D and SIRP α binding co-localized on non-activated and activated human PMNs (Fig. 7). These findings are consistent with Sp-D binding to SIRP α on the cell surface of PMNs.

Sp-D Binds to SIRP β D3—Another closely related member of the SIRP family, SIRP β , is expressed as a covalent dimer on the cell surface with an ectodomain that is highly similar to SIRP α , yet it does not bind CD47, and the ligand(s) are unknown. Given that the D3 domains of SIRP α and SIRP β share 93.7% homology (2), we hypothesized that Sp-D may also bind to SIRP β , specifically to the D3 domain. To assess this possibility, we purified SIRP β D1D2D3-His (Fig. 8A) and performed an *in vitro* binding assay. As shown in Fig. 8B, Sp-D bound to SIRP β with only slightly less affinity than observed to SIRP α . Specificity of Sp-D binding to SIRP β was confirmed by far Western blotting (data not shown). Furthermore, Sp-D

FIGURE 7. **Sp-D** and **SIRP***α* **co-localize on human PMNs.** Isolated human neutrophils (nonactivated and activated) were incubated with Sp-D and further stained for Sp-D and SIRP*α* as indicated in Fig. 5*A*. Results are representative of one of three independent experiments.

binding to SIRP β was confirmed to be calcium-dependent, sugar-specific, and inhibited by LPS (supplemental Fig. S4 and Fig. 3*C*). As shown in Fig. 8*B*, Sp-D bound to SIRP β D3 and not to D1D2 domains, indicating that D3 is indeed the domain responsible for Sp-D binding to SIRP β and confirming results obtained with SIRP α .

We observed that Sp-D binding to SIRP β is slightly lower than that to SIRP α (~70% of SIRP α binding) (Fig. 8*B*). Interestingly, a significant difference between SIRP α and SIRP β is in the specific, covalent dimerization of SIRP β at a cysteine residue at position 290 (Fig. 8*A*). This residue lies at the same position of an *N*-glycosylation sequence present in SIRP α , indicating that a *N*-glycosylation site (Asn-289) on SIRP α is missing in SIRP β . However, the other glycosylation sites at 215, 240, and 262 of SIRP β are identical to those in SIRP α (data not shown). We thus investigated whether this missing *N*-glycosylation site could account for the difference in binding of Sp-D to SIRP β compared with that observed with SIRP α . We generated a chimeric protein composed of D1D2 and part of D3 domain (1–291) from SIRP α fused with the N-terminal D3 domain of SIRP β (292–336), including the disulfide bond at 290 necessary for dimerization (Fig. 8A). This chimeric protein thus contains the three first N-glycosylation sites of SIRP α in addition to the cysteine-mediated covalent bridge in SIRP β . Sp-D bound similarly to the chimeric protein and WT SIRP β , suggesting that the three first *N*-glycosylation sites of SIRP β (215, 240, and 262) are not responsible for the decrease in Sp-D binding to SIRP β compared with SIRP α (Fig. 8B). We next investigated whether the lack of a glycosylation site at 289 resulted in decreased Sp-D binding to SIRP β . To explore this possibility, we generated a SIRP α mutant (S291A) that lacks glycosylation at that site, but contains the other three sites in D3. As can be seen in Fig. 8B, removal of the 289 N-glycosylation site of SIRP α resulted in

FIGURE 8. **Sp-D binds to SIRP** β **D3 domain.** *A*, map of SIRP β mutants used in this study. Strong predicted *N*-glycosylation sites are indicated in *plain red*, whereas *dashed red* represents weak *N*-glycosylation sites according to the NetNGlyc 1.0 server from the Center of Biological Sequence Analysis. *B*, *in vitro* binding assay of Sp-D to various SIRP β mutants as described in Fig. 1*B*. ***, *p* < 0.001. Error bars, S.E.

a slight but very significant reduction of Sp-D binding to SIRP α , suggesting that this *N*-glycosylation site is an important site for Sp-D binding. Taken together, our results suggest that Sp-D binding to SIRP β is D3 domain-dependent and that *N*-glycosylation at position 289 is required for Sp-D binding to SIRP α .

DISCUSSION

Neutrophils are the first immune cells to be recruited to a site of inflammation/infection and thus are one of the first lines of defense against pathogens. Among the proteins expressed at the surface of PMNs, SIRP α has been shown to regulate neutrophil migration, but also appears to be involved in other innate immune functions such as phagocytosis and cytokine production (7, 34, 40–42). Similarly, Sp-D secreted by specialized cells mainly in the lung, enhances the action of scavenger

cells by opsonization (17). Interestingly, Sp-D has also been shown to be chemotactic for neutrophils (43, 44), suggesting a role in regulation of neutrophil migration. Others have reported that Sp-D is capable of binding SIRP α , leading to decrease of cytokine production by macrophages through the activation of SHP-1 and blockade of p38 (24). However, little is known about the interaction of SIRP α and Sp-D in neutrophils, and even less is known regarding the molecular basis of Sp-D binding to SIRP α . Given the potential importance of Sp-D-SIRP α binding interactions in regulating innate immune function, we performed extensive mutagenesis experiments to define the region(s) on SIRP α that bind to Sp-D. In experiments using domain deletion of SIRP α we determined that D3 of SIRP α exclusively mediates Sp-D binding. In concert with ours and other previous analyses indicating that CD47 binds exclu-

sively to SIRP α D1 domain (9–12), the current results raise interesting possibilities regarding SIRP α function. Specifically, these data suggest that CD47 and Sp-D can bind SIRP α simultaneously. Indeed, CD47 binding to SIRP α does not prevent Sp-D binding and vice versa (Fig. 2*A*). Interestingly, Sp-D and CD47 have very different binding properties where CD47 detects a protein epitope on the immunoglobulin-variable D1 domain (2) and Sp-D binds to *N*-linked carbohydrates on the D3 domain. Further studies are needed to assess more precisely the binding affinity of Sp-D to SIRP α . However, from these results, we can now speculate that such distinct binding interactions might indicate differences in SIRP α function.

Sp-D belongs to the collectin family of collagen tail-containing lectins known to recognize carbohydrates at the surface of pathogens and to increase pathogen uptake by at least two distinct mechanisms, *i.e.* direct binding to pathogens, leading to enhanced uptake by scavenger cells and binding to surface receptors involved in immunity, resulting in the modulation of the receptor function (14, 45). Indeed, Sp-D has been reported to bind CD14 in a calcium- and carbohydrate-dependent manner (35). Sp-D is also capable of binding to soluble TLR2 and TLR4, pattern recognition receptors involved in pathogen recognition (46). However, the exact function of Sp-D binding to these receptors remains quite unclear. Interestingly, interaction of Sp-D with CD14 decreases CD14 binding to LPS (35). We similarly found that Sp-D binding to SIRP α is impaired in the presence of LPS (Fig. 3C). This observation is consistent with a previous report showing that Sp-D binds to macrophage SIRP α in the absence of pathogens, leading to activation of SIRP α , decreased cytokine production, and inhibition of inflammation. In contrast, when pathogens are present, it was shown that Sp-D CRD binds preferably to LPS or other bacterial carbohydrates. It was suggested that, under such conditions, the absence of ligand binding to SIRP α would release ITIM-mediated signals resulting in increased inflammation (24). However, this study did not take into account the role of CD47 in modulation of SIRP α function as it was proposed that CD47 and Sp-D exclusively bind SIRP α . Because our study demonstrates that SIRP α is capable of binding both proteins together, it is clear that regulation of SIRP α is more complex and dependent on both Sp-D and CD47, especially because CD47 is expressed on the surface of all nontransformed cells.

We also report that SIRP β , a protein closely related to SIRP α , also binds Sp-D, and this adds new insights into the potential role of SIRP β in innate immunity. In human monocytic cell lines as well as in peritoneal macrophages, SIRP β has been reported to function through interactions with DAP12, an ITAM-containing adaptor protein (26, 27). However, no ligand has been identified so far, and to our knowledge, this is the first time that a binding partner for SIRP β is described. We previously reported that SIRP β is a covalently linked homodimer (47). Interestingly, recent studies from our group also indicate that SIRP α is a noncovalently linked *cis*-homodimer on the PMN cell surface, and dimerization is enhanced by activation with bacterial products (2). Although the mechanism(s) leading to dimerization in SIRP α are not clear, we previously found that dimerization was dependent on *N*-glycosylation most likely by promoting a conformation that favors dimerization (2). Intriguingly, the binding of Sp-D to SIRP α S291A lacking a 289 *N*-glycosylation site is still higher than that to SIRP β , suggesting that another parameter participates to the difference of binding between SIRP α and SIRP β besides the 289 N-glycosylation site. Furthermore, the LPS concentration necessary to inhibit 50% of Sp-D binding to SIRPβ was 2-fold lower than that of SIRP α . Thus, the data from this study imply that Sp-D has higher affinity for monomeric SIRPs (SIRP α , S291A SIRP α) than for SIRP dimers (SIRP β , chimera) (supplemental Fig. S5). We speculate that both SIRP α and SIRP β may form different populations of dimers because one depends on covalent interactions and the other is dependent on glycosylation. Accessibility of ligands to N-glycosylation sites may therefore be different between these two SIRPs. Sp-D is known to form oligomers, and the multimeric state of Sp-D may therefore modulate its binding to SIRP α . Further studies will be necessary to assess the influence of SP-D multimerization on SIRP α binding. Taken together, these data suggest that the conformational as well as the glycosylation states of SIRPs are likely crucial parameters of Sp-D affinity.

In summary, we show that Sp-D binds to the D3 domain of both SIRP α and SIRP β in a calcium-dependent and sugar-specific manner. Furthermore, we report that Sp-D binds to the membrane-proximal domain (D3) of SIRP α as well as to D3 of SIRP β . Thus, Sp-D represents the first described ligand for SIRP β . These studies reveal the specific *N*-glycosylation sites bound by Sp-D and highlight residue at position 240 as a major Sp-D binding determinant on SIRP α .

REFERENCES

- Adams, S., van der Laan, L. J., Vernon-Wilson, E., Renardel de Lavalette, C., Döpp, E. A., Dijkstra, C. D., Simmons, D. L., and van den Berg, T. K. (1998) Signal-regulatory protein is selectively expressed by myeloid and neuronal cells. *J. Immunol.* 161, 1853–1859
- Lee, W. Y., Weber, D. A., Laur, O., Stowell, S. R., McCall, I., Andargachew, R., Cummings, R. D., and Parkos, C. A. (2010) The role of cis dimerization of signal regulatory protein α (SIRPα) in binding to CD47. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 37953–37963
- 3. Veillette, A., Thibaudeau, E., and Latour, S. (1998) High expression of inhibitory receptor SHPS-1 and its association with protein-tyrosine phosphatase SHP-1 in macrophages. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**, 22719–22728
- Kharitonenkov, A., Chen, Z., Sures, I., Wang, H., Schilling, J., and Ullrich, A. (1997) A family of proteins that inhibit signalling through tyrosine kinase receptors. *Nature* 386, 181–186
- Fujioka, Y., Matozaki, T., Noguchi, T., Iwamatsu, A., Yamao, T., Takahashi, N., Tsuda, M., Takada, T., and Kasuga, M. (1996) A novel membrane glycoprotein, SHPS-1, that binds the SH2-domain-containing protein tyrosine phosphatase SHP-2 in response to mitogens and cell adhesion. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 16, 6887–6899
- Okazawa, H., Motegi, S., Ohyama, N., Ohnishi, H., Tomizawa, T., Kaneko, Y., Oldenborg, P. A., Ishikawa, O., and Matozaki, T. (2005) Negative regulation of phagocytosis in macrophages by the CD47-SHPS-1 system. *J. Immunol.* 174, 2004–2011
- Smith, R. E., Patel, V., Seatter, S. D., Deehan, M. R., Brown, M. H., Brooke, G. P., Goodridge, H. S., Howard, C. J., Rigley, K. P., Harnett, W., and Harnett, M. M. (2003) A novel MyD-1 (SIRP-1α) signaling pathway that inhibits LPS-induced TNFα production by monocytes. *Blood* 102, 2532–2540
- Yamao, T., Noguchi, T., Takeuchi, O., Nishiyama, U., Morita, H., Hagiwara, T., Akahori, H., Kato, T., Inagaki, K., Okazawa, H., Hayashi, Y., Matozaki, T., Takeda, K., Akira, S., and Kasuga, M. (2002) Negative regulation of platelet clearance and of the macrophage phagocytic response by

the transmembrane glycoprotein SHPS-1. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 39833–39839

- Lee, W. Y., Weber, D. A., Laur, O., Severson, E. A., McCall, I., Jen, R. P., Chin, A. C., Wu, T., Gernet, K. M., and Parkos, C. A. (2007) Novel structural determinants on SIRPα that mediate binding to CD47. *J. Immunol.* 179, 7741–7750
- Hatherley, D., Graham, S. C., Turner, J., Harlos, K., Stuart, D. I., and Barclay, A. N. (2008) Paired receptor specificity explained by structures of signal regulatory proteins alone and complexed with CD47. *Mol. Cell* 31, 266–277
- 11. Seiffert, M., Brossart, P., Cant, C., Cella, M., Colonna, M., Brugger, W., Kanz, L., Ullrich, A., and Bühring, H. J. (2001) Signal-regulatory protein α (SIRP α) but not SIRP β is involved in T-cell activation, binds to CD47 with high affinity, and is expressed on immature CD34⁺CD38⁻ hematopoietic cells. *Blood* **97**, 2741–2749
- Vernon-Wilson, E. F., Kee, W. J., Willis, A. C., Barclay, A. N., Simmons, D. L., and Brown, M. H. (2000) CD47 is a ligand for rat macrophage membrane signal regulatory protein SIRP (OX41) and human SIRPα1. *Eur. J. Immunol.* **30**, 2130–2137
- Oldenborg, P. A., Zheleznyak, A., Fang, Y. F., Lagenaur, C. F., Gresham, H. D., and Lindberg, F. P. (2000) Role of CD47 as a marker of self on red blood cells. *Science* 288, 2051–2054
- Wright, J. R. (2005) Immunoregulatory functions of surfactant proteins. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 5, 58-68
- Haagsman, H. P., Hawgood, S., Sargeant, T., Buckley, D., White, R. T., Drickamer, K., and Benson, B. J. (1987) The major lung surfactant protein, SP 28 –36, is a calcium-dependent, carbohydrate-binding protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 262, 13877–13880
- Persson, A., Chang, D., and Crouch, E. (1990) Surfactant protein D is a divalent cation-dependent carbohydrate-binding protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 265, 5755–5760
- 17. Crouch, E., and Wright, J. R. (2001) Surfactant proteins A and D and pulmonary host defense. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **63**, 521–554
- Crouch, E. C. (2000) Surfactant protein D and pulmonary host defense. *Respir. Res.* 1, 93–108
- Lim, B. L., Wang, J. Y., Holmskov, U., Hoppe, H. J., and Reid, K. B. (1994) Expression of the carbohydrate recognition domain of lung surfactant protein D and demonstration of its binding to lipopolysaccharides of Gram-negative bacteria. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 202, 1674–1680
- Akiyama, J., Hoffman, A., Brown, C., Allen, L., Edmondson, J., Poulain, F., and Hawgood, S. (2002) Tissue distribution of surfactant proteins A and D in the mouse. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 50, 993–996
- Lin, Z., and Floros, J. (2002) Heterogeneous allele expression of pulmonary SP-D gene in rat large intestine and other tissues. *Physiol. Genomics* 11, 235–243
- Madsen, J., Kliem, A., Tornoe, I., Skjodt, K., Koch, C., and Holmskov, U. (2000) Localization of lung surfactant protein D on mucosal surfaces in human tissues. *J. Immunol.* 164, 5866–5870
- Soerensen, C. M., Nielsen, O. L., Willis, A., Heegaard, P. M., and Holmskov, U. (2005) Purification, characterization, and immunolocalization of porcine surfactant protein D. *Immunology* 114, 72–82
- 24. Gardai, S. J., Xiao, Y. Q., Dickinson, M., Nick, J. A., Voelker, D. R., Greene, K. E., and Henson, P. M. (2003) By binding SIRPα or calreticulin/CD91, lung collectins act as dual function surveillance molecules to suppress or enhance inflammation. *Cell* **115**, 13–23
- Janssen, W. J., McPhillips, K. A., Dickinson, M. G., Linderman, D. J., Morimoto, K., Xiao, Y. Q., Oldham, K. M., Vandivier, R. W., Henson, P. M., and Gardai, S. J. (2008) Surfactant proteins A and D suppress alveolar macrophage phagocytosis via interaction with SIRPα. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **178**, 158–167
- 26. Dietrich, J., Cella, M., Seiffert, M., Bühring, H. J., and Colonna, M. (2000) Cutting edge: signal-regulatory protein β 1 is a DAP12-associated activating receptor expressed in myeloid cells. *J. Immunol.* **164**, 9–12
- Hayashi, A., Ohnishi, H., Okazawa, H., Nakazawa, S., Ikeda, H., Motegi, S., Aoki, N., Kimura, S., Mikuni, M., and Matozaki, T. (2004) Positive regulation of phagocytosis by SIRPβ and its signaling mechanism in macrophages. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 29450–29460

- McVicar, D. W., Taylor, L. S., Gosselin, P., Willette-Brown, J., Mikhael, A. I., Geahlen, R. L., Nakamura, M. C., Linnemeyer, P., Seaman, W. E., Anderson, S. K., Ortaldo, J. R., and Mason, L. H. (1998) DAP12-mediated signal transduction in natural killer cells: a dominant role for the Syk protein-tyrosine kinase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 273, 32934–32942
- Drexler, H. G., Quentmeier, H., MacLeod, R. A., Uphoff, C. C., and Hu, Z. B. (1995) Leukemia cell lines: *in vitro* models for the study of acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Leuk. Res.* 19, 681–691
- Tucker, K. A., Lilly, M. B., Heck, L., Jr., and Rado, T. A. (1987) Characterization of a new human diploid myeloid leukemia cell line (PLB-985) with granulocytic and monocytic differentiating capacity. *Blood* 70, 372–378
- Collins, S. J., Ruscetti, F. W., Gallagher, R. E., and Gallo, R. C. (1978) Terminal differentiation of human promyelocytic leukemia cells induced by dimethyl sulfoxide and other polar compounds. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 75, 2458–2462
- Ho, S. N., Hunt, H. D., Horton, R. M., Pullen, J. K., and Pease, L. R. (1989) Site-directed mutagenesis by overlap extension using the polymerase chain reaction. *Gene* 77, 51–59
- Boussif, O., Lezoualc'h, F., Zanta, M. A., Mergny, M. D., Scherman, D., Demeneix, B., and Behr, J. P. (1995) A versatile vector for gene and oligonucleotide transfer into cells in culture and *in vivo*: polyethylenimine. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 92, 7297–7301
- Liu, Y., Bühring, H. J., Zen, K., Burst, S. L., Schnell, F. J., Williams, I. R., and Parkos, C. A. (2002) Signal regulatory protein (SIRPα), a cellular ligand for CD47, regulates neutrophil transmigration. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 10028–10036
- Sano, H., Chiba, H., Iwaki, D., Sohma, H., Voelker, D. R., and Kuroki, Y. (2000) Surfactant proteins A and D bind CD14 by different mechanisms. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275, 22442–22451
- Mackarel, A. J., Russell, K. J., Ryan, C. M., Hislip, S. J., Rendall, J. C., FitzGerald, M. X., and O'Connor, C. M. (2001) CD18 dependency of transendothelial neutrophil migration differs during acute pulmonary inflammation. *J. Immunol.* 167, 2839–2846
- Kuan, S. F., Rust, K., and Crouch, E. (1992) Interactions of surfactant protein D with bacterial lipopolysaccharides: surfactant protein D is an *Escherichia coli*-binding protein in bronchoalveolar lavage. *J. Clin. Invest.* 90, 97–106
- Raetz, C. R. (1990) Biochemistry of endotoxins. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 59, 129–170
- Khamri, W., Moran, A. P., Worku, M. L., Karim, Q. N., Walker, M. M., Annuk, H., Ferris, J. A., Appelmelk, B. J., Eggleton, P., Reid, K. B., and Thursz, M. R. (2005) Variations in *Helicobacter pylori* lipopolysaccharide to evade the innate immune component surfactant protein D. *Infect. Immun.* 73, 7677–7686
- 40. Gardai, S. J., McPhillips, K. A., Frasch, S. C., Janssen, W. J., Starefeldt, A., Murphy-Ullrich, J. E., Bratton, D. L., Oldenborg, P. A., Michalak, M., and Henson, P. M. (2005) Cell-surface calreticulin initiates clearance of viable or apoptotic cells through trans-activation of LRP on the phagocyte. *Cell* 123, 321–334
- Ishikawa-Sekigami, T., Kaneko, Y., Okazawa, H., Tomizawa, T., Okajo, J., Saito, Y., Okuzawa, C., Sugawara-Yokoo, M., Nishiyama, U., Ohnishi, H., Matozaki, T., and Nojima, Y. (2006) SHPS-1 promotes the survival of circulating erythrocytes through inhibition of phagocytosis by splenic macrophages. *Blood* **107**, 341–348
- Oldenborg, P. A., Gresham, H. D., and Lindberg, F. P. (2001) CD47-signal regulatory protein α (SIRPα) regulates Fcγ and complement receptormediated phagocytosis. *J. Exp. Med.* **193**, 855–862
- Cai, G. Z., Griffin, G. L., Senior, R. M., Longmore, W. J., and Moxley, M. A. (1999) Recombinant SP-D carbohydrate recognition domain is a chemoattractant for human neutrophils. *Am. J. Physiol.* 276, L131–136
- Hartshorn, K. L., Crouch, E., White, M. R., Colamussi, M. L., Kakkanatt, A., Tauber, B., Shepherd, V., and Sastry, K. N. (1998) Pulmonary surfactant proteins A and D enhance neutrophil uptake of bacteria. *Am. J. Physiol.* 274, L958–969
- Haczku, A. (2008) Protective role of the lung collectins surfactant protein A and surfactant protein D in airway inflammation. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 122, 861–879
- 46. Ohya, M., Nishitani, C., Sano, H., Yamada, C., Mitsuzawa, H., Shimizu, T.,

Saito, T., Smith, K., Crouch, E., and Kuroki, Y. (2006) Human pulmonary surfactant protein D binds the extracellular domains of Toll-like receptors 2 and 4 through the carbohydrate recognition domain by a mechanism different from its binding to phosphatidylinositol and lipopolysaccharide. *Biochemistry* **45**, 8657–8664

47. Liu, Y., Soto, I., Tong, Q., Chin, A., Bühring, H. J., Wu, T., Zen, K., and

Parkos, C. A. (2005) SIRP β 1 is expressed as a disulfide-linked homodimer in leukocytes and positively regulates neutrophil transepithelial migration. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 36132–36140

 Hatherley, D., Graham, S. C., Harlos, K., Stuart, D. I., and Barclay, A. N. (2009) Structure of signal-regulatory protein α: a link to antigen receptor evolution. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 26613–26619

