

# NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Org Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 February 03.

#### Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2012 February 3; 14(3): 804–807. doi:10.1021/ol203342e.

## Studies toward the Synthesis of Spirolide C: Exploration into the Formation of the 23-Membered All-Carbon Macrocyclic Framework

Craig E. Stivala, Zhenhua Gu, Lindsay L. Smith, and Armen Zakarian\*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United States

#### Abstract



The synthesis of two complex subunits en route to spirolide C is described. A key alkyllithium addition to an aldehyde joins the fragments, which are advanced in order to investigate a ringclosing metathesis to form the 23-membered all-carbon macrocyclic framework.

The cyclic imine group of marine toxins is an emerging class of natural products with global distribution in marine environments.<sup>1</sup> After the discovery of the first member of this family in 1995, the group has grown to include more than 30 members with complex chemical structures, including pinnatoxins,<sup>2</sup> pteriatoxins,<sup>3</sup> spirolides,<sup>4</sup> gymnodimines,<sup>5</sup> and spiroprorocentrimine.<sup>6</sup> Initially, the mode of action for spirolides, pinnatoxins, and gymnodimine was attributed to calcium-channel activation,<sup>7</sup> which has been subsequently revised to a potent and selective inhibition of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs), where the cyclic imines have been found to be among the most powerful nonpeptidic antagonists of nAChRs known to date.<sup>8</sup>

The structure of the spirolides combines the most challenging aspects within the cyclic imine group of toxins: the characteristic spiroimine subunit, the tetrasubstituted alkene carrying a butenolide fragment, the 5,5,6-bispiroketal, and a highly functionalized 23-membered all-carbon macrocyclic framework.<sup>9</sup> Previously, the groups of Brimble<sup>10</sup> and Ishihara<sup>11</sup> described their approaches to solving selected problems posed by the complexity of spirolides, concentrating on the synthesis of the 5,5,6-spirobicyclic ring system and the construction of the spiroimine subunit. Herein, we disclose the progress of our own work,<sup>12</sup> with a focus on the formation of the 23-membered macrocyclic ring by ring-closing metathesis (RCM).

<sup>© 2012</sup> American Chemical Society

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>zakarian@chem.ucsb.edu.

Supporting Information Available. Experimental procedures and copies of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

An early strategic decision in our plan was to assemble the 5,5,6-bispiroketal *after* the construction of the macro-cyclic framework to ensure the desired stereocontrol in the ketalization, which would be difficult to achieve in the acyclic system, in particular with regard to the formation of the 5,5-ketal, where no anomeric stabilization can be relied upon to control stereochemistry (Scheme 1).<sup>10a,11</sup> An example of the desired macrocyclic product is compound **2**, which could be accessed in a convergent manner from organolithium reagent **3** and aldehyde **4** followed by RCM (disconnections along the highlighted bonds at C13–C14 and C27–C28). Aldehyde **5**, previously prepared by a key diastereoselective Ireland–Claisen rearrangement, serves as a precursor to **4**.<sup>13</sup>

The synthesis of intermediate **4** began with a three-step preparation of thioester **6** from aldehyde **5** (Scheme 2A). Aldol addition of *S*-ethyl thiopropionate followed by mesylation with methanesulfonic anhydride and elimination with DBU afforded **6** as a single stereoisomer in 93% overall yield. Thioester **6** was reduced to the corresponding  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated aldehyde under conditions reported by Fukuyama.<sup>14</sup> Soderquist asymmetric allylation was reproducible on varying scales and provided homoallylic alcohol **7** in 76% isolated yield with 5:1 diastereo-selectivity.<sup>15–17</sup> Formation of the *tert*-butyl carbonate (LiN(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, Boc<sub>2</sub>O) followed by iodolactonization (NIS, (CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CHOH)<sup>18</sup> and treatment with methanolic potassium carbonate delivered epoxy alcohol **9** in 69% yield over the three steps. Treatment of epoxy alcohol **9** with the sulfur ylide generated from trimethylsulfonium iodide produced the requisite allylic diol<sup>19</sup> and was accompanied by the removal of the pivalate ester group. Protection of the 1,3-*syn*-diol as a *p*-methoxybenzylidene acetal and oxidation of the primary hydroxy group under Swern conditions<sup>20</sup> completed the synthesis of intermediate **4**.

During the development of the synthetic route to **4** described above, we explored the synthesis of the desired 1,3-*syn*-diol acetal based on the recently developed Recatalyzed transposition of allylic alcohols directed by hydroxy groups.<sup>21</sup> To this end, diol **10** was prepared from **7** by cross-metathesis with (*Z*)-2-butene-1,4-diol diacetate followed by methanolysis (97% over two steps, Scheme 2B).<sup>22</sup> However, only a small amount of **11** could be detected, and generally decomposition was observed under a variety of conditions.

The synthesis of the bispiroketal precursor fragment (3) was initiated by methylation of lithium acetylide generated from  $12^{23}$  followed by hydrozirconation–odination<sup>24</sup> to form the corresponding (*E*)-iodoalkene. Palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling of the iodide and the alkylzinc halide 13 afforded trisubstituted alkene 14 (57% over three steps).<sup>25</sup> Hydrolysis of the acetonide, epoxide formation,<sup>26</sup> and alkylation of lithiated sulfone 15 with the epoxide afforded 16 in excellent yield. Introduction of the exomethylene group was accomplished by a Julia-type process after protection of the secondary hydroxyl group as a triethylsilyl ether.<sup>27</sup>

Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation<sup>28</sup> of diene **17** took place at the trisubstituted double bond, selectively affording the desired diol in 57% yield (85% based on recovered **17**). Oxidation by the Parikh–Doering method<sup>29</sup> cleanly afforded hydroxy ketone **18**. Removal of the triethylsilyl ether with concomitant ketalization afforded the sensitive methyl ketal **19** in 91% yield. Attempts to form the triethylsilyl ether at the tertiary hydroxy group (TESOSO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>, 2,6-lutidine; TESCl, ImH, DMF, 40 °C, 12 h) were thwarted by a facile elimination of the ketal methoxy group, affording the exocyclic vinyl ether. On the other hand, silylation of **19** with chlorotrimethylsilane in DMF at 40 °C efficiently delivered the desired monosilylated product after treatment with potassium carbonate in methanol. Iododehydroxylation of the primary alcohol delivered **20** in 89% yield over three steps.

Careful oxidative removal of the *p*-methoxybenzyl ether with DDQ followed by a Swern oxidation of the resulting primary hydroxy group, addition of vinylmagnesium bromide generated an inconsequential ~1:1 mixture of diastereomers. Protection of the resulting secondary alcohol as its triethylsilyl ether completed the synthesis of the key intermediate **3** (Scheme 3).

The fragment coupling was accomplished as planned by a direct addition of the functionalized alkyllithium reagent **3-Li** generated by lithum-iodine exchange from iodide **3** with aldehyde **4** in 98% yield (Scheme 4).<sup>30</sup> Dess–Martin oxidation and desilylation delivered substrate **22** for key RCM studies.<sup>31</sup>

We carried out extensive studies on ring-closing metathesis of tetraene **22**, the results of which are summarized in Table 1. In all cases, the HGII catalyst provided cleaner reactions than the GII catalyst. Although the formation of the 23-membered all-carbon macrocycle was found to be rather challenging, we succeeded in forming the desired product, albeit in low yield (entries 1–4). NMR studies have shown conclusively that the RCM process is initiated at the C14 terminal alkene selectively. The main byproduct was the C15 methyl ketone, presumably arising by fragmentation of the intermediate ruthenium carbene at C14.<sup>32</sup> Various attempts to minimize side reactions using additives such as 1,4-benzoquinone<sup>33</sup> or 2,6-lutidine<sup>34</sup> proved to be unproductive. To our delight, in no case was a RCM observed between the olefins at C13 or C14 and C24.<sup>35</sup>

Ring-closing metathesis with the C13 enone, prepared by oxidation of the C13 hydroxyl, was substantially cleaner, although slower (~5% conversion after 7 days), and pre vented formation of C13 methyl ketone (entry 5). Increasing the reaction temperature from 40 to 85 °C resulted in complete decomposition (entry 6). Finally, fully silylated diol **22** was also more stable and resistant to the methyl ketone formation, but also exhibited low reactivity (entry 8).

To conclude our study on the key formation of the 23-membered macrocyclic framework en route to the spirolides, we tested the hypothesis that a more compact substrate such as spiroketal **23** would be a superior substrate for RCM (Scheme 5). Intermediate **23** could be readily prepared from diol **22** in one step in a nearly quantitative yield. Indeed, when **23** was subjected to optimized conditions for RCM (GII 30 mol %, 1,2-dichloroethane, 60 °C, 24 h), a clean reaction ensued affording the anticipated macrocyclic product (25% isolated yield) and the recovered starting material (54% isolated yield).

In closing, we report the successful fragment coupling and extensive studies on RCM reactions of the 23-membered all-carbon macrocyclic ring system en route to the spirolides. While these studies revealed that the RCM is no doubt challenging, the powerful reaction was successful in forming the expected compounds and provided sufficient amounts of the macrocyclic products to enable key spiroketalization studies central to our approach in the synthesis of spirolides.

#### **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Acknowledgments

Financial support was provided by the National Institutes of Health, National Institute of General Medical Sciences (R01 GM077379), and kind gifts from Amgen and Eli Lilly. C.E.S. is supported by a Tobacco-Related Disease Research Program Dissertation Award and the UCSB Graduate Research Mentorship Fellowship. We are grateful to Dr. Hongjun Zhou (UC Santa Barbara) for continued assistance with NMR spectroscopy.

### References

- (a) Cembella, A.; Krock, B. Seafood and Freshwater Toxins. 2nd ed.. Botana, LM., editor. CRC Press; Boca Raton: 2008. p. 561-580.(b) Gueret SM, Brimble MA. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2010; 27:1350–1366. [PubMed: 20585694]
- (2). (a) Uemura D, Chuo T, Haino T, Nagatsu A, Fukuzawa S, Zheng S, Chen H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995; 117:1155–1156.(b) Chou T, Kamo O, Uemura D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996; 37:4023–4026.
  (c) Chou T, Haino T, Kuramoto M, Uemura D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996; 37:4027–4030.
- (3). (a) Takada N, Umemura N, Suenaga K, Chou T, Nagatsu A, Haino T, Yamada K, Uemura D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001; 42:3491–3494.(b) Takada N, Umemura N, Suenaga K, Uemura D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001; 42:3495–3497.
- (4). (a) Hu T, Curtis JM, Oshima Y, Quilliam MA, Walter JA, Watson-Wright WM, Wright JLC. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995:2159–2161.(b) Hu T, Curtis JM, Walter JA, Wright JLC. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996; 37:7671–7674.(c) Falk M, Burton IW, Hu T, Walter JA, Wright JLC. Tetrahedron. 2001; 57:8659–8665.(d) Hu T, Burton IW, Cemella AD, Curtis JM, Quilliam MA, Walter JA, Wright JLC. J. Nat. Prod. 2001; 64:308–312. [PubMed: 11277745]
- (5). Seki T, Satake M, Mackenzie L, Kaspar H, Yasumoto T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995; 36:7093–7096.. Miles CO, Wilkins AL, Stirling DJ, MacKenzie AL. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2000; 48:1373–1376. [PubMed: 10775400] . Miles CO, Wilkins AL, Stirling DJ, MacKenzie AL. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2003; 51:4838–4840. [PubMed: 14705921] . For total synthesis, see: Kong K, Romo D, Lee C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009; 48:7402–7405.. Kong K, Moussa Z, Lee C, Romo D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011; 133:19844–19856. [PubMed: 22023219] .
- (6). Lu C-K, Lee G-H, Huang R, Chou H-N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001; 42:1713–1716.
- (7). See, for example: (a) References 2a and 4a. Gill S, Murphy M, Clausen J, Richard D, Quilliam M, MacKinnon S, LaBlanc P, Mueller R, Pulido O. Neurotoxicology. 2003; 24:593–604. [PubMed: 12900072].
- (8). (a) Bourne Y, Radic Z, Araoz R, Talley TT, Benoit E, Servent D, Taylor P, Molgo J, Marchot P. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 2010; 107:6076–6081. [PubMed: 20224036] (b) Araoz R, Servent D, Molgo J, Iorga BI, Fruchart-Gaillard C, Benoit E, Gu Z, Stivala CE, Zakarian A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011; 133:10499–10511. [PubMed: 21644584]
- (9). For reviews on the synthesis of cyclic imine toxins, see: Beaumont S, Ilardi EA, Tappin N, Zakarian A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010; 30:5743–5765.. O'Connor PD, Brimble MA. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2007; 24:869–885. [PubMed: 17653363].
- (10). (a) Meilert K, Brimble MA. Org. Lett. 2005; 7:3497–3500. [PubMed: 16048326] (b) Furkert DP, Brimble MA. Org. Lett. 2002; 4:3655–3658. [PubMed: 12375911] (c) Meilert K, Brimble M,A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006; 4:2184–2192. [PubMed: 16729128] (d) Brimble MA, Caprio V, Furert DP. Tetrahedron. 2001; 57:4023–4034.(e) Brimble MA, Fares FA, Turner P. Aust. J. Chem. 2000; 53:845–851.(f) Zhang YC, Furkert DP, Gueret SM, Lombard F, Brimble MA. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011; 52:4896–4898.
- (11). Ishihara J, Tshizaka T, Suzuki T, Hatakeyama S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004; 45:7855–7858.
- (12). Stivala CE, Zakarian A. Org. Lett. 2009; 11:839-842. [PubMed: 19199776]
- (13). (a) Qin, Y.-c.; Stivala, CE.; Zakarian, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007; 46:7466–7469.(b) Gu Z, Herrmann AT, Stivala CE, Zakarian A. Synlett. 2010:1717–1722.
- (14). (a) Fukuyama T, Lin S-C, Li L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990; 112:7050–7051.(b) Fukuyama T, Tokuyama H. Aldrichim. Acta. 2004; 37:87–96.
- (15). (a) Burgos CH, Canales E, Matos K, Soderquist JA. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005; 127:8044–8049.
  [PubMed: 15926828] (b) Canales E, Prasad KG, Soderquist JA. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005; 127:11572–11573. [PubMed: 16104712] (c) Soto-Cairoli B, Soderquist JA. Org. Lett. 2009; 11:401–404. [PubMed: 19128190]
- (16). Brown asymmetric allylation generated homoallylic alcohol 7 in 91% yield as a 10:1 mixture of diastereomers; however, this result was irreproducible. Jadhav PK, Bhat KS, Perumal T, Brown HC. J. Org. Chem. 1986; 51:432–439..
- (17). The separable minor diastereomer could be recycled through an oxidation–reduction sequence, providing an additional 7–10% yield of 7.

- (18). Ilardi EA, Stivala CE, Zakarian A. Org. Lett. 2008; 10:1727–1730. [PubMed: 18386904]
- (19). Alcaraz L, Hartnett JJ, Mioskowski C, Martel JP, Le Gall T, Shin D-S, Falck JR. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994; 35:5449–5452.
- (20). Omura K, Swern D. Tetrahedron. 1978; 34:1651-1660.
- (21). Herrmann AT, Saito T, Stivala CE, Tom J, Zakarian A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010; 132:5962– 5963. [PubMed: 20387811]
- (22). Cluzeau J, Capdevielle P, Cossy J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005; 46:6945-6948.
- (23). Toro A, Lemelin C-A, Preville P, Belanger G, Deslongchamps P. Tetrahedron. 1999; 55:4655– 4684.
- (24). (a) Huang Z, Negishi E-I. Org. Lett. 2006; 8:3675–3678. [PubMed: 16898789] (b) Baba S, Negishi E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976; 98:6729–6731.
- (25). (a) Negishi E, Okukado N, King AO, Van Horn DE, Spiegel BI. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978; 100:2254–2256.(b) Hayashi T, Konishi M, Kobori Y, Kumada M, Higichi T, Hirosu K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984; 106:158–163.
- (26). Cink RD, Forsyth CJ. J. Org. Chem. 1995; 60:8122-8123.
- (27). (a) Smith AB, Doughty VA, Lin Q, Zhuang L, McBriar MD, Boldi AM, Moser WH, Murase N, Nakayama K, Sobukawa M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001; 40:191–195.(b) Julia M, Paris JM. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973:4833–4836.
- (28). Kolb HC, VanNieuwenhze MS, Sharpless KB. Chem. Rev. 1994; 94:2483-2547.
- (29). Parikh JR, Doering W. v. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967; 89:5505–5507.
- (30). Bailey WF, Brubaker JD, Jordan KP. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003; 681:210-214.
- (31). (a) Dess DB, Martin JC. J. Org. Chem. 1983; 48:4155–4156.(b) Boeckman RK, Shao P, Mullins J. J. Org. Synth. 2000; 77:141–152.
- (32). See the Supporting Information for the structure of the ketone. Gurjar MK, Yakambram P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001; 42:3633–3636.. Renzulli ML, Rocheblave L, Avramova SI, Galletti E, Castagnolo D, Tafi A, Corelli F, Botta M. Tetrahedron. 2007; 63:497–509.. Hoye TR, Zhao H. Org. Lett. 1999; 1:169–171. [PubMed: 10822555].
- (33). Hong SH, Sanders DP, Lee CW, Grubbs RH. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005; 127:17160–17161. [PubMed: 16332044]
- (34). 2,6-Lutidine was used to avoid possible fragmentation of the sensitive methyl ketal.
- (35). For a similar problem in the synthesis of pinnatoxins, see: Stivala CE, Zakarian A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008; 130:3774–3776. [PubMed: 18311987] . (b) Reference 8b.



**Scheme 1.** Synthesis Plan for Spirolide C



Scheme 2. Synthesis of Intermediate 4



Scheme 3. Synthesis of Intermediate 3



Scheme 4. Fragment Coupling



Scheme 5. RCM with Spiroketal 23

#### Table 1



 $CH_2Cl_2$ 

PhMe

 $C_2H_4Cl_2$ 

24,40

24,90

24,90

<sup>*a*</sup>All reactions were carried out under an inert atmosphere of argon in degassed solvents. Reactions were performed on scales of 1-2 mg with a concentration of 0.005 M. Percent conversions were determined by NMR spectroscpy.

10 (90 brsm)

0

0

<sup>b</sup>Isolated yield.

7

8

9

SiMe3; H, OSiEt3

SiMe3; H, OSiEt3

SiMe3; H, OSiEt3