



Published in final edited form as:

Am J Geriatr Pharmacother. 2012 June ; 10(3): 185–192. doi:10.1016/j.amjopharm.2012.03.003.

The Greater Sensitivity of Elderly *APOE* ϵ 4 Carriers to Anticholinergic Medications Is Independent of Cerebrovascular Disease Risk

Robert D. Nebes, PhD¹, Bruce G. Pollock, MD, PhD^{1,*}, Subashan Perera, PhD², Edythe M. Halligan, MA¹, and Judith A. Saxton, PhD³

¹Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh Medical School, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

²Department of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh Medical School, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

³Department of Neurology, University of Pittsburgh Medical School, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Abstract

Background—Recent studies found use of anticholinergic medications to be associated with greater performance decrements in older persons who carry an ϵ 4 allele of the apolipoprotein E (*APOE*) gene than in those carrying only ϵ 2 or ϵ 3 alleles.

Objectives—The present study examined whether the apparently greater behavioral toxicity of anticholinergic drugs in ϵ 4 carriers may result from an increased risk of cerebrovascular disease, which is more common in ϵ 4 carriers.

Methods—Cross-sectional data were available from 240 normal elderly (PEI) community volunteers who had participated in 2 different studies of the cognitive and motor effects of normal aging. As part of these studies, information was gathered on subjects' use of anticholinergic medications (based on an inventory of medications taken within 24 hours of testing), risk of cerebrovascular disease (Framingham Stroke Risk Profile), and *APOE* genotype. Performance data were also available from measures of general cognitive status (Mini-Mental State Examination), executive function (Trail Making Test), mood (Geriatric Depression Scale), sleep (Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index), and walking speed. Logistic and linear regression models were used to examine how outcomes differed between genotypes and drug use, independent of the risk of cerebrovascular disease.

Results—In persons with a non- ϵ 4 genotype, anticholinergic medication use did not significantly affect any of the behavioral measures. By contrast, among ϵ 4 carriers, those taking anticholinergic drugs performed significantly worse than did those not taking such drugs on tests of general cognitive status, executive function, mood, and sleep. Adjusting for participants' stroke risk had a minimal effect on these results.

© 2012 Excerpta Medica, Inc. All rights reserved

Address correspondence to: Robert D. Nebes, PhD, Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic, 3811 O'Hara Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15213. nebesrd@upmc.edu.

*Current affiliation: Center for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST The authors have indicated that they have no other conflicts of interest regarding the content of this article.

Conclusions—Anticholinergic medication use was associated with poorer performance on measures of cognition, sleep, and mood only in older persons who carried 1 or more $\epsilon 4$ alleles of the *APOE* gene; this effect did not appear to be the result of an increased risk of cerebrovascular disease.

Keywords

anticholinergic drugs; *APOE*; cerebrovascular disease; cognition; mood; sleep

INTRODUCTION

Anticholinergic medications are widely used by the elderly to treat a variety of common medical conditions. In addition to prescribed medications, older persons also often use over-the-counter drugs with anticholinergic effects (eg, ranitidine, diphenhydramine) and thus may carry a substantial anticholinergic burden.¹ Such drugs interfere with cholinergic metabolism by antagonizing muscarinic receptors² and at high doses have been shown to produce a variety of severe behavioral decrements in the elderly including sedation, confusion, and even delirium.³ More subtle cognitive decrements such as slowed information processing and memory impairment have also been demonstrated in community-dwelling older adults.^{4,5} Several studies found that elderly individuals who carry 1 or more $\epsilon 4$ alleles of the apolipoprotein E (*APOE*) gene may be particularly sensitive to anticholinergic drugs. In a placebo-controlled study, Pomara et al⁶ showed that acute administration of trihexyphenidyl impaired delayed recall relative to placebo only in those elderly who were $\epsilon 4$ carriers ($P < 0.001$). In a large community-based study, Carriere et al⁴ found an interactive effect of anticholinergic drugs and *APOE* genotype such that anticholinergic drug users had a 2-fold higher risk of a longitudinal cognitive decline if they carried the $\epsilon 4$ genotype. A recent study⁷ using a measure of subjective mental symptoms showed a significant interaction between drug condition (anticholinergic medication vs. placebo) and *APOE* genotype ($P < 0.03$), with $\epsilon 4$ carriers showing a greater drug effect. Also, the magnitude of this anticholinergic drug effect correlated with memory recall performance only in the $\epsilon 4$ carriers ($P < 0.01$). Finally, among persons with stable atherosclerotic disease, $\epsilon 4$ carriers taking anticholinergic medications had the lowest performance on the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), although an actual interactive effect of *APOE* genotype and anticholinergic drug use on cognitive performance was not found.⁸

Although these results suggest that persons carrying the *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ allele may be particularly sensitive to anticholinergic medications, Pomara and Sidtis⁹ argued that use of anticholinergic medications could simply be a marker for increased disease burden. Cerebrovascular disease would be one likely possibility_(PE2). Persons with an $\epsilon 4$ genotype have an increased risk of cerebrovascular disease,¹⁰ evident as white matter hyperintensities (WMH) in their brain. WMH are associated with cognitive impairments in the normal old_(PE3),¹¹ especially in $\epsilon 4$ carriers.¹² Cerebrovascular disease is associated with a central cholinergic dysfunction. Bocti et al¹³ reported that the severity of WMH present in cholinergic pathways was strongly related to cognitive performance in elderly individuals, whereas total WMH severity was not, suggesting that the behavioral impairments associated with WMH may result from disruption of cholinergic neuronal pathways. Because individuals with central cholinergic dysfunction show an increased sensitivity to anticholinergic medications,^{14,15} any cognitive deficits associated with anticholinergic drugs may be magnified in individuals with cerebrovascular disease. This view is supported by a finding¹⁶ that the psychomotor slowing present with elevated WMH volume in normal elderly individuals was exacerbated by the presence of anticholinergic medications in their serum. Finally, there is evidence that persons with substantial WMH show increased

permeability of the blood–brain barrier,¹⁷ allowing greater penetration of the central nervous system by medications. These findings raise the possibility that coexistent cerebrovascular disease may underlie the suggested hypersensitivity of *APOE* ϵ 4 carriers to anticholinergic medications.

The present analysis sought to build on previous findings that the performance decrements associated with taking anticholinergic medications are greater in older ϵ 4 carriers than in noncarriers. Further, it examined whether this apparent sensitivity of ϵ 4 carriers to anticholinergic medications would persist after controlling for the increased risk of cerebrovascular disease known to be associated with the ϵ 4 genotype.

METHODS

Subjects

The data used in these analyses came from 240 subjects aged 65 to 80 years recruited from the community by advertisement for 2 different investigations into the cognitive and motor effects of normal aging carried out from 1999 to 2002 and 2003 to 2007. One of these studies focused on the behavioral effects of WMH and the other on the effects of anticholinergic medications. The samples for the 2 studies were made up of different individuals, but the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the 2 studies were identical. Both studies were approved by the University of Pittsburgh Institutional Review Board (IRB010823 and IRB9602163). In both studies, a nurse practitioner skilled in geriatric assessment obtained a medical history and performed physical and neurological examinations on potential subjects. Information on current medical conditions and medications was also obtained from subjects' primary care physician when available. To participate, individuals could not have a history of central nervous system pathology (eg, stroke, Parkinson's disease) or major psychiatric disease, nor could they be taking psychoactive medications (eg, benzodiazepines, narcotics, antidepressants). Individuals being actively treated for cancer or who had a history of alcohol or drug abuse were also excluded. In both studies, subjects were given a battery of neuropsychological tests (different in the 2 studies), and individuals showing evidence of clinically significant cognitive impairment (eg, mild cognitive impairment), as determined by the chief neuropsychologist (J.A.S.) from the University of Pittsburgh Alzheimer Disease Research Center, were excluded, as was anyone who scored >15 on the 30-point version of the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS).¹⁸ *APOE* genotyping was performed by the Alzheimer Center Genetics Core.

Anticholinergic Medications

On the day of testing, subjects brought in the containers for all prescription and over-the-counter medications that they had taken in the past 24 hours. These were examined to determine whether they had taken any medications reported as anticholinergic in either of 2 published drug listings: the Anticholinergic Drug Scale¹⁹ and the Anticholinergic Risk Scale.²⁰ Any medications not found in either of these listings were considered to have no anticholinergic effects.

Cerebrovascular Disease

Results from a medical questionnaire, the physical examination, and the subject's primary care physician were used in an algorithm (Framingham Stroke Risk Profile) that estimates an individual's percentage of risk for having a stroke within the next 10 years based on the presence of vascular risk factors such as hypertension, diabetes, and heart disease.²¹ This score served as an operational measure of the subject's risk of cerebrovascular disease and as an indirect marker of WMH severity. The Stroke Risk Profile is strongly correlated ($r =$

0.68) with the volume of WMH present in community-dwelling elders²² as well as with cognitive impairment.²³

Behavioral Measures

The behavioral data available for analysis were limited because only a few of the tasks administered in the 2 studies were identical. The Mini-Mental State Examination²⁴ is a brief 30-point measure commonly used to screen for cognitive impairment. The Trail Making Test (TMT)²⁵ is composed of 2 parts. TMT-A consists of 25 circles numbered 1 through 25 distributed over a page. The subject is instructed to connect the circles with a pencil line as quickly as possible in ascending numerical order. TMT-B also consists of 25 circles, but these circles contain the numbers 1 through 13 and letters A through L. The subject must connect the circles alternating between numbers and letters in ascending order (ie, 1, A, 2, B, 3...). The time required to complete each part is recorded. Although both TMT-A and -B involve visuomotor and perceptual scanning skills, TMT-B also requires subjects to repeatedly shift mental set between the 2 series while keeping track of their position in each series. Subtracting the time taken to complete TMT-A from that taken to complete TMT-B served as our measure of the efficiency of set shifting, commonly thought to be a component of executive functioning.

In addition to using the GDS to exclude potential cases of clinical depression (ie, scores >15), we determined the relationship of anticholinergic drug use with the total GDS score as well as with 2 subsets of GDS questions based on a factor analysis of data from elderly adults.²⁶ One subset consisted of 9 questions dealing with mood symptoms (eg, sadness, helplessness, worry), whereas the other subset consisted of 6 questions dealing with functional symptoms (eg, difficulty concentrating or making decisions, loss of motivation/energy). Previous work has shown self-reported functional symptoms to be more closely linked to WMH severity²⁷ than are mood symptoms.

Because over-the-counter anticholinergic medications are commonly used by elderly as a sleep aid,²⁸ we examined the results of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), a widely used questionnaire that assesses subjective sleep quality and quantitative sleep-wake parameters over the preceding month.²⁹ Responses to questions in the PSQI were entered into an equation to provide a global PSQI score ranging from 0 to 21, with higher scores representing poorer sleep quality.

We also measured the gait speed of participants because anticholinergic medications have been linked to problems with mobility in the elderly.³⁰ The time that participants took to walk a 15-foot course was measured to the nearest one tenth of a second from the signal to begin until the subject's foot crossed the 15-foot mark. A subject walked the course twice, and the 2 times were averaged and transformed into walking speed in meters per second.

Statistical Analysis

Subjects were divided into 2 groups based on their *APOE* genotype: the $\epsilon 4$ group included persons carrying 1 or more $\epsilon 4$ alleles, and the non- $\epsilon 4$ group included persons carrying $\epsilon 2$ and $\epsilon 3$ alleles. The 2 *APOE* groups were then dichotomized between those who took no anticholinergic medications (nonusers) and those who took at least 1 anticholinergic medication (ACh users). Given the restricted range, the ordinal nature, and the skew of the distribution, the GDS motivation and mood subscores were dichotomized based on whether individuals scored 2 and 1, respectively.

We used independent-sample *t* tests to compare relevant participant characteristics (age, years of education, stroke risk) between ACh users and nonusers within each *APOE* genotype. For the main analysis, we fit (2-way ANOVA type) linear models or logistic

regression models depending on whether a measure was continuous or dichotomous. First, we included APOE genotype ($\epsilon 4$ /non- $\epsilon 4$), ACh user/nonuser and APOE genotype \times ACh user/nonuser interaction as factors of interest. Appropriately constructed contrast estimates were used to estimate the magnitude and obtain the statistical significance of the interaction effect (ie, between-genotype difference of the ACh user vs. nonuser difference) and ACh user/nonuser differences within each *APOE* genotype. Next, we repeated the analyses after adding stroke risk as an additional predictor to determine whether our findings would persist independent of cerebrovascular disease risk. SAS software version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, North Carolina) was used for all statistical analyses.

RESULTS

Twenty-two percent of the subjects ($n = 53$) carried at least 1 $\epsilon 4$ allele. Based on the anticholinergic medications found in the 2 published drug listings,^{19,20} the percentage of individuals using at least 1 anticholinergic medication was 32% in the $\epsilon 4$ and 28% in non- $\epsilon 4$ groups, whereas the mean number of anticholinergic drugs taken was 1.41 and 1.43, respectively, in the 2 groups_(PE4). Thus, there was no evidence that anticholinergic burden differed as a function of *APOE* genotype. Anticholinergic medications were most commonly being taken for allergies, acid reflux or excess stomach acid, or incontinence. The specific medications taken by subjects in this analysis were bromph_(PES)eniramine, captopril, cetirizine, chlordiazepoxide, chlorpheniramine, dexamethasone, digoxin, diphenhydramine, dipyrindamole, famotidine, furosemide, hydroxyzine, isosorbide, loratadine, meclizine, metoclopramide, nifedipine, oxybutynin, prednisolone, prednisone, ranitidine, theophylline, tolterodine, tramadol, and warfarin. Stroke risk was significantly higher in persons taking anticholinergic medications in both *APOE* groups (Table I).

Significant or marginally significant interactions between *APOE* genotype and anticholinergic medication use were found for most behavioral measures (Table II). Genotype and anticholinergic drug use interacted significantly for TMT-B time ($t = -3.86$; $P = 0.0001$), TMT-B time minus TMT-A time ($t = -3.78$; $P = 0.0002$), GDS ($t = -3.11$; $P = 0.0021$), and GDS motivation symptoms ($\chi^2 = 11.7$; $P = 0.0006$) and marginally significantly for GDS mood symptoms ($\chi^2 = 3.78$; $P = 0.052$), MMSE ($t = 1.77$; $P = 0.0786$), and PSQI ($t = -1.88$; $P = 0.0618$). Thus, we proceeded to interpret associations between anticholinergic medication use and behavioral measures within each *APOE* group.

In the non- $\epsilon 4$ group, use of anticholinergic medications did not significantly affect any of the behavioral measures, even before adjusting for stroke risk. By contrast, $\epsilon 4$ carriers who were taking anticholinergic medications performed significantly worse than did individuals not taking such drugs (Table II) on all the measures except for gait speed. Adjusting for participants' stroke risk had a minimal effect on these results, with only the MMSE and TMT-A results falling to a marginally significant level. Thus, $\epsilon 4$ carriers who used anticholinergic medications performed worse than $\epsilon 4$ carriers who did not use such medications on most of the measures examined, even after differences in cerebrovascular disease risk were taken into account.

DISCUSSION

Anticholinergic medication use was associated with impaired performance on the TMT only in the $\epsilon 4$ carriers. The effect on TMT-A, which assesses primarily psychomotor speed, was relatively small and became only marginally significant after controlling for stroke risk. By contrast, measures of mental-set shifting (TMT-B and TMT-B minus TMT-A) were impaired in ACh users only if they carried an *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ genotype. Controlling for stroke risk did not eliminate this differential sensitivity of the $\epsilon 4$ carriers to anticholinergic medications,

despite stroke risk being significantly higher in individuals who were taking anticholinergic medications (Table I). The effect of anticholinergic drug use on the MMSE was relatively small and became only marginally significant after controlling for stroke risk. This is not too surprising given that the MMSE is less sensitive at the upper end of its range where most of our subjects tended to perform. Anticholinergic drug use did not affect walking speed in this study, even in $\epsilon 4$ carriers. Although a previous study showed anticholinergic medication use to be associated with slower walking speed,³⁰ unlike the present analysis, it did not exclude persons taking psychoactive medications, which include some of the stronger anticholinergic drugs.

Subjective measures also showed an interactive effect of anticholinergic medications and *APOE* genotype in that $\epsilon 4$ carriers who used anticholinergic medications reported significantly poorer sleep and more depressive symptoms than did nonusers. The association of anticholinergic drug use with impaired sleep quality is consistent with findings of a previous epidemiological study,²⁸ showing that older persons with sleep difficulties often use anticholinergic medication as a sleep aid. Thus, the poorer sleep quality seen in persons using anticholinergic medications may not be an effect of anticholinergic use, but rather a reason for it. However, why this relationship between sleep quality and anticholinergic drug use should be stronger in $\epsilon 4$ carriers is not clear. The relationship of the anticholinergic drug use with depressive symptomatology is somewhat surprising. Depressive symptomatology has not typically been associated with anticholinergic medication use except for antidepressant medications such as amitriptyline or imipramine, which do have anticholinergic properties. However, use of such medications was a specific exclusion criterion for this study. Pomara et al⁷ examined the effect that acute administration of an anticholinergic medication had on the Mood Rating Scale, but analyzed only questions related to sedation and confusion. Of these, only self-rated mental slowing showed a greater anticholinergic effect in $\epsilon 4$ carriers. Although the present study also demonstrated an effect on functional symptoms (eg, problems making decisions and ability to concentrate), $\epsilon 4$ carriers taking anticholinergic medications also reported increased mood symptoms (eg, feeling hopeless or downhearted). The present association-type data cannot definitively show the direction of the relationship between anticholinergic medication use and mood, but it does show that it is stronger in persons who carry an $\epsilon 4$ genotype.

In addition to stroke risk, there was 1 other potential confound. Among the non- $\epsilon 4$ individuals, those who used anticholinergic medications were significantly more educated than were nonusers (Table I). However, because the detrimental effect of anticholinergic medications on behavior was in the $\epsilon 4$ individuals, this education difference is unlikely to be an important factor in the pattern of results.

If an increased risk of cerebrovascular disease does not explain the greater behavioral vulnerability of $\epsilon 4$ carriers to anticholinergic medications, what does? One possibility is subclinical Alzheimer's disease (AD), because persons carrying an $\epsilon 4$ genotype have a greater risk of the development of AD,³¹ and AD patients are highly sensitive to anticholinergic medications. Although our subjects were thoroughly screened for significant cognitive impairment, it is difficult to detect AD early in its course, especially in well-educated individuals who made up a substantial segment of our sample. Another possibility raised by several articles^{6,32} is that $\epsilon 4$ carriers have a decreased acetylcholine synthetic capacity due to reductions in phospholipid transport. Studies of brain tissue showed lower choline acetyltransferase activity in normal elderly individuals who are $\epsilon 4$ carriers³³ as well as a lower concentration of acetylcholine in the synapses of older $\epsilon 4$ individuals.³⁴

There are several limitations to this study. The effect of anticholinergic medications was measured by whether subjects had taken any such medications within the previous 24 hours,

without considering the number of anticholinergic medications, dosage, or the likelihood that a medication crossed the blood–brain barrier. Similarly, cerebrovascular disease was determined from the presence of stroke risk factors and not by magnetic resonance imaging evidence of actual cerebrovascular disease such as WMH or lacunes. Finally, this was not a double-blind study in which we administered a specific anticholinergic medication to randomly selected individuals from the 2 genotypes. Instead, these data were obtained from older volunteers who took part in 2 different cross-sectional studies, and the present analysis examined the preexisting relationship between anticholinergic medication use and performance. Thus, we cannot be certain that the anticholinergic drugs being taken by some $\epsilon 4$ carriers was the actual factor responsible for their poorer cognitive performance and their sleep and mood problems.

Study strengths lie in the evidence that cerebrovascular disease may not underlie the interactive effect that *APOE* genotype and anticholinergic medication use have on performance. The results also extend the observed number and type of measures for which there is evidence of a greater sensitivity of $\epsilon 4$ carriers to anticholinergic medications. Previous results focused on memory,^{6,7} general mental function,^{4,8} and self-rated mental speed.⁷ The present study added to these a component of executive function (attention switching) as well as measures of mood and sleep, behavioral areas not previously shown to be differentially affected by anticholinergic medications in $\epsilon 4$ individuals. With respect to these latter results, it should be remembered that persons taking psychoactive medications including strong anticholinergic drugs such as imipramine and chlorpromazine were specifically excluded from the study, as was anyone with evidence of a clinically significant depression, factors that may have decreased the strength of the results found in the present analyses.

CONCLUSIONS

Overall, the present results are consistent with the hypothesis that anticholinergic drugs have a greater effect on performance in elderly individuals who carry 1 or more $\epsilon 4$ alleles of the *APOE* gene, even after controlling for the increased risk of cerebrovascular disease present in $\epsilon 4$ carriers. This effect was not restricted to cognitive tasks, but also was present for measures of sleep and of depression, including both symptoms of mood and function. These results support the position that an interactive effect of anticholinergic medication use and *APOE* genotype may be an important source of between-person performance variability in the elderly population independent of the increased risk of cerebrovascular disease present in individuals carrying an *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ genotype.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by National Institute on Aging grants R01-AG030452, R01-AG019151, P30-AG024827, and K07-AG033174.

Dr. Pollock receives research support from the National Institutes of Health and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. In the past 3 years, he has been a member of the advisory board of Lundbeck Canada (final meeting, May 2009) and a faculty member of the Lundbeck International Neuroscience Foundation (last meeting, April 2010).

REFERENCES

1. Mulsant BH, Pollock BG, Kirshner M, et al. Serum anticholinergic activity in a community-based sample of older adults. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2003; 60:198–203. [PubMed: 12578438]
2. Chew ML, Mulsant BH, Pollock BG, et al. Anticholinergic activity of 107 medications commonly used by older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2008; 56:1333–1341. [PubMed: 18510583]

3. Moore AR, O'Keeffe ST. Drug-induced cognitive impairment in the elderly. *Drugs Aging*. 1999; 15:15–28. [PubMed: 10459729]
4. Carriere I, Fourrier-Reglat A, Dartigues JF, et al. Drugs with anticholinergic properties, cognitive decline and dementia in an elderly general population. *Arch Intern Med*. 2009; 169:1317–1324. [PubMed: 19636034]
5. Nebes RD, Pollock BG, Halligan EM, et al. Cognitive slowing associated with elevated serum anticholinergic activity in older individuals is decreased by caffeine use. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2011; 19:169–175. [PubMed: 20808111]
6. Pomara N, Willoughby LM, Wesnes K, et al. Increased anticholinergic challenge-induced memory impairment associated with the APOE-ε4 allele in the elderly. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2004; 29:403–409. [PubMed: 14735126]
7. Pomara N, Belzer K, Hernando R, et al. Increased mental slowing associated with APOE ε4 allele after trihexyphenidyl oral anticholinergic challenge in healthy elderly. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2008; 16:116–124. [PubMed: 18239197]
8. Uusvaara J, Pitkala KH, Tienari PJ, et al. Association between anticholinergic drugs and apolipoprotein E ε4 allele and poorer cognitive function in older cardiovascular patients. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2009; 57:427–431. [PubMed: 19278396]
9. Pomara N, Sidtis J. Apolipoprotein E ε4 and anticholinergic cognitive toxicity. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2009; 57:2151. [PubMed: 20121960]
10. De Leeuw FE, Richard F, De Groot JC, et al. Interaction between hypertension, apoE and cerebral white matter lesions. *Stroke*. 2004; 35:1057–1062. [PubMed: 15060316]
11. Gunning-Dixon FM, Raz N. The cognitive correlates of white matter abnormalities in normal aging: a quantitative review. *Neuropsychology*. 2000; 14:224–232. [PubMed: 10791862]
12. Kalmijn S, Feskens EJM, Launer LJ, et al. Cerebrovascular disease, the Apolipoprotein ε4, and cognitive decline in a community-based study of elderly men. *Stroke*. 1996; 27:2230–2235. [PubMed: 8969786]
13. Bocti C, Swartz RH, Gao FQ, et al. A new visual rating scale to assess strategic white matter hyperintensities within cholinergic pathways in dementia. *Stroke*. 2005; 36:2126–2131. [PubMed: 16179569]
14. Flicker C, Ferris SH, Serby M. Hypersensitivity to scopolamine in the elderly. *Psychopharmacology*. 1992; 107:437–441. [PubMed: 1615141]
15. Tariot PN, Patel SV, Cox C, et al. Age-related decline in central cholinergic function demonstrated with scopolamine. *Psychopharmacology*. 1996; 125:50–56. [PubMed: 8724448]
16. Nebes RD, Pollock BG, Meltzer CC, et al. Serum anticholinergic activity, white matter hyperintensities and cognitive performance. *Neurology*. 2005; 65:1487–1489. [PubMed: 16275844]
17. Starr JM, Wardlaw J, Ferguson K, et al. Increased blood-brain barrier permeability in type II diabetes demonstrated by gadolinium magnetic resonance imaging. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2003; 74:70–76. [PubMed: 12486269]
18. Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Rose TL, et al. Development and validation of a geriatric depression screening scale. *J Psychiatr Res*. 1983; 17:37–49. [PubMed: 7183759]
19. Carnahan RM, Lund BC, Perry PJ, et al. The anticholinergic drug scale as a measure of drug-related anticholinergic burden: associations with serum anticholinergic activity. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 2006; 46:1481–1486. [PubMed: 17101747]
20. Rudolph JL, Salow MJ, Angelini MC, et al. The anticholinergic risk scale and anticholinergic adverse effects in older persons. *Arch Intern Med*. 2008; 168:508–513. [PubMed: 18332297]
21. Wolf PA, D'Agostino RB, Belanger AJ, et al. Probability of stroke: a risk profile from the Framingham Study. *Stroke*. 1991; 22:312–318. [PubMed: 2003301]
22. Jeerakathil T, Wolf PA, Beiser A, et al. Stroke risk profile predicts white matter hyperintensity volume: the Framingham study. *Stroke*. 2004; 35:1857–1861. [PubMed: 15218158]
23. Elias MF, Sullivan LM, D'Agostino RB, et al. Framingham stroke risk profile and lowered cognitive performance. *Stroke*. 2004; 35:404–409. [PubMed: 14726556]

24. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. Mini-Mental State. A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res.* 1975; 12:189–198. [PubMed: 1202204]
25. Reitan, R.; Wolfson, D. *The Halstead-Reitan Neuropsychological Test Battery: Theory and Clinical Interpretation.* Neuropsychology Press; Tucson, AZ: 1985.
26. Sheikh JI, Yesavage JA, Brooks JO, et al. Proposed factor structure of the Geriatric Depression Scale. *Int Psychogeriatr.* 1991; 3:23–28. [PubMed: 1863703]
27. Nebes RD, Vora IJ, Meltzer CC, et al. Relationship of deep white matter hyperintensities and apolipoprotein E genotype to depressive symptoms in older adults without clinical depression. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2001; 158:878–884. [PubMed: 11384894]
28. Basu R, Dodge H, Stoehr GP, et al. Sedative-hypnotic use of diphenhydramine in a rural older adult community-based cohort. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2003; 11:205–213. [PubMed: 12611750]
29. Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, Monk TH, et al. Quantification of subjective sleep quality in healthy elderly men and women using the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index. *Sleep.* 1991; 14:331–338. [PubMed: 1947597]
30. Hilmer SN, Mager DE, Simonsick EM, et al. A drug burden index to define the functional burden of medications in older people. *Arch Intern Med.* 2007; 167:781–787. [PubMed: 17452540]
31. Schipper HM. Apolipoprotein E: Implications for AD neurobiology, epidemiology and risk assessment. *Neurobiol Aging.* 2011; 32:778–790. [PubMed: 19482376]
32. Poirier J. Apolipoprotein E4, cholinergic integrity and the pharmacogenetics of Alzheimer's disease. *J Psychiatry Neurosci.* 1999; 24:147–153. [PubMed: 10212558]
33. Allen SJ, MacGowan SH, Tyler S, et al. Reduced cholinergic function in normal and Alzheimer's disease brain is associated with apolipoprotein E4 genotype. *Neurosci Lett.* 1997; 239:33–36. [PubMed: 9547165]
34. Cohen RM, Podruchny TA, Bokde ALW, et al. Higher in vivo muscarinic-2 receptor distribution volumes in aging subjects with an apolipoprotein E-e4 allele. *Synapse.* 2003; 49:150–156. [PubMed: 12774299]

Table 1

Characteristics of users and nonusers of anticholinergic medications.

	Non-e4			e4		
	Nonuser (n = 134)	ACh User (n = 53)	P Value	Nonuser (n = 36)	ACh User (n = 17)	P Value
Age	72.5 (4.0)	73.1 (4.3)	0.3006	73.4 (3.5)	72.7 (4.3)	0.5055
Years of education	14.7 (2.5)	15.5 (2.5)	0.0408	14.9 (2.5)	14.3 (2.2)	0.4290
Stroke risk, %	12.1 (9.6)	15.6 (11.2)	0.0315	11.5 (6.1)	20.9 (15.9)	0.0296
No. of anticholinergic medications	0	1.43 (0.82)	–	0	1.41 (0.62)	–

ACh = anticholinergic medication.

Values shown are mean (SD).^(PE8)

Table II

Performance effects ^(PE) of anticholinergic drug use by *APOE* ε4 and non-ε4 carriers before and after adjusting for stroke risk.

	Anticholinergic Drug Use		Unadjusted		Adjusted for Stroke Risk	
	Nonuser, Mean (SD) or n (%)	ACh User, Mean (SD) or n (%)	Nonuser vs. User, MD (SE) or OR (95% CI)	P Value	Nonuser vs. User, MD (SE) or OR (95% CI)	P Value
<i>APOE</i> Non-ε4						
MMSE *	28.1 (1.5)	28.1 (1.6)	-0.03 (0.26)	0.9208	0.03 (0.26)	0.8926
TMT-A time, sec	34.7 (11.6)	36.7 (11.8)	2.02 (1.86)	0.2790	1.46 (1.87)	0.4340
TMT-B time, sec †	85.2 (30.5)	79.1 (28.5)	-6.12 (5.18)	0.2383	-7.70 (5.19)	0.1390
TMT-B and -A time, sec †	51.0 (27.8)	42.4 (22.0)	-8.65 (4.62)	0.0626	-9.68 (4.65)	0.0385 ^(PE)
Gait speed, m/sec	1.08 (0.22)	1.11 (0.23)	0.04 (0.04)	0.3304	0.04 (0.04)	0.2430
PSQI *	4.6 (2.7)	4.9 (3.3)	0.33 (0.48)	0.4881	0.34 (0.49)	0.4856
GDS †	3.0 (3.2)	3.4 (3.4)	0.33 (0.54)	0.5408	0.16 (0.54)	0.7647
Motivation 2 †	54 (40.3)	16 (30.2)	0.64 (0.32-1.27)	0.1997	0.63 (0.32-1.26)	0.1909
Mood 1 *	29 (21.6)	18 (34.0)	1.86 (0.92-3.76)	0.0824	1.82(0.89-3.69)	0.0991
<i>APOE</i> ε4						
MMSE *	28.1 (1.7)	27.2 (1.6)	-0.96 (0.46)	0.0393	-0.80 (0.47)	0.0905
TMT-A time, sec	35.3 (10.5)	43.0 (11.5)	7.71 (3.38)	0.0232	6.24 (3.42)	0.0695
TMT-B time, sec †	81.0 (23.8)	116.2 (57.8)	35.22 (9.38)	0.0002	31.05 (9.50)	0.0012
TMT-B and -A time, sec †	45.7 (21.7)	73.2 (54.1)	27.50 (8.37)	0.0012	24.78 (8.52)	0.0040
Gait speed, m/sec	1.12 (0.21)	1.02 (0.22)	-0.09 (0.07)	0.1655	-0.07 (0.07)	0.2841
PSQI *	4.3 (2.7)	6.6 (3.9)	2.26 (0.90)	0.0133	2.27 (0.93)	0.0148
GDS †	1.9 (2.6)	5.7 (4.7)	3.79 (0.97)	0.0001	3.34 (0.99)	0.0008
Motivation 2 †	8 (22.2)	12 (70.6)	8.40 (2.28-31.01)	0.0014	8.12 (2.15-30.62)	0.0020
Mood 1 *	3 (8.3)	8 (47.1)	9.78 (2.14-44.61)	0.0032	9.13 (1.96-42.62)	0.0049

ACh = anticholinergic medication; GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale; MD = means difference; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; OR = odds ratio; PSQI = Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; TMT = Trail Making Test.

* 0.05 P < 0.10.

[†]p < 0.05 for *APOE* × anticholinergic-drug use interaction (ie, comparing drug user – nonuser difference across *APOE* genotypes).