



# Cell wall mechanics and growth control in plants: the role of pectins revisited

Alexis Peaucelle<sup>1,2</sup>, Siobhan Braybrook<sup>3</sup> and Herman Höfte<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut Jean-Pierre Bourgin, UMR1318 INRA/AgroParisTech, Saclay Plant Sciences, INRA Centre de Versailles, Versailles, France

<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire MSC, UFR de Physique de Paris 7, Université Paris Diderot, Paris, France

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Plant Sciences, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

## Edited by:

Seth DeBolt, University of Kentucky, USA

## Reviewed by:

Sascha Gille, University of California at Berkeley, USA

Tuo Wang, Iowa State University, USA

## \*Correspondence:

Herman Höfte, Institut Jean-Pierre Bourgin, UMR1318 INRA/AgroParisTech, Saclay Plant Sciences, INRA Centre de Versailles, Route de St Cyr, 78026 Versailles Cedex, France. e-mail: herman.hofte@versailles.inra.fr

How is the extensibility of growing plant cell walls regulated? In the past, most studies have focused on the role of the cellulose/xyloglucan network and the enigmatic wall-loosening agents expansins. Here we review first how in the closest relatives of the land plants, the Charophycean algae, cell wall synthesis is coupled to cell wall extensibility by a chemical  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -exchange mechanism between  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -pectate complexes. We next discuss evidence for the existence in terrestrial plants of a similar “primitive”  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -pectate-based growth control mechanism in parallel to the more recent, land plant-specific, expansin-dependent process.

**Keywords:** pectin, pectin methylesterase, wall extensibility, *Chara corallina*

Plant cell growth reflects the balance between the extensibility of the cell wall and the forces exerted on the wall by the turgor pressure. Although growth in principle can be controlled by changing either parameter, in most documented cases growth changes reflect changes in cell wall extensibility (Cosgrove, 2005). The paradoxical properties of cell walls, which combine extensibility with extreme strength, can be easily understood by keeping in mind that wall strength is determined by the number and strength of load-bearing bonds and by assuming that a wall relaxation mechanism exists that can break these bonds and transfer the load to new bonds (Figure 1). Wall relaxation and cell expansion can occur, in principle, with or without a change in wall strength, depending on whether or not this chemorheological process causes a net change in the number or strength of the load-bearing bonds.


## THE NATURE OF THE LOAD-BEARING BONDS IN PRIMARY CELL WALLS

Typical primary cell walls (i.e., the walls of growing cells) consist of strong cellulose microfibrils, hemicelluloses [primarily xyloglucans (XGs) in non-Commelinoid species], pectins, and structural proteins. The current “textbook view” of the control of cell wall extensibility (Carpita and McCann, 2000) is largely based on a series of seminal extensometer experiments, which showed that acid-promoted wall extension under a constant tension, also referred to as creep, can be reproduced on isolated walls. These studies led to the purification of a creep-promoting agent, called expansin (McQueen-Mason et al., 1992). Expansins are encoded by large gene families, the expression of whose members is frequently correlated with growth. All attempts so far have failed to detect enzymatic activity for expansins. Interestingly, the proteins can also promote creep of paper, essentially pure cellulose, without detectable cellulose hydrolysis. From

this it was concluded that expansins have a “lubricating” activity perhaps by severing hydrogen bonds between glucan chains (cellulose and/or XG; Cosgrove, 2000). XG chains strongly bind to microfibrils and in principle are long enough to bridge microfibrils in the wall. Cross-links have been observed in certain cell wall preparations (McCann et al., 1993). This led to the so called “tethered network” model in which the main load-bearing network consists of cellulose-XGs, the extensibility of which is controlled by expansin and perhaps wall-bound XG endo-transglycosylase (XET) activity (Fry et al., 1992). The latter can stitch XG fragments together and, if a transfer from load-bearing to relaxed XG chains occurs, this process also has the potential to promote creep.


Within this view, pectins form a matrix around the cellulose-XG network but are thought not to have a major load-bearing role (Cosgrove, 1999). Pectins are block co-polymers; homogalacturonan (HG), a main pectic polymer, consists of  $\alpha$ -1,4-linked galacturonic acids. HG is secreted in a highly methylesterified form and selectively de-methylesterified by pectin methylesterases (PME; Pelloux et al., 2007). After de-methylesterification, pectate can form  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -pectate cross-linked complexes, referred to as “eggboxes” (Figure 2B; Grant et al., 1973). These cross-links, together with rhamnogalacturonan II (RGII)-boron diester bonds, are thought to indirectly affect the cellulose-XG network by influencing the wall porosity and hence the accessibility of primary wall relaxation proteins to their substrate (Cosgrove, 1999). The more  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -pectate present, the denser the gel and the more inextensible the cell wall is expected to be.

Recent observations necessitate a reconsideration of the “tethered network” model. Firstly, 3D-solid state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) studies suggest that at best only a small proportion of the XG is bound to cellulose (Dick-Perez et al., 2011).



Secondly, extensometer assays on isolated cucumber cell walls show that creep is promoted by a specific class of dual-specificity  $\beta$ -1,4-endo-glucanases (EGases) and not by XG- or cellulose-specific EGases (Park and Cosgrove, 2012). Based on these studies, the authors propose a model for the cell wall architecture (**Figure 1B**), in which minor and relatively inaccessible XG fractions are intertwined or complexed with cellulose and thus form a small number of load-bearing connections between microfibrils. The authors propose that these connections also may be the target not only of the dual-specificity EGases but also of expansins. Why would there be so few cellulose–XG interaction sites, given the high affinity of XG for cellulose (Hanus and Mazeau, 2006)?

An interesting possibility is that pectin competes with XG for interaction with nascent cellulose chains, perhaps through the binding of the arabinan and galactan side chains to the cellulose (Zykwin-ska et al., 2005). In this case the secreted pectin/XG ratio as well as the pectin structure (the density and length of side chains) would determine the extent of the cellulose–XG interactions. Thirdly, a double mutant (*xylosyltransferase1/2* or *xt1xt2*) without detectable XG (Cavalier et al., 2008) shows a surprisingly subtle phenotype: mutant plants are slightly smaller than the wild type, but otherwise nearly normal in their development. Stress–strain assays on cell walls from petioles showed a 53% higher elastic compliance and a 164% higher plastic compliance for XG-less walls as compared to



wild type walls, confirming that XGs have a wall-strengthening role (Park and Cosgrove, 2011). In contrast, *xt1xt2* cell walls showed strongly reduced acid-promoted/expansin-dependent creep, consistent with a reduced effectiveness of expansin in the absence of XG. Surprisingly, treatments that specifically act on pectate or xylans promoted creep more strongly in the mutant than in the wild type, showing that  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -pectate and, to a lesser extent, xylans are load-bearing in the mutant (Park and Cosgrove, 2011). These findings are reminiscent of the results on tomato cell cultures continually exposed to the cellulose inhibitor dichlorobenil (DCB); the walls of DCB habituated cells strikingly lacked cellulose and XG, and were held together by a strong pectate network, reinforced by phenolic ester and/or phenolic ether bonds (Shedletzky et al., 1990). In conclusion, in the absence of the cellulose-XG network, pectate cross-links play a major load-bearing role.

Another set of recent observations on the *Arabidopsis* shoot apical meristem underscore the importance of pectin metabolism in the control of wall extensibility also during normal development (Peaucelle et al., 2008, 2011). Microindentation using atomic force microscopy (AFM) showed that the appearance of organ primordia at the periphery of the meristem was preceded by an increased elastic compliance of the cell walls at that position. This could be attributed to the de-methylesterification of HG. Indeed, inhibition of PME activity by the ectopic expression of a PME inhibitor (PMEI) led to a global stiffening of the walls throughout the meristem and totally prevented the formation of primordia, whereas ectopic PME expression reduced the cell wall stiffness and caused the formation of ectopic primordia (Peaucelle et al., 2008).

This raises the following questions: (1) To what extent do pectin cross-links also have a load-bearing role in normal cell

walls? (2) How can we explain the association of HG demethylesterification with a *decrease* in cell wall stiffness rather than the expected increase in stiffness? and more in general (3) What is the relevance of the changes in cell wall stiffness for the observed growth changes?

### AN EVOLUTIONARY PERSPECTIVE ON GROWTH CONTROL

To provide an answer to these questions it is worthwhile to consider the growth mechanisms revealed in freshwater algae of the Charophyceae family, the closest relatives of land plants. *Chara corallina*, with its giant cells of up to several centimeters long, is ideally suited for the study of cell expansion. *Chara* cells can be easily impaled with a pipette, allowing the composition of the cytosol and the turgor pressure to be controlled. In addition, the cytoplasm can be removed entirely to study the expansion behavior of isolated walls (Proseus et al., 2000). The cell wall of *Chara* has a composition similar to that of higher plants with cellulose, pectin (primarily non-methyl-esterified HG), and minor amounts of XG (Sorensen et al., 2011). The results of a series of imaginative experiments on this species by the Boyer laboratory yielded an elegant model for wall expansion (Figure 2; for a recent review, see Boyer, 2009).  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -pectate cross-links are the main load-bearing bonds, and wall relaxation is coupled to pectate deposition through a simple non-enzymatic mechanism: Newly deposited pectate chelates  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  away from existing  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -pectate, preferentially from the load-bearing bonds that are distorted by the wall tension. The loss of these  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -pectate bonds causes the cell wall to relax and irreversible wall extension occurs. The new  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -pectate then binds to the wall and the extension decelerates. New pectate is deposited and the cycle repeats itself.

A similar coupling mechanism may underlie growth control in tip-growing cells of land plants as shown by the mathematical modeling of growing pollen tubes (Rojas et al., 2011). An elegant model was developed based on the idea, similar to that proposed for *Chara*, that newly generated pectate causes turnover of load-bearing  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -pectate cross-links, thereby facilitating turgor-driven mechanical deformation. In this model, pectate production is the resultant of the deposition and de-methylesterification of pectin. Interestingly, the validity of the model was supported by its ability to precisely reproduce the morphologies of pollen tubes; to predict the growth oscillations observed in rapidly growing pollen tubes and the observed phase relationships between variables such as wall thickness, cell morphology, and growth rate in oscillatory cells (Rojas et al., 2011).

### WHAT ABOUT DIFFUSELY GROWING CELLS IN LAND PLANTS?

A number of older studies have also provided evidence for a role of pectin cross-links in growth control of non-tip-growing cells of land plants: high concentrations of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  inhibit growth and acid-promoted extensibility of isolated cell wall preparations (Tagawa and Bonner, 1957), whereas chelation of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  strongly promotes cell wall extensibility and growth (Weinstein et al., 1956). It was concluded that, like in *Chara*,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -pectate cross-links have a load-bearing role and that the exchange of pectate-bound  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  for  $\text{H}^+$  may explain at least in part the acid-promoted increase in cell wall extensibility (Virk and Cleland, 1988). An elegant study based

on stress-strain experiments on glycerinated hollow cylinders prepared from elongating regions of soybean hypocotyls addressed this issue in more detail (Nakahori et al., 1991; Ezaki et al., 2005). It was shown that a pH shift in the physiological range from 6 to 5 simultaneously caused a strong increase in the extensibility, and a decrease in the yield threshold of the cell wall. Interestingly, high  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  concentrations reverted this increase in extensibility but did not affect the yield threshold. A  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  chelator perfectly mimicked at pH 6 the acid-induced increase in wall extensibility (Ezaki et al., 2005). The reduction in the yield threshold in the pH 6–5 range was almost entirely due to a heat-sensitive component (possibly the protein “yieldin”; Okamoto-Nakazato, 2002). Instead, the acid-induced extensibility increase was comprised of a heat-sensitive (presumably expansin) and a heat-insensitive,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -pectate-dependent component. Pectin can also play a load-bearing role in mature cells after growth cessation as shown in cucumber hypocotyls (Zhao et al., 2008). Indeed, during maturation these cells lose the ability to grow and to respond to expansin. Interestingly, expansin-dependent extension could be restored in heat-inactivated walls of non-growing hypocotyl cells upon treatment with fungal pectinases or chelation of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  (Zhao et al., 2008).

Together these experiments can be interpreted as follows: the cell walls of the land plants studied have at least two integrated load-bearing components,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -pectate and cellulose-XG. Growth control involves an “ancient” process elaborated upon the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -pectate exchange mechanism, inherited from the Charophycean ancestors, combined with a more “recent” land plant-specific, expansin-based process, which “amplifies” the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -pectate mechanism and allows faster growth. In this context it is interesting to note that the cell expansion rate of land plants can be 5–20× faster than that of *Chara* (Boyer, 2009).

The Ca-pectate growth-controlling mechanism is a system that, in the absence of appropriate compensation mechanisms, would be very sensitive to environmental fluctuations in  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  or other divalent or monovalent cations. The latter would compete with  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  for pectate binding and hence potentially interfere with cell wall integrity. The large amounts of HG (e.g., 23% of the cell walls in *Arabidopsis* leaves), combined with a precise regulation of the degree of methylesterification provides an important buffering capacity to compensate for changes in cation concentrations for instance during salt or drought stress. Growth control would require that the amount of pectate is precisely tuned, for instance through a mechanism that detects the presence of pectate in the cell wall and that controls the deposition of pectin, PME activity and/or pectate turnover. Pectate-binding receptor-like kinases, such as wall-associated kinases (WAKs; Kohorn, 2001) might play such a sensing role.

### PERSPECTIVES

The combination of recent developments in live-cell imaging, spectroscopy, the mechanical measurement of cell walls, mathematical modeling, and the use of biomimetic systems should open the way for the study of a number of previously intractable processes involved in growth control in plants: (1) *The study of the deposition, de-methylesterification, and turnover of pectin in vivo*. A promising new tool based on the *in vivo* fluorescent labeling of

polysaccharides using click chemistry has been developed recently. The technique already allowed pectin deposition and turnover to be visualized in living root cells (Anderson et al., 2012). (2) *The quantification at different growth stages of wall-bound Ca<sup>2+</sup> in different layers of the cell wall.* A promising technique is secondary ion mass spectroscopy (SIMS; Follet-Gueye et al., 1998). (3) *The study of the cell wall architecture and the interactions between polymers.* This can be done on intact cell walls using 3D-solid state NMR (Dick-Perez et al., 2011) or on reconstructed polymer composites with a large panel of physical techniques (Cerclier et al., 2010; Valentin et al., 2010). (4) *The dissection of feedback signaling pathways involved in the mechanical homeostasis of the cell wall.* This can be addressed using forward genetics combined with the identification and functional analysis of pectate-binding plasma membrane receptors. (5) *The study of growth-associated changes in wall mechanics of individual cells using micro- or nano-indentation methods.* For instance, high time-resolution microindentation studies on pollen tubes with oscillating growth patterns showed that increases in cell wall elasticity (presumably corresponding to cell wall relaxation cycles) preceded increases in growth rate (Zerzour et al., 2009). The observation of changes in cell wall mechanics over longer time scales may or may not be relevant for growth control. For instance, auxin- or brassinosteroid promoted growth acceleration appears to be initiated by the hydration of the cell wall (Caesar et al., 2011). This highly relevant

process may simultaneously cause an increase in the elasticity and the extensibility of the wall. Similarly, oxidative cross-linking of proteins or phenolics at the end of growth phases or during stresses, simultaneously causes wall stiffening and growth arrest (Monshausen and Gilroy, 2009). On the other hand, the chemorheological process that causes wall relaxation by replacing existing bonds for bonds at new positions in principle can occur irrespective of the number and strength of the cross-links that determine the stiffness of the cell wall. For instance, growth inhibition by blue light occurred in the absence of detectable changes in the viscoelastic properties of the cell wall (Cosgrove, 1988). Finally (6) *mathematical modeling* will be essential to establish explicit frameworks for the experimental evaluation of relevant parameters (Rojas et al., 2011; Dyson et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2012).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Pierre Van Cutsem, Simon McQueen-Mason, and Renaud Bastien for inspiring discussions. Part of the work was funded by Agence Nationale de la Recherche projects ANR-08-BLANC-0292 “Wallintegrity,” ANR-09-BLANC-0007-01 “Growpec,” ANR-10-BLAN-1516 “Mechastem,” and the EU FP7 project “AGRON-OMICS.” Siobhan Braybrook is funded by a United States National Science Foundation International Research Fellowship (Award No. OISE-0853105).

## REFERENCES

- Anderson, C. T., Wallace, I. S., and Somerville, C. R. (2012). Metabolic click-labeling with a fucose analog reveals pectin delivery, architecture, and dynamics in *Arabidopsis* cell walls. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 1329–1334.
- Boyer, J. S. (2009). Cell wall biosynthesis and the molecular mechanism of plant enlargement. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 36, 383–394.
- Caesar, K., Elgass, K., Chen, Z., Huppenberger, P., Witthoft, J., Schleifenbaum, F., Blatt, M. R., Oecking, C., and Harter, K. (2011). A fast brassinolide-regulated response pathway in the plasma membrane of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* 66, 528–540.
- Carpita, N., and McCann, M. (2000). “The cell wall,” in *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology of Plants*, eds B. Buchanan, W. Gruissem, and R. Jones (Rockville, MD: American Society of Plant Physiologists), 52–108.
- Cavalier, D. M., Lerouxel, O., Neumetzler, L., Yamauchi, K., Reinecke, A., Freshour, G., Zabolina, O. A., Hahn, M. G., Burgert, I., Pauly, M., Raikhel, N. V., and Keegstra, K. (2008). Disrupting two *Arabidopsis thaliana* xylosyltransferase genes results in plants deficient in xyloglucan, a major primary cell wall component. *Plant Cell* 20, 1519–1537.
- Cerclier, C., Cousin, F., Bizot, H., Moreau, C., and Cathala, B. (2010). Elaboration of spin-coated cellulose-xyloglucan multilayered thin films. *Langmuir* 26, 17248–17255.
- Cosgrove, D. J. (1988). Mechanism of rapid suppression of cell expansion in cucumber hypocotyls after blue-light irradiation. *Planta* 176, 109–116.
- Cosgrove, D. J. (1999). Enzymes and other agents that enhance cell wall extensibility. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 50, 391–417.
- Cosgrove, D. J. (2000). Loosening of plant cell walls by expansins. *Nature* 407, 321–326.
- Cosgrove, D. J. (2005). Growth of the plant cell wall. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 6, 850–861.
- Dick-Perez, M., Zhang, Y., Hayes, J., Salazar, A., Zabolina, O. A., and Hong, M. (2011). Structure and interactions of plant cell-wall polysaccharides by 2D and 3D Magic-Angle-Spinning Solid-State NMR. *Biochemistry* 50, 989–1000.
- Dyson, R. J., Band, L. R., and Jensen, O. E. (2012). A model of crosslink kinetics in the expanding plant cell wall: yield stress and enzyme action. *J. Theor. Biol.* doi: 10.1016/j.jtbi.2012.04.035 [Epub ahead of print].
- Ezaki, N., Kido, N., Takahashi, K., and Katou, K. (2005). The role of wall Ca<sup>2+</sup> in the regulation of wall extensibility during the acid-induced extension of soybean hypocotyl cell walls. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 46, 1831–1838.
- Follet-Gueye, M. L., Verdus, M. C., Demarty, M., Thellier, M., and Ripoll, C. (1998). Cambium pre-activation in beech correlates with a strong temporary increase of calcium in cambium and phloem but not in xylem cells. *Cell Calcium* 24, 205–211.
- Fry, S. C., Smith, R. C., Renwick, K. F., Martin, D. J., Hodge, S. K., and Matthews, K. J. (1992). Xyloglucan endotransglycosylase, a new wall-loosening enzyme activity from plants. *Biochem. J.* 282, 821–828.
- Grant, G. T., Morris, E. R., Rees, D., Smith, P. J. C., and Thom, D. (1973). Biological interactions between polysaccharides and divalent cations: the “egg-box” model. *FEBS Lett.* 32, 195–198.
- Hanus, J., and Mazeau, K. (2006). The xyloglucan–cellulose assembly at the atomic scale. *Biopolymers* 82, 59–73.
- Huang, R., Becker, A. A., and Jones, I. A. (2012). Modelling cell wall growth using a fibre-reinforced hyperelastic-viscoplastic constitutive law. *J. Mech. Phys. Solids* 60, 750–783.
- Kohorn, B. D. (2001). WAKs; cell wall associated kinases. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 13, 529–533.
- McCann, M. C., Stacey, N. J., Wilson, R., and Roberts, K. (1993). Orientation of macromolecules in the walls of elongating carrot cells. *J. Cell Sci.* 106, 1347–1356.
- McQueen-Mason, S., Durachko, D. M., and Cosgrove, D. J. (1992). Two endogenous proteins that induce cell wall extension in plant. *Plant Cell* 4, 1425–1433.
- Monshausen, G. B., and Gilroy, S. (2009). Feeling green: mechanosensing in plants. *Trends Cell Biol.* 19, 228–235.
- Nakahori, K., Katou, K., and Okamoto, H. (1991). Auxin changes both the extensibility and the yield threshold of the cell wall of *Vigna* hypocotyls. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 32, 121–129.
- Okamoto-Nakazato, A. (2002). A brief note on the study of yieldin, a wall-bound protein that regulates the yield threshold of the cell wall. *J. Plant Res.* 115, 309–313.
- Park, Y. B., and Cosgrove, D. J. (2011). Changes in cell wall biomechanical properties in the xyloglucan-deficient xxt1/xt2 mutant of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* 158, 465–475.
- Park, Y. B., and Cosgrove, D. J. (2012). A revised architecture of primary cell walls based on biomechanical changes induced by substrate-specific endoglucanases. *Plant Physiol.* 158, 1933–1943.
- Peaucelle, A., Braybrook, S. A., Le Guillou, L., Bron, E., Kuhlmeier, C., and Hofte, H. (2011). Pectin-induced changes in cell wall mechanics underlie organ initiation in *Arabidopsis*. *Curr. Biol.* 21, 1720–1726.

- Peaucelle, A., Louvet, R., Johansen, J. N., Hofte, H., Laufs, P., Pelloux, J., and Mouille, G. (2008). *Arabidopsis* phyllotaxis is controlled by the methyl-esterification status of cell-wall pectins. *Curr. Biol.* 18, 1943–1948.
- Pelloux, J., Rusterucci, C., and Mellerowicz, E. J. (2007). New insights into pectin methylesterase structure and function. *Trends Plant Sci.* 12, 267–277.
- Proseus, T. E., Zhu, G. L., and Boyer, J. S. (2000). Turgor, temperature and the growth of plant cells: using *Chara corallina* as a model system. *J. Exp. Bot.* 51, 1481–1494.
- Rojas, E. R., Hotton, S., and Dumais, J. (2011). Chemically mediated mechanical expansion of the pollen tube cell wall. *Biophys. J.* 101, 1844–1853.
- Shedletzky, E., Shmuel, M., Delmer, D. P., and Lampert, D. T. (1990). Adaptation and growth of tomato cells on the herbicide 2,6-dichlorobenzonitrile leads to production of unique cell walls virtually lacking a cellulose-xyloglucan network. *Plant Physiol.* 94, 980–987.
- Sorensen, I., Pettolino, F. A., Bacic, A., Ralph, J., Lu, F., O'Neill, M. A., Fei, Z., Rose, J. K., Domozych, D. S., and Willats, W. G. (2011). The Charophycean green algae provide insights into the early origins of plant cell walls. *Plant J.* 68, 201–211.
- Tagawa, T., and Bonner, J. (1957). Mechanical properties of the *Avena* coleoptile as related to auxin and to ionic interactions. *Plant Physiol.* 32, 207–212.
- Valentin, R., Cerclier, C., Geneix, N., Aguié-Beghin, V., Gaillard, C., Ralet, M. C., and Cathala, B. (2010). Elaboration of extensin-pectin thin film model of primary plant cell wall. *Langmuir* 26, 9891–9898.
- Virk, S. S., and Cleland, R. (1988). Calcium and the mechanical properties of soybean hypocotyl cell walls: possible role of calcium and protons in cell-wall loosening. *Planta* 176, 60–67.
- Weinstein, L. H., Meiss, A. N., Uhler, R. L., and Purvis, E. R. (1956). Growth-promoting effects of ethylene-diamine tetra-acetic acid. *Nature* 178, 1188.
- Zerzour, R., Kroeger, J., and Geitmann, A. (2009). Polar growth in pollen tubes is associated with spatially confined dynamic changes in cell mechanical properties. *Dev. Biol.* 334, 437–446.
- Zhao, Q., Yuan, S., Wang, X., Zhang, Y., Zhu, H., and Lu, C. (2008). Restoration of mature etiolated cucumber hypocotyl cell wall susceptibility to expansion by pretreatment with fungal pectinases and EGTA in vitro. *Plant Physiol.* 147, 1874–1885.
- Zykwinska, A. W., Ralet, M. C., Garnier, C. D., and Thibault, J. F. (2005). Evidence for in vitro binding of pectin side chains to cellulose. *Plant Physiol.* 139, 397–407.

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 04 May 2012; accepted: 21 May 2012; published online: 06 June 2012.

Citation: Peaucelle A, Braybrook S and Höfte H (2012) Cell wall mechanics and growth control in plants: the role of pectins revisited. *Front. Plant Sci.* 3:121. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2012.00121

This article was submitted to *Frontiers in Plant Physiology*, a specialty of *Frontiers in Plant Science*.

Copyright © 2012 Peaucelle, Braybrook and Höfte. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial License, which permits non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and source are credited.