

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript**

Science. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 June 06.

Published in final edited form as:

Science. 2011 May 13; 332(6031): 848–852. doi:10.1126/science.1203919.

# **Role for piRNAs and Noncoding RNA in de Novo DNA Methylation of the Imprinted Mouse** *Rasgrf1* **Locus**

**Toshiaki Watanabe**1,2,\* , **Shin-ichi Tomizawa**1,3, **Kohzoh Mitsuya**4, **Yasushi Totoki**5, **Yasuhiro Yamamoto**1,6, **Satomi Kuramochi-Miyagawa**7, **Naoko Iida**1,8, **Yuko Hoki**1,6, **Patrick J. Murphy**9, **Atsushi Toyoda**10, **Kengo Gotoh**7, **Hitoshi Hiura**11, **Takahiro Arima**11, **Asao Fujiyama**10,12, **Takashi Sado**1,6, **Tatsuhiro Shibata**5, **Toru Nakano**7, **Haifan Lin**2, **Kenji Ichiyanagi**1,6, **Paul D. Soloway**9, and **Hiroyuki Sasaki**1,6,\*

<sup>1</sup>Division of Human Genetics and Department of Integrated Genetics, National Institute of Genetics, Research Organization of Information and Systems, Mishima, Shizuoka, 411-8540, Japan

<sup>2</sup>School of Medicine, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA

<sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Developmental Genetics and Imprinting, The Babraham Institute, Cambridge, CB22 3AT, UK

<sup>4</sup>Center for Pregnancy and Newborn Research, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, 7703 Floyd Curl Drive, San Antonio, TX 78229–3900, USA

<sup>5</sup>Division of Cancer Genomics, National Cancer Center Research Institute, Tsukiji, Chuo-ku, Tokyo, 104-0045, Japan

<sup>6</sup>Division of Epigenomics, Medical Institute of Bioregulation, Kyushu University, 3-1-1 Maidashi, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 812-8582, Japan

<sup>7</sup>Graduate School of Frontier Biosciences, Osaka Univ., Yamada-oka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

<sup>8</sup>Division of Mutagenesis, Department of Molecular Genetics, National Institute of Genetics, Research Organization of Information and Systems, Mishima, Shizuoka, 411-8540, Japan

<sup>9</sup>Division of Nutritional Sciences, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853–5905, USA

<sup>10</sup>Comparative Genomics Laboratory, Center for Genetic Resource Information, National Institute of Genetics, Research Organization of Information and Systems, Mishima, Shizuoka, 411-8540, Japan

<sup>11</sup>Department of Informative Genetics, Environment and Genome Research Center, Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine, 2-1 Seiryo-cho, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8575, Japan

Supporting Online Material

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/332/6031/848/DC1 Materials and Methods SOM Text Figs. S1 to S10 Tables S1 to S3 References

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. toshwatatoshiakiwatanabe@gmail.com (T.W.); hsasaki@bioreg.kyushu-u.ac.jp (H.S.).

# <sup>12</sup>Principle Informatics Research Division, National Institute of Informatics, Hitotsubashi 2-1-2, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-8430, Japan

#### **Abstract**

Genomic imprinting causes parental origin–specific monoallelic gene expression through differential DNA methylation established in the parental germ line. However, the mechanisms underlying how specific sequences are selectively methylated are not fully understood. We have found that the components of the PIWI-interacting RNA (piRNA) pathway are required for de novo methylation of the differentially methylated region (DMR) of the imprinted mouse Rasgrf1 locus, but not other paternally imprinted loci. A retrotransposon sequence within a noncoding RNA spanning the DMR was targeted by piRNAs generated from a different locus. A direct repeat in the DMR, which is required for the methylation and imprinting of Rasgrf1, served as a promoter for this RNA. We propose a model in which piRNAs and a target RNA direct the sequence-specific methylation of *Rasgrf1*.

> Imprinted genes show parental origin–specific monoallelic expression, which is controlled by DNA methylation in the differentially methylated regions (DMRs) (1–5). Differential methylation of the DMRs is established in the parental germ line, passed to the zygote, and maintained in the somatic cells, which eventually leads to monoallelic expression. During fetal testis development, the DMRs are demethylated (imprint erasure) in primordial germ cells at 10.5 to 12.5 days postcoitum (dpc) and de novo methylated (establishment) in prospermatogonia or gonocytes at 12.5 to 18.5 dpc (2, 3, 5–7), as retrotransposons (1–3, 5). Of the three well-studied paternally methylated DMRs, the Rasgrf1 DMR is unique in its requirement for the DNA methyltransferase Dnmt3b in both de novo methylation (8) and maintenance methylation (9). Intracisternal A-type particle (IAP) and long interspersed nucleotide element–1 (LINE1 or L1) retrotransposons also require Dnmt3b, as well as PIWI proteins and PIWI-interacting RNAs (piRNAs), for de novo methylation in the male germ line (7, 10). piRNAs are 24- to 30-nucleotide (nt) small RNAs that bind to PIWI proteins. The PIWI-piRNA complexes (PIWI-piRNAs) repress retrotransposons posttranscriptionally. Of the three mouse PIWI proteins, MILI and MIWI2 are expressed in fetal testes and MIWI in postnatal testes. Because DNA methylation of IAP and L1 retrotransposons is defective in the male germ line of *Mili* and *Miwi2* mutants  $(7, 10, 11)$ , PIWI-piRNAs may also repress the retrotransposons transcriptionally through DNA methylation.

> To determine whether PIWI-piRNAs are required for de novo methylation of the DMRs at imprinted loci, we studied mice with mutations in the piRNA pathway. MitoPLD/Zucchini is a protein with a phospholipase D/nuclease domain involved in primary piRNA production in both flies and mice (12–15). The *Mili, Miwi2*, and *MitoPLD* mutants show meiotic arrest during spermatogenesis. We analyzed the four paternally methylated DMRs (*H19, Dlk1*– Gtl2, Gpr1–Zdbf2, and Rasgrf1) in spermatogonia from Mili, Miwi2, and MitoPLD mutant pups by bisulfite sequencing (16). In the mutants, the Rasgrf1 DMR showed reduced methylation (Fig. 1A), whereas the H19, Dlk1–Gtl2, and Gpr1–Zdbf2 DMRs showed normal levels of methylation (figs. S1 and S2). At the *Rasgrf1* DMR, methylation was more severely affected in the Mili (23.3% methylation versus 93.4% methylation in control heterozygotes) and MitoPLD mutants (16.8% versus 83.8%) than in the Miwi2 mutants (58.6% versus 77.2%). The Rasgrf1 DMR is located on mouse chromosome 9 (chr9) between Rasgrf1 and the A19 noncoding RNA, both of which are imprinted (17, 18). In the MitoPLD mutants, which showed the severest reduction in piRNAs, an  $\sim$ 2–kilobase (kb) region containing a cluster of CpGs was affected (region H to region L in Fig. 1B). A direct repeat in the *Rasgrf1* DMR is known to be essential for methylation and imprinting of Rasgrf1 (19). In the sperm of the direct-repeat deletion mutants, the methylation status of the CpG cluster (corresponding to region K in Fig. 1B) is affected, whereas regions upstream

and downstream of the cluster (regions upstream of region F and downstream of region Q in Fig. 1B) are unaffected (19, 20). The extent and pattern of the defect in methylation in the MitoPLD mutants were essentially the same as those in the direct-repeat deletion mutants  $(19, 20)$ , which suggests that the methylation responsible for *Rasgrf1* imprinting is lost in the MitoPLD mutants.

To reveal the effect of the three mutations on piRNA expression, we analyzed small RNA libraries prepared from mutant fetal testes by Solexa deep sequencing (table S1). The relative abundance of 24- to 30-nt piRNAs decreased in all mutants compared with the wildtype control (Fig. 2A). It is known that MIWI2-bound piRNAs are larger in size than MILIbound piRNAs. In the MitoPLD and Mili mutants, both 26-nt MILI-bound and 29-nt MIWI2-bound piRNAs were present at very low levels (3.4% and 3.5% of the wild-type control and 13.9% and 7.9% of the control, respectively) (Fig. 2B). In the Miwi2 mutants, 26-nt piRNAs were unchanged (99.2% of the control), whereas 29-nt piRNAs were reduced (36.7% of the control) (Fig. 2B). The extents of the defect in piRNA expression correlated with those in DNA methylation of the *Rasgrf1* DMR in the mutants (Fig. 1A).

To further explore the link between piRNA and *Rasgrf1* methylation, we identified 23- to 31-nt small RNAs mapping to the Rasgrf1 DMR. Twenty-one small RNA reads from wildtype testes uniquely mapped to the DMR with perfect sequence matches (Fig. 3A and table S2), which showed that they are derived from this DMR. In *MitoPLD* mutants, these small RNAs were lost (Fig. 3A), which indicated that they are indeed piRNAs. When we allowed one or two mismatches, hundreds of piRNAs mapped to a narrow region annotated as an RMER4B sequence, located just upstream of the direct repeat. RMER4B is a solo long terminal repeat (LTR)–type retrotransposon found in a few thousand copies in the mouse genome. Most (95.2%) of these piRNAs also mapped to another RMER4B copy in a piRNA cluster on chr7 with perfect matches (fig. S3 and table S3). Furthermore, most (78.9%) of the chr7-matched piRNAs were unique (table S3), which suggested that they are generated from the chr7 piRNA cluster.

The *Rasgrf1*-derived piRNAs mapped to the minus strand of the *Rasgrf1* DMR (Fig. 3B), but the chr7-derived piRNAs predominantly mapped to the plus strand in two small regions (comprising sites 1 and 2 in Fig. 3B), which have similar sequences owing to a sequence duplication. In each mapped region, a 10-nt overlap was observed between the chr7-derived piRNAs and Rasgrf1-derived piRNAs (Fig. 3B), characteristic of the piRNA ping-pong cycle, which generates secondary piRNAs from target RNAs through cleavage by PIWIpiRNAs (21, 22). These observations indicate that an RNA (or RNAs) transcribed from the Rasgrf1 DMR is targeted by the chr7-derived piRNAs.

In a region immediately upstream of the RMER4B copy in the Rasgrf1 DMR, we identified an RNA that was specifically expressed in prospermatogonia (Fig. 4A). Cleavage of a target RNA by PIWI-piRNAs creates a 5′ end with monophosphate. To map the cleavage sites in the newly identified RNA, we carried out modified RNA ligase–mediated 5′ rapid amplification of cDNA ends (modified RACE) (23). The most common 5′ end of the RNA fragments mapped precisely to site 1 (Figs. 3B and 4B), which was one of the two pingpong signature sites. We could not detect fragments cleaved at site 2, probably because of the simultaneous cleavage at site 1, which was proximal to the primer site. The RNA fragments cleaved at site 1 disappeared in MitoPLD mutants, which confirmed that the RNA is targeted by piRNAs. Furthermore, the RNA was up-regulated  $\sim$  5 times in the mutants (Fig. 4D). We named this RNA "piRNA-targeted noncoding RNA" (pit-RNA). The pit-RNA transcript was specifically expressed during the stage of de novo methylation (SOM text).

Rasgrf1 is imprinted in mice and rats but not in other rodent species (18). We found that the RMER4B copies are conserved in the rat genome at both the *Rasgrf1* DMR and the piRNA cluster. Furthermore, it was reported that the direct repeat essential for the methylation and imprinting of *Rasgrf1* (19) is found in mice and rats but not in *Peromyscus* and rabbits (18). The 5′ end of pit-RNA determined by 5′ RACE indicated that its transcription is initiated within this direct repeat (Fig. 4C). It is therefore possible that the defect in *Rasgrf1* imprinting observed in the direct-repeat mutants (19) is a consequence of loss of pit-RNA. Consistent with this notion, pit-RNA was much reduced in testes of the mutants (Fig. 4E). It therefore appears that, through acquisition of the retrotransposon copies and direct repeat, Rasgrf1 acquired imprinting to regulate postnatal growth (24), as explained by the "conflict hypothesis" (25). However, it is also possible that *Rasgrf1* imprinting is an inconsequential outcome of the importance of retrotransposon repression in the male germ line (i.e., Rasgrf1 is an innocent bystander), as retrotransposon repression by the piRNA pathway is essential for spermatogenesis.

In the small interfering RNA (siRNA)–mediated transcriptional silencing systems of Schizosaccharomyces pombe and Arabidopsis, polymerase II (Pol II)–transcribed and Pol V–transcribed nascent RNAs, respectively, have been proposed to serve as scaffolds for recruitment of the silencing machinery through siRNA binding (26–28). In the Rasgrf1 locus, the noncoding pit-RNA is targeted by piRNAs, and disruption of pit-RNA transcription results in a failure in de novo methylation. Furthermore, in mice heterozygous for the direct-repeat mutation, only the mutated allele loses methylation (19), which indicates that the repeat acts in cis for methylation. Therefore, although we cannot formally rule out an indirect effect of PIWI-piRNAs, these results together lead us to propose that targeting of nascent pit-RNAs by PIWI-piRNAs at the site of transcription is an important step in the sequence-specific methylation of the *Rasgrf1* DMR (fig. S4). In growing oocytes, transcription through the Gnas DMRs is required for their methylation (29), but in this case, involvement of the piRNA pathway is unlikely, because the offspring from *Mili, Miwi2*, and MitoPLD female mutants show no discernible phenotype  $(7, 10, 11, 15)$ . In prospermatogonia, however, a large proportion of piRNAs maps to retrotransposons, and it is likely that transcription through retrotransposon sequences has a role in shaping the epigenetic landscape of male germ cells. The methylation patterns established in this way are mostly reprogrammed in early embryos, but the *Rasgrf1* DMR is unique in that it maintains the parental origin–specific methylation (SOM text).

# **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

# **Acknowledgments**

We thank M. Kaneda, S. Chuma, V. Shteyn, and J. Peng for comments on the manuscript and H. Horiike, H. Furuumi, T. Ichiyanagi, Y. Amakawa, H. Chiba, and Y. Li for sample preparation. The accession number for the small RNA sequences is GSE20327. This work was supported in part by Grants-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan to H.S. and K.M.

# **References**

- 1. Bartolomei MS. Genes Dev. 2009; 23:2124. [PubMed: 19759261]
- 2. Sasaki H, Matsui Y. Nat Rev Genet. 2008; 9:129. [PubMed: 18197165]
- 3. Reik W. Nature. 2007; 447:425. [PubMed: 17522676]
- 4. Koerner MV, Pauler FM, Huang R, Barlow DP. Development. 2009; 136:1771. [PubMed: 19429783]
- 5. Schaefer CB, Ooi SK, Bestor TH, Bourc'his D. Science. 2007; 316:398. [PubMed: 17446388]

Science. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 June 06.

Watanabe et al. Page 5

- 6. Aravin AA, et al. Mol Cell. 2008; 31:785. [PubMed: 18922463]
- 7. Kuramochi-Miyagawa S, et al. Genes Dev. 2008; 22:908. [PubMed: 18381894]
- 8. Kato Y, et al. Hum Mol Genet. 2007; 16:2272. [PubMed: 17616512]
- 9. Hirasawa R, et al. Genes Dev. 2008; 22:1607. [PubMed: 18559477]
- 10. Aravin AA, Sachidanandam R, Girard A, Fejes-Toth K, Hannon GJ. Science. 2007; 316:744. [PubMed: 17446352]
- 11. Carmell MA, et al. Dev Cell. 2007; 12:503. [PubMed: 17395546]
- 12. Pane A, Wehr K, Schüpbach T. Dev Cell. 2007; 12:851. [PubMed: 17543859]
- 13. Malone CD, et al. Cell. 2009; 137:522. [PubMed: 19395010]
- 14. Saito K, et al. Nature. 2009; 461:1296. [PubMed: 19812547]
- 15. Watanabe T, et al. Dev Cell. 2011; 20:364. [PubMed: 21397847]
- 16. Materials and methods are available as supporting material on Science Online.
- 17. de la Puente A, et al. Gene. 2002; 291:287. [PubMed: 12095702]
- 18. Pearsall RS, et al. Genomics. 1999; 55:194. [PubMed: 9933566]
- 19. Yoon BJ, et al. Nat Genet. 2002; 30:92. [PubMed: 11753386]
- 20. Lindroth AM, et al. PLoS Genet. 2008; 4:e1000145. [PubMed: 18670629]
- 21. Brennecke J, et al. Cell. 2007; 128:1089. [PubMed: 17346786]
- 22. Gunawardane LS, et al. Science. 2007; 315:1587. [PubMed: 17322028]
- 23. Llave C, Xie Z, Kasschau KD, Carrington JC. Science. 2002; 297:2053. [PubMed: 12242443]
- 24. Drake NM, Park YJ, Shirali AS, Cleland TA, Soloway PD. Mamm Genome. 2009; 20:654. [PubMed: 19513790]
- 25. Moore T, Haig D. Trends Genet. 1991; 7:45. [PubMed: 2035190]
- 26. Bühler M, Verdel A, Moazed D. Cell. 2006; 125:873. [PubMed: 16751098]
- 27. Wierzbicki AT, Ream TS, Haag JR, Pikaard CS. Nat Genet. 2009; 41:630. [PubMed: 19377477]
- 28. Irvine DV, et al. Science. 2006; 313:1134. [PubMed: 16931764]
- 29. Chotalia M, et al. Genes Dev. 2009; 23:105. [PubMed: 19136628]
- 30. Tomizawa S, et al. Development. 2011; 138:811. [PubMed: 21247965]

Watanabe et al. Page 6



### **Fig. 1.**

DNA methylation of the imprinted Rasgrf1 DMR is impaired in piRNA pathway mutants. (**A**) Methylation status of a portion of the Rasgrf1 DMR [corresponding to a part of region K in  $(B)$ ] in spermatogonia from *Mili, MitoPLD*, and *Miwi2* mutants revealed by bisulfite sequencing. Open and filled circles represent unmethylated and methylated cytosines, respectively. A result on 12.5-dpc wild-type whole-embryo DNA is also shown (control, 50% methylation expected). Percentage of methylation is shown below each panel. (**B**) Methylation status across the *Rasgrf1* DMR in *MitoPLD* mutants. The green and gray bars show the gametic DMRs (regions differentially methylated between oocyte and sperm) (30), of which the green one is important for imprinting. Positions of CpGs are indicated by short vertical bars. Repeat sequences identified by RepeatMasker are shown as colored boxes: green, LINE; purple, short interspersed nucleotide element (SINE); white, simple repeat; gray, LTR; light gray, DNA transposon. A direct repeat consisting of 40 copies of a 41 oligomer (18) and a copy of RMER4B solo LTR are also indicated.

Watanabe et al. Page 7



#### **Fig. 2.**

Decreased piRNA levels in piRNA pathway mutants. (**A**) Size profiles are shown for small RNAs from wild-type (control),  $Mil\bar{t}^{-/-}$ ,  $MitoPLD^{-/-}$ , and  $Miwi2^{-/-}$  testes at 16.5 dpc. The peak at 22 nt represents miRNAs, and the other at 24 to 30 nt represents piRNAs. (**B**) Relative levels of Mili-bound (26-nt) and Miwi2-bound (29-nt) piRNAs compared with wild-type. The levels of miRNA were used to normalize the piRNA levels.

Watanabe et al. Page 8



#### **Fig. 3.**

An RMER4B sequence in the Rasgrf1 DMR is targeted by piRNAs. (**A**) Numbers and locations of small RNAs from *MitoPLD*<sup>+/+</sup> (left) and *MitoPLD<sup>-/-</sup>* testes (right) at 16.5 dpc mapping to the Rasgrf1 DMR (correspond to mm9 chr9: 89769659–89779158). Results for small RNAs with a unique hit (top) and those with mismatches (up to two mismatches including indels) are shown (bottom). (**B**) Detailed mapping of piRNAs in the RMER4B copy of the Rasgrf1 DMR. piRNAs are represented by bars colored according to the number of sequence reads obtained. Plus strand hits are shown above the sequence, and minus strand hits are shown below it. Mismatches are represented by black portions of the bars. Unique hit piRNAs are indicated.

Watanabe et al. Page 9



#### **Fig. 4.**

The Rasgrf1 direct repeat serves as a promoter for pit-RNA. (**A**) Detection of pit-RNA by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) in germ cells from 16.5-dpc testes. Oct4 is a marker for germ cells. (**B**) Detection of a pit-RNA cleavage site by modified RACE. The numbers of cDNA ends obtained by sequencing the recovered RACE products are also shown (right). (**C**) Transcription start-site analysis by 5′ RACE. The number of cDNA ends determined by sequencing the RACE products is shown with an arrow in the schematic representation of the region (bottom). The positions of the PCR primers for the analyses are also illustrated. (**D**) Quantitative PCR analysis of pit-RNA in wild-type and MitoPLD<sup>-/−</sup> testes at 16.5 dpc. Error bars represent standard deviation ( $n = 3$ ). The level of Oct4 mRNA was used as a reference. (**E**) Quantitative PCR analysis of pit-RNA in wildtype and direct repeat mutant testes at 16.5 dpc. Error bars represent standard deviation ( $n =$ 3). The level of Oct4 mRNA was used as a reference.