### Specific and ubiquitous expression of different Zn finger protein genes in the mouse

Kamal Chowdhury\*, Heidi Rohdewohld<sup>+</sup> and Peter Gruss

Max-Planck Institute for Biophysical Chemistry, 3400 Göttingen, FRG

Received August 23, 1988; Revised and Accepted October 13, 1988 Accession nos X12592-X12594 (incl.)

## ABSTRACT

Zinc finger proteins (Zfp) are members of a multigene family encoding Zn mediated nucleic acid binding proteins. They have been isolated from various organisms including yeast(1-3), Drosophila (4-6),  $Xenopus(7-10)$  mouse $(11-12)$  and human  $(13-14)$ . All Zfp share the 28-30 amino acid long finger repeats containing conserved residues at specific positions. Some of these proteins(7,13) have been identified as transcriptional regulatory factors. In this paper,we describe the isolation,DNA sequence determination and the expression pattern in developing embryos and adult tissues of 3 new members of the mouse Zfp. All of them are expressed as multiple transcripts. Unaltered level of mkr5 expression could be detected in 10-15 day whole embryo RNAs but its level started to decrease from day 16. In the adult animal,predominant expression was detected only in the ovary. In contrast,mkr3 and 4 were expressed at a constant level in all embryos and tissues tested. These data suggest the presence of both tissue specific and ubiquitious Zfp in the mouse.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Many eucaryotic genes involved in the process of differentiation and development are expressed in a temporally and spatially restricted fashion(15). Considering that regulation of such genes also occur at the transcriptional level,the identification of different transcriptional regulatory factors should provide further insight into the molecular biology of development. One possible way how these factors might function is through their binding to specific DNA sequences. These DNA-protein complexes may also subsequently interact with other involved factors via protein-protein or DNA-protein binding to regulate gene expression.

Depending on the structural motifs,the known DNA binding regulatory proteins can be classified mainly into two groups. The first group

<sup>©</sup> IRL Press Limited, Oxford, England.

contains the helix-turn-helix motif present in  $\lambda$  repressor or other prokaryotic DNA binding proteins(16) and in the eukaryotic homeo domain proteins (17,18). The DNA binding ability of homeo domain proteins has also been demonstrated (19,20).

The other group contains a different DNA binding motif,commonly known as the Zn fingers. The potential finger like structures were first recognized to be present in the transcription factor Ill A (TFIIIA) of Xenopus laevis by Brown et al (21) and Miller et al(8). This motif containing the consensus amino acid sequence F/YXCXXCXXXFXXXXXLXXHXXXHTGEKP is present as multiple copies in many proteins(22-25) and usually depicted as a folded finger like many proteins( $22-25$ ) and usually depicted as a peptide structure around a Zn atom. In addition to the conserved residues present in the consensus motif,it has been postulated to contain an  $\alpha$ -helical region(see table 1). In TFIIIA, a DNA, RNA and Zn binding transcriptional factor (8,26,27), there are 9 such fingers,each 30 amino acids long containing 2 Cys and 2 His residues at invariant positions. These 2 Cys and 2 His residues are thought to build collectively the tetrahedral core around a Zn ion (28) and the sequences in between loop out to form a finger structure. A second and



TABLE 1- COMPARISON OF MOUSE Zn FINGER MOTIFS

## Tablel.

Comparison of the consensus mouse Zinc finger motifs. In order to compare the sequences from these multifinger genes, consensus finger sequences for each gene was first determined. Mkr1 and 2 sequences are from (11). The Krox sequences were taken from (12). Capital letters indicate more than 75% conservation and lower case letters indicate 50% or less conservation. Bold letters indicate strict conservation of amino acid residues among all fingers.

more complex model involving an antiparallel B-ribbon followed by an  $\alpha$ -helix has been proposed(29) that differ from the common Zn finger structure. In a more recent model Gibson et al(25) utilized computer simulated molecular dynamics and interactive model building to propose a somewhat different three dimensional structure.It consists of a two stranded  $\beta$  hairpin stabilizing a C-terminal  $\alpha$ -helix by both Zn ligands and hydrophobic interaction.

Several other DNA binding proteins containing clustered Cysteins have been postulated to contain <sup>1</sup> or 2 Zn fingers. They include HIV tat protein, Adenovirus ElA and several nuclear receptors(reviewed in 30). These proteins differ from the multiple Zn finger containing proteins (TFIIIA, Kr, mkr,Spletc) in lacking Zn finger(s) mediated specific DNA binding (31). Furthermore,they lack the conserved Phe and Leu residues in the finger loop and the H-C link peptide TGEKP.

Interestingly, when Krüppel(Kr), the Drosophila segmentation gene of the gap class(32) was isolated and sequenced,it was found to contain 5 Zn fingers(5),thereby implicating a role of DNA binding finger structures(33) in gene regulation during Drosophila development. Mutation in one of the metal binding Cys residue to Serine resulted in the loss of  $Kr^+$  function(34). At least some of the Zn finger proteins have been shown to be transcriptional activators or factors involved in the regulation of transcription(7,13).

To understand the potential role of multifinger proteins in higher eucaryotes,it is necessary to isolate and analyze the corresponding genes. Several murine(11,12),human(14),and X.laevis(10) genes encoding multiple fingers and belonging to a multigene family have been described. One of the genes,mkr2 is expressed specifically in the neuronal cells of developing and adult mice (35). Another gene,Krox-20 is activated during GO/Gl cell cycle transition in cultured cells(36).Human testis determining factor(TDF) has also been shown to contain 13 Zn fingers(37). In this paper,we describe the isolation, sequence characterization and expression pattern of 3 more mouse genes encoding multiple fingers. Mkr3 and mkr4 transcripts are present as multiple mRNAs in developing mouse embryos and in all adult mouse tissues tested but in contrast mkr5 expression is predominantly restricted in the adult animal to the ovary. These data suggest the occurance of both ubiquitious and spatially restricted Zn finger containing proteins in the adult mouse tissues.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cloning of mouse genes with multiple Zinc fingers

An 8.5 day p.c. C57BL whole mouse embryo cDNA library in  $\lambda$  gt10 (38) was screened with a cloned 562 bp EcoRl finger encoding fragment from mkr1, another mouse multifinger gene (11). Approximately 4X10<sup>5</sup> phages were plated out and after transferring the plaques to nitrocellulose filters (Schleicher & Schüll), the phage DNAs were denatured and immobilized according to the standard procedures(39). Hybridization to oligo labeled  $32-p$  probe was performed at 65<sup>0</sup> C in  $3XSSC,5X$  Denhardt.0.1%SDS and  $100\mu g/ml$  denatured Salmon sperm DNA<br>for  $18h(1XSSC = 0.15M$  NaCL0.015M Na-Citrate). The filters were for  $18h(1XSSC = 0.15M$  NaCl, 0.015M Na-Citrate). washed twice at 65<sup>0</sup> C in 2XSSC, 0.1%SDS, and once at room temp. in 0.1XSSS,0.1%SDS, for 30 minutes, air dried and exposed at -70 $^0$  C for 2 days. The positives phages were plaque purified by replating and hybridization.

Mini preparations of phage DNA from high titer lysates for Southern blotting  $(40)$ .

Ten gm of DEAE Sephacel(Pharmacia) was suspended in 200 ml of 0.05N HCI and the pH of the suspension was neutralized by adding about 400  $\mu$ l of 10N NaOH. After decanting the smaller particles, the DEAE<br>Sephacel was washed 3 - 4 times with 5 volumes of 0.14 M. NaCl. Sephacel was washed  $3 - 4$  times with  $5$  volumes of 0.14 M Finally, the particles were resuspended such that it contained 75% DEAE and 25% 0.14 M NaCI (V/V). High titer liquid or plate lysates (0.6 ml) were mixed with equal volumes of well resuspended DEAE Sephacel in eppendorf tubes,mixed thoroughly and the bound bacterial DNA and RNA was precipated by spinning in a microfuge for 5 minutes at room temperature. In case of plate lysates,it is necessary to reextract the supernatant with 0.6 ml of DEAE. To denature the phage protein 120 µl each of 10mM Tris(pH8.0),2.5%SDS and 0.25M EDTA was added to each tube and incubated at  $70^0$  C for 15 minutes. The samples were allowed to cool to room temperature (10min) and the protein was precipitated for 15 minutes on ice by adding  $75 \mu l$  of 5M potassium acetate. After spinning for 5 minutes at room temp. the protein pellets were discarded and the phage DNA from the supernatant(0.8 ml) was precipitated by mixing with 0.5 ml of isopropanol for 2 minutes. The DNA pellets were collected by spinning for 5 minutes at room temp.,washed with 70% ethanol, dried and suspended in  $50\mu$  of 10mM Tris(7.5),1mM EDTA. Depending on the titre of the lysate,the recovery of phage DNA was between  $1-4$   $\mu$ g.

For southern blotting  $1\mu$ g of individual phage DNA was cleaved with EcoRl,electrophoresed and blotted onto nitrocellulose papers according to the standard procedures(39). The probe,hybridization and washing conditions were the same as described for screening the cDNA library. DNA sequencing

All inserts from the cDNA clones were excised with different restriction enzymes and recloned in plasmid vector. The overlapping DNA fragments were sequenced by a combination of Maxam and Gilbert (41) and the chain termination method using the Sequenase sequencing kit from USB (Cleveland,Ohio).

# RNA Isolation (42)

RNA samples were isolated from cultured cells by lysing in 4M guanidium thiocyanate. Frozen adult mouse tissues or embryos were mechanically disintegrated with polytron homogenizer in guanidium thiocyanate. After passing several times through sterile needles to shear the cellular DNA, the lysate was loaded on a 4 ml cushion of 5.7 M CsCI,25mM sodium acetate (pH 5.0) and spun in <sup>a</sup> Beckman SW <sup>41</sup> rotor at  $20^0$  C and 31000 rpm for 22 hours. The total RNA pellets were dissolved in 10mM Tris (pH 7.0),lmM EDTA and after phenol treatment precipitated with 2.5 volumes of ethanol. Poly  $A^+$  RNAs were isolated by retention on oligo dT(collaborative research)columns.

Northern blotting.

About 5  $\mu$ g of cellular or tissue poly  $A^+$  RNAs were loaded in each lane in <sup>a</sup> 1% agarose gel in 3.7% formaldehyde and MOPS buffer (20 mM morpholine propane sulfonic acid,50 mM sodium acetate,10 mM EDTA, pH 7.0). The electrophoresis buffer also contained the same amount of formaldehyde and MOPS and was recirculated during elctrophoresis. After blotting the RNAs onto Gene screen plus filter papers (Dupont-NEN) in 10XSSC, the membrane was baked at  $80^0$  C for 2 hours and hybridized for 18 h at  $42^0$  C to  $3^2$ -P oligo labeled individual probes. The hybridization mixture contained 50% formamide,1M NaCI,1% SDS and 100ug/ml denatured salmon sperm DNA. Filters were washed twice in 2XSSC,1%SDS at 65<sup>0</sup> C for 30 minutes and once in  $0.1XSSC.1\%SDS$  at  $65^0$  C for 30 minutes. The same adult mouse tissue or embryonic poly A+RNA blot was used for hybridization with mkr3,mkr4 and mkr5 probes. For removal of the hybridized probe,the filters were treated with 1%SDS in water at  $70^{0}$ C for 2 hours, air dried and exposed to detect the absence of any remaining signal. The following cloned DNA fragments were used as probes for northern blotting hybridyzation. Mkr3 : nt.1-700; mkr4 : nt.293-1258; mkr5 nt.390-980. All nucleotide numbers are from figure 1.

# **RESULTS**

Isolation of 3 mouse cDNA clones encoding multiple Zn fingers

Previous cloning and Southern blotting data (11) indicated the presence of a multigene family encoding repeated Zn fingers in the mammalian genome. Subsequently,it has been possible to isolate several such genes (11,12) from the mouse genome under low stringency hybridization with the Drosophila multiple finger containing Kr gene

(5) as a probe. To isolate finger genes expressed early during mouse embryogenesis, we have screened an 8.5 day p.c. whole mouse embryo cDNA library. A DNA segment from the mouse multifinger gene mkrl(11) encoding several fingers was used as the hybridization probe. The resulting positive phages were isolated and plaque purified. For further screening by nucleic acid hybridization, their DNA were isolated from 0.6 ml of high titre plate lysates. Restriction enzyme analysis,Southern blotting and hybridization to mkrl probe (data not shown) indicated the presence of 3 potentially new mouse multifinger genes. To establish their identity,they were further analysed by DNA sequencing and this led to the identification of 3 new mouse multiple Zn finger containing genes designated mkr3, mkr4 and mkr5. The sizes of the cDNA clones were 2517 bp,1258 bp and approximately 2.5 kbp for mkr3, mkr4 and mkr5 respectively.

Size determination of the respective transcripts by northern blotting revealed that none of the cDNA clones were full length (see below). The DNA and the conceptually translated protein sequences of the 3 clones are depicted in figure 1. Each clone encoded multiple 28 amino acid long finger domains containing the conserved metal binding Cys and His residues at specific positions as in Drosophila Kr(5) ,mkrl and mkr2 (11,35) and as represented by the consensus sequence Y/FXCXXCXXXFXXXXXLXXHXXXHTGEKP ( X represents a variable amino acid). In addition,the Tyr/Phe,Phe,Leu and the H-C link sequence TGEKP is also conserved.

# Structural analysis of mkr3.mkr4 and mkr5

Mkr3 contains a total of 428 amino acids including 14 full and <sup>1</sup> partial fingers(fig.1 and 2). At the 3' end,there is a termination codon at nt. 1307 preceeded by 27 unique non finger amino acids. Downstream from the termination codon,there is a long untranslated sequence of 1207 nucleotides containing several stop codons in all reading frames and at nt 1361 the ATTTA sequence motif which is potentially involved in the regulation of mRNA stability by degradation (43). No poly A tail was present at the 3'end of mkr3. But judging from the absence of an open reading frame after the stop codon at nt 1307,we conclude that the mkr3 protein terminates at this position. However,the actual 3'end of mkr3 lies most likely beyond nt 2517 as indicated by the lack of poly adenylation signal and poly A tail in the untranslated region.

The mkr4 partial protein sequence consists of 419 amino acids including 13 full and <sup>1</sup> partial fingers (fig.1,2). Similar to the Drosophila Hunchback gene (6) it can be divided into two finger domains separated by a unique domain. The N terminal portion consists of 15 unique amino acids followed by 3 fingers. The middle region consists of 28 unique non-finger amino acids which is followed by 10 fingers.Since the

isolated mkr4 cDNA sequence do not contain any start or stop codons in the open reading frame,we consider this protein sequence to be incomplete at both N- and C- terminal ends. A potential nuclear localization signal PRKKV (44) is present in the unique middle region.



#### B. mkr

1 AAA GTC CTT TIT CCA AGT ATC TTC TCT GTT CAG GCA CCG GAG ATC CAC GCT GGA GAA AAG CTC TAC GAC TGT AGC<br>1 Lys Val Leu Phe Pro Ser Ile Phe Ser Val Gln Ala Pro Glu Ile His Thr Gly Glu Lys Leu Tyr Asp Cys Ser <sup>76</sup> CAT TGT GGG AAA GGC TTC TCT TAC AAC TCA GAC CTC AGG ATA CAT CAG MG ATC CAT ACA GGA GAG AMA CGC CAT 26 His Cys Gly Lys Gly Phe Ser Tyr Asn Ser Asp Leu Arg Ile His Gln Lys Ile His Thr Gly Glu Lys Arq His 151 GGC TGC GTC GAC TGT GGC AAA GCC TTC ACA CAA AAG TCC ACA CTG AGG ATG CAC CAG AAG ATC CAC ACG GGC GAG<br>51 Gly Cys Val Asp Cys Gly Lys Ala Phe Thr Gln Lys Ser Thr Leu Arg Met His Gln Lys Ile His Thr Gly Glu 226 AGG GCC TAT GTC TGT ATT GAG TGT GGA CAG GCT TTT ATC CAG AAG ACA CAC CTG GTC GCA CAC CGA AGA ATT CAC<br>76 Arg Ala Tyr Val Cys Ile Glu Cys Gly Gln Ala Phe Ile Gln Lys Thr His Leu Val Ala His Arg Arg Ile His 301 – TCA AGA GTG AGG CCC|TGT GTA TCT CTC GAC CGT GCG AAA CCC TTC AGC AGC GCT CCC AAC CTC CTT CCG CGT AAG<br>101 – Ser Arg Val Arg Pro Cys Val Ser Leu Asp Arg Ala Lys Pro Phe Ser Ser Ala Pro Asn Leu Leu Pro Arg Lys 376 - AAA GTT CAA ATG AGA GAG AAA TCG|TCC ATC TGC GCG GAG TGT GGG AAG GCC TTT ACC TAC AGG TCG GAG CTA ATC<br>126 - Lys Val Gln Net Arg Glu Lys Ser|Ser Ile Cys Ala Glu Cys Gly Lys Ala Phe Thr Tyr Arg Ser Glu Leu Ile <sup>451</sup> ATT CAT CAG AGA ACT CAC ACG GGA GAG aAG CCT TAT CAG TCC GGC GAC TGT GGC AM GCC TTC ACC CAG MAG TCA 151 Ile His Gin Arg Thr His Thr Gly Glu Lys Pro Tyr Gln Cys Gly Asp Cys Gly Lys Ala Phe Thr Gln Lys Ser 526 GCC CTC ACC GTG CAC CGA AGA ATC CAC ACG GGG GAG AAG TCG TAT GTG TGT GTG AAG TGC GGG CTA GCC TTC GTG<br>176 Ala Leu Thr Val His Arg Arg Ile His Thr Gly Glu Lys Ser Tyr Val Cys Val Lys Cys Gly Leu Ala Phe Val 601 – CAA AGG GCA CAC TTG GAT GCA CAC CAG GTG ATT CAC ACT GGA GAA AAA CCT TAC CAG TGT GGT CAT TGT GGG AAA<br>201 – Gln Arg Ala His Leu Asp Ala His Gln Val Ile His Thr Gly Glu Lys Pro Tyr Gln Cys Gly His Cys Gly Lys 676 TTC TTC ACT TCC AAG TCG CAA CTC CAC GTG CAC AAG CGG ATT CAC ACG GGG GAA AAA CCC TAT GTG TGC AGT AAC<br>226 TPhe Phe Thr Ser Lys Ser Gln Leu His Val His Lys Arg Ile His Thr Gly Glu Lys Pro Tyr Val Cys Ser Asn 751 TGT GGG AAG GCC TTT GCC AAC AGG TCA AAT CTC ATC ACA CAT CAG AAA ACT CAT ACA GGG GAG AAA GCC TAT GTC<br>251 TCys Gly Lys Ala Phe Ala Asn Arg Ser Asn Leu Ile Thr His Gln Lys Thr His Thr Gly Glu Lys Ala Tyr Val 826 - TGT GCA AGG TGT GGG AAA GCA TTC ACT CAG AGG TCA GAA CCT GTT ACA CAC CAG AGG ATA CAT ACC GGA GAG AAG<br>276 - Cys Ala Arg Cys Gly Lys Ala Phe Thr Gln Arg Ser Glu Pro Val Thr His Gln Arg Ile His Thr Gly Glu Lys 901 – CCA TAT GGG TGT AGA CCC TGC GGG AAA GCC TTT ACC CAG AAA TCA CAC CTC AGT ATA CAC GAG AAG ATT CAC ACC<br>301 – Pro Tyr Gly Cys Arg Pro Cys Gly Lys Ala Phe Thr Gln Lys Ser His Leu Ser Ile His Glu Lys Ile His Thr 976 – GGA GAG AGA CAG TAC GGA TGC CGA GAC TGT GGG AAA GCC TTC AAC CAG AAA TCC ATA CTC ATC GTG CAC CAG AAA<br>326 – Gly Glu Arg Gln Tyr Gly Cys Arg Asp Cys Gly Lys Ala Phe Asn Gln Lys Ser Ile Leu Ile Val His Gln Lys 1051 - ATC CAC ACG GGG GAG AAA CCG CAG GTG TGT GCC GAG TGC GGG CGA GCT TTC ATC CGC AAG TCC AAC TTC ATC ACC<br>351 - Ile His Thr Gly Glu Lys Pro Gln Val Cys Ala Glu Cys Gly Arg Ala Phe Ile Arg Lys Ser Asn Phe Ile Thr 1126 CAT CAG CGC ATC CAT ACT GGC GAG AAA CCT TAT GGA TGC ACC GAC TGC GGC AAG TCC TIT ACC TCC AAG TCG CAG<br>376 - His Gln Arq Ile His Thr Gly Glu Lys Pro Tyr Gly Cys Thr Asp Cys Gly Lys Ser Phe Thr Ser Lys Ser Gln 1201 CTC CTA GTG CAC CGG CCA ATC CAC ACC GGC GAG AAA CCT TAC GTG TGT GCC GAG TGT|G<br>401 Leu Leu Val His Arg Pro Ile His Thr Gly Glu Lys Pro Tyr Val Cys Ala Glu Cyg

## C. mk

1 CAG CAG TCT GTA CAG GAA GCC CAG GAT CTG CTT CCA AGG CAG GAC TCA CAT GCT GAA CGG GTA ACA GGC AGA ACT<br>1 Gln Gln Ser Val Gln Glu Ala Gln Asp Leu Leu Pro Arg Gln Asp Ser His Ala Glu Arg Val Thr Gly Arg Thr 76 TGG AGC ACT AAA CTT GAG TGC TCC ACT TTC AGA GAT CAG GAT TCT GAG TGT ACG TTT GAA AGG AAT GAG CAA GAG<br>26 TIP Ser Thr Lys Leu Glu Cys Ser Thr Phe Arg Asp Gln Asp Ser Glu Cys Thr Phe Glu Arg Asn Glu Gln Glu 151 – ACA GTC ACT CCG AAC AGA GCC TTC TCA GAG GGG AGA GAT GGT ATG TGT ATC GAA TCT GGA AGA TGG TTT CAT TTG<br>51 – Thr Val Thr Pro Asn Arq Ala Phe Ser Glu Gly Arq Asp Gly Met Cys Ile Glu Ser Gly Arq Trp Phe His Leu 226 – AAC AGT TCA GAC GAG AGA AGT CAT AAC TGT GAC TCA GGT AAA AGT TTC TCC TCA AAT CCA GTA GTT GTA AAG GAA<br>76 – Asn Ser Ser Asp Glu Arg Ser His Asn Cys Asp Ser Gly Lys Ser Phe Ser Ser Asn Pro Val Val Lys Glu 101 ACC GGA ATC TGT TCA GGA AMA AMA CTT TTC CAA TGT AAT GAA TGT AAG AMA ACT TTC ACC CAG AGC TCC TCC CTT<br>101 Thr Gly Ile Cys Ser Gly Lys Lys Leu Phe Gin Cys Asn Glu Cys Lys Lys Thr Phe Thr Gin Ser Ser Ser Leu 376 – ACC GTT CAC CAG AGA ATT CAT ACT GGA GAG AAG CCC TAT AAA TGC AAT CAG TGT GGG AAG GCC TTC AGT GAC GGG<br>126 – Thr Val His Gln Arg Ile His Thr Gly Glu Lys Pro Tyr Lys Cys Asn Gln Cys Gly Lys Ala Phe Ser Asp Gly



Figure 1.

The nucleotide and the conceptually translated protein sequences of mkr3, 4 and 5. Mkr3 and 4 are cDNA sequences and mkr5 represents a composite of cDNA and genomic sequences.The genomic sequence shown hier has not been conceptually translated, since its colinearity with the cDNA sequence remains to be established. The first and the last 23 nucleotides in the mkr3 DNA sequence represent the oligonucleotide linker sequence used for the cDNA cloning. Poly adenylation signals AATAAA and the sequence motif ATTTA, potentially involved in the mRNA degradation are underlined. Potential glycosylation sites(NXS) are marked by stars. The finger domains are bracketed.



## mkr4



#### mkr5

---QQSVQEAQDLLPRQDSHAERVTG RTWSTKLECSTFRDQDSECTFERNEQETVTPNRAFSEGRDNC IESGRWFHLNSSERSHNCDSGKSFSSNPVVVKETGICSGKKL FQ C NE C KKT F TQSSS L TV H QRI H TGEKP YK C NQ C GKA F SDGSS F AR H QRW H TGKKP YE C PE C GKA F IQNTS L VR H WRWW HITGEKP<br>FD C ID C GKA F SDHIG LNQH RRI HITGEKP<br>YT C EV C HKS F RYGSS L TVH QRI HITGEKP YE C EI C RKA F SHHAS L TO H QRV H SGERP FK C KE C GKA F RONIH L AS H WRI H TGEKP FE C GE C GKS F SISSQ L AT H QRI H TGEKP FE CKV CRKA FRONIH LASH WRIHTGEKP<br>FE CGE CGKS F SISSQ LATH QRI --------------------------------------- <sup>I</sup> H SGXXP YE C KE C RKT F IQIGH L NQ H KRV H TGERT YNYKKGRRAFRQTAHFAHHQQIHSGKSPAHHSLPSTSNPVDL FSKFVWNPSSLPSS\*

## Figure2.

Protein sequences of mkr3, 4 and 5 in one letter amino acid code showing the 28 residue long Zn finger repeats. Dashes represent the sequences not done. Stars represent stop codon.

genomic and 2 cDNA clones.The longer cDNA (c4-2) sequence starts at nt <sup>1</sup> and ends at the EcoRl site at nt. 1148. The smaller cDNA (G3-1) sequence is located between the EcoR1 site at nt 1568 and the poly A tail of 25 A residues at nt 1997. The genomic DNA sequence contains 9 A residues at this position in the untranslated 3'end. To bridge the sequence gap present between the two cDNA clones,a genomic DNA clone from a mouse genomic library was isolated using a mkr5 finger containing fragment as a probe and partially sequenced. The genomic DNA sequence starts at nt 389 and is colinear with the cDNA sequence between nts 389 to 1148 and 1569 to 1999. An additional sequence of 417(139 in frame amino acids) bp was present between the two cDNA clones. Furthermore,at the 3' end additional 434 bp was sequenced beyond the poly A site at nt 1999 in G3-1. Available mkr5 cDNA sequence<br>encodes 475 amino acids including 109 unique residues at the including 109 unique residues at the N-terminal end followed by 11 fingers and terminating with 55 unique C-terminal amino acids. The stop codon is located at nt 1842. If the genomic DNA sequence is also included,the total coding capacity of mkr5 would be 614 amino acids. Interestingly,one of the fingers encoded by the genomic sequence is of  $C_2$ - CH type. The unique C-terminal end contains a potential nuclear localization signal KKGRR,previously shown to be present in another Zn finger protein rfp (45). The untranslated 3'end of the cDNA and genomic DNA contains several poly adenylation signals at nts 1960,2177 and 2195.The sequence motif ATTTA, present at nt 2171 is potentially involved in mRNA regulation by degradation (43). Amino acid sequence comparison of various mouse Zn finger motifs

In addition to the previously described mkr1 and mkr2 clones, Chavrier et al have reported the isolation of several other mouse genomic and cDNA sequences encoding multiple Zn fingers (12). To determine whether mkr3,4 and 5 represent new mouse finger genes,a comparison with all the published mouse finger sequences was performed. A summary of the data is shown in tablel. Clearly, the sequences of mkr3,4 and 5 are different from mkrl,2(11) and krox4,6,8,9 and 20(12). They therefore represent new mouse finger genes. For simplicity,only comparison of the consensus finger motifs from different genes are shown. The unique region did not contain any obvious homology and was therefore omitted from the table.The consensus finger motifs are highly homologous, but clearly not identical.Most of the variability was found in the sequences located between the conserved Cys and His residues comprising the "finger tips". This region also contains the  $\alpha$ -helical structure postulated previously(25).The individual finger sequences present in each clone was also different from each other. Strikingly,in addition to the Zn binding Cys and His residues,in all the mouse finger genes described so far,the

# Nucleic Acids Research



## Figure3.

Expression of mkr3, 4 and 5 in developing mouse embryos and adult tissues analysed by northern blotting technique.ln all cases,except for the adult mouse tissue blot in figure 3a,the. same filters were used as described in materials and methods. Internal hybridization control for the amount of RNA loaded in each lane is shown in figure 3c.

Phe,Leu and the strech of TGEKP peptides were conserved (Tablel), suggesting a possible functional role.

Mkr3.4 and 5 are expressed during murine embryogenesis and in adult mouse tissues as multiple transcripts

Since the clones were isolated from an 8.5 day mouse embryo cDNA library, they can be expected to be expressed early during mouse embryogenesis. To analyze the fate and nature of these transcripts during further development of the mouse embryo, northern blotting experiments were performed using poly  $A^+$  RNA isolated from 10-17 day old total mouse embryos and adult mouse tissues. In each case, the cloned probe used for hybridization was first tested by southern blotting experiments with stringent washing  $(0.1XSSC,65^0C)$  and found to detect only a single band in the mouse genomic DNA cleaved by various restriction enzymes (data not shown). RNA blotting revealed multiple messages of different sizes produced by all 3 clones.

Mkr3 probe detected in 10,11 and 12 day embryos 3 descrete size messages of 11.5 kb,8.5 kb and 6.5 kb (fig.3). Interestingly,a new transcript of 4.5 kb appeared first in day 13 embryo and was found to be present in all subsequent day embryos tested. All transcripts were expressed in developing embryos at constant level. However,the levels of 11.5 and 4.5 kb transcripts were in each case 3-5 fold lower than the 8.5 and 6.5 kb transcripts. A similar pattern of expression comprising the 4 transcripts was also observed in all adult mouse tissues tested (fig.3).

Mkr4 probe detected 2 closely migrating transcripts of 4.2 and 3.8 kb(fig.3). They were also expressed at a constant level from day 10-17. The levels of these 2 transcripts in embryonic and adult tissues are extremely low. Since,using the same blot and probe of similar specific activity,mkr3 transcripts were visible on the autoradiogram after 30 hour exposure. But in contrast,under similar experimental conditions only faint mkr4 trancripts could be seen even after 7 day exposure.Both mkr4 transcripts were present in all adult mouse tissues tested.

Two transcripts of 3.1 kb and 4.4 kb were detected by the mkr5 probe in 10-17 day embryos. Their level remained constant in 10-15 day embryos. An overall decrease of 1-2 fold was observed in 16-17 day embryos(fig.3). In contrast to mkr3 and mkr4, a tissue specific expression was observed for mkr5. Of all the adult mouse tissues tested,only ovary had a predominant expression of the two mkr5 transcripts. The only other tissue expressing them at a much lower level was testis. In addition,testis had a slightly faster migrating message.

# **DISCUSSION**

All Zn finger proteins(Zfp) share a structurally similar nucleic acid binding motif. However,in contrast to the proteins of the

helix-turn-helix family ,the Zfp are capable of binding not only to DNA but also to metal and RNA as shown for TFIIIA. Furthermore, helix turn helix motif recognizes as dimers only a very small region of DNA comprising several nucleotides,whereas Zfp may bind to different length of DNA depending on the number of fingers present. Compared to the helix turn helix motif, the finger regions may be quite long. In case of Xfin (40), 88% of the entire protein consists of 36 such repeats. Why such large number of fingers are required for the recognition of specific DNA sequence is unclear. This kind of multiple contact may be necessary for the binding stability of the complex which is subsequently utilized by RNA polymerase for transcription. Moreover, they may be involved in the binding of specific RNA sequences and serve as RNA storage proteins. A clear understanding of the mechanism of action of Zfp would also require knowledge about the tertiary structure of the proteins and their DNA- protein complexes.

Further still unsolved questions are the roles of unique and finger regions in the activation of transcription by TFIIIA. It is alligned on the internal control region (ICR) of 5S RNA gene with C-terminus towards the 5'end of the gene(8). By analysis of truncated TFIIIA molecules it could be shown that only 19 amino acids outside the DNA binding finger could be shown that only 19 amino acids domain at the C-terminus are critical for maximal transcription (46). Deletion of the first 17 amino acids from the N-terminus that include 12 unique and 5 amino acids from the first finger reduces the overall<br>binding affinity of TFIIIA over the entire length of ICR. Observation of binding affinity of TFIIIA over the entire length of ICR. finger sequences from different Zfp reveal that inspite of the presence of highly conserved residues,each finger contains many variable amino acids. Whether they are involved in discriminating the specificity of binding is not known. Finger swapping experiments or the use of many single residue mutants will be necessary to answer this question.

Mkr3,4 and 5 add to the growing list of a large multigene family of Zfp in different organisms,indicating that such proteins may be widely used in the transcriptional or post transcriptional regulation. In table <sup>1</sup> we have compared the protein sequences of published mouse finger genes to show that mkr3,4 and 5 represent new genes. Their transcription pattern is also different from all of them. Interestingly,all 3 clones encode large number of fingers and the sequences of the N-terminal regions of these proteins remain to be determined by isolating larger cDNA clones. However, the C-terminal sequences are complete for both mkr3 and mkr5 but not for mkr4. All these and other published Zfp contain typically small non finger unique regions. In the primary structure of mkr4, two finger domains are separated by a unique region, similar to that of Hunchback (6), a Drosophila segmentation gene of the gap class. Both mkr3 and 5 c-DNAs have long untranslated 3'ends, as in mkr2 described previously (35) and contain the sequence motif ATTTA potentially involved in the degradation of mRNA(43). But without nuclear run on  $involved$  in the degradation of mRNA(43).<br>experiments, it is not possible to experiments, it is not possible to distinguish between a transcriptional or post transcriptional mechanism of mkr mRNA transcriptional mechanism of mkr mRNA metabolism. Two potential nuclear localization signals were detected in mkr4 and mkr5 suggesting that they may be nuclear proteins analogous<br>to the Drosophila Krüppel family of nuclear finger gene to the Drosophila Kruppel family of nuclear finger gene products(47).Wheather or not they bind to Zn and DNA remains to be shown.

Northern blotting data revealed that all clones were expressed as multiple transcripts in developing mouse embryos and adult mouse tissues. It is highly unlikely that these descrete multiple messages are due to the result of unspecific hybridization. Several facts argue against this reasoning. Firstly,all hybridizations were done with probes that southern blotting experiments using mouse genomic DNA. Secondly,all messages detected for mkr3,4, 5 and as well as for mkrl and 2(11,34) are of different sizes. There are obviously no transcripts of similar size among all these clones. Examples of such transcription pattern are known for Drosophila Antennapedia gene (48) or for mouse int-2 gene(49). These genes produce multiple messages due to different promotors and termination processing signals but share the same coding region. However,the possibility of differential splicing for the generation of different proteins remain open for mkr3,4 and 5. This question can be addressed by cloning and analysing the corresponding c-DNAs.

Judging from the pattern of expression, mkr3 and 4 are ubiquitious proteins. If they turn out to be transcriptional regulatory factors,then they would be similar to SP1 (13) and TFIIIA(7,8). In contrast mkr5 gene expression was predominantly restricted to ovary. Other examples of tissue specific Zfp are mkr2 (35) whose expression is limited to the neuronal cells and TDF,the human testis determining factor(37). These proteins may represent tissue specific regulatory factors. We are now in the process of characterizing the <sup>5</sup>' regions of these genes to determine whe ther they contain any tissue specific regulatory signals. Ultimately,it would be necessary to isolate the target genes being controlled by mkr family of genes to shed light on their functional role in murine embryogenesis and development.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We would like to thank Barbara Meyer for help with the screening of the cDNA library, Bernd F6hring for computer work and Thomas Schowalter for technical assistance. H.R. was a recipient of Böhringer Ingelheim postdoctoral fellowship.This project was supported by a financial grant from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, Gr 650/3-1).

# \*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

+Present address: Schering AG, Institute fiir Biochemie, Postfach 650311, D-1 Berlin, 65, GDR

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Hartshorne,T.,Blumberg,H., and Young,E. (1986). Nature,320,283-287.
- 2. Blumberg,H.,Eisen,A.,Sledziewski,A.,Bader,D.,and Young,E(1987). Nature, 328,443-445.
- 3. Stillman,D.,Bankier,A.,Sedon,A.,Groenhout,E.,and Nasmyth,K.(1988).EMBO J.,7, 485-494.
- 4. Vincent,A., Colot,H., and Rosbash,M. (1985). J. Mol. Biol.,185,146-166.
- 5. Rosenberg, U.B., Schröder, C., Preiss, A., Kienlin, A., Cote, S., Riede, E., and Jackle,H.(1986). Nature, 319,336-339.
- 6. Tautz, D., Lehman, R., Schnürch H., Schuh, R., Seifert, E., Kienlin. A., Jones. K., and Jackle,H. (1987). Nature, 327,383-389.
- 7. Ginsberg,A., King,B., and Roeder,R. (1984). Cell, 39,479-489.
- 8. Miller,J., Mclachlan,A.D., and Klug,A. (1985). EMBO J. 4,1609-1614.
- 9. Ruiz <sup>i</sup> Altaba,A.,Perry-O'Keefe,H.,and Melton,D.(1 987). EMBO J. 6,3065- 3070.
- 10. K6ster,M.,Pieler,T.,P6tting A.,and Kn6chel,W.(1 988).EMBO J.,7(6),1735- 1741.
- 11. Chowdhury,K., Deutsch,U., and Gruss,P. (1986). Cell 48,771-778.
- 12. Chavrier,P., Lemaire,P., Revelant,O., Bravo,R., and Charnay,P.(1988).Mol. Cell.Biol. 8(3),1319-1326.
- 13. Kadonaga,J., Carner,K., Masiaz,F.,and Tjian.R.(1987). Cell,51,1079-1090.
- 14. Pannuti,A.,Lanfrancone,L.,Pascucci,A.,Pier-Giuseppe,P.,LaMantia,G. and Lania,L.(1988). Nucl.Acids.Res. 16(10),4227-4237.
- 15. Akam,M. (1987). Development, 101,1-22.
- 16. Pabo,C., and Sauer,R.(1 984). Ann.Rev.Biochem., 53, 293-321.
- 17. Gehring,W., and Hiromi,Y.(1986). Ann.Rev.Genet. 20,147-173.
- 18. Colberg-Poley,A., Voss,S., and Gruss,P.(1987). in Oxford surveys on eukaryotic genes, 4,92-115.
- 19. Desplan,C., Theis,J., and O'Farrel,P.(1985). Nature, 318, 630-635.
- 20. Hoey,T., and Levine,M.(1988). Nature, 332, 858-861.
- 21. Brown,R.S., Sander,C., and Argos,P.(1985) . FEBS Left. 186, 271-274.
- 22. Berg,J. (1986) . Science, 232, 485-486.
- 23. Rhodes,D., and Klug,A.(1 988) in Nucleic acid and molecular biology. Eckstein,F.,and Lilley,D. (Eds),Springer Verlag,Berlin,Heidelberg,1 988.
- 24. Evans,R., and Hollenberg,S. (1988). Cell 52, 1-3.
- 25. Gibson,T., Postma,J.,Brown,R., and Argos P.(1988). Protein engeneering, in press.
- 26. Hanas,T.S., Hazuda,D.J., Bogenhagen,D.F., Wu,F.,and Wu,C.W. (1983).J.Biol. Chem. 258,14120-14125.
- 27. Frankel,A.,Berg,J.,and Pabo,C.(1987). Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA. 84,4841 4845.
- 28. Diakun,G.,Fairall,L.,and Klug,A.(1986). Nature, 324, 698-699.
- 29. Berg,J. (1988). Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA. 85, 99-102.
- 30. Frankel,A., and Pabo,C. (1988). Cell, 53, 675.
- 31. Frankel,A., Bredt,D., and Pabo,C. (1988). Science, 240, 70-73.
- 32. Nüsslein-Vollhard, C. and Wieshaus, E. (1980). Nature, 287, 795-801.
- 33. Ollo,R.,and Maniatis,T.(1987). Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA.84,5700-5704.
- 34. Redemann,N., Gaul,U., and Jackle,H. (1988). Nature, 332, 90-92.
- 35. Chowdhury,K., Dressler,G., Breier,G., Deutsch,U., and Gruss,P. (1988). EMBO J. 7(5),1345-1353.
- 36. Chavrier,P., Zerial,M., Lemaire,P., Almendral.,J.,Bravo,R., and Charnay,P., (1988). EMBO J. 7(1),29-35.
- 37.Page,D., Mosher,R., Simpson,E.,Fisher,E.,Mardon,G.,Pollack,J.,McGillivray, B., De la Chapelle,A., and Brown,L.(1987). Cell, 51,1091-1104.
- 38. Fahrner,K., Hogan,B., and Flavell,R.(1 987). EMBO J., 6,1265-1271.
- 39. Maniatis,T., Fritsch., and Sambrook,J.,(1 982). Molecular clonong: a laboratory manual, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory press, CSH, NY.
- 40. Ozaki,L.,and Cseko,Y.(1 984). Genes and antigens of parasites,a laboratory manual, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, lnstitut Oswaldo Cruz, Brazil.
- 41. Maxam,A., and Gilbert,W. (1977). Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA. 74, 560-564.
- 42. Chirgwin,J.M., Przybyla,A.E., Macdonald,R.J., and Rutter,W.J.(1979). Biochemistry, 18, 5294-5299.
- 43. Shaw,G., and Kamen,B. (1986). Cell, 46, 659-667.
- 44. Kalderon,D., Roberts,B., Richardson,W., and Smith,A.(1984).Cell,39,499- 509
- 45. Takahashi,M.,lnaguma,Y.,Hiai,H.,and Hirose,H.(1988) Mol.Cell.Biol.8(4), 1853-1856.
- 46. Vrana,K.,Churchill.M.,Tullius,T.,and Brown,D.(1988). Mol.Cell.Biol. 8(4), 1684-1696.
- 47. Schuh,R., Aicher,W., Gaul,U., Cote,S.,Preiss,A.,Maier,D.,Seifert,E.,Nauber, U., Schhröder, C., Kemler, R., and Jäckle, H. (1986). Cell 47, 1025-1032.
- 48. Schnewly,S., Kuroiwa,A., Baumgartner,P.,and Gehring,W.(1 986). EMBO J. 5(4),733-739.
- 49. Mansour,S., and Martin,G.(1 988). EMBO J. 7(7), 2035-2041.