

## NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript**

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 January 01.

Published in final edited form as: Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2012 July ; 21(7): 1002–1011. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-12-0116.

### **Circadian Disruption, Sleep Loss and Prostate Cancer Risk: A Systematic Review of Epidemiological Studies**

**Lara G. Sigurdardottir**1,2,3, **Unnur A. Valdimarsdottir**1,2,4,5, **Katja Fall**1,4,5, **Jennifer R. Rider**5,6, **Steven W. Lockley**7,8, **Eva S. Schernhammer**5,6, and **Lorelei A. Mucci**1,5,6

<sup>1</sup>Center of Public Health Sciences, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland <sup>3</sup>The Icelandic Cancer Society, Reykjavik, Iceland <sup>4</sup>Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Örebro University Hospital and Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden <sup>5</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusettes, USA <sup>6</sup>Channing Laboratory, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA <sup>7</sup>Division of Sleep Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA <sup>8</sup>Division of Sleep Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

#### **Abstract**

Disruption of the circadian system has been hypothesized to increase cancer risk, either due to direct disruption of the molecular machinery generating circadian rhythms or due to disruption of parameters controlled by the clock such as melatonin levels or sleep duration. This hypothesis has been studied in hormone-dependent cancers among women, but data are sparse regarding potential effects of circadian disruption on the risk of prostate cancer. This review systematically examines available data evaluating the effects of light at night, sleep patterns, and night shift work on prostate cancer risk.

#### **Keywords**

prostate cancer; circadian disruption; sleep disruption; shift work; melatonin; light at night

#### **Introduction**

In 2007, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) of the World Health Organization (WHO) designated shift work involving circadian disruption as "probably carcinogenic to humans"(1). The main rationale for this classification is evidence from experimental animal models and limited evidence from human epidemiological studies describing an increased risk of breast cancer among long-term female night shift workers, including flight attendants, as compared to women who do not work during the night (2, 3).

Shift work and transmeridian travel induce a number of physiological changes that have been proposed as possible mechanisms underlying this observed increase in cancer risk. First, disruption and reduction of sleep is inherent in shift work. The endogenous circadian pacemaker, located in the suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN) of the hypothalamus, is a major determinant of the timing, duration and structure of sleep such that sleep is maximized when

**Correspondence:** Lara G. Sigurdardottir, Centre of Public Health Sciences, University of Iceland. Stapi v/Hringbraut, 101 Reykjavik, Iceland. Tel. (+354) 895 0804, fax (+354) 562 2013. lara@sessionimpossible.com.

it occurs during the night (4). When attempting to sleep during the day, shift workers are trying to sleep at a time when the circadian system is promoting wakefulness and consequently it is difficult to fall asleep and stay asleep, reducing total sleep time.

Shift work also causes disruption and desynchronization of the internal circadian system. It has recently been discovered that, in addition to a 'central' circadian pacemaker in the hypothalamus, most peripheral tissues are also capable of generating circadian rhythms to maintain appropriate timing of local events (5). These clocks have been found in most places including the heart, liver, lungs, kidney, pancreas, ovary, stomach, and intestine and appear to be less sensitive to light, the major environmental time cue resetting the hypothalamic clock, and more sensitive to feeding time or other 'non-photic' time cues. The altered exposure to light-dark and feeding cycles induced by shift work not only cause desynchronization between the circadian system and environmental time, but also desynchronization among internal timing systems which impacts the temporal alignment of genetic and metabolic processes (6). Disruption of the molecular components of circadian clocks, particularly expression of the Period2 gene (Per2), has been shown to increase breast cancer tumor growth rates (7) whereas overexpression of  $Per2$  is thought to have tumorsuppressive properties  $(8, 9)$ . Notably, expression levels of *Per2* were significantly lower in all proliferative prostate diseases compared with normal prostate tissue (10).

Finally, a major consequence of shift work is light-induced inhibition of pineal melatonin secretion, which is acutely suppressed by the electric light required to enable night shift work. Melatonin is produced at night and is the biochemical correlate of darkness (4). Melatonin secretion requires an intact projection from the circadian pacemaker in the SCN to the pineal gland via the Superior Cervical Ganglion, severance of which, as occurs in tetraplegia, abolishes melatonin production (11, 12). Ocular light exposure during the night also temporarily inhibits melatonin production (4). The presence of melatonin has been shown to inhibit or slow down tumor growth both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, including prostate cancer (13–19), whereas suppression of melatonin via constant light exposure or pinealectomy increases tumor growth in a dose-dependent manner in experimental models (20, 21). The oncostatic actions of melatonin can be explained by its potential modulation of cell-cycle length through control of the p53/p21 pathway (22), and its antimitotic and antioxidant activity (23). Melatonin is a potent free radical scavenger (24) and may facilitate reduction of oxidative stress implicated in prostate cancer progression (25). Moreover, melatonin secretion may be reduced in prostate cancer patients as compared to men diagnosed with prostate cancer in situ or benign prostatic hyperplasia (26, 27), and in a single case report, exogenous melatonin has been shown to inhibit prostate cancer progression temporarily (28). Moreover, totally blind individuals who theoretically may have a less disturbed melatonin secretion profile due to light exposure have lower risks of prostate and breast cancer (29–32).

The principal aim of this study is to systematically review evidence from epidemiological studies evaluating the effects of light at night, sleep loss and night shift work (main factors known to affect the circadian system) on prostate cancer risk. Previous reviews among men have mainly focused on one specific exposure such as shift work or airline occupation with respect to cancer incidence (33–36).

#### **Methods**

#### **Search strategy**

The electronic database PubMed was searched through November 2011 for studies examining the hypothesis that light at night, sleep pattern, or night shift work might be associated with prostate cancer. For night shift work, we included occupational studies

conducted among airline pilots, navigators, waiters, firefighters, policemen, and public safety workers, since their working schedule likely includes night shift work: we did not include cabin attendants, an occupation with few males, most of whom are younger than age 50. The following search terms were used along with "prostate cancer": "Shift work", "circadian", "sleep", "insomnia", "melatonin", "jet lag", "chronodisruption", and "pineal gland".

#### **Eligible studies**

The inclusion criteria of reviewed papers were as follows: (1) Observational studies on humans including case-control, cohort, or ecological studies, (2) presenting original data on the above-mentioned hypothesis, and (3) published in English.

#### **Ineligible studies**

Altogether, 336 articles were sent to the collection (My NCBI). All abstracts were reviewed, of which 252 were uninformative on the hypothesis or published in a language other than English. Of the 84 remaining papers, we excluded 9 commentaries or hypothesis-generating reports and 18 reviews that did not include original data. Three letters to the editor not presenting original data were also excluded. Further, we excluded two studies on visual impairment, as the exposure does not specifically involve circadian disruption. Finally, 40 experimental studies in genetics, cell lines, animal models, case-series or interventions were excluded.

#### **Studies identified**

Twelve epidemiological studies that provided data on light at night, sleep patterns, or night shift work and prostate cancer risk were included; two were meta-analyses that included a total of four eligible individual studies on airline occupation related to the hypothesis. We used the combined estimates derived in the meta-analyses and reviewed the original articles. Therefore, a total of 16 epidemiological studies, including the meta-analyses as single studies, were reviewed.

#### **Results**

All of the studies included in this systematic review presented data on prostate cancer incidence either as a single outcome (37–40) or along with other cancers (35, 36, 41–47). Four of the studies presented data on prostate cancer mortality, all of which were conducted among airline pilots (36, 44, 48, 49). The studies addressed various proxies of circadian disruption: light at night distribution (41), sleep duration (37), rotating shift work (38–40, 42), and occupations likely to include night shift work, such as firefighters (47, 50), policemen (50), public safety workers (46), waiters (46) and airline pilots (35, 36, 43–45, 48, 49).

The main characteristics of the 16 observational studies and risk estimates for 15 studies on the association between proxies for circadian disruption and prostate cancer risk are summarized in Table 1. The ecological study (41) does not present risk estimates.

#### **Light at night and prostate cancer**

The only ecological study published to date (41) addressing exposure to light at night and cancer risk among men compared age-standardized incidence rates of prostate, lung, and colon cancer among men residing in 164 different countries using population-weighted light at night as their main exposure. Population-weighted refers to calculating light at night exposure while taking both a country's geographic population distribution and its local light at night intensities into account. Geographic Information System (GIS) technology was used

Sigurdardottir et al. Page 4

for matching country-specific cancer rates with the light at night levels obtained from satellite images. Several developmental and environmental indicators, including per capita income, percent urban population, and electricity consumption were also compared. Among the three cancers analyzed, only prostate cancer exhibited a significant positive correlation with light at night exposure and per capita electricity consumption. An increase of light at night from 8.60 nanowatts/cm<sup>2</sup>/sr (countries with minimal light at night exposure) to 28 nanowatts/cm<sup>2</sup>/sr (countries with average light at night exposure) corresponded to an increase of 30 percent in prostate cancer age-standardized rates. A further increase in light at night value to 99.21 (the maximum light at night exposure) corresponded to an 80 percent increase.

Several techniques were used to reduce the possibility of ecological confounding, including grouping by geographic areas and adjusting for some potential confounders, such as income levels and percent urban population. Still, results have to be viewed with caution, as different income of residents as well as higher diagnostic intensity and access to medical procedures in the "high resource" societies are likely to explain at least some of the observed association (41). Further, differences in cancer registration completeness can bias the results since the developing countries with less nighttime illumination are more likely to have incomplete cancer registration. In sum, while the observed parallel increase in risk and exposure to light at night is in line with an increase in electricity consumption following the industrial revolution, results from this group-level study are subject to ecological fallacy.

#### **Sleep duration and prostate cancer**

To date, only one epidemiological study has examined sleep duration in relation to prostate cancer risk. In a cohort of Japanese men, sleep duration was inversely associated with risk of prostate cancer (37). Compared to those who slept an average number of hours (7–8 hours), those sleep deprived (6 hours or less) were at nonsignificantly increased risk (multivariate HR=1.38, 95% CI=0.77–2.48) of developing prostate cancer, whereas those who slept for longer than average (9 or more hours) were at lower risk for prostate cancer (multivariate HR=0.36, 95% CI=0.18–0.72;  $P_{trend} = 0.001$ ). The association between short sleep duration and prostate cancer risk was stronger for advanced disease defined as prostate cancer stage T3/T4 and/or metastasized (HR=1.82, 95% CI=0.82–4.05), although this was based on eight cases only. The inverse association of sleep duration and prostate cancer risk in this study is in line with observed increased nocturnal melatonin secretion with longer sleep duration (51) and decreased melatonin levels in prostate cancer patients (26). Limitations of this study, however, might include self reported sleep duration  $(52)$ , small case number (n=127), and short follow-up which does not preclude the potential for reverse causality although lagtime analyses (3 years) showed the same results.

#### **Shift work and prostate cancer**

Four studies on shift work and prostate cancer risk have been published, with mixed results. In a population-based cohort study in Sweden (42), there was no increased risk of prostate cancer among shift workers (SIR (adjusted for age, socioeconomic status, country of residence, and occupational position)=1.04, 95% CI=0.99–1.10) compared to the general population of Swedish men. The definition of shift work used in the study was based on another survey from which shift work classification was based on job-title and industry combination with at least 40 percent shift workers and compared with occupations with less than 30 percent shift workers and daytime workers, respectively. Classification of shift work was based on occupation rather than individual level data. Occupations having 40 percent of men engaged in shift work classified as shift work, could have led to as many of 60 percent of the men being misclassified as shift workers. Hence, non-differential misclassification of exposure to shift work may have been substantial in this study which could have biased

results to the null. Further, shift work did not have to include night work even though night shift work is more strongly linked to circadian disruption, reduced sleep duration and melatonin suppression than any other alternate shift (53).

In contrast, a Japanese prospective cohort study (38) reported that rotating shift workers (alternating between a day and/or afternoon shift and a night shift) were at threefold increased risk of prostate cancer (multivariate RR=3.0, 95% CI=1.2–7.7), and fixed-night work was associated with a smaller and nonsignificantly increased risk (multivariate RR=2.3, 95% CI=0.6–9.2) when compared to day workers. Potential confounding factors taken into consideration included perceived job stress, which did not alter the results. In this study, participants were classified as night shift workers based on self-report. A limitation of this study is that the increase in risk of developing prostate cancer observed among rotating shift workers is based on seven cases only. The same group subsequently published results form a cohort study of 4,995 male workers of whom 824 had undertaken rotating shift work for more than 80% of their career (40). In this study with only 17 prostate cancer cases, shift workers were at nonsignificantly increased risk of prostate cancer when compared to daytime workers (multivariate RR=1.79, 95% CI=0.57–5.68).

In a Canadian population-based case-control study (39), a 20 percent increased risk of prostate cancer ( $RR=1.19$ , 95% CI $=1.00-1.42$ ) was reported among men who normally worked full-time rotating shifts, when compared to men who had never worked full-time shift work. Men who became full-time rotating shift workers in their mid 20s appeared at highest risk (RR=1.38, 95% CI=1.05–1.80). Even though the investigators had information on a variety of potential confounders from their mailed questionnaire, only age and family history were adjusted for in these preliminary analyses, and thus there may be some residual confounding explaining the observed association although such an adjustment has not been shown to affect the association between circadian disruption and prostate cancer.

#### **Occupations as a proxy for shift work**

A 2005 meta-analysis on cancer incidence among male airline pilots (35), an occupation associated with circadian disruption due to transmeridian travel, includes 3 studies reporting data on prostate cancer incidence. In a Nordic study of five countries, (43) a nearly four-fold higher prostate cancer risk (RR=3.88, 95% CI=1.26–11.9) was found among pilots aged over 60 with more than 10,000 block hours in long haul aircrafts, when compared to pilots with <5000 hours. Block hours are defined as a cumulative service hour, measured as an hour after leaving the departure gate and before arriving at the destination gate. Similarly, two Canadian cohort studies reported 87 percent (44) and 54 percent (45) increased risks of prostate cancer among pilots, respectively when compared to the Canadian male population. The summary relative risk of the meta-analysis (35) suggested a 47 percent increased risk of prostate cancer among pilots  $(95\% \text{ CI} = 1.06 - 2.05)$ . A significant higher risk of prostate cancer among pilots is supported by another, earlier meta-analysis from 2000 (36) that also included both of the Canadian studies (44, 45) with information on prostate cancer incidence and mortality, and additionally one British flight deck mortality study (49). This increase in risk might be explained by circadian rhythm disruption to which pilots are exposed, although cosmic radiation and electromagnetic fields (54) are possible alternate causal factors. Healthy worker effect might have deflated relative risk estimates (55, 56) relative to the comparison group; alternatively, pilots have regular health check and are therefore more likely to be diagnosed with disease than the general population.

In a large occupational Nordic study (46) public safety workers and waiters were at 11 and 10 percent increased risk of prostate cancer (95% CI=1.08–1.14 and 1.01–1.20), respectively. Similarly, in a US case-control study (50) firefighters were at 20 percent increased risk of prostate cancer death  $(95\% \text{ CI} = 1.0-1.4)$ , and African American policemen

at 60 percent increased risk (95% CI=1.0–2.5) when compared with men who died of all other causes except cancer. A case-control study of Californian firefighters (47) found that men aged 21–80 were at 22 percent increased risk of prostate cancer when compared with controls with other cancer types  $(95\% \text{ CI} = 1.12 - 1.29)$ . When the data were restricted to subjects aged 21–60 at diagnosis and stratified into two study periods, the risk increased to 50% (Table 1).

#### **Discussion**

This systematic review includes 16 epidemiological studies that addressed the association between proxies of circadian disruption, sleep loss and prostate cancer risk, of which 15 (35–41, 43–50) were suggestive of a positive association, with 10 of these providing statistically significant results. The studies supporting an increase in prostate cancer risk are in line with the vast majority of the studies on shift work and breast cancer risk, which have focused primarily on nurses and flight attendants (2).

Both positive as well as negative studies must be considered in light of some potential for bias or confounding. The proxies for circadian disruption and sleep loss considered herein attempt to reflect the association with prostate cancer in different ways. For light at night exposure, individual level data are needed to overcome the limitations of an ecological study (41). Sleep duration in non-shift workers has been proposed as a proxy for exposure to light at night (57) since sleep (dark) duration is related to melatonin duration (51). Even though the only study published to date to examine the association between sleep duration and prostate cancer risk suggests a higher risk with shorter sleep duration, more evidence is needed.

Night shift work exposure is a good proxy for circadian, sleep and melatonin disruption and occupational shift work history might be considered a reasonable proxy for night shift work. Using occupational titles from registers to derive shift work precludes recall bias, but it also entails a potentially substantial amount of misclassification.

The basis of using airline occupational studies to estimate circadian disruption exposure relies on the employees who work on long haul flights, since crossing several time zones is more likely to be associated with circadian disruption than short haul flights. Pilots undergo regular and thorough health check-ups that can result in detection bias when comparing prostate incidence rates to the general population.

As noted, multiple physiologic, metabolic and behavioral changes are associated with shiftwork, including sleep disruption, circadian disruption and melatonin disruption. These factors, and their relative contribution to prostate cancer risk, are difficult to differentiate given that they often occur simultaneously. While there is sufficient evidence in experimental animal studies for the carcinogenicity of artificial light during the biological night, which causes circadian, sleep and melatonin disruption, direct evidence for the carcinogenicity of these factors is still limited in humans (1). Three of the 4 published studies on shift work and prostate cancer risk, however, as well as majority of the other studies on occupations with proxy for shift work show increased risk of prostate cancer among pilots and other occupations, in support of a potential effect of circadian disruption on prostate cancer risk.

#### **Conclusion**

This systematic review illustrates that although the circadian rhythm disruption hypothesis is plausible, based on the epidemiological evidence discussed herein, more studies with individual level, prospectively collected, stringent exposure measurements are needed to

draw definite conclusions on the potential impact of circadian disruption, sleep deficiency, melatonin suppression, or even clinical sleep disorders and use of sleeping medication on prostate cancer risk and ultimately progression.

#### **Acknowledgments**

We thank Meir Stampfer for his contribution to the article.

#### **Grant support**

Lara G. Sigurdardottir was supported by the Icelandic Research Fund to work on this article.

#### **References**

- 1. Straif K, Baan R, Grosse Y, Secretan B, El Ghissassi F, Bouvard V, et al. Carcinogenicity of shiftwork, painting, and fire-fighting. Lancet Oncol. 2007 Dec; 8(12):1065–1066. [PubMed: 19271347]
- 2. Megdal SP, Kroenke CH, Laden F, Pukkala E, Schernhammer ES. Night work and breast cancer risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Cancer. 2005 Sep; 41(13):2023–2032. [PubMed: 16084719]
- 3. Schernhammer ES, Kroenke CH, Laden F, Hankinson SE. Night work and risk of breast cancer. Epidemiology. 2006 Jan; 17(1):108–111. [PubMed: 16357603]
- 4. Arendt J. Melatonin and the pineal gland: influence on mammalian seasonal and circadian physiology. Rev Reprod. 1998 Jan; 3(1):13–22. [PubMed: 9509985]
- 5. Hastings MH, Reddy AB, Maywood ES. A clockwork web: circadian timing in brain and periphery, in health and disease. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2003 Aug; 4(8):649–661. [PubMed: 12894240]
- 6. Filipski E, Levi F. Circadian disruption in experimental cancer processes. Integr Cancer Ther. 2009 Dec; 8(4):298–302. [PubMed: 20042408]
- 7. Dai H, Zhang L, Cao M, Song F, Zheng H, Zhu X, et al. The role of polymorphisms in circadian pathway genes in breast tumorigenesis. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2011 Jun; 127(2):531–540. [PubMed: 20978934]
- 8. Hua H, Wang Y, Wan C, Liu Y, Zhu B, Yang C, et al. Circadian gene mPer2 overexpression induces cancer cell apoptosis. Cancer Sci. 2006 Jul; 97(7):589–596. [PubMed: 16827798]
- 9. Chen-Goodspeed M, Lee CC. Tumor suppression and circadian function. J Biol Rhythms. 2007 Aug; 22(4):291–298. [PubMed: 17660446]
- 10. Jung-Hynes B, Huang W, Reiter RJ, Ahmad N. Melatonin resynchronizes dysregulated circadian rhythm circuitry in human prostate cancer cells. J Pineal Res. 2010 Aug; 49(1):60–68. [PubMed: 20524973]
- 11. Kneisley LW, Moskowitz MA, Lynch HG. Cervical spinal cord lesions disrupt the rhythm in human melatonin excretion. J Neural Transm Suppl. 1978; (13):311-323. [PubMed: 288855]
- 12. Zeitzer JM, Ayas NT, Shea SA, Brown R, Czeisler CA. Absence of detectable melatonin and preservation of cortisol and thyrotropin rhythms in tetraplegia. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2000 Jun; 85(6):2189–2196. [PubMed: 10852451]
- 13. Xi SC, Tam PC, Brown GM, Pang SF, Shiu SY. Potential involvement of mt1 receptor and attenuated sex steroid-induced calcium influx in the direct anti-proliferative action of melatonin on androgen-responsive LNCaP human prostate cancer cells. J Pineal Res. 2000 Oct; 29(3):172–183. [PubMed: 11034115]
- 14. Xi SC, Siu SW, Fong SW, Shiu SY. Inhibition of androgen-sensitive LNCaP prostate cancer growth in vivo by melatonin: association of antiproliferative action of the pineal hormone with mt1 receptor protein expression. Prostate. 2001 Jan 1; 46(1):52–61. [PubMed: 11170132]
- 15. Siu SW, Lau KW, Tam PC, Shiu SY. Melatonin and prostate cancer cell proliferation: interplay with castration, epidermal growth factor, and androgen sensitivity. Prostate. 2002 Jul 1; 52(2): 106–122. [PubMed: 12111702]
- 16. Lupowitz Z, Zisapel N. Hormonal interactions in human prostate tumor LNCaP cells. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 1999 Jan; 68(1–2):83–88. [PubMed: 10215041]

- 17. Moretti RM, Marelli MM, Maggi R, Dondi D, Motta M, Limonta P. Antiproliferative action of melatonin on human prostate cancer LNCaP cells. Oncol Rep. 2000 Mar-Apr;7(2):347–351. [PubMed: 10671684]
- 18. Marelli MM, Limonta P, Maggi R, Motta M, Moretti RM. Growth-inhibitory activity of melatonin on human androgen-independent DU 145 prostate cancer cells. Prostate. 2000 Nov 1; 45(3):238– 244. [PubMed: 11074526]
- 19. Sainz RM, Mayo JC, Tan DX, Leon J, Manchester L, Reiter RJ. Melatonin reduces prostate cancer cell growth leading to neuroendocrine differentiation via a receptor and PKA independent mechanism. Prostate. 2005 Apr 1; 63(1):29–43. [PubMed: 15378522]
- 20. Blask DE. Melatonin, sleep disturbance and cancer risk. Sleep Med Rev. 2009 Aug; 13(4):257– 264. [PubMed: 19095474]
- 21. Toma JG, Amerongen HM, Hennes SC, O'Brien MG, McBlain WA, Buzzell GR. Effects of olfactory bulbectomy, melatonin, and/or pinealectomy on three sublines of the Dunning R3327 rat prostatic adenocarcinoma. J Pineal Res. 1987; 4(3):321–338. [PubMed: 3625464]
- 22. Mediavilla MD, Cos S, Sanchez-Barcelo EJ. Melatonin increases p53 and p21WAF1 expression in MCF-7 human breast cancer cells in vitro. Life Sci. 1999; 65(4):415–420. [PubMed: 10421427]
- 23. Brzezinski A. Melatonin in humans. N Engl J Med. 1997 Jan 16; 336(3):186–195. [PubMed: 8988899]
- 24. Galano A, Tan DX, Reiter RJ. Melatonin as a natural ally against oxidative stress: a physicochemical examination. J Pineal Res. 2011 Aug; 51(1):1–16. [PubMed: 21752095]
- 25. Nguyen HL, Zucker S, Zarrabi K, Kadam P, Schmidt C, Cao J. Oxidative stress and prostate cancer progression are elicited by membrane-type 1 matrix metalloproteinase. Mol Cancer Res. 2011 Oct; 9(10):1305–1318. [PubMed: 21849471]
- 26. Bartsch C, Bartsch H, Schmidt A, Ilg S, Bichler KH, Fluchter SH. Melatonin and 6 sulfatoxymelatonin circadian rhythms in serum and urine of primary prostate cancer patients: evidence for reduced pineal activity and relevance of urinary determinations. Clin Chim Acta. 1992 Aug 31; 209(3):153–167. [PubMed: 1395046]
- 27. Bartsch C, Bartsch H, Fluchter SH, Attanasio A, Gupta D. Evidence for modulation of melatonin secretion in men with benign and malignant tumors of the prostate: relationship with the pituitary hormones. J Pineal Res. 1985; 2(2):121–132. [PubMed: 2420960]
- 28. Shiu SY, Law IC, Lau KW, Tam PC, Yip AW, Ng WT. Melatonin slowed the early biochemical progression of hormone-refractory prostate cancer in a patient whose prostate tumor tissue expressed MT1 receptor subtype. J Pineal Res. 2003 Oct; 35(3):177–182. [PubMed: 12932201]
- 29. Feychting M, Osterlund B, Ahlbom A. Reduced cancer incidence among the blind. Epidemiology. 1998 Sep; 9(5):490–494. [PubMed: 9730026]
- 30. Pukkala E, Verkasalo PK, Ojamo M, Rudanko SL. Visual impairment and cancer: a populationbased cohort study in Finland. Cancer Causes Control. 1999 Feb; 10(1):13–20. [PubMed: 10334637]
- 31. Pukkala E, Ojamo M, Rudanko SL, Stevens RG, Verkasalo PK. Does incidence of breast cancer and prostate cancer decrease with increasing degree of visual impairment. Cancer Causes Control. 2006 May; 17(4):573–576. [PubMed: 16596312]
- 32. Flynn-Evans EE, Stevens RG, Tabandeh H, Schernhammer ES, Lockley SW. Total visual blindness is protective against breast cancer. Cancer Causes Control. 2009 Nov; 20(9):1753–1756. [PubMed: 19649715]
- 33. Costa G, Haus E, Stevens R. Shift work and cancer considerations on rationale, mechanisms, and epidemiology. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2010 Mar; 36(2):163–179. [PubMed: 20126969]
- 34. Kolstad HA. Nightshift work and risk of breast cancer and other cancers--a critical review of the epidemiologic evidence. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2008 Feb; 34(1):5–22. [PubMed: 18427694]
- 35. Buja A, Lange JH, Perissinotto E, Rausa G, Grigoletto F, Canova C, et al. Cancer incidence among male military and civil pilots and flight attendants: an analysis on published data. Toxicol Ind Health. 2005 Nov; 21(10):273–282. [PubMed: 16463960]

- 36. Ballard T, Lagorio S, De Angelis G, Verdecchia A. Cancer incidence and mortality among flight personnel: a meta-analysis. Aviat Space Environ Med. 2000 Mar; 71(3):216–224. [PubMed: 10716165]
- 37. Kakizaki M, Inoue K, Kuriyama S, Sone T, Matsuda-Ohmori K, Nakaya N, et al. Sleep duration and the risk of prostate cancer: the Ohsaki Cohort Study. Br J Cancer. 2008 Jul 8; 99(1):176–178. [PubMed: 18542076]
- 38. Kubo T, Ozasa K, Mikami K, Wakai K, Fujino Y, Watanabe Y, et al. Prospective cohort study of the risk of prostate cancer among rotating-shift workers: findings from the Japan collaborative cohort study. Am J Epidemiol. 2006 Sep 15; 164(6):549–555. [PubMed: 16829554]
- 39. Conlon M, Lightfoot N, Kreiger N. Rotating shift work and risk of prostate cancer. Epidemiology. 2007 Jan; 18(1):182–183. [PubMed: 17179764]
- 40. Kubo T, Oyama I, Nakamura T, Kunimoto M, Kadowaki K, Otomo H, et al. Industry-based retrospective cohort study of the risk of prostate cancer among rotating-shift workers. Int J Urol. 2011 Mar; 18(3):206–211. [PubMed: 21332815]
- 41. Kloog I, Haim A, Stevens RG, Portnov BA. Global co-distribution of light at night (LAN) and cancers of prostate, colon, and lung in men. Chronobiol Int. 2009 Jan; 26(1):108–125. [PubMed: 19142761]
- 42. Schwartzbaum J, Ahlbom A, Feychting M. Cohort study of cancer risk among male and female shift workers. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2007 Oct; 33(5):336–343. [PubMed: 17973059]
- 43. Pukkala E, Aspholm R, Auvinen A, Eliasch H, Gundestrup M, Haldorsen T, et al. Incidence of cancer among Nordic airline pilots over five decades: occupational cohort study. BMJ. 2002 Sep 14.325(7364):567. [PubMed: 12228131]
- 44. Band PR, Le ND, Fang R, Deschamps M, Coldman AJ, Gallagher RP, et al. Cohort study of Air Canada pilots: mortality, cancer incidence, and leukemia risk. Am J Epidemiol. 1996 Jan 15; 143(2):137–143. [PubMed: 8546114]
- 45. Band PR, Spinelli JJ, Ng VT, Moody J, Gallagher RP. Mortality and cancer incidence in a cohort of commercial airline pilots. Aviat Space Environ Med. 1990 Apr; 61(4):299–302. [PubMed: 2339962]
- 46. Pukkala E, Martinsen JI, Lynge E, Gunnarsdottir HK, Sparen P, Tryggvadottir L, et al. Occupation and cancer - follow-up of 15 million people in five Nordic countries. Acta Oncol. 2009; 48(5): 646–790. [PubMed: 19925375]
- 47. Bates MN. Registry-based case-control study of cancer in California firefighters. Am J Ind Med. 2007 May; 50(5):339–344. [PubMed: 17427202]
- 48. Nicholas JS, Lackland DT, Dosemeci M, Mohr LC Jr, Dunbar JB, Grosche B, et al. Mortality among US commercial pilots and navigators. J Occup Environ Med. 1998 Nov; 40(11):980–985. [PubMed: 9830605]
- 49. Irvine D, Davies DM. British Airways flightdeck mortality study, 1950–1992. Aviat Space Environ Med. 1999 Jun; 70(6):548–555. [PubMed: 10373044]
- 50. Krstev S, Baris D, Stewart PA, Hayes RB, Blair A, Dosemeci M. Risk for prostate cancer by occupation and industry: a 24-state death certificate study. Am J Ind Med. 1998 Nov; 34(5):413– 420. [PubMed: 9787844]
- 51. Wehr TA. The durations of human melatonin secretion and sleep respond to changes in daylength (photoperiod). J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1991 Dec; 73(6):1276–1280. [PubMed: 1955509]
- 52. Lauderdale DS, Knutson KL, Yan LL, Liu K, Rathouz PJ. Self-reported and measured sleep duration: how similar are they? Epidemiology. 2008 Nov; 19(6):838–845. [PubMed: 18854708]
- 53. Burch JB, Yost MG, Johnson W, Allen E. Melatonin, sleep, and shift work adaptation. J Occup Environ Med. 2005 Sep; 47(9):893–901. [PubMed: 16155474]
- 54. Charles LE, Loomis D, Shy CM, Newman B, Millikan R, Nylander-French LA, et al. Electromagnetic fields, polychlorinated biphenyls, and prostate cancer mortality in electric utility workers. Am J Epidemiol. 2003 Apr 15; 157(8):683–691. [PubMed: 12697572]
- 55. Wen CP, Tsai SP, Gibson RL. Anatomy of the healthy worker effect: a critical review. J Occup Med. 1983 Apr; 25(4):283–289. [PubMed: 6854417]

- 56. Meijers JM, Swaen GM, Volovics A, Lucas LJ, van Vliet K. Occupational cohort studies: the influence of design characteristics on the healthy worker effect. Int J Epidemiol. 1989 Dec; 18(4): 970–975. [PubMed: 2695476]
- 57. Stevens RG. Electric light causes cancer? Surely you're joking, Mr. Stevens. Mutat Res. 2009 Jul-Aug;682(1):1–6. [PubMed: 19401186]



NIH-PA Author Manuscript

# **Table 1**

Main characteristics and risk estimates of the 16 epidemiological studies on circadian disruption or sleep loss and prostate cancer risk. Main characteristics and risk estimates of the 16 epidemiological studies on circadian disruption or sleep loss and prostate cancer risk.





Sigurdardottir et al. Page 12

CI, confidence interval,

 $b_{\rm GDP,\,gross}$  domestic products, GDP, gross domestic products,

NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript $^{\mathcal{C}}\mathbf{CIA},$  Central Intelligence Agency,

CIA, Central Intelligence Agency,



JACC, Japan Collaborative Cohort,

i 90% CI.