Regulation and structure of an *Escherichia coli* gene coding for an outer membrane protein involved in export of K88ab fimbrial subunits

F.R.Mooi, I.Claassen, D.Bakker, H.Kuipers and F.K.de Graaf

Department of Molecular Microbiology, Biological Laboratory, Vrije Universiteit, ¹⁰⁰⁷ MC Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Received 17 January 1986; Accepted 24 February 1986

ABSTRACT

The nucleotide sequence of the faeD gene of Escherichia coli and the amino acid sequence of its product is presented. The faeD product is an outer membrane protein required for transport of KSBab fimbrial subunits across the outer wembrane. The protein is synthesized as a precursor containing a signal peptide, and the tentative mature protein comprises 777 amino acid residues. The distribution of amino acids in the faeD protein is similar to that of other outer membrane proteins; showing a fairly even distribution of charged residues and the absence of extensive hydrophobic stretches. Secondary structure predictions revealed a region of 250 amino acid residues which might be embedded in the outer membrane.

The 5'-end of faeD is located within a region showing dyad symmetry. This region serves to couple translation of faeD to the translation of the gene preceding it (faeC). The 3'-end of faeD shows an overlap of 5 bases with the next gene (faeE).

INTRODUCTION

Fimbriae are extracellular filamentous proteins found on a wide range of Gram-negative bacteria (1). They are mainly composed of a single protein subunit with a molecular weight ranging from 17,000 to 30,000. Fimbriae play an important role in bacterial disease, because they enable bacteria to colonize host-tissues by means of a specific binding to host-receptors. Together with haemolysins and bacteriocins, fimbrial subunits belong to the few proteins of Escherichia coli that pass both the cytoplasmic and the outer membrane. Little is known about the mechanism by which these proteins are transported across the cell-envelope, however it has become clear that for each of these three groups of proteins specific "helper" proteins are required for this process (2,3,4). The genes for these helper proteins appear to be part of the same gene cluster that encodes the exported proteins.

The subject of this paper, the K88ab gene cluster, has been shown to contain at least 5 structural genes (faeC-H, fae: fimbrial adhesin eightyeight) which are located within a single transcriptional unit (Fig.

 $1)(5,6)$. The cluster harbors two fimbrial subunit genes. The first (faeC) is expressed at a low level and codes for a small fimbrial subunit. The second (faeG) is expressed at a high level and codes for the large fimbrial subunit which constitutes the major component of the K88ab fimbria. Genetic evidence suggests that the small fimbrial subunit is a minor component of the K88ab fimbria (7). In addition to these two fimbrial subunit genes, the K88ab DNA contains three other genes (faeD, E and F) which are essential for the production of fimbriae. PaeD and E code for an outer membrane and a periplasmic protein, respectively (8). Both proteins are required for transport of fimbrial subunits across the cell-envelope (6,9). The faeF product is located in the periplasmic space, and presumably involved in modification of the fimbrial subunit (9). It is not yet clear what the function of the fifth gene (faeH) is.

For a number of reasons we are particularly interested in the structure of the faeD polypeptide. First, it is involved in tranport of proteins (fimbrial subunits) across the cell-envelope, a process about which very little is known. Insight in this phenomenon requires information about the structure of the proteins involved. Second, a large number of fimbrial gene clusters have been analyzed (see 4 and 10 for recent reviews) and, although they may differ in many respects, they invariably code for a similar if not homologous polypeptide. Comparison of the primary structure of this class of proteins may give us insight in molecular evolution and allow us to discern between variable and conserved sequences, and hopefully to correlate these with particular functions.

In this paper we present the sequence of the faeD gene and the primary and seoondary structure of its product. Furthermore, we show that efficient translation of faeD requires prior translation of the small fimbrial subunit gene (faeC).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and plasmids

E. $\overline{col1}$ strains HB101 (11), JH101 (12) and SA11343 (13) were used as bacterial hosts. The plasmid vectors were pBR322 (14), pTG2 (15) and pKG1800 (13).

DMA manipulations

Plasmid isolation, gel electrophoresis of DNA, plasmid transformation and enzymatic manipulations of DNA were carried out by standard methods (16).

DNA sequencing

DNA sequences were determined using the dideoxynucleotide chain termination method (17), combined with the M13 cloning system (12). More than 95% of the sequence was performed on both strands. In addition, all restriction sites used to clone fragments for sequence analysis, were overlapped by sequence determination of different DNA fragments.

Construction of Dlasmids

Plasmid pPM317 (Fig. 4) was constructed by inserting a 1028 bp HindIII-HincII K88ab DNA fragment (Fig. 1) between the HindIII and SmaI sites of pKG1800. This places the K88ab DNA between the gal promoter and the galK gene of pKG1800. Plasmid pFM317d (Fig. 4) was derived from pFM317, by excission of a 32 bp BstEII fragment. This BstEII fragment contains a SmaI site, and plasmids containing the desired deletion were identified by cleavage with SmaI.

Plasmid pFM315 (Fig. 4) was constructed by inserting a 845 bp EcoRV -Hincll K68ab DNA fragment (Fig. 1) between the EcoRI and BstEll sites of pTG2. To this purpose, the single stranded extensions of EcoRI- and BstEIIcleaved pMPG2 molecules were removed with S1 nuclease. Cleavage of pWG2 with ECORI and BstEII removes the part of the bla gene encoding the signal peptide of β -lactamase (15), and the described procedure was expected to result in an in-frame gene fusion between the 5'-end of faeD, and the part of the bla gene coding for the mature β -lactamase. DNA sequence analysis confirmed that the desired fusion was obtained (Fig. 4). Plasmid pFM315d (Fig. 4) was derived from pFM315 by excission of a 32 base pair EBtEII fragment as described for pPM317d.

Immunoblotting

Immunoblotting, using antibodies directed against β -lactamase, was performd as described by Krone et al. (18).

Enzyme assays

Galactokinase was essayed as described by McKenney et al. (13). To determine the amount of β -lactamase produced, cells were grown in Trypticase soy Broth (Oxoid) to an optical density at 660 nm of 0.5. Subsequently, cells were harvested, washed with 0.1 K phosphate buffer pH 7.5, and suspended in the same buffer to an optical density at 660 nm of 2.0. Cells were broken by ultrasonic treatment, and the amount of β -lactamase in the cell-free extracts was determined using the chromogenic substrate nitrocefin (Glaxo) (19).

Computer assisted analysis

The hydrophilicity profile of the faeD protein was determined using

Fig. 1. Genetic and physical map of the K88ab gene cluster. The thick black lines and the thin lines represent pBR322 and cloned I88ab DMA, respectively. The locations of the various structural genes are indicated by boxes. The black ends of the boxes indicate parts of genes coding for the signal peptides. The numbers between parentheses refer to the molecular masses in kilodalton of the gene products. The arrow indicates the direction of transcription. Only relevant restriction sites have been indicated. Er, ECORI; EV, ECORV; HII, HincII; HIII, HindIII; Pa, Pb, Pc, PstI; kb, kilobases.

solvent parameter values assigned by Levitt (20). A span setting of seven residues was used for this program. Protein secondary structure analysis was carried out according to the algorithm developed by Chou and Fasman (21).

RESULTS

NUcleotide sequence and identification of the coding sequences.

Fig. 1 shows a genetic and physical map of the cloned It88ab gene cluster. The precise location of the K8Bab genes within the cloned fragment was determined previously (5,6), and faeD was shown to be located between faeC and the PstI-c site. The nucleotide sequence of the region located between bases 741 and 3320 (Fig. 1) is presented in Fig. 2. The sequence of the first 817 bases (containing faeC) has been published (7). The DNA sequence shown in Fig. 2 specifies an open translational reading frame between the bases 815 and 3256, whose location is consistent with that of faeD. The 5'-end of faeD contains two potential initiation codons, the second of which is probably positioned more optimally relative to the Shine-Dalgarno sequence (22). The ribosome binding site of faeD is located in an area which has the potential to form a stable secondary structure (Fig. 3), suggesting that this site may not always be accessible to initiating ribosomes (see below). The 5'-end of faeD codes for a signal peptide, which is in agreement with our observation that faeD codes for a precursor which is 2,000 to 3,000 dalton larger than the mature polypeptide (5). The most likely cleavage site for signal peptidase was determined by the method of Von Heijne (23). The tentative mature polypeptide is predicted to comprise 777 amino acid residues and the molecular weight calculated from the amino acid sequence (82,065) agrees well

320 ASP ASP SER ASP LEU LYS GLY GLY VAL PHE ALA ALA SER TYR GLY TYR GLY LEU ASP GLY
A C G A T 6 A G A T 6 A A G G C G G G G G G G T C A T A C G G T C T G G A T G A C G G T C T G A C G T C 1
1940 1940 1940 1940 1940 1940 1940 1 340 LEU THR LEU ASS ALA 6LY SLY SAL PHE ASS SLO ASP TRP SLO 6LY ALA SER ALA SLY VAL S^A ^C ^T ⁶ ^A ^C ⁶ ^C ^T ⁶ ^C ⁶ ^A ⁶ ^C ^C ⁶ ⁶ ^T ⁶ ⁶ ⁶ ⁶ ^T ⁶ ^T ^T ^C ^A ^A ^C ^C ^A ⁶ ⁸ ^A ^C ^T ⁶ ⁶ ^C ^A ⁶ ^G S⁶ ^G ^C ^C ^A ⁶ ^T ⁶ ^C C^C ⁶ ⁶ ^T 1950 1960 1970 1900 1990 2000 360 SAL ALA SLY LEU 6LY TYR LEU 6LY ALA VAL SER ALA ASP 6LY ALA TR ALA THR ALA LYS ^T O ⁶ ^T ^T ⁶ ^C ^C ⁶ ⁶S ^C ^T ⁶ ⁶ ⁰ ^T ^T ^A ^C ^C ^T ^G 06 ⁶ ⁶ ^C S⁶ ^T ^A ^T ^C ⁶S ^C ^T O6 ^C ⁶ ⁶ ⁶ ⁶ ^C ^T ^A ^t ⁶ CC ^A ^C S6O ^C S ^A 2010 2020 2030 2040 2050 2060 OOU TRANS ASP SLY SER HIS SER BLY ASN LYS VAL BLM LEU SER TRP SER LYS BLM LEU BLU
TYR ARS ASP SLY SER HIS SER BLY ASN LYS VAL BLM LEU SER TRP SER LYS BLM 120
2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2100 2100
2100 2110 2120 4000
TAR THR ASS THR GLY LEU AR6 VAL SER TRP SER AR6 6LM SER GLU GLU TYR GLU GLY MET
A GA C 6 A C A C C 6 G C 2150 2150 2150 2160 2160 2160 2170 2180
2140 2150 2160 2160 2150 2150 2160 2160 2160 2170 2180 2180 420
SER SER PHE ASP PRO THR 6LU LEU TRP SER 6LM SER ASM HIS SLY ARG ARG THR LYS ASP
ET C T C T T T 6 A C C C 6 A C A 6 A 6 C T C 5 C C 6 A C 6 A C 6 A C 6 A C 6 A C 6 A C 6 A C 6 A C 6 A C 6 A
2210 2220 2230 2240 2210 444 444
BLU TRP ASM ALA SLY ILE SER SLM PRO VAL SLY SLY LEU PHE SER LEU SER VAL SER SLY
A TG A A TG A CC CG G TA TC A G TC A G C CG G TG S CG G G TT G TTC A G TC TG TC S G TG TC CG 2250
2260 2270 2280 2260 2270 2280 2280 460
TRP SLN ARG SER TYR TYR PRO ALA SER NET TWR SLY SER TYR ARG TYR SER ASP ASP
CTE OF THE TACTE CATE AT A CC TO THE ASSESS THE CACIACTE OF THE 2340
2340 2330 2340 2340 450
- ELY LYS BLU THR GLY ILE THR GLY SER LEU SER THR GLH ILE LYS BLY VAL SER LEU ASH
- ACSSAAAAACCSSTATTACCSSCASTCTSASTACCCASATAAASSSTSTCASTCTSA
- 2370 2380 2370 2390 2400 2400 2410 500 LEO SLY TOP SER DLY SEE ASS ASS SER ASS 6LY SLU ASS ASO TSP SEA ALA SER ALA SER A ^C ^C ^T 6 6 ⁶ ^C ^T 6 6 ^T ^C ^C 6 ⁰ ^C T ^C ^C C ^S ^S ^A ^A ^C ^t ^C ^C ^C ^S ^S ⁶ 6^S ^S ^S 6^S ^A ^T A ^A ^C ^Y ^S ⁶ ^T ^C ^S ⁶ ^C ⁶ ^T ^C ^T ^S C ^r ^T 2430 2440 2450 2460 2470 2480 5270
VAL SER VAL PRO PHE THA LEV PHE ASP ARS ARS TYR SER SER SER ALA SER VAL SER THA
C6 C6 T C 6 C6 TC 6 C6 TC 6 C6 TAC C 6 C6 C6 C6 C6 C6 C6 TC 6 C6 TAC
2510 2540 2540 2540 2500 251 540 SEA LYS SLY SLY SLY THR SLY POE SER TOR BLY VAL SER 6LY SER LEU 050 ASP ARS PHE CCE^A ^C ^A ^A ^A ⁶ ⁶ ⁶5⁶ ⁶0⁶ ^C ^A ^C ^A ⁶ 6C ^I ^T ^C ^A ⁶ ^C ^A ^C ^C ⁶ ⁶ ^T ^TA^A ^T ^C ^C O ⁶ ^C ^T ^C ^A ^C ^T ⁶O ^A TS⁶ ^C ^C O ^T ^T 2S50 2560 2570 ²⁵⁸⁰ 2590 2600 560 SEA T10 SLT LEU SLY SLY 6LY ARS ASP 6LY ASP SLY SLY THR SE SEER TY LEA ASS ALA ^T COO ^C ^T ^A ^T 66 ^t ^C ^T ⁶ 6C 66 ^T TC 6T 6A ^C 66T6A ^T ⁶ ^T 66 TA ^C CO^A ^C A6T 1 CC TO6 ^A C6 2610 2620 2630 2640 2650 2660 580 581 581 581 581 582 583 SER SLY ASP ARS ALA TYR LEU ASN SLY VAL LEU ASN HIS SER SLN SER SLY GLY
CC T C A T A G A G A G A G A T C T G A A T G A T G A G A C C A C T C B C A 6 T C C 6 B C G
2200 2200 2200 2690 2690 2700 2 600 SER GLN TRP PHE CTS LEU GLT GLN ARG PHE GLT THR GLT SER SER OLT GLT GLT ARG HIS
GAAGCCAGTEGGTTCTGTCTGGTCAGCGGTTCGGTACTGGASTTCCGG6CGGGGGGGAAGGC
2730 2730 2730 2740 2750 620 HIS VAL GLN PRO HIS ASP ARG ARG HIS GLY BLY BLY BLV GLU CYS BLU BLY HIS ALA BLY
A TCA TETTER SECERCE A CERCE CERCA CERCE BET BET BEAR TETER ARE A CERCE CE
2890 – 2790 – 2890 – 2890 – 2890 – 2890 – 2890 – 2890 – 2890 – 2890 640
SER GLU BLY ASP SER BLY ASP SLY GLW THR ASP SER ASP GLY ASM LEU VAL VAL PRO LEU
6 GA 8 T 6 A 60 T 6 T 6 T 6 C 6 6 T 6 C 6 C 6 C 4 C 6 C 6 C 4 C 6 C 4 C 6
2880 2890 2900 2900 2900 290 660 ASH SER TYR ASP TRP ASH THR VAL THR ILE ASP THR BLY THR LEU PRO LEU SER THR BLU
TGAACAGCTATE TATGGACAGCGGTGACGATTGATAGGACGG 2940
2940 2910 29710 2920 29740 6000
EU THR ASN THR SER GLN LYS VAL VAL PRO THR ASP LYS ALA VAL VAL TRP MET PRO PHE
A A C T 2970 2980 2080 2990 3000 3000 3000 3010 2020

700 ASP ALA LEU LYS VAL LYS ARG TYR LEU LEU GLN VAL LYS GLN ARG ASP GLY GLU PHE VAL
GATGCCCTGAAAGTTAAGCGTTACCTGCCGCCGCGTGAGGTAGCAGCGTGAGGTGAGTTTG
3040 3030 3040 3040 720 PRO SLY THE TET ALA ARG ASP SER LTS ASM THA PRO LEU GLY PHE VAL ALA ASH ASH
TGCCGGGGBA A CCTGGGCA CGTGA CAS TA A GA A CACC GCT GGGCT IT TOTA B CA
3100 3190 3100 3100 3110 3110 3120 3120 3140 SLY VAL LEU MET ILE ASN THR VAL ASP ALA PRO SLY ASP ILE THR LEU SLY SLN CYS ARB
AT66T6T6CT66RT6ATTA AC ACC66T66A TGC6CC666T6 AT4 TC ACCCT666CC A 6T6CC
31200 31200 31200 31200 31200 31200 31200 3180 3180 3190 760 M~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~lT SER LYS ILE PRO ALA ALA ARG LEU GLN ASP THR GLU LYS LEU GLN GLU ILE THR CYS GLU *** PRO GLY GLY THR TRP ALA ARG ASP SER LYS ASM THR PRO LEU GLY PHE VAL ALA ASM

TOCC GGGBBBAACCT6 GGCACGT6 ACAOCACC STAA GALACC GCT6 GGCTTT IS TAGCTAACT

SOON ALL LEU MET THE ASM THR VAL ASP ALA PRO GLY ASP THE THR LEU GLY GL 3210 3320 3230 3240 3250 ³²⁶⁰⁰ AKS ASN ALA VAL THR THR THE PHE THR ASN ARG VAL THR LYS ALA LEU BLY NET THR LEU
CGTAACGGAGTAACGACGTITITCACTAAACCGGGTGACAAAGCACTGGGAATGACTCTG
3270 3270 32

Fig. 2. Nucleotide sequence of faeD. Bases are numbered starting from the HindIII site (see Fig. 1). The vertical arrow indicates the presumed cleavage site for signal peptidase. The deduced amino acid sequence is numbered from the N-terminus of the mature protein. Nucleotides complementary to the 3'-end of 16 S rRNA are underlined. The DNA and corresponding amino acid sequences of the end of faeC and the start of faeE are also shown.

with the molecular weight estimated from SDS-polyacrylamide gels (81, OOO)(5). The DNA sequence indicates that there is an overlap of 5 bases between faeD and the next gene, faeE (Fig. 2).

Fig. 3. Possible secondary structure formed by the K68ab mWMA. Terminator (in faec) and initiator (in faeD) codons have been blocked. AG was calculated according to Tinoco et al. (25). SD, Shine-Dalgarno sequence.

Function of the region of dyad symetry.

As mentioned above, the 5'-end of f aeD is located in a DNA region showing dyad symmetry. The transcript of this region has the potential to form a stable stem and loop structure (Pig. 3), and two functions can be envisaged for this structure. First, it may be involved in termination of transcription (24). Second, since the structure sequesters the Shine-Dalgarno sequence as well as the initiator codon of faeD, it may affect initiation of translation of faeD. The 3'-end of faeC extends into the region of dyad symmetry (Fig. 3). Therefore, translation of faeC will disrupt the secondary structure, and might affect transcription termination and/or translation of faeD.

To study the effect of the stem and loop structure on transcription we inserted a K55ab DMA fragment, containing faeC, the region with dyad symmetry and the 5'-end of faeD, between the gal promoter and the galK gene of pKG1800 (Fig. 4). In pKG1800, galK is preceded by stop codons in all three translational frames (13), so that the constructed plasmid (designated pP3317) allowed us to evaluate the effect of signals in the inserted KSSab DNA fragment on transcription only. To study the influence of translation of the 3'-end of faeC on transcription termination, it was necessary to relocate the translation termination codon of faeC so that ribosomes translating faeC could not disrupt the stem and loop structure. The DNA sequence of faeC (7) revealed that this could be accomplished by deleting a 32 bp BstEII fragment from faeC. Excission of the BstEII fragment results in a frame-shift which relocates the stop codon of faeC some 170 bases before the region of dyad symmetry. The plasmid containing this deletion was derived from pPM317 and designated pFN317d (Fig. 4). Plasmid pKG1800 harbors the β -lactamase gene, and to compare the copy numbers of pKG1800 and its derivatives pFM317 and $pPM317d$, the amount of β -lactamase produced by strains containing these plamids was also determined (Fig. 4).

Insertion of the K88ab fragment reduced galK expression with 52% (Fig. 4). The reduction in galk expression might be due to termination of transcription or a decrease in plasmid copy number. Since the β -lactamase determinations suggested that insertion of the K88ab DNA fragment in pKG1800 increased its copy number, the latter possibility seems unlikely. Relocation of the translation termination codon of <u>faeC</u>, did not result in a significant change in copy number or galK expression. Thus, it seems unlikely that translation of faeC affects termination of transcription.

To study the effect of the stem and loop structure on the translation of the faeD message, we constructed a gene fusion between the 5'-end of faeD and

Fig. 4. Plasmids used to study the effect of the K88ab DNA region showing dyad symmetry on transcription and translation. Thick black lines represent pKG1800 DNA (in pPM317 and pPM317d) or pTG2 DNA (in pPM315 and pPM315d). Thin lines represent K88ab DNA. Structural genes are indicated by boxes. The black ends of the boxes indicate parts of genes coding for signal peptides. The location of the region showing dyad symmetry is indicated by the shaded box. The arrows indicate the direction of transcription. Plasmids pFM317d and pPM315d contain a small deletion in faeC, which results in premature termination of translation 170 bass before the region of dyad symetry. The untranslated region of faeC in these plamids is indicated by the stippled box. The sequence of the fusion junction between faeD and bla is shown at the bottom of the figure. Numbers above the sequence refer to the amino acid residues of the mature forms of the two proteins. Enzyme activities detected in cells containing the various plasmids are shown on the right. Maps of pKGlBOO and pTG2 are not included in the figure. Plamid pKGlSOO oontains the bla gene in addition to the galk gene. Galactokinase and β -lactamase activities detected in cells containing pEGlSOO have been arbitrarely set at 100%. The values are the mean of at least three independent determinations. The numbers between parentheses indicate standard deviations. Letters between parentheses indicate restriction sites that have been lost due to the cloning procedure. Pgal, galactose promoter; Pbla, β -lactamase promoter; galk, galactokinase; bla, β -lactamase; B, BstEII; EI, ECORI; EV, ECORV; HII, HincII; HIII, HindIII; S, Smal; bp, base pairs; nd, not determined.

the part of the bla gene coding for the mature β -lactamase. To this purpose, a K88ab DNA fragment, containing faeC, the region with dyad symmetry and the 5'-end of faeD, was inserted within the bla gene of pTG2 (see Materials and Methods). The sequence of the fusion junction was determined and it appeared that the expected in-frame fusion was obtained (Fig. 4). The plamid containing the gene fusion was designated pFM315, and a derivative of pFM315

Fig. 5. Identification of faeD-bla fusion polypeptides by immunoblotting. Cells were grown as described for the β -lactamase assay (Materials and Methods), harvested and suspended in saple buffer to an optical density at 660 rm of 20. After heating the cell suspensions for 5 min. at 100°C, polypeptides were separated on a 12.5% DS-polyacrylamide gel. Equal volums of the various preparations were applied to the gel, except for the pTG2 preparation, in which case a fourfold smaller volume was used. After electrophoresis, polypeptides were transferred to nitrocellulose and fusion polypeptides were detected with β -lactamase antibodies. Lane 1, strain HB101 with pTG2; lane 2, strain HB101; lane 3, strain HB101 with pFM315; lane 4 , strain 1101 with pM3l5d. The position of two molecular weight markers is indicated on the right.

(designated pFM315d) (Fig. 4) was constructed to relocate the translational stop codon of faeC, as described for $pPM317d$. The β -lactamase activity detected in cells containing pFM315 was very low compared to cells with pTG2 (Fig. 4). Furthermore, if the translation of the 3'-end of faeC was prevented a threefold reduction in β -lactamase activity was observed (Fig. 4). This indicates that the region of dyad symmetry is involved in translational control of faeD. Apparently efficient translation of faeD requires prior translation of faeC.

The faeD-bla fusion products were also studied by means of immunoblotting using antibodies directed against β -lactamase. In cells containing pPN315 three bands were observed which reacted specifically with β -lactamase antibodies (Fig. 5). The slowest migrating band presumably oontains the unprocesed fusion protein, because it migrated at a position that corresponds with the calculated molecular weight of the unprocessed fusion product (i.e. 36,002). The two faster migrating bands probably contain the processed fusion protein (calculated molecular weight 32, 266), and a degradation product, respectively. In cells harboring pFN315d the putative unprocessed fusion protein was generally not detectable, while the two other fusion proteins were present in much lower amounts ompared to oells containing pFN315 (Fig. 5). Thus, the imrunoblot experiments also indicated that translation of faeC enhanced translation of faeD.

DISCUSSION

Structure of the faeD polypeptide.

The faeD polypeptide is similar in several respects to other outer mebrane proteins (26). It has an appreciable content of charged amiino acid residues (19%), and does not exhibit extensive segments of hydrophobic residues. The largest segment devoid of charged or polar groups is 13 residues long and located between residues 357 and 369 (Fig. 2). The hydrophilicity profile of the protein confirms that, like other outer membrane proteins, it is not particularly hydrophobic (Fig. 6).

Secondary structure analyses of outer membrane proteins has shown that they generally have a high β -sheet and a low α -helix content (26). This suggests that regions of proteins which are embedded in the outer membrane have a β -sheet conformation. Paul and Rosenbusch (27) have suggested a folding pattern for outer membrane proteins, in which transmembrane β -sheets of 6 to 24 residues are located between turns. The faeD protein differs from most other analyzed outer membrane proteins, in that Chou and Fasman analysis predicts approximately equal amounts of α -helix and β -sheet (27 and 32%, respectively) (Fig. 6). Hwver, between residues 450 and 700 a region is observed which is devoid of α -helixes, and which shows an alternation of turns and sheets. It is possible that this part of the molecule consists of transmembrane sheets connected by coils or turns which are exposed at the cell surface or in the periplamic space.

Mutations in the faeD gene abolish fimbria formation, and result in the accumulation of the large fimbrial subunit (pG) in the periplasmic space, where it is found associated with the faeE protein (pE) (9). Apparently, the faeD protein is involved in transport of fimbrial subunits across the outer membrane. We presume that it is a transmembrane protein that binds the pG-pE complexes at the periplasmic side of the outer membrane, transports pG across the membrane, initiates the polymerization of the subunits, and anchors the fimbria to the cell. By examining the topology of the molecule in the outer

Fig. 6. Hydrophilicity profile and secondary structure prediction of the faeD polypeptide. In the hydrophilicity profile, relatively hydrophilic regions appear above the zero line. Amino acid residues are numbered from the N-terminus of the mature protein. Black boxes, β -turns,; open boxes, β -sheet; shaded boxes, α -helix.

membrane and by means of site-specific mutagenesis, we hope to be able to assign these functions to various domains of the molecule.

Regulation of the faeD gene.

The 3'-end of faeC and the 5'-end of faeD are located in a region showing dyad symmetry (Fig. 3). Thus, depending on whether or not the faec gene is translated, the transcript of this region may form a secondary structure. The seondary structure might be involved in termination of transcription or, since it sequesters the ribosome binding site of faeD, in translational control of faeD.

To study the effect of this DNA region on transcription, it waz inserted between the gal promoter and the galK gene of pKG1800. Insertion of the K88ab DNA fragment reduced galK expression with 52% (Fig. 4), indicating that transcription is terminated within the KI8ab DNA fragment. When translation of the 3'-end of faeC was prevented, no further reduction in galK expression was observed. This might indicate that translation of faeC occurs too infrequently to significantly affect the formation of the secondary structure, and thus transcription termination. It is also possible that the reduced galk expression is not due to this secondary structure, but to a decreased mRNA stability, or to the presence of as yet unidentified transcriptional terminators within the K8sab DMA fragnt.

A gene fusion between the 5'-end of f aeD and the β -lactamase gene was usd to determine whether the region showing dyad symmetry was involved in translational control. To this purpose, the amount of fusion protein produced was determined by means of a β -lactamase assay and immunoblotting. These experiments revealed that, if translation of the 3'-end of faeC was prevented, synthesis of the fusion protein decreased. The most likely explanation for this phenomenon is, that the ribosome binding site of faeD is masked by mRNA secondary structure if the 3'-end of faeC is not translated. A similar mechanism controls the translation of the lysis gene of bacteriophage 132 (28). It should be noted that translation of faeC is terminated within the Shine-Dalgarno sequence and very close to a start codon of faeD (Pig. 3). Therefore, it is conceivable that (components of) a ribosome terminating translation of faeC could reinitiate translation at faeD without being released from the mTIR molecule. Such a mechanism has been suggested for genes which have overlapping stop and start codons (21), or stop and start codons that are separated by only a few bases (30).

It is not clear to what extent translation of faeD is enhanced by prior translation of $\underline{\mathtt{faec}}$. The β -lactamase assay suggested that translation of $\underline{\mathtt{faec}}$

Nucleic Acids Research

increased translation of faeD only threefold. However, the immunoblot experiments suggested a much larger increase (Fig. 3). This discrepancy might be caused by the fact that increased synthesis of the fusion protein does not result in a proportional increase in the amount of its ensymtically active form. This asumption is confirmed by imunoblotting experiments, which show that increased synthesis of the fusion protein leads to a disproportional large increase in the amount of its unprocessed form, which is probably ensymatically inactive (31). It has been shown that sequestration of a ribosome binding site in a secondary structure with approximately the same thermodynamic stability as the KI8ab structure, may reduce translation of a gene twentyfold (32). This suggests that unmasking of the ribosome binding site of faeD, by ribosomes translating faeC, may enhance translation of faeD approximately twentyfold.

Fusion of the translational initiation signals of faeD to the bla gene dramatically reduces its expression. This indicates that faeD is translated infrequently, which is consistant with the observation that the faeD protein is present in low amounts in the oell (5).

Thus, faeC and faeD form a translationally coupled gene pair. Translational coupling may be a means to ensure a proper molar production of polypeptides that are part of the same structure (29). We have not yet been able to locate the product of faeC, but in view of the afore mentioned, it seems likely that it is part of a structure that also contains the product of faeD. It is possible that pC is part of the basal structure of the fimbria where it might form a link between pD and the filamentous structure composed of pG. This assmption is supported by the observation that, in the absence of pC, pG is not assembled into fiabriae but accumulates in the periplasmic space (unpublished experiments).

mTere is an overlap of 5 bases between faeD and faeE (Fig. 2). It is not clear what the function of this overlap is. It seems unlikely that the overlap is involved in translational coupling, since the faeD protein is produced in much lower amounts than the faeE protein (5). Furthermore, a mutation which relocates the stop oodon of faeD and abolishes the overlap between the two genes, does not alter the amount of the faeE protein synthesised in minicells (not shown).

REPERENCES

- 1. Duguid, J.P. and Old, D.C. (1980). In Bacterial Adherence, Ed., Beachey E.H., pp 185-217, Chapman and Hall, Ltd. London.
- 2. Hacker, J. and Hughes, C. (1985) Current Topics in Microbiol. and

Imikol., Ed. Goebel, W. Vol. 118, pp. 139-162, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

- 3. Qudega, B., Mooi, P.R. and De Graaf, P.K. (1984) Ant. van Leeuwenhoek 50, 569-584.
- 4. Nooi, P.R. and De Graaf, F.K. (1985) Current Topics in Microbiol. and Immnol., Ed. Goebel, W. Vol. 118, pp. 119-138.
- 5. Mooi, F.R., Harms, N., Bakker, D. and De Graaf, F.K. (1981) Infect. Iwin. 32, 1155-1163.
- 6. Mooi, P.R., Wouters, C., Wijfjes, A. and De Graaf, F.K. (1982) J. Bacteriol. 150, 512-521.
- 7. Hooi, F.R., Van Buuren, M., Koopnan, G., Roosendaal, E., and De Graaf, F.K. (1984) J. Bacteriol. 159, 482-487.
- 8. Van Doorn, J., Oudega, B., Mooi, F.R. and De Graaf, F.K. (1982) FENS Microbiol. Lett. 13, 99-104.
- 9. Mooi, P.R., Wijfjes, A. and De Graaf, P.K. (1983) J. Bacteriol. 154, 41-49.
- 10. Uhlin, B.E., Saga, M., Goransson, M., Lindberg, F.P., Lund, B., Norgren, M., and Normark, S. (1985) Curr. Topics in Microbiol. and Immunol. Ed. Goebel, W. Vol. 118, 163-178, Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- 11. Boyer, H .W. and Roulland-Dussoix, D. (1969) J. Mol. Biol. 41, 459-465.
- 12. Sanger, P., Coulson, R., Barrell, B.G., Smith, J.H. and Roe, B.A. (1980). J. Mol. Biol. 143, 161-178.
- 13. McKenney, K., Shimatake, H., Court, D., Schmeissner, U., Brady, C. and Rosenderg, M. (1981). In Gene Amplification and Analysis, Chirikjian, J.C. and Papas, P.S. Eds., Vol. II. pp. 383-415.
- 14. Bolivar, P., Rodriquez, R.L., Greeve, P.J., Betladh, M.C., Heyneker, H.L. and Boyer, H.N. (1977) Gene 2, 95-113.
- 15. Kadonaga, J.T., Gautier, A.E., Straus, D.R., Charles, A.D., Edges, M.D. and Knowles, J.R. (1984). J. Biol. Chem. 259, 2149-2154.
- 16. Maniates, T., Pritsch, E.F. and Sambrook, J. (1982). In Molecular Cloning, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.
- 17. Sanger, F., Nicklen, S. and Coulson, A.R. (1977) Proc. Natl. Ac. Sci. ISA 74, 5463-5467.
- 18. Krone, W.J.A., Koningstein, G., De Graaf, F.K. and Oudega, B. (1985). Antonie van Leeuwenhoek. in press.
- 19. O'Callaghan, C.H., Morris, A., Kirby, S.M. and Singler, A.H. (1972) Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1, 283-288.
- 20. Levitt, N. (1976) J. Mol. Biol. 104, 59-107.
- 21. Chou, P.Y. and Fasman, D. (1978). Ann. Rev. Biochem. 47, 251-276.
- 22. Steitz, J.A. (1979) In Biological Regulation and Development Golberger, R.P., Ed., Vol. I, pp. 349-399, Plenum Press, New York.
- 23. Von Heijne, G. (1983) Eur. J. Biochem. 133, 17-21.
- 24. Pribnaw, D. (1979) In Biological Regulation and Development, Goldberger, R.P., Ed., Vol. I, pp. 219-269. Plenum Press, New York.
- 25. Tinoco, I., Borer, P.M., Dengler, B., Levine, M.D., Ublenbeck, O.C., Crothers, D.K. and Gralla, J. (1973). Nature 246, 40-41.
- 26. Nikaido, H. and Vaara, M. (1985) Microbiol. Reviews 49, 1-32.
- 27. Paul, C. and Rosenbusch, J.P. (1985) EMBO Journal 4, 1593-1597.
- 28. Katelein, R.A., Berkhout, B. and Van Duin, J. (1983). Nature, 305, 741-743.
- 29. Oppehi, D.S. and Yanofsky, C. (1980) Genetics 95, 785-795.
- 30. Schiwerli, D., Nenny, K., Sobieski, D.A. and Rosenberg, K. (1982) Cell 30, 865-871.
- 31. Roggenkamp, R., Dargatz, H. and Hollenberg, C.P. (1985) J. Biol. Chem. 260, 1508-1512.
- 32. Hall, N.M., Gabay, J.G., Debarbouille, M. and Schwartz, M. (1982) Nature 295, 616-618.