## Prevalence of Delayed Nausea and/or Vomiting in Patients Treated With Oxaliplatin-Based Regimens for Colorectal Cancer

By Stewart B. Fleishman, MD, Divya Mahajan, MD, Victoria Rosenwald, RN, MPH, Ann V. Nugent, BA, and Tahir Mirzoyev, MD

Beth Israel Medical Center and Roosevelt Hospital, Continuum Cancer Centers of New York, New York, NY

## Abstract

**Purpose:** To measure the prevalence of nausea and vomiting 2 to 5 days after oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy.

**Patients and Methods:** Sixty-four patients (55% men; 44% women) enrolled onto this cross-sectional study. Fifty-three (83%) had colon cancer and received oxaliplatin biweekly. Eleven (17%) had rectal cancer and received oxaliplatin weekly. We collected data on 23 patients for the first cycle and on 41 patients for the first two cycles, for a total of 105 cycles. Nausea and vomiting was graded using Common Toxicity Criteria. Patients maintained a 7-day postinfusion diary of nausea and vomiting and antiemetic use.

**Results:** All patients received antiemetics and steroids on day 1 of each cycle. For patients with data collected for both cycles, the occurrence of nausea was the same during cycles one and

## Introduction

Delayed nausea and vomiting (days 2 to 5 postchemotherapy) are common adverse effects of platinum-based chemotherapy regimens. Oxaliplatin (Eloxatin; sanofi-aventis, Bridgewater, NJ) has been approved for use with fluorouracil (FU) and leucovorin in previously treated patients with advanced colorectal cancer.<sup>1</sup> It is also used in various regimens in clinical trials, including one current trial using FOLFOX7 (infusional FU, leucovorin, and oxaliplatin), a regimen that features higher doses (130 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) than used in the past. Although delayed nausea and vomiting has not been conclusively associated with the use of oxaliplatin, a moderately emetogenic antineoplastic agent,<sup>2</sup> thus far, it is possible or even probable that as more patients are treated with oxaliplatin, an emetogenic profile might emerge, as it has with the other organoplatinums.

Antiemetics can be divided into four groups: dopamine receptor antagonists, corticosteroids, serotonin receptor antagonists (5-HT<sub>3</sub> RAs), and neurokinin-1 receptor antagonists (NK<sub>1</sub> RAs). Cannabinoids are used as the fourth or fifth choice in some countries, whereas their use is illegal in others.<sup>3</sup>

According to American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) guidelines, a three-drug combination of 5-HT<sub>3</sub> RAs, dexamethasone, and aprepitant (NK<sub>1</sub> RAs) is recommended before chemotherapy of high emetic risk, and only 5-HT<sub>3</sub> RAs with dexamethasone is recommended for moderately emeto-

two. Thirty-nine percent used rescue antiemetics in cycle one, and 34% did so in cycle two. Sixty-eight percent of men reported no nausea in cycle one compared with 33% of women; for cycle two, these figures were 67% and 36%, respectively. Eighty-nine percent of patients reported no vomiting in cycle one, and 85% did so in cycle two. Seven patients (11%) had a history of motion sickness; 13 of 28 women (46%) reported history of pregnancyinduced morning sickness. Palonosetron slightly but significantly reduced the occurrence of nausea. Female sex and history of chemotherapy were significant risk factors for nausea.

**Conclusion:** Delayed nausea associated with oxaliplatin was well controlled and evenly divided between grades 1 and 2; vomiting was rare. Factors associated with nausea were intrinsic to the patient and mostly unrelated to the antiemetics used. Sex and previous experience with emesis should be considered for efficient antiemetic management.

genic chemotherapy. Aprepitant is added for those receiving anthracyclines and cyclophosphamide.<sup>2</sup> There are reports of the addition of aprepitant to an antiemetic regimen of ondansetron and dexamethasone resulting in significantly better prevention of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV) than ondansetron and dexamethasone alone in patients receiving moderately emetogenic chemotherapy.<sup>4</sup>

However, even with the current standard regimen of antiemetics, there is residual nausea and/or vomiting in a significant percentage of patients treated for cancer. It was unknown whether patients receiving oxaliplatin for treatment of colorectal cancer experience delayed nausea and vomiting despite treatment with standard antiemetic drugs.

The initial purpose of this study was to measure the prevalence of residual delayed nausea and vomiting in this population to determine whether future studies of an additional antiemetic such as an NK<sub>1</sub> RA—aprepitant (Emend; Merck, Whitehouse Station, NJ [sponsor of this study])—was warranted. However, during the course of the study, standards of care at our institution changed, and it became increasingly common for patients treated with oxaliplatin to receive aprepitant in addition to other antiemetics. Thus, a natural experiment was created, allowing us to compare the experience of patients who received a three-drug regimen containing aprepitant with that of patients who received a two-drug antiemetic regimen of dexamethasone plus one of the 5-HT<sub>3</sub> RAs. Because the popu-

# **Table 1.** Patient Demographic andDiagnostic Characteristics

		Patients	
Characteristic	No.	%	
Sex			
Male	35	54.7	
Female	28	43.8	
Transgendered	1	1.6	
Total	64	100.0	
Age, years			
20-39	2	3.1	
40-49	7	10.9	
50-59	18	28.1	
60-69	23	35.9	
≥ 70	14	21.9	
Total	64	100.0	
Mean		60.9	
Median		62.0	
Mode		62.0	
SD		11.1	
Diagnosis			
Colon	53	82.8	
Rectal	11	17.2	
Total	64	100.0	
Stage			
0	24	37.5	
2	3	4.7	
3	20	31.3	
4	17	26.6	
Total	64	100.0	
Dose, mg			
65	10	15.6	
85	53	82.8	
100	1	1.6	
Total	64	100.0	
Nausea			
Present	31	48.4	
Absent	33	51.6	
lotal	64	100.0	
Rating scale			
Mean		0.76	
Median		0.0	
Mode		0.0	
SD		0.86	
Vomiting	0	10.5	
Present	8	12.5	
Absent	00	87.5	
I OTAI	64	100.0	
	Continu	led on next column	

## Table 1. (Continued)

	tients	
Characteristic	No.	%
Rating scale		
Mean	0	.16
Median	(	0.0
Mode	(	0.0
SD	0	.48
Antinausea drug regimen		
Standard two drug	50	78.1
Three drug (standard plus aprepitant)	14	21.9
Total	64	100.0

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.

lation was diverse in terms of cancer stage, dose of oxaliplatin, and specific antiemetic regimen used, the number of patients in each group was small. The primary objective of this study was to assess the prevalence of delayed nausea and vomiting during the first and/or second chemotherapy cycle in patients treated with oxaliplatin for colorectal cancer who were receiving standard antiemetic medication.

## **Patients and Methods**

This was a convenience sample of patients who agreed to participate and were able to complete quality-of-life forms in English or Spanish. Almost all patients were being treated with FOLFOX regimens every 14 days for colon cancer or weekly oxaliplatin plus continuous-infusion FU for rectal cancer. Potential patients were approached at the time of their first infusion of oxaliplatin in the cancer centers at Beth Israel and Roosevelt Hospitals (New York, NY) from June 2005 through November 2008. Consent was obtained by the research team at Continuum Cancer Centers of New York. It was estimated that 100 patient/cycles would provide adequate power to the study.

As listed in Table 1, 64 patients (55% men; 44% women; one transgender patient) signed institutional review board-approved informed consent and were enrolled on day 1 of cycle one. Fiftythree patients (83%) had colon cancer; 52 received 85 mg/kg of oxaliplatin, and one received 100 mg/kg. Eleven patients (17%) had rectal cancer; 10 received 65 mg/kg of oxaliplatin, and one received 85 mg/kg. Age ranged from 29 to 84 years, with 64% between ages 50 and 69 years. Data were collected on the first chemotherapy cycle for 23 patients and the first and second cycles for 41 patients, for a total of 105 cycles. Baseline demographic data were collected by medical record review and interviews. Delayed nausea and vomiting were defined as occurring on days 2 through 5 after oxaliplatin infusion. The maximum grade of delayed nausea and vomiting for each cycle was assessed by the Common Toxicity Criteria.5 The Functional Living Index-Emesis was obtained at baseline in person or by telephone 5 to 7 days after infusion. Inclusion criteria were: ability to sign informed consent, ability to maintain diary and complete standardized quality-of-life questionnaires in English or Spanish, and receiving FOLFOX7/modified FOLFOX6 regimens for treatment of colorectal cancer. Patients

who were younger than 18 years of age, had received aprepitant in the preceding 90 days, or had clinical or radiologic evidence of brain metastasis were excluded from the study. Statistical analysis was performed using SAS 9.13 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

## Results

Among 41 patients for whom data were collected on both the first and second cycles, the occurrence of delayed nausea was the same during both cycles more than 75% of the time (75.7%). There was a high correlation between the nausea rating in cycles one

and two (Kendall's T = 0.68; P < .001). Almost 40% of patients (39.1%) received rescue antiemetics (prochlorperazine, metoclopramide, or lorazepam) in cycle one and 34.1% in cycle two; more than 60% of patients never received rescue antiemetics. In cycle one, men experienced no delayed nausea 68% of the time, compared with 33% of the time for women. The figures for cycle two were 67% and 36%, respectively. In cycle one, 89% of patients reported no vomiting, and 85% reported no vomiting in cycle two.

At baseline, seven patients (11%) reported a history of motion sickness. History of pregnancy-related morning sickness

#### Table 2. Factors Associated With Frequency and Severity of Nausea in Cycle 1

	P	resent	Ak	osent	Rating					
Factor	No.	%	No.	%	Significance	Mean	Median	Mode	SD	Signficance*
Sex					$\chi^2 = 5.6; P = .0178\dagger$					Test statistic = 1,053.0; P < .001†‡
Male	12	34.29	23	65.71		0.38	0.00	0.00	0.60	
Female	18	64.29	10	35.71		1.19	2.00	2.00	0.92	
Transgendered	1	100.0	0	0.0		2.00	2.00	2.00	_	
Total	31		33			0.76	0.0	0.0	0.86	
History of motion sickness					.7039§					Test statistic = 250.5; $P = .5473$
Yes	4	57.14	3	42.86		1.00	1.00	0.0	1.00	
No	27	47.37	30	52.63		0.73	0.0	0.0	0.85.	
Total	31		33			0.76	0.0	0.0	0.86	
History of morning sickness					1.000§					Test statistic = 181.00 $\ddagger$ ; $P$ = .7505 $\parallel$
Yes	8	61.54	5	38.46		1.23	2.00	2.00	1.01	
No	9	64.29	5	35.71		1.15	1.00	2.00	0.90	
Missing	1	100.0	0	0.0						
Total	18		10							
History of chemotherapy					.0245§					Test statistic = 364.5; $P = .0108$
Yes	7	87.50	1	12.50		1.50	2.00	2.00	0.76	
No	24	42.86	32	57.14		0.65	0.0	0.0	0.83	
Total	31		33			0.76	0.0	0.0	0.86	
Nausea with prior chemotherapy					1.000§					Test statistic = 11.0; $P = .4643$
Yes	4	80.00	1	20.00		1.60	2.00	2.00	0.89	
No	3	100.00	0	0.0		1.33	1.00	1.00	0.58	
Total	7		1							
Any prior nausea					$\chi^2 = 2.2; P = .1361$					Test statistic = 889.5; $P = .0098$
Yes	14	60.87	9	39.13		1.17	2.0	2.0	0.98	
No	17	41.46	24	58.54		0.51	0.0	0.0	0.68	
Total	31		33							
Antinausea regimen					$\chi^2 = 0.3; P = .85$					Test statistic = 379.5; $P = .61$
Standard 5-HT $_{\rm 3}$ inhibitor regimen	25	49.0	26	51.0		0.80	0.0	0.0	0.89	
Standard plus aprepitant	6	46.2	7	53.9		0.62	0.0	0.0	0.77	
Total	31		33							
Regimen including palonosetron					$\chi^2 = 4.04; P = .04$					Test statistic = 832.0; $P = .09$
No	20	60.6	13	39.4		0.94	1.0	0.0	0.88	
Yes	11	35.5	20	64.5		0.57	0.0	0.0	0.82	
Total	31		33							

Abbreviations: 5-HT<sub>3</sub>, serotonin; SD, standard deviation.

\* Wilcoxon rank sums.

† Transgendered dropped from significance testing.

‡ Exact probability, one sided.

§ Fisher's exact test, two sided.

Exact probability, two sided.

Tal	ble	3. /	Ant	ieme	tic I	Regim	iens,	Singl	e A	Agent	or	in	Com	binat	ion
-----	-----	------	-----	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-----	-------	----	----	-----	-------	-----

5-HT <sub>3</sub> Combination	Single 5-HT <sub>3</sub>	5-HT <sub>3</sub> + Aprepitant	5-HT <sub>3</sub> + 5-HT <sub>3</sub>	5-HT <sub>3</sub> + 5-HT <sub>3</sub> + Aprepitant	Total Use
Palonosetron (Aloxi; Helsinn Healthcare, Lugano, Switzerland)	28	2	1, dolasetron	0	31
Ondansetron (Zofran; GlaxoSmithKline, London, United Kingdom)	3	2	2, granisetron	3, granisetron	10
Granisetron (Kytril; Genentech, South San Francisco, CA)	4	6	2, ondansetron; 2, dolasetron	3, ondansetron	17
Dolasetron (Anzemet; sanofi-aventis, Bridgewater, NJ)	9	0	2, granisetron; 1, palonosetron	0	12
Aprepitant (Emend; Merck, Whitehouse Station, NJ)	10	-	0	3, granisetron and ondansetron	13
Unknown 5-HT <sub>3</sub>	2	0	0	0	2

Abbreviation: 5-HT<sub>3</sub>, serotonin.

was reported by 13 (46%) of the 28 women. All patients received antiemetics and steroids on day 1 of each cycle. During cycle one, 78% of patients received 5-HT<sub>3</sub> inhibitors in combination with steroids (ie, two-drug regimen), 22% received steroids plus 5-HT<sub>3</sub> plus an oral NK<sub>1</sub> RA (ie, three-drug regimen), and none received an NK<sub>1</sub> RA alone.

We examined a number of factors (sex; use of two-v threedrug regimen; specific antiemetic used; history of morning sickness, motion sickness, or nausea associated with previous chemotherapy) to assess whether they were associated with increased frequency and severity of nausea in the first cycle of chemotherapy (Table 2). Female sex and history of prior chemotherapy were associated with the presence of nausea and increased severity of nausea, whereas history of any type nausea was associated with increased severity only. Those patients who received palonosetron, as compared with patients who received any other antiemetic regimen, were significantly (P = .04) less likely to experience nausea in cycle one. There was no difference in the prevalence of nausea in cycle one between those who received aprepitant and those who did not. However, there was a wide variety of antiemetic regimens received by the patients in this study (Table 3), and the number of patients in any given group was too small to achieve a high degree of significance.

## Discussion

The optimal prevention and treatment of CINV remains a major challenge of modern cancer treatment. Those most at risk include younger female patients and those with a history of motion sickness<sup>6</sup> and pregnancy-related morning sickness. CINV can be broadly categorized by onset latency, previous patient experience with CINV, and relationship to antiemetic treatment. Delayed CINV commences more than 24 hours after treatment and can persist for as long as 6 to 7 days. Failure of prophylaxis during the first 24 hours after chemotherapy is highly predictive for delayed emesis during the same cycle.<sup>7</sup>

The patient's experience of nausea and vomiting may have a significant effect on outcomes, because it may lead to reduced adherence, which in turn may compromise the effectiveness of therapy.<sup>8</sup> Beyond these important practical concerns, nausea and vomiting are also of theoretic interest. These adverse effects seem not to be completely determined by the nature of the

drugs that the patient is receiving; among patients with breast cancer, nausea and vomiting vary as much within a single regimen as they do across regimens.<sup>9,10</sup> Not only do patients receiving the same regimen display different adverse effect patterns, but the same patient often responds to the same drugs differently from one cycle to the next. Thus, individual difference factors and/or situational factors seem to influence patient reactions to chemotherapy; this is particularly likely to be the case with anticipatory nausea.

Anticipatory/conditioned emesis occurs in patients who have had poor control of vomiting with prior chemotherapy. A history of motion sickness has been thought to predispose patients to anticipatory emesis. Currently, ASCO does not recommend any changes from original guidelines for prevention. However, it does recommend behavioral therapy with systematic desensitization for treatment. The optimal antiemetic regimen should be used with the initial chemotherapy dose rather than waiting to assess the patient's emetic response to a more minimal regimen. Because of their amnestic and antianxiety effects, alprazolam and lorazepam have been used to treat and prevent anticipatory symptoms. Although lorazepam and alprazolam are recommended, to our knowledge, there have been no prospective trials to establish their effectiveness in this setting.<sup>2</sup>

The predictive power of motion sickness is also independent of the effects of pretreatment anxiety, taste during injection, and age.<sup>11</sup> In two studies, Morrow<sup>12,13</sup> reports that anticipatory nausea is especially prevalent among patients with a susceptibility to motion sickness. In the second of these studies, motion sickness was also found to be a significant predictor of posttreatment nausea and vomiting; those patients with a history of motion sickness reported more frequent, severe, and long-lasting episodes of nausea and vomiting than did their matched controls.

In a study by Shih et al,<sup>14</sup> history of chemotherapy-induced nausea was a significant risk factor influencing both acute and delayed nausea. A history of motion sickness was also a significant risk factor that influenced delayed vomiting. Choice of antiemetic was not a factor in preventing delayed nausea or vomiting, a finding confirmed by our study.

Physicians and nurses have markedly underestimated the incidence of delayed nausea and emesis after both highly eme-

togenic and moderately emetogenic chemotherapy.<sup>15</sup> We tried to overcome this issue by accessing the patient's experience directly, using a patient diary and the Functional Living Index– Emesis. However, our study had its own limitations. The study population was a convenience sample; we could include only English- or Spanish-speaking patients; and there was potential for bias, because the patients self-reported CINV.

Our original intent was to assess the need for more rigorous antiemetic prophylaxis, but the prevalence of CINV was not high enough to warrant that. A randomized study enrolling only those patients receiving chemotherapy who are at high risk (because of female sex and/or history of nausea and vomiting) could assess the effectiveness of aprepitant in this special population.

Accepted for publication on July 7, 2011.

#### Acknowledgment

Supported in part by a research grant from the Investigator-Initiated Studies Program of Merck Sharp & Dohme. Presented in part at the 45th Annual Meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology, May 29-June 2, 2009, Orlando, FL. The opinions expressed in this manuscript are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of Merck Sharp & Dohme.

#### Authors' Disclosures of Potential Conflicts of Interest

Although all authors completed the disclosure declaration, the following author(s) indicated a financial or other interest that is relevant to the subject matter under consideration in this article. Certain relationships

## References

1. Grothey A, Sargent D, Goldberg RM, et al: Survival of patients with advanced colorectal cancer improves with the availability of fluorouracil-leucovorin, irinotecan, and oxaliplatin in the course of treatment. J Clin Oncol 22:1209-1214, 2004

2. Kris MG, Hesketh PJ, Somerfield MR, et al: American Society of Clinical Oncology guideline for antiemetics in oncology: Update 2006. J Clin Oncol 24: 2932-2947, 2006

3. Herrstedt J: Antiemetics: State of the art. Eur J Cancer 45:S439-S441, 2009 (suppl 1)

4. Warr DG, Hesketh PJ, Gralla RJ, et al: Efficacy and tolerability of aprepitant for the prevention of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in patients with breast cancer after moderately emetogenic chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol 23:2822-2830, 2005

5. National Cancer Institute: Cancer Therapy Evaluation Program: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, Version 3.0. http://ctep.cancer.gov

6. Berger AM, Clarke-Snow RA: Chemotherapy-related nausea and vomiting, in Berger AM, Shuster JL, Von Roenn JH (eds): Principles and Practice of Palliative Care and Supportive Oncology. Philadelphia, PA, Lippincott William and Wilkins, 2007, pp 143-148

7. Schnell FM: Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: The importance of acute antiemetic control. Oncologist 8:187-198, 2003

marked with a "U" are those for which no compensation was received; those relationships marked with a "C" were compensated. For a detailed description of the disclosure categories, or for more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to the Author Disclosure Declaration and the Disclosures of Potential Conflicts of Interest section in Information for Contributors.

Employment or Leadership Position: None Consultant or Advisory Role: None Stock Ownership: None Honoraria: None Research Funding: Stewart B. Fleishman, Merck Sharp & Dohme Expert Testimony: None Other Remuneration: None

#### Author Contributions

Conception and design: Stewart B. Fleishman, Victoria Rosenwald Financial support: Stewart B. Fleishman

Administrative support: Stewart B. Fleishman, Victoria Rosenwald

**Provision of study materials or patients:** Stewart B. Fleishman, Victoria Rosenwald, Tahir Mirzoyev

Collection and assembly of data: All authors

Data analysis and interpretation: Stewart B. Fleishman, Divya Mahajan, Ann V. Nugent

Manuscript writing: All authors

Final approval of manuscript: All authors

Corresponding author: Victoria Rosenwald, RN, MPH, Beth Israel Cancer Center, 10 Union Square East, 4B02, New York, NY 10003; e-mail: vrosenwa@chpnet.org.

DOI: 10.1200/JOP.2010.000151; published online ahead of print at jop.ascopubs.org on December 20, 2011.

8. Bonnadonna G, Valagussa P: Dose-response effect of adjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer. N Engl J Med 304:310-315, 1981

Ringler KE: Coping With Chemotherapy (Research on Clinical Psychology, No.
 Ann Arbor, MI, UMI Research Press, 1983

**10.** Love RR, Leventhal H, Easterling DV, et al: Side effects and emotional distress during chemotherapy. Cancer 63:604-612, 1989

**11.** Leventhal H, Easterling DV, Nerenz DR, et al: The role of motion sickness in predicting anticipatory nausea. J Behav Med 11:117-130, 1988

**12.** Morrow GR: Susceptibility to motion sickness and the development of anticipatory nausea in cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy. Cancer Treat Rep 68:1177-1178, 1984

**13.** Morrow GR: The effect of a susceptibility to motion sickness on the side effects of chemotherapy. Cancer 55:2766-2770, 1985

**14.** Shih V, Wan HS, Chan A: Clinical predictors of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in breast cancer patients receiving adjuvant doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide. Ann Pharmacother 43:444-452, 2009

15. Grunberg SM, Deuson RR, Mavros P, et al: Incidence of chemotherapyinduced nausea and emesis after modern antiemetics. Cancer 100:2261-2668, 2004