

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Org Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 July 06.

Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2012 July 6; 14(13): 3368–3371. doi:10.1021/ol301354w.

Diastereoselective Chelation-Controlled Additions to β-Silyloxy Aldehydes

Gretchen R. Stanton, **Meara C. Kauffman**, and **Patrick J. Walsh***

P. Roy and Diana T. Vagelos Laboratories, University of Pennsylvania, Department of Chemistry, 231 South 34thStreet, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6323

Abstract

A general diastereoselective method for the addition of dialkylzincs and (E) -di and (E) trisubstituted vinylzinc reagents to β-silyloxy aldehydes is presented. This method employs alkyl zinc triflate and nonaflate Lewis acids and affords chelation-controlled products (6:1 to $>$ 20:1 dr).

> The traditional approach to complex molecule synthesis is to utilize existing substrate stereogenic centers to influence the introduction of new stereocenters.¹ In particular, this strategy has proven useful in the addition of organometallic reagents to protected α- and βhydroxy aldehydes and ketones to afford diol moieties. For quite some time, the paradigm that rationalizes the stereochemical outcomes of these additions has encompassed the Felkin-Anh,² Cornforth-Evans,³ and Cram-chelation⁴ models.⁵ According to these models, stereoinduction in such additions is dependent on the size of the protecting group.⁶ Sterically undemanding protecting groups such as Me, Bn, and MOM promote chelation (Figure 1, Cram-chelation model).⁷ In contrast, bulky silyl protecting groups disfavor chelation and give Felkin addition products (Figure 1). One major shortcoming in the application of this paradigm is that the protecting group is chosen to afford the desired stereochemistry during the carbonyl addition step and may not be best suited for the global protecting group strategy. To override substrate control, chemists have utilized chiral catalysts, $\frac{8}{3}$ enantioenriched stoichiometric auxiliaries, and optically active stoichiometric additives.⁹ Our approach is to develop methods to reverse the diastereoselectivity predicted by the aforementioned paradigm through introduction of Lewis acids capable of chelating substrates that normally undergo Felkin addition.

To date, few exceptions to the current stereoinduction models have been reported.^{6f,7c,10} In particular, there are limited examples of methods to promote chelation in additions to βsilyloxy aldehydes and ketones. Evans and coworkers have demonstrated the remarkable ability of Me₂AlCl and MeAlCl₂ to chelate β-silyloxy aldehydes in Mukaiyama aldol reactions.7c As shown in Scheme 1a, the active Lewis acid results from the disproportionation of MeAlCl₂. Somfai and coworkers have disclosed interesting studies to elucidate the origin of reversed diastereoselectivity of Mukaiyama aldol additions to α-

pwaslsh@sas.upenn.edu.

Supporting Information Available. Experimental procedures and full characterization of new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at [http://pubs.acs.org.](http://pubs.acs.org)

silyloxy and chloro aldehydes.¹¹ En route to developing methods for the *in situ* generation of (Z)-vinylzinc reagents, our group observed unexpected chelation-controlled additions to β-silyloxy aldehydes in the presence of ZnBr₂, albeit in modest selectivity (Scheme 1b).¹² In efforts toward the synthesis of mycolactone polyketides, Burkart observed high selectivity for the chelation-controlled product in the allylation of aldehyde ent-**1** using allyltrichlorostannane (Scheme 1c).¹³

More recently, we have shown that alkyl zinc halides and triflates are viable Lewis acids to chelate α-silyloxy aldehydes and ketones to enable chelation-controlled additions to these substrates.¹⁴ Given the high levels of diastereoselectvity of these reactions and their generality, we set out to determine whether highly diastereoselective additions of organozinc reagents to β-silyloxy aldehydes could be achieved. We perceived this to be a challenge considering that α-chelation is more favorable than β-chelation and usually furnishes product of higher dr.15 Furthermore, general chelation-controlled additions of organometallic reagents to β-silyloxy aldehydes are unknown.

Initially, we investigated the reaction of diethylzinc with aldehyde **1**. Interestingly, in the absence of EtZnX Lewis acids, the reaction of diethylzinc (1.2 equiv) with (R) -3-TBS-2methylpropanal **1** provided the chelation controlled product with 3.5:1 dr in < 10% yield (Table 1, entry 1). The absolute stereochemistry of the major diastereomer was ascertained through comparison to literature data¹⁶ and confirmed by modified Mosher ester analysis.¹⁷ To achieve synthetically useful diastereoselectivities and yields, Lewis acids that could chelate the substrates were utilized. Additions employing 25–150 mol % of EtZnCl led to chelation-controlled products with slighly improved dr (entries 2–5). Lowering the temperature did not improve the diastereoselectivity of the reaction (entries 6 and 7). Furthermore, increasing the concentration of the reaction afforded the addition product in 89% yield with 8.9:1 dr (entry 8). Encouraged by these results, we next surveyed other zinc Lewis acids (entries 9–12). Employing either EtZnOTf or EtZnONf gave the expected addition product with 15.5:1 and 10:1 dr, respectively (entries 10 and 11). These Lewis acids can be prepared simply by reaction of the dialkylzinc with the sulfonic acid at low temperature.¹⁸

The optimized reaction conditions in Table 1 (entry 10) were applied to the addition of other dialkylzincs to aldehyde **1**. It is important to note that R groups on the dialkylzinc and Lewis acid must be the same due to expeditious alkyl exchange. As shown in Scheme 2, the addition of dimethylzinc to afford **3** was less selective $(dr = 5.1)$ and can be attributed to smaller size of the nucleophile, resulting in a lower energy difference between the transition states leading to the chelation or Felkin addition products. Additionally, MeZnOTf has limited solubility in dichloromethane. Addition of di-n-butylzinc to aldehyde **1** occurred to give **4** with comparable dr to diethylzinc (16:1). An excess of di-n-butylzinc (3 equiv) was required due to the facile formation of a reduction side product via a β-hydride reduction mechanism.¹⁹

Chiral allylic alcohols are important structural motifs that are commonly used as key intermediates in synthesis and are found in many natural products.1c,20 To broaden the substrate scope of our method, we studied the addition of (E) -vinylzinc reagents to silylprotected β-hydroxy aldehydes. The (E) -vinylzinc reagents were generated *in situ* using the Srebnik/Oppolzer procedure.²¹ Hydroboration of alkynes and subsequent B to Zn transmetallation with Et₂Zn gave the (E) -vinylzinc intermediates. These vinylzinc reagents were then added to β-silyloxy aldehydes in the presence of EtZnX Lewis acids. It is well precedented that vinyl- and arylzinc reagents add to aldehdyes significantly faster that alkylzinc reagents.²²

After extensive screening, we found that optimal yields and diastereoselectivities were achieved at −15 °C in 1:1 toluene to dichloromethane solvent. Similar to the addition of dialkylzincs, intially EtZnOTf proved to be the most effective Lewis acid, providing the chelation-controlled addition product with moderate to good dr (Table 2). However, employing EtZnONf further improved the diastereoselectivity of the reaction. For example, a twofold increase in the dr of product **5** is seen in entry 1 when EtZnONf is used compared to the same reaction using EtZnOTf ($dr = 10:1$ vs. 4.8:1). It is conceivable that this improved diastereoselectivity is due to the greater solubility of EtZnONf in dichloromethane at low temperature. As shown in Table 2, a variety of terminal alkynes can be employed in the reaction with 1.5 equiv EtZnONf and both TBS or TES-protected 3-hydroxy-2 methylproponal. The (E) -disubstituted allylic alcohol products were furnished with $5.8:1$ dr and 61–80% yield (entries 1–3 and 6–8). Lower yields were observed in some cases owing to the formation of ethyl addition byproducts (entries 2 and 8 using EtZnOTf). Furthermore, when internal alkynes were utilized in the reaction, (E) -trisubstituted allylic alcohols were generated with $17:1$ dr (entries 4, 5, and 9). Reactions with less sterically hindered TES-protected 3-hydroxy-2-methylproponal generally yielded products with higher dr, most likely due to more favorable chelate formation.

In summary, chelation-controlled addition of organozinc reagents to TBS and TES-protected β-hydroxy aldehydes can be accomplished in the presence of EtZnOTf or EtZnONf. Our method represents an alternative approach to the use of stoichiometric amounts of chiral auxiliaries to reverse the diastereoselectivity in additions of organometallic reagents to αchiral β-silyloxy aldehydes.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank the NSF (CHE-0848467 and 1152488). We are also grateful to Prof. Marisa Kozlowski (University of Pennsylvania) for helpful discussions. G.R.S. thanks UNCF–Merck and NOBCChE/GlaxoSmithKline programs, ACS Organic Division, and Amgen for graduate scholarships. We would also like to thank the NIH for the purchase of a Waters LCTOF-Xe Premier ESI mass spectrometer (Grant No. 1S10RR23444-1).

References

- 1. (a) Corey, EJ.; Cheng, X-M. The Logic of Chemical Synthesis. Wiley; New York: 1989. (b) Koskinen, A. Asymmetric Synthesis of Natural Products. Wiley; Chichester, NY: 1993. (c) Hoveyda AH, Evans DA, Fu GC. Chem Rev. 1993; 93:1307–1370.(d) Nicolaou, KC.; Sorensen, EJ. Classics in Total Synthesis. VCH; Weinheim, Germany: 1996. (e) Nicolaou, KC.; Snyder, SA. Classics in Total Synthesis II : More Targets, Strategies, Methods. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim, Germany: 2003. (f) Carreira, EM.; Kvaerno, L. Classics in Stereoselective Synthesis. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim, Germany: 2009.
- 2. (a) Chérest M, Felkin H, Prudent N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968; 9:2199–2204.(b) Chérest M, Felkin H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968; 9:2205–2208.(c) Anh NT, Eisenstein O. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976; 17:155– 158.(d) Anh N, Eisenstein O. Nouv J Chim. 1977; 1:61–70.(e) Anh NT. Top Curr Chem. 1980; 88:145–162.
- 3. (a) Cornforth JW, Cornforth RH, Mathew KK. J Chem Soc. 1959:112–127.(b) Evans DA, Siska SJ, Cee VJ. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2003; 42:1761–1765.(c) Cee VJ, Cramer CJ, Evans DA. J Am Chem Soc. 2006; 128:2920–2930. [PubMed: 16506771]
- 4. (a) Cram DJ, Elhafez FAA. J Am Chem Soc. 1952; 74:5828–5835.(b) Cram DJ, Kopecky KR. J Am Chem Soc. 1959; 81:2748–2755.(c) Leitereg TJ, Cram DJ. J Am Chem Soc. 1968; 90:4019–4026.
- 5. Mengel A, Reiser O. Chem Rev. 1999; 99:1191–1224. [PubMed: 11749444]
- 6. (a) Overman LE, McCready RJ. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982; 23:2355–2358.(b) Keck GE, Castellino S, Wiley MR. J Org Chem. 1986; 51:5478–5480.(c) Kahn SD, Keck GE, Hehre WJ. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987; 28:279–280.(d) Keck GE, Castellino S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987; 28:281–284.(e) Keck GE, Andrus MB, Castellino S. J Am Chem Soc. 1989; 111:8136–8141.(f) Reetz MT, Hüellmann MJ. Chem Soc, Chem Commun. 1986:1600–1602.(g) Frye SV, Eliel EL. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986; 27:3223–3226.(h) Frye SV, Eliel EL. J Am Chem Soc. 1988; 110:484–489.
- 7. (a) Keck GE, Castellino S. J Am Chem Soc. 1986; 108:3847–3849.(b) Reetz MT. Acc Chem Res. 1993; 26:462–468.(c) Evans DA, Allison BD, Yang MG, Masse CE. J Am Chem Soc. 2001; 123:10840–10852. [PubMed: 11686685]
- 8. (a) Soai K, Hatanaka T, Yamashita T. J Chem Soc, Chem Commun. 1992:927–929.(b) Watanabe M, Komota M, Nishimura M, Araki S, Butsugan YJ. Chem Soc, Perkin Trans 1. 1993:2193–2196. (c) Jeon S-J, Chen YK, Walsh PJ. Org Lett. 2005; 7:1729–1732. [PubMed: 15844892] (d) Walsh, PJ.; Kozlowski, MC. Fundamentals of Asymmetric Catalysis. University Science Books; Sausalito: 2008.
- 9. (a) Roush WR, Palkowitz AD, Ando K. J Am Chem Soc. 1990; 112:6348–6359.(b) El-Sayed E, Anand NK, Carreira EM. Org Lett. 2001; 3:3017–3020. [PubMed: 11554832] (c) Marshall JA, Bourbeau MP. Org Lett. 2003; 5:3197–3199. [PubMed: 12943386] (d) Marshall JA, Eidam P. Org Lett. 2004; 6:445–448. [PubMed: 14748614] (e) Guillarme S, Plé K, Banchet A, Liard A, Haudrechy A. Chem Rev. 2006; 106:2355–2403. [PubMed: 16771453] (f) Williams DR, Kiryanov AA, Emde U, Clark MP, Berliner MA, Reeves JT. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2003; 42:1258–1262.(g) Rodriguez-Escrich C, Olivella A, Urpi F, Vilarrasa J. Org Lett. 2007; 9:989–992. [PubMed: 17295497] (h) Kolakowski RV, Williams LJ. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007; 48:4761–4764.(i) Sharon O, Monti C, Gennari C. Tetrahedron. 2007; 63:5873–5878.(j) Georges Y, Ariza X, Garcia J. J Org Chem. 2009; 74:2008–2012. [PubMed: 19196165]
- 10. (a) Frye SV, Eliel EL, Cloux R. J Am Chem Soc. 1987; 109:1862–1863.(b) Chen X, Hortelano ER, Eliel EL, Frye SV. J Am Chem Soc. 1990; 112:6130–6131.(c) Chen X, Hortelano ER, Eliel EL, Frye SV. J Am Chem Soc. 1992; 114:1778–1784.(d) Evans DA, Allison BD, Yang MG. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999; 40:4457–4460.(e) Mohanta PK, Davis TA, Gooch JR, Flowers RA II. J Am Chem Soc. 2005; 127:11896–11897. [PubMed: 16117501]
- 11. (a) Borg T, Danielsson J, Somfai P. Chem Commun. 2010; 46:1281–1283.(b) Borg T, Danielsson J, Mohiti M, Restorp P, Somfai P. Adv Synth Catal. 2011; 353:2022–2036.
- 12. Jeon SJ, Fisher EL, Carroll PJ, Walsh PJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2006; 128:9618–9619. [PubMed: 16866501]
- 13. (a) Ko KS, Alexander MD, Fontaine SD, Biggs-Houck JE, La Clair JJ, Burkart MD. Org Biomol Chem. 2010; 8:5159–5165. [PubMed: 20844795] (b) Keck GE, Abbott DE. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984; 25:1883–1886.
- 14. (a) Kerrigan MH, Jeon SJ, Chen YK, Salvi L, Carroll PJ, Walsh PJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2009; 131:8434–8445. [PubMed: 19476375] (b) Stanton GR, Johnson CN, Walsh PJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2010; 132:4399–4408. [PubMed: 20218659] (c) Stanton GR, Koz G, Walsh PJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2011; 133:7969–7976. [PubMed: 21534530]
- 15. Carey, FA.; Sundberg, RJ. Advanced Organic Chemistry: Part A: Structure and Mechanisms. 5. Springer; New York: 2007. p. 179
- 16. Pilli RA, Riatto VB. J Braz Chem Soc. 1999; 10:363–368.
- 17. (a) Dale JA, Mosher HS. J Am Chem Soc. 1968; 90:3732–3738.(b) Ohtani I, Kusumi T, Kashman Y, Kakisawa H. J Am Chem Soc. 1991; 113:4092–4096.(c) Hoye TR, Jeffrey CS, Shao F. Nat Protoc. 2007; 2:2451–2458. [PubMed: 17947986]
- 18. Oppolzer W, Schroder F, Kahl S. Helv Chim Acta. 1997; 80:2047–2057.
- 19. (a) DiMauro EF, Kozlowski MC. Org Lett. 2002; 4:3781–3784. [PubMed: 12599457] (b) DiMauro EF, Kozlowski MC. J Am Chem Soc. 2002; 124:12668–12669. [PubMed: 12392407] (c) Fennie MW, DiMauro EF, O'Brien EM, Annamalai V, Kozlowski MC. Tetrahedron. 2005; 61:6249– 6265.
- 20. Katsuki, T.; Martin, VS. Org React. Paquette, LA., editor. Vol. 48. Wiley; New York: 1996. p. 1-300.
- 21. (a) Srebnik M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991; 32:2449–2452.(b) Oppolzer W, Radinov RN. Helv Chim Acta. 1992; 75:170–173.(c) Oppolzer W, Radinov RN, Brabander JD. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995;

Org Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 July 06.

36:2607–2610.(d) Oppolzer W, Radinov RN, El-Sayed E. J Org Chem. 2001; 66:4766–4770. [PubMed: 11442401]

22. (a) Bolm C, Hildebrand JP, Muniz K, Hermanns N. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2001; 40:3285–3308.(b) Rudolph J, Rasmussen T, Bolm C, Norrby PO. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2003; 42:3002–3005.(c) Schmidt F, Stemmler RT, Rudolph J, Bolm C. Chemical Society Reviews. 2006; 35:454–470. [PubMed: 16636728] (d) Rudolph J, Bolm C, Norrby PO. J Am Chem Soc. 2005; 127:1548–1552. [PubMed: 15686388]

Figure 1. Models for 1,2-Asymmetric Induction for Protected α-Methyl β-Hydroxy Aldehydes.

Stanton et al. Page 7

Scheme 1. Previous Examples of β-Chelation to β-Silyloxy Aldehydes

Org Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 July 06.

Scheme 2. Chelation-Controlled Addition of Dialkylzincs to **1**

Optimization of Diethylzinc Addition to 1 Optimization of Diethylzinc Addition to **1**

 $\,a_{\rm Concentration}$ is with respect to the aldehyde. Concentration is with respect to the aldehyde.

 $b_{\rm mol}$ % of Lewis acid is with respect to the aldehyde. mol % of Lewis acid is with respect to the aldehyde.

Refers to yield of isolated, purified product.

 c d determined by ¹H NMR of the unpurified product or by GC analysis of the TMS-protected product derivatives and refers to the ratio of chelation:Felkin addition products. dr determined by 1H NMR of the unpurified product or by GC analysis of the TMS-protected product derivatives and refers to the ratio of chelation:Felkin addition products. defers to yield of isolated, purified product.

 NIH-PA Author ManuscriptNIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 2

Org Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 July 06.

Stanton et al. Page 11

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

dr determined by

NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript

 $^d\!a$ determined by $^1\!H$ NMR of the unpurified product and refers to the ratio of chelation:
Felkin addition products.

 $b_{\mbox{\small The\; relative\; stereochensity\; was\, determined\; by modified\;Moster\; eastr\; analysis}$ (see Supporting Information). The relative stereochemistry was determined by modified Mosher ester analysis (see Supporting Information).

1H NMR of the unpurified product and refers to the ratio of chelation:Felkin addition products.