RESEARCH PAPER

The histamine autoreceptor is a short isoform of the H3 receptor

F Gbahou, A Rouleau and J-M Arrang

Laboratoire de Neurobiologie et Pharmacologie Moléculaire, Centre de Psychiatrie et Neurosciences (CPN, U 894), INSERM, Paris, France

Correspondence

Jean-Michel Arrang, Laboratoire de Neurobiologie et Pharmacologie Moléculaire, Centre de Psychiatrie et Neurosciences (U894), INSERM, 2 ter rue d'Alésia, 75014 Paris, France. E-mail: jean-michel.arrang@inserm.fr

Keywords

histamine H_3 receptor; autoreceptor; isoforms; enantiomers; stereoselectivity; rat brain; coupling properties

--

--

Received

6 April 2011 **Revised** 1 December 2011 **Accepted** 31 January 2012

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

The histamine H₃ receptor was identified as the autoreceptor of brain histaminergic neurons. After its cloning, functional H₃ receptor isoforms generated by a deletion in the third intracellular loop were found in the brain. Here, we determined if this autoreceptor was the long or the short isoform.

EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH

We hypothesized that the deletion would affect H_3 receptor stereoselectivity. The effects of the enantiomers of two chiral ligands, N^{α}-methyl- α -chloromethylhistamine (N^{α}Me- α ClMeHA) and sopromidine, were investigated on cAMP formation at the $H_{3(445)}$ and $H_{3(413)}$ receptor isoforms, common to all species. They were further compared with their effects at autoreceptors. They were also compared on [35S]GTPy[S] binding to membranes of rat cerebral cortex, striatum and hypothalamus, the richest area in autoreceptors.

KEY RESULTS

The stereoselectivity of N^aMe- α ClMeHA enantiomers as agonists was similar at the H₃₍₄₁₃₎ receptor isoform and autoreceptors, but lower at the long isoform. While (S) sopromidine did not discriminate between the isoforms, (R) sopromidine was an antagonist at the H₃₍₄₁₃₎ receptor isoform and autoreceptors, but a full agonist at the long isoform. In rat brain, stereoselectivity of N^oMe- α ClMeHA was higher in the hypothalamus than in cerebral cortex or striatum, whereas the opposite pattern was found for sopromidine.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

The pharmacological profiles of H_3 receptor isoforms differed markedly, showing that the function of autoreceptors was fulfilled by a short isoform, such as the $H_{3(413)}$ receptor. Development of drugs selectively targeting autoreceptors might enhance their therapeutic efficacy and/or decrease incidence of side effects.

Abbreviations

 α MeHA, α -methylhistamine; AA, arachidonic acid; IPX, iodoproxyfan; N[«]Me- α ClMeHA, N[«]-methyl- α chloromethylhistamine

Introduction

The histamine H_3 receptor was identified as an autoreceptor regulating histaminergic neuron activity in the brain (Arrang *et al*., 1983; 1987; receptor nomenclature follows Alexander *et al.*, 2011). However, H₃ receptors are also present on many

other neuronal populations (Pillot *et al*., 2002), either as postsynaptic receptors or as heteroreceptors (Schlicker *et al*., 1994). The coupling of the H_3 receptor to $G_{i/0}$ proteins was confirmed by its cloning in humans (Lovenberg *et al*., 1999). Activation of recombinant H_3 receptors inhibits adenylate cyclase, assayed as cAMP accumulation (Lovenberg *et al*.,

1999), and activates phospholipase A_2 , assessed as arachidonic acid (AA) release (Morisset *et al*., 2000).

Various recombinant isoforms of the H_3 receptor have been identified in different species including humans. These isoforms are generated by the deletion of a pseudo-intron, variable in length, located in the third intracellular loop of the receptor (Hancock *et al*., 2003). They are all expressed in the brain, but their respective functions therein remain unknown. Binding studies on these H_3 receptor isoforms revealed only moderate pharmacological differences (Coge *et al*., 2001; Morisset *et al*., 2001; Rouleau *et al*., 2004). Functional studies with standard agonists revealed some differences in coupling between rat isoforms, which, however, were dependent on the response studied and cell type used (Morisset *et al*., 2000; Drutel *et al*., 2001). These agonists also displayed a higher potency at the human H3(365) receptor than at the human H3(445) receptor (Wellendorph *et al*., 2002; Bongers *et al*., 2007). Using various chiral histamine derivatives, we have previously shown a strong stereoselectivity of the rat H_3 autoreceptor on inhibition of histamine release, with a preference of the H_3 receptor for $(+)$ enantiomers (i.e. corresponding to the S-configuration of L-histidine), such as R-(a)-methylhistamine (R-aMeHA) (Arrang *et al*., 1985a). In the present study, we tried to take advantage of this stereoselectivity to identify which of the long or short isoforms function as the autoreceptors. Our hypothesis was that the deletion within the third intracellular loop of the H_3 receptor would generate three-dimensional modifications recognized by chiral compounds. We tested this hypothesis by characterizing the effects of N^{α} -methyl- α -chloromethylhistamine (N^oMe-αClMeHA) and sopromidine, two chiral ligands for which the H3 receptor is stereoselective (Arrang *et al*., 1985a), at the rat long (non-deleted) $H_{3(445)}$ isoform and short $H_{3(413)}$ isoform. This short isoform was selected for the study because, in contrast to the others, it is the only one to be maintained in the brain from all species including rat (Morisset *et al*., 2001), mouse (Rouleau *et al*., 2004), guinea pig (Tardivel-Lacombe *et al*., 2000), monkey (Strakhova *et al*., 2008) and human (Coge *et al*., 2001; Tardivel-Lacombe *et al*., 2001). The stereoselectivity of each of the two isoforms was compared with the autoreceptor. Moreover, we used H3 receptor-mediated $[^{35}S]GTPY[S]$ binding to rat brain membranes (Rouleau *et al*., 2002) in order to further compare the effects of N^{α} Me- α ClMeHA and sopromidine isomers in the hypothalamus, a region known to contain a very high density of histaminergic fibres and, hence, of H_3 autoreceptors (Panula and Airaksinen, 1991; Tohyama *et al*., 1991; Wouterlood and Steinbusch, 1991), as well as in the cerebral cortex and striatum. All these approaches showed marked differences between isoforms and revealed that the autoreceptor is a short isoform.

Methods

Cloning and expression of the rH3(445) and rH3(413) receptor isoforms

The two rat H_3 (rH₃) receptor isoforms were cloned and expressed as previously described (Morisset *et al*., 2001). Briefly, cDNA inserts corresponding to the full-length coding sequence of the rat H_3 isoforms, $rH_{3(445)}$ or $rH_{3(413)}$, were ligated into the mammalian expression vector pCIneo (Promega, Charbonnières, France). CHO-K1 cells were transfected with SuperFect Reagent (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France). Stable transfectants were selected with $2 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$ of Geneticin, tested for their expression level of [¹²⁵I]iodoproxyfan (IPX) binding sites and maintained in the presence of $1 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$ of Geneticin.

[125I]IPX binding assays

CHO cells transfected with the two isoforms, $CHO(rH_{3(445)}R)$ and CHO($rH_{3(413)}R$) cells, and expressing 400–500 fmol·mg⁻¹ protein were harvested, homogenized in ice-cold phosphate buffer (50 mM Na2HPO4/KH2PO4, pH 7.5) and centrifuged (140 \times g for 10 min at 4 \degree C). The pellets were then suspended in 10 mL of phosphate buffer and homogenized with a Polytron homogenizer (Polytron, Inc., Norcross, GA, USA). After centrifugation at 23 000 \times *g* for 30 min at 4°C, the last pellets were washed superficially and sonicated for 30 s in fresh ice-cold buffer. Binding assays were performed as described previously (Morisset *et al*., 2001). Briefly, aliquots of membrane suspensions (\approx 20 µg of protein) were incubated for 60 min at 25°C with 30 pM [125I]IPX alone or together with drugs in increasing concentrations (200 µL final volume). Non-specific binding was determined using the selective H3 receptor agonist imetit at 1 µM. Incubations, performed in triplicate, were stopped by rapid filtration through glass microfibre filters (GF/B; Whatman, Clifton, NJ, USA) presoaked in 0.3% polyethylenimine. Radioactivity trapped on filters was counted with a gamma counter.

[3 H]AA release

 $CHO(rH_{3(445)}R)$ and $CHO(rH_{3(413)}R)$ cells expressing 400– 500 fmol·mg-¹ protein were seeded 24 h before the assay in 24 well-plates. After incubation for 2 h at 37°C with 0.5 μ Ci [3 H]AA in DMEM-Nut mix F-12 (Invitrogen Life Technology, Cergy Pontoise, France) containing 0.2% BSA, the cells were washed twice and the drugs tested [histamine, R-αMeHA and for CHO(rH₃₍₄₁₃₎R) cells, S-(α)-methylhistamine] were added in increasing concentrations and incubated for 10 min. Cells were then incubated for 30 min with $2 \mu M$ of the Ca²⁺ ionophore A23187. [³H]AA release was determined by liquid scintillation counting.

cAMP accumulation

CHO($rH_{3(445)}R$) and CHO($rH_{3(413)}R$) cells were seeded 24 h before the assay in 96 well-plates. After incubation for 10 min at 37°C with 3 µM forskolin, drugs [histamine, R- α MeHA, R and S enantiomers of N^{α} Me- α ClMeHA and of sopromidine] were added, when required, at increasing concentrations in DMEM-Nut mix F-12 containing 100 μ M isobutylmethyl xanthine. cAMP was extracted and measured by radioimmunoassay according to the instructions of the manufacturer (PerkinElmer Life Sciences, Boston, MA, USA).

*[35S]GTP*g*[S] binding assays*

 $[^{35}S]$ GTP γ [S] binding to brain membranes was performed as previously described (Rouleau *et al*., 2002). The cerebral cortex, striatum and hypothalamus were dissected out from brains of male Wistar rats (160–200 g, Janvier, Le Genest-

Saint-Isle, France), homogenized in ice-cold buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.4) and centrifuged $(140 \times g$ for 10 min at 4[°]C). The supernatants were centrifuged twice at 23 000 \times *g* for 15 min at 4°C. The final pellets were suspended in 50 volumes of buffer. Membranes $(8-22 \mu g)$ were pretreated for 30 min at 25°C with adenosine deaminase $(1 \text{ U} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1})$; Roche, Meylan, France) and incubated for 60 min at 25°C with 0.1 nM $[^{35}S]$ GTP $\gamma[S]$ and, when required, the various drugs were tested (R and S enantiomers of N^{α} Me- α ClMeHA and of sopromidine), in 1 mL of assay buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl, 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 10 μM GDP, 0.02% BSA, pH 7.4). In order to prevent any interaction of the drugs with histamine H_1 and H_2 receptors, all incubations were performed in the presence of 100 nM mepyramine and 10 μ M cimetidine. The non-specific binding was determined using GTPyS (10 μ M). Incubations were stopped by rapid filtration under vacuum through Whatman GF/B filters. Filters were washed twice with 4 mL of ice-cold water and the radioactivity retained on the filters was measured by liquid scintillation spectrometry.

Analysis of data

The curves were analysed with an iterative least-squares method by non-linear regression using a one-site cooperative model (Gbahou *et al*., 2006). The method provided estimates for EC_{50} values, IC_{50} values and their SEM. The apparent affinity constants (K_i values) of N^{α} Me- α ClMeHA enantiomers on inhibition of $[125]$ I]IPX binding were calculated from their IC_{50} values by using the relationship (Cheng and Prussoff, 1973): $K_i = IC_{50}/1 + (S/X)$, where *S* represents the concentration (30 pM) and *X* is the apparent dissociation constant (K_D) of $[^{125}I]IPX$ at the rH₃₍₄₄₅₎ receptor isoform (85 pM) and at the $rH_{3(413)}$ receptor isoform (82 pM). The same relationship was used to calculate *K*ⁱ values of sopromidine isomers tested against histamine (100 nM) on cAMP formation at recombinant isoforms. In that case, the total curves were analysed with *X* representing the EC_{50} values of histamine at the rH₃₍₄₄₅₎ (9 nM) and $rH_{3(413)}$ receptor isoforms (15 nM). Their K_i values on specific $[35S]$ GTP γ [S] binding induced by 1 μ M imetit to brain membranes were also calculated with the same relationship, taking into account only the antagonistic part of the curves (above 100%) and an EC₅₀ value of imetit of 2 \pm 1 nM (not shown).

Statistical evaluation of the results was performed by oneway ANOVA, followed by Student's Newman–Keuls *post hoc* test. For statistical comparison of the EC_{50} values, IC_{50} values or maximal effects of two compounds (i.e. two enantiomers or R- α MeHA vs. histamine), the two corresponding curves were analysed by two-way ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni *post hoc* test.

The activity ratio of two enantiomers (termed S/R ratio when the S enantiomer was preferred and R/S ratio when the R enantiomer was preferred) was determined as follows: [EC₅₀] (or K_i) value of the preferred (R or S) enantiomer/EC₅₀ (or K_i) value of the non-preferred (R or S) enantiomer] and was used as an index of the stereoselectivity (Arrang *et al*., 1985a).

Materials

 $[$ ¹²⁵I]IPX (2000 Ci·mmol⁻¹) was prepared as described (Krause *et al.*, 1997). [³H]AA (211 Ci·mmol⁻¹) and [³⁵S]GTP γ [S]

(1250 Ci·mmol-¹) were from PerkinElmer Life Sciences. Histamine, imetit, thioperamide, isobutylmethyl xanthine, forskolin and BSA were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Quentin Fallavier, France). Ionophore A23187 and adenosine deaminase were obtained from Roche. The enantiomers of α-MeHA, N^αMe-αClMeHA and sopromidine were provided by W. Schunack (Freie Universität Berlin, Germany).

Results

*Effect of histamine and R-*a*-methylhistamine on forskolin-induced cAMP accumulation and A23187-evoked [3 H]AA release in CHO(rH3(445)R) and CHO(rH3(413)R) cells*

The cloning of the rat receptor isoforms $rH_{3(445)}$ or $rH_{3(413)}$ in CHO cells yielded numerous clones stably expressing various densities of these isoforms. As expected from the negative coupling of the H_3 receptor to adenylate cyclase (Lovenberg *et al*., 1999; Morisset *et al*., 2000), histamine used at a maximal concentration $(1 \mu M)$ induced an inhibition of forskolin-induced cAMP accumulation, which was already significant at a density as low as \sim 20 fmol·mg⁻¹ protein of $rH_{3(445)}$ receptor (Figure 1). The magnitude of this inhibition dramatically increased with the density of each isoform, to become almost total at a density of 1000 fmol·mg⁻¹ protein of rH₃₍₄₁₃₎ receptor (cAMP rate of 299 \pm 59 fmol vs. 2788 \pm 198 fmol with forskolin alone, Figure 1). The influence of the receptor density on the histamine effect was similar for both isoforms. At a density of 300 fmol \cdot mg⁻¹ protein, histamine inhibited forskolin-induced cAMP accumulation by $45 \pm 5\%$ and 45 \pm 10% with the receptor isoforms rH₃₍₄₄₅₎ and rH₃₍₄₁₃₎ respectively. At a density of $400-500$ fmol \cdot mg⁻¹ protein, the histamine-induced inhibition was 70 \pm 3% and 74 \pm 3% respectively (Figure 1).

These cell lines expressing $400-500$ fmol·mg⁻¹ protein of each isoform were selected in subsequent experiments. The agonist effect of histamine displayed a similar profile at $rH_{3(445)}$ and $rH_{3(413)}$ isoforms, with a maximal inhibition of cAMP formation of 75–80% and EC_{50} values of 9 \pm 2 and 15 \pm 4 nM respectively (Figure 2A and Table 1). The H₃ receptor agonist R- α MeHA behaved as a full agonist with EC₅₀ values of 3.6 \pm 3.4 nM at rH₃₍₄₄₅₎ and 1.8 \pm 0.2 nM at rH₃₍₄₁₃₎ receptors (Figure 2A), leading to potencies of R-aMeHA relative to histamine at the two isoforms of 266% and 833% respectively. Two-way ANOVA indicated that the difference between the curves of R- α -MeHA and histamine was significant for rH₃₍₄₁₃₎ receptors $[F(1,36) = 19.74, P < 0.0001]$, but not for rH₃₍₄₄₅₎ receptors $[F(1,14) = 2.54, P = 0.13]$. *Post hoc* analysis confirmed that R-a-MeHA was significantly more potent than histamine at $rH_{3(413)}$ receptors ($P < 0.01$ at 3 nM and $P < 0.05$ at 10 nM, Figure 2A).

On [3 H]AA release, a signalling pathway positively coupled to recombinant H₃ receptors (Morisset *et al.*, 2000; Gbahou *et al*., 2003), histamine increased A23187-evoked [³H]AA release with EC₅₀ values of 177 \pm 68 and 213 \pm 50 nM at $rH_{3(445)}$ and $rH_{3(413)}$ receptor isoforms respectively (Figure 2B). R- α MeHA increased [³H]AA release with EC₅₀ values of 1.6 \pm 0.9 nM at rH₃₍₄₄₅₎ and 19 \pm 5 nM at rH₃₍₄₁₃₎ receptors (Figure 2B), leading to potencies of R - α MeHA rela-

Effect of histamine (HA) on forskolin (FSK)-induced cAMP accumulation in CHO cells expressing various densities of the rH₃₍₄₄₅₎ and rH₃₍₄₁₃₎ receptor isoforms. cAMP accumulation was induced by forskolin (3 μ M) alone or in the presence of histamine (1 μ M) in CHO(rH₃₍₄₄₅₎R) and CHO(rH₃₍₄₁₃₎R) cells expressing increasing isoform densities (up to 1000 fmol·mg⁻¹ protein, as determined using $[1^{25}$]]IPX binding assay). The results are means \pm SEM of values from two to six different experiments with five determinations each. **P* < 0.01, ***P* < 0.001, significantly different from forskolin alone.

Figure 2

Effects of R- α MeHA and histamine on forskolin-induced cAMP accumulation (A) and A23187-evoked [3H]AA release (B) in CHO(rH $_{3(445)}$ R) and CHO(rH $_{3(413)}$ R) cells expressing 350–500 fmol·mg $^{-1}$ protein of receptor. Results are means \pm SEM of values from two to eight separate experiments with three to five determinations each. In (B), the inset represents the effects of both compounds at a maximal concentration within one of the experiments. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, significantly different from histamine.

tive to histamine at the two isoforms of 11063% and 1121% respectively. Two-way ANOVA failed to show any significant difference between the curves of R-a-MeHA and histamine not only at $rH_{3(413)}$ receptors but also at $rH_{3(445)}$ receptors $[F(1,41) = 0.94, P = 0.33]$. This finding probably resulted from the variability of the response between experiments coupled to the low magnitude of the increase induced by R-a-MeHA at $rH_{3(445)}$ receptors. However, the curve fitting showed that R- α MeHA behaved as a full agonist at rH₃₍₄₁₃₎ receptors, but as a partial agonist at $rH_{3(445)}$ receptors with an intrinsic activity

Table 1

Compared agonist potencies (EC₅₀, μ M) of R(-) and S(+) N^aMe- α ClMeHA at the recombinant rH₃₍₄₄₅₎ and rH₃₍₄₁₃₎ receptor isoforms and at the rat H3 autoreceptor

a Values from Arrang *et al*. (1985a). The values at the two isoforms are derived from the data shown in Figure 3. The potencies relative to histamine (=100) are indicated between parentheses and were calculated as the ratio: EC_{50} value of histamine/ EC_{50} value of agonist \times 100.

of 50% that of histamine (Figure 2B). In agreement, when the maximal effect of R-a-MeHA was compared with that of histamine within each of the eight experiments performed at $rH_{3(445)}$ receptors with three to five determinations, it was found to be significantly lower $(P < 0.01)$ in all the experiments (inset of Figure 2B), with an intrinsic activity of R- α MeHA ranging from 33% to 61% that of histamine, confirming the partial agonism by R- α MeHA at the long isoform (Figure 2B). Due to the limited availability of the drug, the S enantiomer of aMeHA could be tested only at the shorter isoform on [3 H]AA release and increased this release with an EC₅₀ of 900 \pm 450 nM (data not shown). At this rH₃₍₄₁₃₎ isoform, the comparison with histamine yielded therefore a relative potency for S- α MeHA of 24% and the comparison with R-αMeHA yielded a marked stereoselectivity with an activity ratio $R/S = 47.4$.

Effects of (R) and (S) N^a *Me-*a*ClMeHA on specific [125I]IPX binding and forskolin-induced cAMP accumulation in CHO(rH3(445)R) and CHO(rH3(413)R) cells*

In addition to α MeHA, the effect of N ${}^{\alpha}$ Me- α ClMeHA, another chiral analogue of histamine previously studied at autoreceptors (Arrang *et al.*, 1985a), was investigated at rH₃₍₄₄₅₎ and $rH_{3(413)}$ receptor isoforms.

Its two enantiomers were first studied on the [125I]IPX specific binding assay using membranes of CHO cells expressing a similar density of $rH_{3(445)}$ and $rH_{3(413)}$ receptors. The R and S enantiomers of N^aMe- α ClMeHA inhibited [¹²⁵I]IPX binding with deduced $K_{\rm i}$ values at rH $_{\rm 3(445)}$ receptors of $480~\pm~60$ and 220 ± 40 nM respectively (S/R ratio = 2.2). The corresponding K_i values of the R and S enantiomers at $rH_{3(413)}$ receptors were 1.5 ± 0.3 and $1.1 \pm 0.2 \mu M$ (S/R ratio = 1.4) (Figure 3A).

On cAMP accumulation induced by forskolin, the comparison of their plateau with that of histamine showed that $R(-)$ and $S(+)$ N^oMe- α ClMeHA behaved as partial agonists at $rH_{3(445)}$ receptors with an intrinsic activity of ~60% that of histamine, but as full agonists at $rH_{3(413)}$ receptors (Figure 3B). At the $rH_{3(445)}$ receptor isoform, their respective EC_{50} values were 270 \pm 40 and 84 \pm 14 nM, leading to respective potencies relative to histamine of 3.3% and 11% and to an S/R ratio of 3.2 (Figure 3B and Table 1). At the $rH_{3(413)}$ receptor isoform, the respective EC_{50} values of $R(-)$ and $S(+)$ N^oMe- α ClMeHA were 81 \pm 24 and 1.5 \pm 0.8 µM, leading to respective potencies relative to histamine of 0.02% and 1% and to an S/R ratio of 54 (Figure 3B and Table 1). The statistical analysis using two-way ANOVA showed a significant difference between the curves of the two enantiomers both at $rH_{3(445)}$ receptors $[F(1,37) = 7.68, P < 0.01]$ and at $rH_{3(413)}$ receptors $[F(1,37) =$ 31.54, *P* < 0.0001]. However, *post hoc* analysis led to significant differences at several concentrations only at $rH_{3(413)}$ receptors ($P < 0.01$ at $1 \mu M$; $P < 0.05$ at 10 and 30 μM , Figure 3B). These data show that if the $S(+)$ enantiomer was preferred to the $R(-)$ enantiomer at both isoforms, the stereoselectivity was more pronounced at the $rH_{3(413)}$ receptors isoform (S/R ratio of 54 vs. 3.2) (Table 1). Moreover, the comparison of the potencies relative to histamine obtained at the two recombinant isoforms with those previously reported at autoreceptors (Arrang *et al*., 1985a) shows that the relative potency of S(+) N^oMe- α ClMeHA at rH₃₍₄₄₅₎ receptors (11%) was only 10-fold lower at $rH_{3(413)}$ receptors and at autoreceptors (1% and 1.1%, respectively), whereas the relative potency of R(-) N^aMe- α ClMeHA at rH₃₍₄₄₅₎ receptors (3.3%) was 200- to 500-fold lower at $rH_{3(413)}$ receptors and autoreceptors (0.02%) and 0.006%, respectively), yielding a similar stereoselectivity on these two systems $(S/R \text{ ratio} = 54 \text{ vs. } 200)$ (Table 1).

Effects of the R(-*) and S(*+*) enantiomers of sopromidine on forskolin-induced cAMP accumulation in CHO(rH3(445)R) and CHO(rH3(413)R) cells*

 $R(-)$ and $S(+)$ sopromidine were first investigated alone on forskolin-induced cAMP accumulation (Figure 4A). At the $rH_{3(445)}$ receptor isoform, $R(-)$ sopromidine decreased the response in a concentration-dependent manner ($EC_{50} = 79 \pm 100$ 34 nM), with a maximal effect similar to that of histamine and was therefore behaving as a full agonist at this isoform. In contrast, S(+) sopromidine alone mimicked the effect of thioperamide and increased the response with an EC_{50} of 180 \pm 90 nM, thereby acting as an inverse agonist (Figure 4A and Table 2). At the $rH_{3(413)}$ receptor isoform, $R(-)$ sopromidine behaved again as an agonist ($EC_{50} = 91 \pm 78$ nM), but the comparison of its maximal effect with that of histamine indicated that it acted as a very partial agonist with an intrinsic activity of ~20% (Figure 4A). S(+) sopromidine alone behaved also as an inverse agonist at this isoform, with an EC_{50} of 340 \pm 92 nM and a maximal effect similar to that of thioperamide.

Effects of the R(-) and S(+) enantiomers of N^aMe- α ClMeHA on specific [¹²⁵I]IPX binding (A) and forskolin-induced cAMP accumulation (B) in CHO(rH₃₍₄₄₅₎R) and CHO(rH₃₍₄₁₃₎R) cells expressing 500 fmol·mg⁻¹ protein of receptor. Each point represents the mean \pm SEM of values from two to three different experiments with three to five determinations each. $*P < 0.05$, $*P < 0.01$, significantly different from S(+) N^oMe- α ClMeHA.

The antagonist potency of the two enantiomers was then evaluated against a sub-maximal concentration of histamine (100 nM) (Figure 4B). At the $rH_{3(445)}$ receptor isoform, $R(-)$ sopromidine tested up to $300 \mu M$ had no apparent effect against histamine. In contrast, the S enantiomer completely reversed the inhibition of forskolin-induced cAMP formation induced by histamine and an enhancement of the response was even observed at the highest concentration due to its own inverse agonist effect. Analysis of the total curve yielded a $K_{\rm i}$ value for S(+) sopromidine of 250 \pm 120 nM (Figure 4B and Table 2). At the $rH_{3(413)}$ receptor isoform, $R(-)$ sopromidine partially reversed the effect of histamine with a K_i of 63 \pm 40 nM and a plateau similar to that obtained with the compound added alone (Figure 4). The S enantiomer completely reversed the effect of histamine with a *K*ⁱ value of 220 \pm 100 nM. Again, its own inverse agonist effect enhanced the response at the highest concentration (Figure 4B and Table 2). Two-way ANOVA confirmed the statistical significance of the difference between the two curves $[F(1,34) =$ 12.39, *P* < 0.001] and *post hoc* analysis confirmed the significant difference of the plateau ($P < 0.01$ at 300 μ M; $P < 0.05$ at 30 μ M, Figure 4B). The comparison of the properties of R(-) and S(+) sopromidine at the two recombinant isoforms with those previously reported at autoreceptors (Arrang *et al*., 1985a) shows that $R(-)$ sopromidine behaves as a full agonist at the $rH_{3(445)}$ receptor isoform, but as an antagonist with a similar potency at the $rH_{3(413)}$ receptor isoform and at autoreceptors (K_i of 63 \pm 40 and 56 \pm 22 nM, respectively, Table 2).

In contrast, $S(+)$ sopromidine behaved as an antagonist/ inverse agonist in the three systems (Table 2).

Effects of the R(-*) and S(*+*) enantiomers of N*^α*Me-αClMeHA and sopromidine on [35S]GTP*g*[S] binding to rat brain membranes*

The effects of the enantiomers of N^{α} Me- α ClMeHA and sopromidine were studied at native H_3 receptors mediating [35S]GTPg[S] binding to rat brain membranes (Rouleau *et al*., 2002). In $[^{35}S]$ GTP $\gamma[S]$ binding assays, the H₃ selectivity of their effects was ensured by blockade of H_1 and H_2 receptors with corresponding antagonists, that is, mepyramine and cimetidine, used at maximal concentrations. $R(-)$ and $S(+)$ N^αMe-αClMeHA increased specific [³⁵S]GTPγ[S] binding in the cerebral cortex, striatum and hypothalamus in a concentration-dependent and saturable manner. The EC_{50} value of the S enantiomer was similar in the three regions (3.8 \pm 0.4, 9.2 \pm 3.1 and 6.1 \pm 5.8 µM in the cerebral cortex, striatum and hypothalamus respectively). The EC_{50} value of the R enantiomer increased from 9.7 ± 1.9 µM in the striatum to 14 ± 7 µM in the cerebral cortex and to 77 ± 34 µM in the hypothalamus, leading S/R ratios to increase from 1.0 in the striatum to 3.7 in the cerebral cortex and 13 in the hypothalamus (Figure 5A). The plateau reached by the two enantiomers was not significantly different from the plateau reached by imetit in the cerebral cortex and striatum (116 \pm 1.6% and 118 \pm 3.5%, respectively), indicating that the compounds were acting as full agonists in these two regions.

Effects of the R(-) and S(+) enantiomers of sopromidine on forskolin-induced cAMP accumulation in CHO(rH₃₍₄₄₅)R) and CHO(rH₃₍₄₁₃₎R) cells. Cells were incubated with 3 μ M forskolin and, when required, increasing concentrations of R(-) or S(+) sopromidine added alone (A) or in the presence of 100 nM histamine (B). The data are the means \pm SEM of values from two to four separate experiments with five determinations each. **P* < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, significantly different from histamine + R(-) sopromidine.

However, in the hypothalamus, their maximal effect was half of that of imetit ($3 \pm 1\%$ and $4 \pm 1\%$ vs. $8 \pm 2\%$, respectively), showing that they behaved as partial agonists in this region. In this area, two-way ANOVA indicated a difference between the two curves close to statistical significance $[F(1,52) = 3.47, P = 0.06]$.

In the three regions, the two isomers of sopromidine antagonized the increase in specific $[^{35}S]GTP\gamma[S]$ binding induced by $1 \mu M$ imetit (Figure 5B). In the presence of $100 \mu M$ (highest concentration tested) of each of the two enantiomers, [³⁵S]GTPγ[S] binding had returned to control values (100%) in the cerebral cortex and striatum, and was even further decreased (by up to 15%) in the hypothalamus, thereby revealing the inverse agonist properties of the compounds (Figure 5B). Taking into account only the antagonistic part of the curves (i.e. above 100%) and an EC_{50} value of imetit of 2 \pm 1 nM (not shown), the apparent $K_{\rm i}$ values found for the S enantiomer were roughly similar in the three regions $(47 \pm 14, 14 \pm 0.6 \text{ and } 23 \pm 9 \text{ nM} \text{ in the hypothalamus},$ cerebral cortex and striatum, respectively), whereas the apparent K_i values found for the R enantiomer increased from 21 ± 14 nM in the hypothalamus to 37 ± 35 nM in the cerebral cortex and 163 ± 92 nM in the striatum. The resulting S/R ratios increased from 2 in the hypothalamus, to 3 in the cerebral cortex and 8 in the striatum (Figure 5B). In agreement, two-way ANOVA revealed that the difference observed between the effects of the R and S enantiomers of sopromidine reached significance only in the striatum $[F(1,79) =$ 35.48, *P* < 0.0001], *post hoc* analysis indicating a significant difference between the two enantiomers at 10 μ M (*P* < 0.01) and 100 μM (*P* < 0.001) (Figure 5B).

Discussion

All the findings of this study support the assumption that the function of the autoreceptors modulating histaminergic neurons was not fulfilled by the long isoform of the H_3 receptor, but by a short form, such as the $H_{3(413)}R$ isoform. These findings included: (i) the partial agonist effect of R - α MeHA on [³H]AA release mediated by the long isoform has never been found either at native H₃ autoreceptors or at short isoforms; (ii) the stereoselectivity ratio of enantiomers of N^αMe-αClMeHA at autoreceptors was only fourfold lower at the functional $H_{3(413)}$ receptor isoform, but was much lower at the functional long isoform; (iii) this stereoselectivity of N^αMe-αClMeHA on [³⁵S]GTPγ[S] binding was higher in the hypothalamus, the region of origin of histaminergic neurons, than in the cerebral cortex or striatum; (iv) (R) sopromidine behaved as an antagonist with a similar potency at functional

Table 2

Compared properties of R(

 $-$) and S(+) sopromidine at the recombinant rH₃₍₄₄₅₎ and rH₃₍₄₁₃₎ receptor isoforms and at the rat H₃ autoreceptor

Compared properties of $R(-)$ and $S(+)$ sopromidine at the recombinant rH₃₍₄₄₅) and rH₃₍₄₁₃₎ receptor isoforms and at the rat H₃ autoreceptor

Values from Arrang *et al.* (1985a). The values at the two isoforms are derived from data shown in Figure 4. aValues from Arrang *et al*. (1985a). The values at the two isoforms are derived from data shown in Figure 4. nd, not determined. nd. not determined

The H_3 autoreceptor is a short isoform

H3(413) receptor isoforms and autoreceptors, but as a full agonist at the long isoform; (v) sopromidine isomers exhibited no stereoselectivity at autoreceptors and on [35S]GTPy[S] binding in the hypothalamus but showed some stereoselectivity in the cerebral cortex or striatum.

The observation that autoreceptors are the short receptor isoforms is consistent with their expression level in the brain. Functional (Schlicker *et al*., 1994; Haas *et al*., 2008), localization (Goodchild *et al*., 1999; Anichtchik *et al*., 2001; Pillot *et al*., 2002) and lesion (Cumming *et al*., 1991; Pollard *et al*., 1993; Anichtchik *et al*., 2000) studies showed that the majority of H3 receptors in the brain are not autoreceptors on histaminergic neurons, but are post-synaptic receptors or heteroreceptors present on other neuronal populations. In addition, in most brain areas from different species including human, the expression of the long isoform is largely predominant compared with shorter functional isoforms, with deletions in the third intracellular loop. such as the $H_{3(413)}$ receptor isoform (Tardivel-Lacombe *et al*., 2000; Coge *et al*., 2001; Drutel *et al*., 2001; Morisset *et al*., 2001; Rouleau *et al*., 2004). Although the long isoform can be excluded, our study does not identify which short isoform(s) fulfill(s) the autoreceptor function. However, whereas all the other splice variants differ in composition between species (Hancock *et al*., 2003), the expression of the $H_{3(413)}$ receptor isoform is the only one to be maintained in the brain from all species including rat (Morisset *et al*., 2001), mouse (Rouleau *et al*., 2004), guinea pig (Tardivel-Lacombe *et al*., 2000), monkey (Strakhova *et al*., 2008) and human (Coge *et al*., 2001; Tardivel-Lacombe *et al*., 2001). This may therefore indicate that this short isoform does play the role of autoreceptor including in human brains. The involvement of shorter variants such as the H3(397) receptor in rodent (Drutel *et al*., 2001; Morisset *et al.*, 2001; Rouleau *et al.*, 2004), or the H₃₍₃₆₅₎ receptor in human (Coge *et al*., 2001; Wellendorph *et al*., 2002; Bongers *et al.*, 2007), alone or with the H₃₍₄₁₃₎ receptor isoform, cannot, however, be entirely ruled out. Unfortunately, the stereoselectivity of the human $H_{3(365)}$ receptor could not be evaluated in this study because no response was produced by this isoform in CHO cells (data not shown), as also reported in one of the earlier studies (Coge *et al*., 2001).

The mechanisms leading a short, rather than the long, isoform to play the role of autoreceptor remain unknown. It is worth noting that our observations on the H_3 receptor resemble those on the D_2 dopamine receptor. The short isoform of the D_2 receptor also differs from the D_2 long isoform by a 29-amino acid deletion in the third cytoplasmic loop and also functions as an autoreceptor (Usiello *et al*., 2000; Centonze *et al*., 2002; Lindgren *et al*., 2003). This functional selectivity was suggested on the basis of a predominant location of the short isoform in dopaminergic neurons (Khan *et al*., 1998; Jomphe *et al*., 2006) and/or from a differential coupling leading the short isoform to be preferred for the autoreceptor function (Senogles, 1994; Guiramand *et al*., 1995; Liu *et al*., 1996; Wolfe and Morris, 1999; Van *et al*., 2007). These two suggestions may apply to the functional selectivity of H ³ receptors. However, whether histaminergic neurons selectively express the short isoforms of H ³ receptors is not yet known, inasmuch as transcripts of both long and short isoforms have been observed in the hypothalamus, their region of origin (Morisset *et al*., 2001). Alternatively, the

Effects of the R(-) and S(+) enantiomers of N^aMe- α ClMeHA and sopromidine on specific [³⁵S]GTPy[S] binding to membranes from various rat brain regions. Membranes were incubated with 0.1 nM $[^{35}S]GTP\{S\}$ in the presence of increasing concentrations of N^aMe- α ClMeHA enantiomers alone (A), or sopromidine enantiomers in the presence of 1 μ M imetit (B). In order to prevent any interaction of the drugs with H₁ and H₂ receptors, all incubations were performed in the presence of 100 nM mepyramine and 10 μ M cimetidine. Data are means \pm SEM of 4–16 determinations from two to four separate experiments. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001, significantly different from imetit + S(+) sopromidine.

autoreceptor function of short isoforms may result from their selective signalling properties. More interestingly, both H_3 (Takeshita *et al*., 1998; Stevens *et al*., 2001; Moreno-Delgado *et al.*, 2009) and D₂ (Wolfe and Morris, 1999) receptors inhibit high voltage-activated Ca²⁺ channels, which is likely to underlie their autoreceptor function on histamine and dopamine release respectively. However, the same coupling, that is, inhibition of the cAMP pathway, can be used by native H_3 autoreceptors to inhibit histamine synthesis in the brain (Moreno-Delgado *et al*., 2009) and by both long and short isoforms. Therefore, whether H_3 and D_2 receptor short isoforms selectively couple to a common effector to inhibit histaminergic and dopaminergic neuron activity, respectively, remains to be shown.

This study confirms our studies on autoreceptors indicating that H_3 receptors prefer $(+)$ enantiomers corresponding to the S-configuration of L-histidine, such as R-aMeHA or S-N^αMe-αClMeHA (Arrang *et al.*, 1985a). However, we show for the first time that H_3 receptor isoforms differ markedly in their pharmacological profiles, indicating that they correspond to distinct H3 receptor conformations. These different conformations resulting from differences in the third intracellular loop are not expected to generate significant differences in the affinity of the ligands, known to interact with the transmembrane domains. In agreement, differences between isoforms in binding studies were always limited (Coge *et al*., 2001; Morisset *et al*., 2001; Rouleau *et al*., 2004). It is worth noting, however, that the affinity of betahistine was ~6-fold higher at the $rH_{3(413)}$ than at the $rH_{3(445)}$ receptor isoform, but very similar to its antagonist potency at rat autoreceptors (Arrang *et al*., 1985b), which already led us to suggest that the function of autoreceptor was fulfilled by a short, rather than long, H3 receptor isoform (Gbahou *et al*., 2010). Moreover, it is well-established that H_3 receptors exist in multiple conformations displaying different pharmacological profiles (Gbahou *et al.*, 2003) and that H₃ receptor binding sites do not represent, at least solely, functional receptors. For example, inverse agonist radioligands label a much larger population of H_3 receptors than agonist radioligands (Witte *et al*., 2006; Yao *et al*., 2006; Mezzomo *et al*., 2007), and agonist radioligands bind to both uncoupled and coupled states of the H₃ receptor (Arrang *et al.*, 1990). It explains that, whereas (R) - and (S) - α MeHA conserve their stereoselectivity in binding and functional studies (Arrang *et al*., 1987; 1990), the stereoselectivity of the R and S enantiomers of N[«]Me-αClMeHA, observed here in functional

responses, was not observed in binding assays. All these considerations confirm that binding assays are not appropriate for the screening of compounds for a particular functional or therapeutic use.

Rather than having differences in affinity, H_3 receptor isoforms differ markedly at the level of their coupling to G proteins. Indeed, all of our data on the three studied responses can be explained by differences in agonist potency and/or intrinsic activity between the two isoforms. Firstly, R - α MeHA acted surprisingly as an intrinsic partial agonist at the long isoform. This effect was observed only for [3 H]AA release, a response with a low coupling efficiency of the H3 receptor (Morisset *et al*., 2000). For cAMP accumulation, where the H_3 receptor displays a higher coupling efficiency, a full agonist is expected to reach its maximal activity with only a partial occupancy of these receptors. A partial but potent agonist such as R - α MeHA is then expected to behave as an apparent full agonist by occupying more, if not all, functional receptors. In agreement, the relative potency of R-aMeHA was higher on [3 H]AA release than on cAMP accumulation.

Secondly, differences in coupling of isoforms also accounts for their strong differences in stereoselectivity observed with N^{α} Me- α ClMeHA enantiomers, both on cAMP formation mediated by the recombinant isoforms and on [³⁵S]GTP γ [S] binding to brain membranes. These differences were, in fact, generated by a lower potency of the nonpreferred isomer, that is, the $R(-)$, at the short isoform, whereas the potency of the preferred isomer, that is, the $S(+)$, remained unchanged.

Thirdly, at both isoforms, the two enantiomers of sopromidine displayed opposite intrinsic properties, with the $R(-)$ isomer behaving as agonist (full or partial) and the $S(+)$ isomer acting as an inverse agonist. Their potency as agonist, antagonist or inverse agonist remained roughly similar, indicating that they stabilized conformations with similar binding properties, but different coupling properties. At autoreceptors inhibiting histamine release, we previously failed to detect both the partial agonist effect of $R(-)$ sopromidine and the inverse agonist effect of S(+) sopromidine, and concluded that they were both behaving as full antagonists (Arrang *et al*., 1985a), presumably because our system involved a lower density of receptors with no apparent constitutive activity (Morisset *et al*., 2000). Therefore, in contrast to what we concluded from histamine release experiments, the H_3 receptor also displays a strong stereoselectivity for sopromidine enantiomers. Moreover, as found with N^{α} MeaClMeHA enantiomers, this stereoselectivity differed between the two isoforms, with the inverse agonist effect of S(+) sopromidine remaining unchanged, in contrast to the agonist effect of the $R(-)$ isomer, which was full at the long isoform but very partial at the short isoform. $[^{35}S]GTPY[S]$ binding showed that this difference in stereoselectivity of isoforms also occurred in the brain. The absence of stereoselectivity of sopromidine tested as antagonist against imetit in the hypothalamus is consistent with the high density of autoreceptors in this region, both isomers being expected to act as apparent antagonists with similar potencies. In the cerebral cortex and striatum, the presumably higher density of long isoforms is likely to account for the stereoselectivity observed in these two regions, the agonist property of the

R(-) isomer at these long isoforms counteracting its apparent antagonist properties at short isoforms. In agreement, this stereoselectivity was mainly generated by a decrease in the potency of the $R(-)$ isomer in the cerebral cortex and striatum compared with the hypothalamus, whereas the potency of the S(+) isomer remained roughly the same in all three regions.

It is worth noting that these differences in coupling of H_3 receptor isoforms may be ligand-dependent because, in contrast to the compounds used in the present study, the two enantiomers of aMeHA revealed a similar stereoselectivity of rodent and human H3 receptor isoforms (Arrang *et al*., 1987; 1990; Wulff *et al*., 2002; Hancock *et al*., 2003).

In conclusion, the present findings show that short, but not long, isoforms fulfil the function of autoreceptor and thereby confirm the hypothesis that H_3 receptor isoforms have distinct functional roles in the brain. The roles played by the long isoform remain to be explored, but its transcripts, being predominant in most brain regions, may encode for the numerous receptors present on neurons other than histaminergic neurons, either at the post-synaptic level (somatodendritic receptors) or pre-synaptic level (heteroreceptors) (Pillot *et al*., 2002). The pharmacological differences that we report here between isoforms indicate that it should be possible to identify ligands selective for each of them. Such ligands should then be helpful in discriminating between the selective functions of isoforms at the pre- and post-synaptic levels. Numerous pharmaceutical companies have invested considerable efforts in the clinical development of inverse agonists at the H3 receptor, as possible treatments for wakefulness and cognition disorders (narcolepsy, Alzheimer's disease and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder) (Hancock and Fox, 2004; Passani *et al*., 2004; Celanire *et al*., 2005; Leurs *et al*., 2005; Esbenshade *et al*., 2006; Arrang *et al*., 2007; Parmentier *et al*., 2007; Lin *et al*., 2008), but these drugs are not selective for any isoform. The clinical development of compounds that selectively target autoreceptors may enhance their therapeutic efficacy, in the above-mentioned disorders, as well as in other more controversial indications including food intake disorders (Hancock, 2003), seizures (Kamei, 2001) or schizophrenia (Southam *et al*., 2009; Burban *et al*., 2010; Motawaj and Arrang, 2011). Moreover, because no compound has yet been successfully developed into clinical use, the possible side effects of H₃ receptor inverse agonists remain unknown but may be decreased with compounds selective for short isoforms.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by INSERM. The enantiomers of N^αMe-αClMeHA and sopromidine were provided by W. Schunack (Freie Universität Berlin, Germany).

Conflict of interest

None.

References

Alexander SPH, Mathie A, Peters JA (2011). Guide to Receptors and Channels (GRAC), 5th edn. Br J Pharmacol 164 (Suppl. 1): S1–S324.

Anichtchik OV, Huotari M, Peitsaro N, Haycock JW, Mannisto PT, Panula P (2000). Modulation of histamine H_3 receptors in the brain of 6-hydroxydopamine-lesioned rats. Eur J Neurosci 12: 3823–3832.

Anichtchik OV, Peitsaro N, Rinne JO, Kalimo H, Panula P (2001). Distribution and modulation of histamine H₃ receptors in basal ganglia and frontal cortex of healthy controls and patients with Parkinson's disease. Neurobiol Dis 8: 707–716.

Arrang JM, Garbarg M, Schwartz JC (1983). Auto-inhibition of brain histamine release mediated by a novel class (H_3) of histamine receptor. Nature 302: 832–837.

Arrang JM, Schwartz JC, Schunack W (1985a). Stereoselectivity of the histamine H_3 -presynaptic autoreceptor. Eur J Pharmacol 117: 109–114.

Arrang JM, Garbarg M, Quach TT, Dam Trung Tuong M, Yeramian E, Schwartz JC (1985b). Actions of betahistine at histamine receptors in the brain. Eur J Pharmacol 111: 73–84.

Arrang JM, Garbarg M, Lancelot JC, Lecomte JM, Pollard H, Robba M *et al*. (1987). Highly potent and selective ligands for histamine H₃-receptors. Nature 327: 117-123.

Arrang JM, Roy J, Morgat JL, Schunack W, Schwartz JC (1990). Histamine H3 receptor binding sites in rat brain membranes: modulations by guanine nucleotides and divalent cations. Eur J Pharmacol 188: 219–227.

Arrang JM, Morisset S, Gbahou F (2007). Constitutive activity of the histamine H3 receptor. Trends Pharmacol Sci 28: 350–357.

Bongers G, Krueger KM, Miller TR, Baranowski JL, Estvander BR, Witte DG *et al*. (2007). An 80-amino acid deletion in the third intracellular loop of a naturally occurring human histamine H3 isoform confers pharmacological differences and constitutive activity. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 323: 888–898.

Burban A, Sadakhom C, Dumoulin D, Rose C, Le Pen G, Frances H *et al*. (2010). Modulation of prepulse inhibition and stereotypies in rodents: no evidence for antipsychotic-like properties of histamine H3-receptor inverse agonists. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 210: 591–604.

Celanire S, Wijtmans M, Talaga P, Leurs R, de Esch IJ (2005). Histamine H₃ receptor antagonists reach out for the clinic. Drug Discov Today 10: 1613–1627.

Centonze D, Usiello A, Gubellini P, Pisani A, Borrelli E, Bernardi G *et al.* (2002). Dopamine D₂ receptor-mediated inhibition of dopaminergic neurons in mice lacking D_2 L receptors. Neuropsychopharmacology 27: 723–726.

Cheng Y, Prussoff WH (1973). Relationship between the inhibition constant (*K*i) and the concentration of inhibitor which causes 50 per cent inhibition (I50) of an enzymatic reaction. Biochem Pharmacol 22: 3099–3108.

Coge F, Guenin SP, Audinot V, Renouard-Try A, Beauverger P, Macia C *et al*. (2001). Genomic organization and characterization of splice variants of the human histamine H₃ receptor. Biochem J 355: 279–288.

Cumming P, Shaw C, Vincent SR (1991). High affinity histamine binding site is the H_3 receptor: characterization and autoradiographic localization in rat brain. Synapse 8: 144–151.

Drutel G, Peitsaro N, Karlstedt K, Wieland K, Smit MJ, Timmerman H *et al.* (2001). Identification of rat H₃ receptor isoforms with different brain expression and signaling properties. Mol Pharmacol 59: 1–8.

Esbenshade TA, Fox GB, Cowart MD (2006). Histamine H₃ receptor antagonists: preclinical promise for treating obesity and cognitive disorders. Mol Interv 6: 77–88, 59.

Gbahou F, Rouleau A, Morisset S, Parmentier R, Crochet S, Lin JS *et al.* (2003). Protean agonism at histamine H_3 receptors in vitro and in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100: 11086-11091.

Gbahou F, Vincent L, Humbert-Claude M, Tardivel-Lacombe J, Chabret C, Arrang JM (2006). Compared pharmacology of human histamine H_3 and H_4 receptors: structure-activity relationships of histamine derivatives. Br J Pharmacol 147: 744–754.

Gbahou F, Davenas E, Morisset S, Arrang JM (2010). Effects of betahistine at histamine H₃ receptors: mixed inverse agonism/agonism in vitro and partial inverse agonism in vivo. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 334: 945–954.

Goodchild RE, Court JA, Hobson I, Piggott MA, Perry RH, Ince P *et al.* (1999). Distribution of histamine H₃-receptor binding in the normal human basal ganglia: comparison with Huntington's and Parkinson's disease cases. Eur J Neurosci 11: 449–456.

Guiramand J, Montmayeur JP, Ceraline J, Bhatia M, Borrelli E (1995). Alternative splicing of the dopamine D_2 receptor directs specificity of coupling to G-proteins. J Biol Chem 270: 7354–7358.

Haas HL, Sergeeva OA, Selbach O (2008). Histamine in the nervous system. Physiol Rev 88: 1183–1241.

Hancock AA (2003). H₃ receptor antagonists/inverse agonists as anti-obesity agents. Curr Opin Investig Drugs 4: 1190–1197.

Hancock AA, Fox GB (2004). Perspectives on cognitive domains, H₃ receptor ligands and neurological disease. Expert Opin Investig Drugs 13: 1237–1248.

Hancock AA, Esbenshade TA, Krueger KM, Yao BB (2003). Genetic and pharmacological aspects of histamine H3 receptor heterogeneity. Life Sci 73: 3043–3072.

Jomphe C, Tiberi M, Trudeau LE (2006). Expression of D₂ receptor isoforms in cultured neurons reveals equipotent autoreceptor function. Neuropharmacology 50: 595–605.

Kamei C (2001). Involvement of central histamine in amygdaloid kindled seizures in rats. Behav Brain Res 124: 243–250.

Khan ZU, Mrzljak L, Gutierrez A, de la Calle A, Goldman-Rakic PS (1998). Prominence of the dopamine D_2 short isoform in dopaminergic pathways. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95: 7731–7736.

Krause M, Stark H, Schunack W (1997). Iododestannylation: an improved synthesis of [125I]iodoproxyfan, a specific radioligand of the histamine H₃ receptor. J Labelled Comp Radiopharm 39: 601–606.

Leurs R, Bakker RA, Timmerman H, de Esch IJ (2005). The histamine H_3 receptor: from gene cloning to H_3 receptor drugs. Nat Rev Drug Discov 4: 107–120.

Lin JS, Dauvilliers Y, Arnulf I, Bastuji H, Anaclet C, Parmentier R *et al.* (2008). An inverse agonist of the histamine H₃ receptor improves wakefulness in narcolepsy: studies in orexin-/- mice and patients. Neurobiol Dis 30: 74–83.

Lindgren N, Usiello A, Goiny M, Haycock J, Erbs E, Greengard P *et al.* (2003). Distinct roles of dopamine D₂L and D₂S receptor isoforms in the regulation of protein phosphorylation at presynaptic and postsynaptic sites. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100: 4305–4309.

Liu LX, Monsma FJ Jr, Sibley DR, Chiodo LA (1996). D₂L, D₂S, and D3 dopamine receptors stably transfected into NG108-15 cells couple to a voltage-dependent potassium current via distinct G protein mechanisms. Synapse 24: 156–164.

Lovenberg TW, Roland BL, Wilson SJ, Jiang X, Pyati J, Huvar A *et al*. (1999). Cloning and functional expression of the human histamine H₃ receptor. Mol Pharmacol 55: 1101-1107.

Mezzomo K, Cumming P, Minuzzi L (2007). Comparison of the binding distribution of agonist and antagonist ligands for histamine H_3 receptors in pig brain by quantitative autoradiography. Eur J Pharmacol 564: 75–79.

Moreno-Delgado D, Gomez-Ramirez J, Torrent-Moreno A, Gonzalez-Sepulveda M, Blanco I, Ortiz J (2009). Different role of cAMP dependent protein kinase and CaMKII in H₃ receptor regulation of histamine synthesis and release. Neuroscience 164: 1244–1251.

Morisset S, Rouleau A, Ligneau X, Gbahou F, Tardivel-Lacombe J, Stark H *et al*. (2000). High constitutive activity of native H3 receptors regulates histamine neurons in brain. Nature 408: 860–864.

Morisset S, Sasse A, Gbahou F, Héron A, Ligneau X, Tardivel-Lacombe J *et al.* (2001). The rat H₃ receptor: gene organization and multiple isoforms. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 280: 75–80.

Motawaj M, Arrang JM (2011). Ciproxifan, a histamine H₃-receptor antagonist/inverse agonist, modulates methamphetamine-induced sensitization in mice. Eur J Neurosci 33: 1197–1204.

Panula P, Airaksinen M (1991). The histaminergic neuronal system as revealed with antisera against histamine. In: Watanabe T, Wada H (eds). Histaminergic Neurons: Morphology and Function. CRC: Boca Raton, FL, pp. 127–144.

Parmentier R, Anaclet C, Guhennec C, Brousseau E, Bricout D, Giboulot T *et al.* (2007). The brain H₃-receptor as a novel therapeutic target for vigilance and sleep-wake disorders. Biochem Pharmacol 73: 1157–1171.

Passani MB, Lin JS, Hancock A, Crochet S, Blandina P (2004). The histamine H₃ receptor as a novel therapeutic target for cognitive and sleep disorders. Trends Pharmacol Sci 25: 618–625.

Pillot C, Héron A, Cochois V, Tardivel-Lacombe J, Ligneau X, Schwartz JC *et al.* (2002). A detailed mapping of the histamine H₃ receptor and its gene transcripts in rat brain. Neuroscience 114: 173–193.

Pollard H, Moreau J, Arrang JM, Schwartz JC (1993). A detailed autoradiographic mapping of histamine H_3 receptors in rat brain areas. Neuroscience 52: 169–189.

Rouleau A, Ligneau X, Tardivel-Lacombe J, Morisset S, Gbahou F, Schwartz JC et al. (2002). Histamine H₃-receptor-mediated [³⁵S]GTP gamma[S] binding: evidence for constitutive activity of the recombinant and native rat and human H₃ receptors. Br J Pharmacol 135: 383–392.

Rouleau A, Héron A, Cochois V, Pillot C, Schwartz JC, Arrang JM (2004). Cloning and expression of the mouse histamine H_3 receptor: evidence for multiple isoforms. J Neurochem 90: 1331–1338.

Schlicker E, Malinowska B, Kathmann M, Gothert M (1994). Modulation of neurotransmitter release via histamine H3 heteroreceptors. Fundam Clin Pharmacol 8: 128–137.

Senogles SE (1994). The D_2 dopamine receptor isoforms signal through distinct Gi alpha proteins to inhibit adenylyl cyclase. A study with site-directed mutant Gi alpha proteins. J Biol Chem 269: 23120–23127.

Southam E, Cilia J, Gartlon JE, Woolley ML, Lacroix LP, Jennings CA *et al*. (2009). Preclinical investigations into the antipsychotic potential of the novel histamine H_3 receptor antagonist GSK207040. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 201: 483–494.

Stevens DR, Eriksson KS, Brown RE, Haas HL (2001). The mechanism of spontaneous firing in histamine neurons. Behav Brain Res 124: 105–112.

Strakhova MI, Fox GB, Carr TL, Witte DG, Vortherms TA, Manelli AM *et al*. (2008). Cloning and characterization of the monkey histamine H₃ receptor isoforms. Eur J Pharmacol 601: 8–15.

Takeshita Y, Watanabe T, Sakata T, Munakata M, Ishibashi H, Akaike N (1998). Histamine modulates high-voltage-activated calcium channels in neurons dissociated from the rat tuberomammillary nucleus. Neuroscience 87: 797–805.

Tardivel-Lacombe J, Rouleau A, Héron A, Morisset S, Pillot C, Cochois V *et al*. (2000). Cloning and cerebral expression of the guinea pig histamine H_3 receptor: evidence for two isoforms. Neuroreport 11: 755–759.

Tardivel-Lacombe J, Morisset S, Gbahou F, Schwartz JC, Arrang JM (2001). Chromosomal mapping and organization of the human histamine H₃ receptor gene. Neuroreport 12: 321-324.

Tohyama M, Tamiya R, Inagaki N, Takagi H (1991). Morphology of histaminergic neurons with histidine decarboxylase as a marker. In: Watanabe T, Wada H (eds). Histaminergic Neurons: Morphology and Function. CRC: Boca Raton, FL, pp. 107–126.

Usiello A, Baik JH, Rouge-Pont F, Picetti R, Dierich A, LeMeur M *et al.* (2000). Distinct functions of the two isoforms of dopamine D₂ receptors. Nature 408: 199–203.

Van H II, Banihashemi B, Wilson AM, Jacobsen KX, Czesak M, Albert PR (2007). Differential signaling of dopamine- D_2S and - D_2L receptors to inhibit ERK1/2 phosphorylation. J Neurochem 102: 1796–1804.

Wellendorph P, Goodman MW, Burstein ES, Nash NR, Brann MR, Weiner DM (2002). Molecular cloning and pharmacology of functionally distinct isoforms of the human histamine H_3 receptor. Neuropharmacology 42: 929–940.

Witte DG, Yao BB, Miller TR, Carr TL, Cassar S, Sharma R *et al*. (2006). Detection of multiple H₃ receptor affinity states utilizing $[3H]$ A-349821, a novel, selective, non-imidazole histamine H_3 receptor inverse agonist radioligand. Br J Pharmacol 148: 657–670.

Wolfe SE, Morris SJ (1999). Dopamine D_2 receptor isoforms expressed in AtT20 cells differentially couple to G proteins to acutely inhibit high voltage-activated calcium channels. J Neurochem 73: 2375–2382.

Wouterlood F, Steinbusch H (1991). Afferent and efferent fiber connections of histaminergic neurons in the rat brain: comparison with dopaminergic, noradrenergic and serotonergic systems. In: Watanabe T, Wada H (eds). Histaminergic Neurons: Morphology and Function. CRC: Boca Raton, FL, pp. 145–162.

Wulff BS, Hastrup S, Rimvall K (2002). Characteristics of recombinantly expressed rat and human histamine H₃ receptors. Eur J Pharmacol 453: 33–41.

Yao BB, Witte DG, Miller TR, Carr TL, Kang CH, Cassar S *et al*. (2006) . Use of an inverse agonist radioligand $[3H]$ A-317920 reveals distinct pharmacological profiles of the rat histamine H_3 receptor. Neuropharmacology 50: 468–478.