

1 sychiati Serv. Author manuscript, avanable in 1 We 2012 August

Published in final edited form as:

Psychiatr Serv. 2010 August; 61(8): 759–764. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.61.8.759.

Trends in Behavioral Health Care Service Provision by Community Health Centers, 1998–2007

Dr. Rebecca Wells, Ph.D., M.H.S.A.,

The Department of Health Policy and Management, University of North Carolina, Campus Box 7411, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7411

Dr. Joseph P. Morrissey, Ph.D.,

The Department of Health Policy and Management, University of North Carolina, Campus Box 7411, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7411

Dr. I-Heng Lee, Ph.D., and

The Department of Health Policy and Management, University of North Carolina, Campus Box 7411, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7411

Dr. Andrea Radford, Dr.P.H.

The Sheps Center for Health Services Research, University of North Carolina, Campus Box 7411, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7411

Rebecca Wells: rwells@unc.edu

Abstract

Objective—The federal government boosted support for community health centers in medically underserved areas in 2002–2007. This investigation compared trends in behavioral health services provided by community health centers nationwide during the first several years of that initiative with immediately prior trends.

Methods—Data were extracted from the Health Resources and Services Administration's Uniform Data System on community health centers for 1998–2007 (2007, N=1,067). Regression analyses revealed trends in individual community health centers' likelihood of providing on-site specialty mental health care, crisis services, and substance abuse treatment. Aggregate data were used to show national trends in numbers of behavioral health encounters, patients, and encounters per patient.

Results—The number of federally funded community health centers increased 43% between 2001 and 2007, from 748 to 1,067, over twice the annual growth rate between 1998 and 2001. However, trends in individual community health centers' likelihood of providing different types of behavioral health care were generally consistent across the two time periods. In 2007, 77% of community health centers offered specialty mental health services, 20% offered 24-hour crisis intervention services, and 51% offered substance abuse treatment. The mean number of mental health encounters per mental health patient at community health centers in 2007 was 2.9.

Conclusions—The behavioral health care safety net has widened through rapid recent growth in the number of community health centers as well as a continuing increase in the proportion offering specialty mental health services.

Access to behavioral health care remains a major public health concern in the United States (1–4), most acutely affecting people who have low income or are uninsured (5–7). One

promising approach is to locate behavioral health specialists in primary care settings. Such arrangements can improve utilization and outcomes, especially for patients with access barriers (8–11). However, such integrated models have been difficult to implement, largely because of restrictions on reimbursement (12). As a result, advances in provision of specialty mental health care in primary care settings have occurred largely in systems that combine insurance and care provision, such as Kaiser Permanente in California and the Veterans Health Administration (13).

An interesting exception is the network of over 1,000 community health centers around the United States that provide primary care in medically underserved areas. Here, the patient population is largely a mix of Medic-aid beneficiaries and uninsured individuals, but direct federal subsidies may also support integrated care. In 2008 these facilities served over 17 million people, 35% of whom were insured through Medicaid and 38% of whom were uninsured (14). What role does this population of organizations play in providing integrated behavioral health care to the under-served in the United States?

A previous study found that the proportions of community health centers offering behavioral health care increased between 1998 and 2003. The numbers of behavioral health care visits and patients were also substantially higher in 2003; however, given stable numbers of behavioral health care staff per community health center, numbers of visits per patient dropped sharply (15).

Like other primary care facilities, community health centers have had difficulty securing reimbursement for behavioral health care (16). In 2002 the federal government sought to reduce these obstacles by directing state Medicaid agencies to pay for mental health services provided by primary care as well as specialty providers at community health centers (17). At the same time, the Bush Administration began a health center initiative underwriting both new community health centers and expansion of existing facilities, resulting in an increase in overall federal funding from \$1 billion in 2001 to \$2 billion by 2007 (18). During this period, a total of \$7.2 million was awarded specifically for mental health service expansion to 50 community health centers. Thus the health center initiative may have both directly and indirectly enabled community health centers to continue their expansion of behavioral health care services, with potentially significant access implications for the underserved.

Two questions were addressed in this study: first, how do trends in community health centers' provision of behavioral health care services during the first six years of the health center initiative (2002–2007) compare with trends just prior to the initiative (1998–2001)? Second, were these trends the same for mental health services, 24-hour–type crisis services, and substance abuse treatment?

Methods

The primary data source used in this study was the Uniform Data System, a set of electronic files compiled by the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) from reports submitted annually by all U.S. federally funded community health centers on administration, patient population demographic characteristics, utilization, and finances. Whenever data were available at the health center level, we used those, validating them against the totals provided by HRSA in their annual aggregate reports (19). For measures not released at the community health center level, we relied exclusively on the aggregate reports (19). We used calendar year 1998–2007 data to compare trends in mental health and substance abuse service provision before and during the health center initiative, which began in fiscal year 2002.

We used three indicators of whether each community health center offered specialty mental health and substance abuse treatment services on site (each a yes-no item). Specialty mental health services were defined in the Uniform Data System documentation as "mental health therapy, counseling, or other treatment provided by a mental health professional" (20). Crisis mental health services were defined as mental health services offered on a 24-hour basis. Substance abuse treatment was defined as "counseling and other medical and/or psychosocial treatment services provided to individuals with substance abuse (i.e., alcohol and/or other drug) problems" (20).

Two measures were used to indicate the numbers of specialty behavioral health services encounters: number of encounters each year with mental health specialists, including psychiatrists, psychiatric nurses, clinical psychologists, social workers, family therapists, and other "professional mental health workers" providing counseling or other mental health treatment and number of encounters with substance abuse specialists each year, including those with nurses, clinical psychologists, social workers, and substance abuse treatment professionals.

In its counts of patients served by mental health specialists, the Uniform Data System did not include until 2004 patients who saw psychiatrists. We therefore used counts of behavioral health patients and encounters per patient based on three types of primary diagnosis, each reported annually as a single number by each community health center on the basis of groups of billing codes: mental disorder excluding substance use disorder; alcohol use disorder; and drug use disorder. Individuals could be categorized as having different primary diagnoses at different times; thus a sum of patients across categories would overstate the total number of individuals using these centers. However, the measures indicate how many people presented at least once a year with mental, alcohol use, or other substance use disorders as their primary condition. Unlike the two previously described measures of encounters with specialty behavioral health care providers, these three measures based on patient diagnosis did not distinguish between treatment provided by specialty versus primary care providers. They also did not identify the nature of each encounter, such as counseling versus medication management. Reporting the number of patients in each of these categories was optional until 2000; we therefore used only 2000-2007 data for these measures.

For the counts of patients described above who had primary diagnoses of mental disorders or substance use disorders, we also calculated the mean number of encounters per patient each year for each diagnostic group by dividing the sum of encounters across all community health centers by the total number of patients in that group.

We used logistic regression models estimating associations between time and provision of each service within each period (1998–2001 and 2001–2007) to determine whether the odds of an individual community health center's offering each service changed significantly over that time. We used Student's t tests to compare changes over time in odds of providing each type of service before and during the health center initiative. Numbers of encounters and patients were based on aggregate national totals rather than on center-level data, and thus tests of significance were inapplicable.

Results

Service availability

Trends in service provision are shown in Table 1. In 1998, 366 community health centers reported providing on-site specialty mental health services. In the three years that followed, which preceded the health center initiative, the mean annual increase in the number of

community health centers reporting these services was 33, resulting in a 27% cumulative increase to 464 by 2001. Between 2001 and 2007, a mean of 60 additional community health centers each year provided these services, bringing the total to 822 (an increase of 77%). The higher annual growth during the health center initiative reflected two factors. First, the overall number of community health centers increased over twice as fast between 2001 and 2007 (from 748 to 1,067, or 7% annually) than between 1998 and 2001 (from 694 to 748, or 3% annually). Second, individual community health centers became more likely to provide these services, although not at a greater rate than before the initiative (Table 1): the percentage of community health centers offering specialty mental health care on site increased from 53% in 1998 to 62% in 2001 and to 77% in 2007. The likelihood that any given community health center will offer a service is an important component of access because of the typical lack of proximate alternative sources of care.

The number of community health centers providing 24-hour crisis mental health care also increased during the health center initiative, from 124 in 2001 to 211 in 2007 (a 70% increase). However, individual community health centers did not become more likely to provide these services (Table 1). As of 2007, 20% of community health centers provided crisis services.

Similarly, the number of community health centers providing substance abuse treatment increased 58%, from 344 to 543, between 2001 and 2007 (Table 1). The odds that individual community health centers provided substance abuse treatment increased slightly during the initiative (OR=1.03, p<.05). This was due to a smaller confidence interval during 2001–2007 than in 1998–2001, however, rather than to a stronger trend in the latter period. The t test comparing changes in community health centers' odds of providing substance abuse treatment before and during the health center initiative was nonsignificant. In 2007, 51% of community health centers provided substance abuse treatment. Newly funded community health centers were no more likely than previously funded facilities to provide any type of behavioral health care.

Service reach

The total number of patient encounters with specialty mental health providers across all community health centers increased by 34% between 1998 and 2001, from 913,828 to 1,223,408 encounters, a mean increase of 10% per year (Table 2). This trend accelerated to a mean increase of 14% per year between 2001 and 2007, when the number of encounters reached 2,738,408. In contrast, the rate of growth in number of substance abuse treatment encounters diminished during the health center initiative. The total number of substance abuse encounters rose by 31%—from 571,496 in 1998 to 745,855 in 2001—a mean increase of 9% per year. By 2007, community health centers reported 972,857 substance abuse treatment encounters, reflecting an overall growth of 30% since 2001, but an average annual increase of 5%, just over half the rate of increase before the initiative.

In contrast, the rate of growth was more uniformly positive during the health center initiative for the number of patients with primary behavioral health diagnoses receiving behavioral health care from any type of provider. Table 3 shows trends in numbers of patients seen for behavioral health diagnoses between 2000 (the first year in which accurate numbers of patients per diagnosis type were available) and 2007. The number of patients across all community health centers treated for mental health conditions as the primary diagnosis increased 16% between 2000 and 2001. This total increased another 119% by 2007, to 1,208,787, representing a mean annual increase during the health center initiative of 14% per year. Across all community health centers, the number of patients with an alcohol-related problem as the primary diagnosis decreased 14% in the year before the health center initiative, to 35,335 in 2001. This total almost doubled by 2007, when 69,076 (a 95%

increase) encounters for patients with primary diagnoses of alcohol-related conditions were reported, representing a mean annual increase of 12% between 2002 and 2007. The number of patients with a drug problem as the primary diagnosis decreased 9% between 2000 and 2001, to 42,531. That total then increased by 87%, or a mean of 12% per year, from 2002 through 2007, to 79,664 patients.

Level of service utilization

Table 4 shows 2000–2007 trends in numbers of encounters per patient with a primary diagnosis of a mental or substance use disorder. As in Table 3, these included encounters with nonspecialists. The mean number of encounters per patient with a primary diagnosis of a mental disorder decreased from 3.28 to 2.99 between 2000 and 2001 and decreased slightly again over the next six years, to 2.92 in 2007. The mean number of encounters per patient with alcohol-related diagnoses increased from 3.62 in 2000 to 4.42 in 2001 and further increased to 4.90 in 2007. The number of encounters per patient with non–alcohol-related drug problems jumped 56% between 2000 and 2001, from 7.01 to 10.94, and then by 2007 returned to a level slightly higher than the year 2000 mean (7.28 encounters in 2007).

Discussion

Main findings

The numbers of people receiving mental health and substance abuse treatment at community health centers increased dramatically between 2001 and 2007. Some preexisting trends toward increased behavioral service provision at community health centers continued during the health center initiative, which began in 2002, and others accelerated. This growth occurred largely through an increase in the overall number of community health centers but also through continued increases in the proportions providing behavioral health care on site, especially specialty mental health services. The fact that over three-quarters of all community health centers now have mental health specialists on site marks a major advance in integration of mental health and primary health care within the U.S. safety net.

Crisis service provision at community health centers is also important for several reasons. First is the very high need, as indicated by the number of calls to U.S. crisis units, estimated in the millions annually (21). Second, because of the potential to prevent suicide or harm to others, there is a narrow window of opportunity to intervene and often inadequate alternative sources of crisis care within the community (22). Third, community health centers serve the people with the fewest such alternatives. The steady odds of community health center 24-hour crisis service provision found here were mirrored by consistent proportions from 1990 to 2004 of 24-hour mental health admissions to civilian hospitals and residential treatment facilities versus those to all other non-federal organizations, including free-standing outpatient clinics, partial care organizations, and multiservice mental health organizations (23). Although admissions reflect only part of crisis care, together these patterns suggest that 24-hour mental health services have not generally been shifting to ambulatory care settings. Mental health professional shortages in many areas (24) as well as difficulties that free-standing outpatient facilities have had in expanding to 24-hour operations likely limit crisis service expansion options for community health centers as well as other outpatient facilities.

The good news about substance abuse treatment includes the fact that individual community health centers have become slightly more likely to provide these services, although the shift toward providing them has been much smaller than that for specialty mental health services. The recent increase in the number of patients with substance use disorders may reflect the trend toward treatment in outpatient settings and increasing public spending on substance abuse treatment, as well as diminishing private insurance coverage for these services (25).

These trends may lead some people who have insurance to seek treatment at community health centers. In addition, the number of encounters related to alcohol problems per patient has been trending upward over the past several years, although the number of encounters per patient for non–alcohol-related problems has decreased over that same period.

The median number of mental health encounters per patient across all community health centers was comparable to the median number of 1.7 visits in the general medical sector found in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. However, it was below the median of 7.4 visits found in the same survey for specialty mental health care settings (26).

Limitations

HRSA's Uniform Data System is valuable because it provides longitudinal information about the complete census of federally funded community health centers in the United States, which are one of the largest sources of primary care for the poor and underserved in this country. However, these data do not reveal patient-level patterns of care and thus cannot speak to vital issues such as appropriateness, intensity, or duration of care. Although the Bureau of Primary Health Care provides operational definitions of all required data elements, reports from community health centers are unaudited, and the centers' information systems vary in data quality. Not all newly funded community health centers are new facilities, and thus not all newly counted services are necessarily truly new.

Because of cost-based billing requirements, a patient could have a general medical encounter and a mental health encounter on the same day but be counted as having only the general medical encounter; to that extent, the numbers in Tables 2–4 understate the amount of care provided. Conversely, someone could be identified as having different behavioral health diagnoses in separate encounters within a year and thus be counted as more than one patient (Table 3). The numbers of encounters reported by community health centers used in Tables 3 and 4 also did not distinguish between those provided by specialists versus other providers. The data suggest that both specialists and nonspecialists frequently provided mental health care: 98% of the community health centers that did not provide specialty mental health services in 2007 reported treating patients for mental health problems.

Finally, we divided the total numbers of encounters for all patients nationally by the total numbers of patients in each diagnostic category to estimate encounters per patient (Table 4) because medians would have to be calculated at the health center level and thus would have disproportionately weighted patients from smaller facilities. However, means do not reflect central tendencies in utilization as well as medians because some patients consume disproportionate amounts of care. We cite the 2007 national median across all community health centers above to facilitate comparison with previously reported treatment utilization rates in other settings.

Conclusions

In 2007 almost 5% of all adults in the United States reported an unmet need for mental health care (3). As community health centers have increased in number and likelihood of providing specialty mental health care, these primary health care clinics have contributed to closing that gap. The trend toward provision of behavioral health services at community health centers also bodes well for faster diagnosis and better ongoing care coordination for the underserved. Both the 2009 federal stimulus package and health reform have substantially increased funding for community health centers (27,28). Although not focused on behavioral health care, these grants may indirectly enhance both mental health and substance abuse treatment through new and expanded facilities and staffing. The Paul Wellstone and Pete Domenici Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act of 2008

requiring comparability between behavioral and physical health insurance may also improve private insurance payments and thus help underwrite service provision. Collectively, these factors hold promise of edging closer to the long-held but elusive vision of community-based behavioral health care for all those in need.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded through grant 5K01MH076175 from the National Institute of Mental Health. The authors gratefully acknowledge the contributions of the journal's reviewers as well as individuals at HRSA and the National Association of Community Health Centers who answered our questions.

References

- 1. Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, et al. The epidemiology of major depressive disorder: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R). JAMA. 2003; 289:3095–3105. [PubMed: 12813115]
- Wang PS, Angermeyer M, Borges G, et al. Delay and failure in treatment seeking after first onset of mental disorders in the World Health Organization's World Mental Health Survey Initiative. World Psychiatry. 2007; 6:177–185. [PubMed: 18188443]
- NSDUH Series H-34. Rockville, Md: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Office of Applied Studies; 2008. Results From the 2007 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: National Findings.
- 4. Mechanic D, Bilder S. Treatment of people with mental illness: a decade-long perspective. Health Affairs. 2004; 23(4):84–95. [PubMed: 15318569]
- 5. Wells KB, Sherbourne CD, Sturm R, et al. Alcohol, drug abuse, and mental health care for uninsured and insured adults. Health Services Research. 2002; 37:1055–1066. [PubMed: 12236383]
- 6. Stockdale SE, Tang L, Zhang L, et al. The effects of health sector market factors and vulnerable group membership on access to alcohol, drug, and mental health care. Health Services Research. 2007; 42:1020–1041. [PubMed: 17489902]
- 7. Elhai JD, Ford JD. Correlates of mental health service use intensity in the National Comorbidity Survey and National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Psychiatric Services. 2007; 58:1108–1115. [PubMed: 17664523]
- 8. Ayalon L, Arean PA, Linkins K, et al. Integration of mental health services into primary care overcomes ethnic disparities in access to mental health services between black and white elderly. American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry. 2007; 15:906–912. [PubMed: 17911367]
- Bartels SJ, Coakley EH, Zubritsky C, et al. Improving access to geriatric mental health services: a randomized trial comparing treatment engagement with integrated versus enhanced referral care for depression, anxiety, and at-risk alcohol use. American Journal of Psychiatry. 2004; 161:1455–1462. [PubMed: 15285973]
- Felker BL, Barnes RF, Greenberg DM, et al. Preliminary outcomes from an integrated mental health primary care team. Psychiatric Services. 2004; 55:442

 –444. [PubMed: 15067160]
- 11. Begley CE, Hickey JS, Ostermeyer B, et al. Integrating behavioral health and primary care: the Harris County Community Behavioral Health Program. Psychiatric Services. 2008; 59:356–358. [PubMed: 18378830]
- 12. Mechanic D. Emerging trends in mental health policy and practice. Health Affairs. 1998; 17(6):82–98. [PubMed: 9916357]
- 13. Snyder K, Dobscha SK, Ganzini L, et al. Clinical outcomes of integrated psychiatric and general medical care. Community Mental Health Journal. 2008; 44:147–154. [PubMed: 18071900]
- 14. Primary Health Care. The Health Center Program. Rockville, Md: Health Resources and Services Administration; 2009. Available at bphc.hrsa.gov
- 15. Druss BG, Bornemann T, Fry-Johnson YW, et al. Trends in mental health and substance abuse services at the Nation's community health centers: 1998–2003. American Journal of Public Health. 2006; 96:1779–1784. [PubMed: 17008573]

Medicaid Reimbursement for Behavioral Health Services. Program Information Notice 2004–5.
 Rockville, Md: Health Resources and Services Administration, Bureau of Primary Health Care; 2003.

- 17. The "Do's" and "Don'ts" of Contracting for Behavioral Health Services. Washington, DC: National Association of Community Health Centers; 2005.
- 18. The Health Center Program. The President's Health Center Initiative. Rockville, Md: Health Resources and Services Administration; 2008. Available at bphc.hrsa.gov/presidentsinitiative
- Section 330 Grantees Uniform Data System (UDS) Calendar Year 2007 Data National Rollup Report. Rockville, Md: Health Resources and Services Administration, Bureau of Primary Health Care; 2007.
- Uniform Data System (UDS). Calendar Year 2005 Reporting Instructions for Section 330
 Grantees. Rockville, Md: Health Resources and Services Administration, Bureau of Primary
 Health Care; 2005.
- 21. Roberts, AR., editor. Crisis Intervention and Time-Limited Cognitive Treatment. Thousand Oaks, Calif: Sage; 1995. Crisis intervention units and centers in the United States: a national survey.
- 22. Geller JL, Fisher WH, McDermeit M. A national survey of mobile crisis services and their evaluation. Psychiatric Services. 1995; 46:893–897. [PubMed: 7583498]
- 23. Health, United States, 2008, With Chart-book. Hyattsville, Md: National Center for Health Statistics; 2009.
- 24. Thomas KC, Ellis AR, Konrad TR, et al. County-level estimates of mental health professional shortage in the United States. Psychiatric Services. 2009; 60:1323–1328. [PubMed: 19797371]
- 25. Mark TL, Levit KR, Vandivort-Warren R, et al. Trends in spending for substance abuse treatment, 1986–2003. Health Affairs. 2007; 26:1118–1128. [PubMed: 17630455]
- 26. Wang PS, Lane M, Olfson M, et al. Twelvemonth use of mental health services in the United States: results from the National Co-morbidity Survey Replication. Archives of General Psychiatry. 2005; 62:629–640. [PubMed: 15939840]
- 27. Senate Finance Committee. Bingaman amendment D-6 to America's Health Future Act of 2009. Washington, DC: US Senate Finance Committee; 2009. Available at www.recovery.gov/Pages/home.aspx
- 28. Health Reform FAQs. Bethesda, Md: National Association of Community Health Centers; 2010. Available at

Wells et al.

Table 1

On-site behavioral health services in community health centers before and during the health center initiative

		Spec	ialty n	Specialty mental health	Crisis	Crisis services	səx	Sub	stance	Substance abuse treatment	
Year	Year Number of community health centers	Z	%	Change in N (%)	Z	%	Change in N (%)	Z	%	Change in N (%)	Change in U.S. population (%)
Before i	Before initiative ^a										
1998	694	366	53		1117	17		300	43		
1999	069	371	54	1	113	16	-3	296	43	-1	1
2000	730	421	58	13	129	18	14	321	4	∞	3
2001	748	464	62	10	124	17	4	344	46	7	-
During i	During initiative b										
2002	843	569	29	23	157	19	27	406	48	18	1
2003	068	623	70	6	180	20	15	448	50	10	1
2004	914	099	72	9	176	19	-2	442	48	-1	1
2005	952	707	74	7	189	20	7	479	50	8	1
2006	1,002	765	92	8	199	20	S	510	51	9	1
2007	1,067	822	77	7	211	20	9	543	51	9	1

^aFor 1998–2001, the change in the likelihood of centers' providing mental health treatment was significant (p<.001), but the changes in the likelihood of centers' providing crisis services or substance abuse treatment were not significant.

 b For 2001–2007, the change in the likelihood of centers' providing mental health treatment was significant (p<.001), the change in the likelihood of centers' providing substance abuse treatment was significant (p<.05).

Wells et al.

Table 2

Specialty mental health and substance abuse treatment encounters before and during the health center initiative

		Specialty mental health	ıtal health		Substance ab	Substance abuse treatment	
Year	Number of community health centers		Difference	Encounters Difference Change in N (%)	Encounters	Difference	Change in N (%)
Before i	Before initiative a						
1998	694	913,828			571,496		
1999	069	936,309	22,481	2	667,311	95,815	17
2000	730	1,083,855	147,546	16	682,925	15,614	2
2001	748	1,223,408	139,553	13	745,855	62,930	6
During i	During initiative b						
2002	843	1,418,752	195,344	16	927,866	182,011	24
2003	068	1,708,571	289,819	20	1,046,867	119,001	13
2004	914	1,798,197	89,626	3	934,374	-112,493	-11
2005	952	1,976,503	178,306	10	907,227	-27,147	-3
2006	1,002	2,317,997	341,494	17	916,613	9,386	1
2007	1,067	2,738,408	420,411	18	972,857	56,244	9

^aFor 1998–2001, the mean annual increase was 103,193 visits (10%) for mental health care and 58,120 visits (9%) for substance abuse treatment.

ber 2002-2007, the mean annual increase was 252,500 visits (14%) for mental health care and 37,834 visits (5%) for substance abuse treatment.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Wells et al.

Patients with a primary diagnosis of mental disorder or substance use disorder before and during the health center initiative

Table 3

		Mental disorder	rder		Alcohol	Alcohol use disorder		Drug u	Drug use disorder	
Year	Number of community health centers	Z	Difference	Difference Change in N (%)	z	Difference	Difference Change in N (%)	z	Difference	Difference Change in N (%)
Before initiative										
2000	730	475,488			41,096			46,569		
2001	748	552,489	77,001	16	35,335	-5,761	-14	42,531	-4,038	6-
During initiative ^a										
2002	843	652,337	99,848	18	40,455	5,120	14	51,346	8,815	21
2003	068	721,613	69,276	111	47,826	7,371	18	53,307	1,961	4
2004	914	979,031	257,418	36	63,496	15,670	33	71,735	18,428	35
2005	952	1,008,874	29,843	3	64,367	871	1	74,560	2,825	4
2006	1,002	1,122,310	113,436	111	71,019	6,652	10	85,709	11,149	15
2007	1,067	1,208,787	86,477	8	9/0/69	-1,943	-3	79,664	-6,045	

² For 2002–2007, the mean annual increase in number of patients seen for mental disorders was 109,383 (14%), for alcohol use disorders was 5,624 (12%), and for other substance use disorders was 6,189 (12%).

Wells et al.

Table 4

Mean numbers of encounters per patient by primary diagnosis before and during the health center initiative

	Mental disorder	Mental disor	der		Alcohol use disorder	isorder		Drug use disorder	order	
Year	Number of community health centers Encounters	Encounters	Difference	Change in N (%)	Encounters	Difference	Difference Change in N (%)	Encounters		Difference Change in N (%)
Before initiative										
2000	730	3.28			3.62			7.01		
2001	748	2.99	29	6-	4.42	.80	22	10.94	3.92	26
During initiative ^a										
2002	843	2.93	90	-2	4.48	.07	1	8.35	-2.59	-24
2003	068	2.97	.04	1	4.83	.35	∞	9.19	.84	10
2004	914	2.73	24	8-	4.14	69	-14	7.82	-1.37	-15
2005	952	2.77	.05	2	4.21	80.	2	7.97	.14	2
2006	1,002	2.82	.04	2	4.81	.59	14	7.32	65	8-
2007	1,067	2.92	.10	4	4.90	60.	2	7.28	04	-1

^aFor 2002–2007, the overall mean change was -.01 encounter (0% annual change) for mental disorders, .08 (2% annual change) for alcohol use disorders, and -.61 (-6% annual change) for drug use disorders.