

Human Milk Oligosaccharides Promote the Growth of Staphylococci

K. M. Hunt,^{a,b} J. Preuss,^c C. Nissan,^c C. A. Davlin,^a J. E. Williams,^{a,d} B. Shafii,^e A. D. Richardson,^f M. K. McGuire,^d L. Bode,^c and M. A. McGuire^{a,b}

Department of Animal and Veterinary Sciences, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho, USA^a; Institute for Bioinformatics and Evolutionary Studies, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho, USA^b; Division of Neonatology and Division of Gastroenterology and Nutrition, Department of Pediatrics, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA^c; School of Biological Sciences, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington, USA^d; Statistical Programs, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho, USA^e ; and Sanford-Burnham Medical Research Institute, La Jolla, California, USAf

Human milk oligosaccharides (HMO), which constitute a major component of human milk, promote the growth of particular bacterial species in the infant's gastrointestinal tract. We hypothesized that HMO also interact with the bacterial communities present in human milk. To test this hypothesis, two experiments were conducted. First, milk samples were collected from healthy women (*n* **16); culture-independent analysis of the bacterial communities was performed, HMO content was analyzed, and the relation between these factors was investigated. A positive correlation was observed between the relative abundance of** *Staphylococcus* and total HMO content ($r = 0.66$). In a follow-up study, we conducted a series of *in vitro* growth curve experi**ments utilizing** *Staphylococcus aureus* **or** *Staphylococcus epidermidis* **and HMO isolated from human milk. HMO exhibited stimulatory effects on bacterial growth under various nutritional conditions. Analysis of culture supernatants from these experiments revealed that HMO did not measurably disappear from the culture medium, indicating that the growth-enhancing effects were not a result of bacterial metabolism of the HMO. Instead, stimulation of growth caused greater utilization of amino acids in minimal medium. Collectively, the data provide evidence that HMO may promote the growth of** *Staphylococcus* **species in the lactating mammary gland.**

Human milk oligosaccharides (HMO) are complex glycans
highly abundant (~5 to 15 g/liter) in human milk [\(5,](#page-6-0) [6,](#page-6-1) [24\)](#page-7-0). Representing a diverse collection of structures, almost 150 distinct forms of HMO have been identified [\(42,](#page-7-1) [43\)](#page-7-2). Based upon their structures, HMO can be classified as simple (sialylated or fucosylated lactose compounds made of three sugar units) or complex (composed of differentially sialylated or fucosylated repeats of lacto-*N*-biose or *N*-acetyllactosamine linked to a lactose motif). Interestingly, HMO patterns are highly unique to individual women, and their concentrations vary over the course of lactation, with levels peaking in colostrum and lower concentrations in mature milk [\(8,](#page-6-2) [12,](#page-6-3) [15,](#page-6-4) [39\)](#page-7-3).

From an evolutionary standpoint, it is logical that because the mammary gland exerts a substantial amount of energy to produce these compounds, HMO likely promote the fitness of the offspring even though the infant is largely unable to metabolize them [\(1,](#page-6-5) [14,](#page-6-6) [16\)](#page-6-7). Research from several groups suggests the possibility that HMO are capable of interacting with an infant's gastrointestinal microbiota to promote his or her health [\(10,](#page-6-8) [27,](#page-7-4) [30,](#page-7-5) [35,](#page-7-6) [38\)](#page-7-7). Indeed, some of the first studies in this area noted the capacity of HMO to promote growth of *Bifidobacterium bifidum*, a species overrepresented in the gastrointestinal tract of the breast-fed, but not formula-fed, infant [\(17,](#page-6-9) [29,](#page-7-8) [40\)](#page-7-9). Over 50 years later, research has confirmed that the genetic capacity to metabolize these compounds is conserved among bifidobacteria species common in the infant's gastrointestinal tract [\(38\)](#page-7-7). These findings suggest that HMO may serve a prebiotic function in selectively promoting the presence of certain types of gastrointestinal bacteria.

Several recent reports have confirmed that human milk contains bacterial communities [\(19,](#page-6-10) [28,](#page-7-10) [32\)](#page-7-11). For instance, we have described a relatively complex milk microbiome with several bacterial genera collectively representing \geq 5% of the overall microbial community [\(19\)](#page-6-10). We also reported that the composition of human milk bacterial communities is somewhat stable within a

woman over time. Considering the aforementioned effects of HMO on the bacterial communities of the infant's gastrointestinal microbiota, we hypothesized that HMO may influence the milk microbiome. Fueling this hypothesis was the observation that both HMO profiles and milk bacterial communities are personalized to the woman who produces the milk, suggesting a potential connection between HMO and bacterial community patterns in human milk. To test our hypothesis, we analyzed and related the bacterial communities and HMO content of milk produced by 16 healthy women. We then conducted a series of *in vitro* experiments to gain a more detailed understanding of our *in vivo* observations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects and experimental design of *in vivo* **study.** Human milk was obtained from 16 healthy, lactating women and analyzed for its bacterial content as described previously [\(19\)](#page-6-10). Briefly, DNA was extracted from each sample, and PCRs with bar-coded primers were carried out to amplify the V1-V2 segment of the 16S rRNA gene. Pyrosequencing of the amplicons was performed with the Roche 454 FLX platform, and quality control measures were employed to remove sequences of questionable quality. Sequences were then assigned phylogeny at the genus level using the Ribosomal Database Project Bayesian classifier [\(41\)](#page-7-12). One sample from each woman was selected for analysis for HMO content as described below. All procedures for this portion of the study were approved by the

Received 15 February 2012 Accepted 25 April 2012 Published ahead of print 4 May 2012

Address correspondence to M. A. McGuire, mmcguire@uidaho.edu. Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://aem.asm.org/. Copyright © 2012, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. [doi:10.1128/AEM.00477-12](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00477-12)

University of Idaho and the Washington State University Institutional Review Boards.

HMO purification for *in vitro* **studies.** To obtain sufficient amounts of HMO for the *in vitro* studies, additional milk was obtained from 16 healthy volunteers, different from the subjects described above, who were recruited at the University of California San Diego Medical Center, San Diego, CA, after approval by the University's Institutional Review Board. The milk samples from these volunteers were pooled, and oligosaccharides were isolated and purified as previously described [\(20,](#page-6-11) [21\)](#page-6-12). The lipid layer was removed after centrifugation, and proteins were precipitated from the aqueous phase by addition of ice-cold ethanol and subsequent centrifugation. Ethanol was removed from the HMO-containing supernatant by roto-evaporation. Lactose and salts were removed by gel filtration chromatography over a Bio-Rad P2 column (100 cm by 16 mm; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) using a semiautomated fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC) system. Endotoxins were removed by affinity chromatography over polymyxin B gravity columns (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL).

HMO analysis of milk samples and culture supernatants.HMO were analyzed as previously described [\(20,](#page-6-11) [21\)](#page-6-12). Briefly, raffinose was added to 40 µl of milk or culture supernatant to serve as an internal standard through sample processing and analysis. Lipids and proteins were removed from the samples by centrifugation and chloroform-methanol extraction. Lactose was removed by overnight incubation on lactase-immobilized beads (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) at 37°C. Residual peptides and salt were removed over Sep-Pak C_{18} cartridges followed by porous graphitized carbon (PGC) cartridges. The reducing ends of the dried oligosaccharides were labeled with the fluorescent tag 2-aminobenzamide (2AB) for 2 h at 65°C. Free 2AB label was separated from the 2AB-labeled oligosaccharides using silica gel cartridges. 2AB-labeled oligosaccharides were analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) on an amide-80 column (4.6-mm internal diameter by 25 cm; particle size, 5 -m; Tosoh Bioscience, Tokyo, Japan) with a 50 mM ammonium formateacetonitrile buffer system. Separation was performed at 25°C and monitored with a fluorescence detector at 360-nm excitation and 425-nm emission. Peak annotation was based on standard retention times and mass spectrometric (MS) analysis on a Thermo LCQ Duo Ion trap mass spectrometer equipped with a nano-electrospray ionization (ESI) source. Total concentration of HMO was calculated as the sum of most common oligosaccharides, including 2'-fucosyllactose, 3'-fucosyllactose, 3'-sialyllactose, lacto-*N*-tetraose, lacto-N-neotetraose, lacto-*N*-fucopentaose I, lacto-*N*-fucopentaose II, and lacto-*N*-fucopentaose III, which collectively represent approximately 80% of all HMO in each sample.

To account for the effects of evaporation on the HMO concentrations of culture supernatants collected after incubation with bacteria, controls were run without the addition of bacteria to the medium. HMO values were then normalized for evaporation loss using these controls.

Isolation of *Staphylococcus* **strains from human milk.** Milk samples were plated on sheep's blood agar and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. Individual colonies were selected for overnight growth in tryptic soy broth (TSB), and the subsequent cultures were streaked on mannitol salt agar (MSA) to determine if any of the cultures were *Staphylococcus.* Isolates that grew successfully on MSA were then selected for sequencing of the 16S gene to confirm their identities using previously described methods [\(45\)](#page-7-13). One strain each of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* was selected for use in the series of *in vitro* experiments described next.

Growth curve culture conditions. To fully elucidate the effects of HMO on *Staphylococcus* growth, experiments were carried out in a variety of nutritional media, including TSB (EMD chemicals, Gibbstown, NJ), glucose-free Dulbecco's modified Eagle Medium (DMEM; Mediatech, Manassas, VA), a carbohydrate-free modification of a previously described defined medium for *Staphylococcus* growth [\(11\)](#page-6-13), (see Table S1 in the supplemental material), and Similac 60/40 infant formula (Abbott Nutrition, Columbus, OH). Carbohydrate treatments (HMO, glucose,

and lactose) were prepared by adding the component of interest (10 g/liter) to the culture medium before sterile filtration. All experiments were initiated by spiking cultures with \sim 10⁴ CFU/ml to approximate the concentration of bacteria found in human milk [\(2,](#page-6-14) [9\)](#page-6-15). Cultures were aerobically incubated at 37°C with agitation at 250 rpm. At multiple time points, serial dilutions of each replicate were performed before plating and incubation of the appropriate dilutions to enumerate CFU/ml. Experiments were conducted twice in triplicate $(n = 6)$.

Amino acid analysis. Polar metabolites were extracted from culture medium samples using cold methanol extraction [\(44\)](#page-7-14). Culture medium (100 μ l) was mixed with 500 μ l of cold 50% methanol containing 100 μ M $_{\rm L}\,$ norvaline as an internal standard. Samples were vortexed, and 300 μ l of chloroform was added. The samples were then vortexed for 15 s and placed on ice for 45 s; this vortex and cooling step was repeated five times. Samples were then centrifuged for 5 min at 14,000 \times g at 4°C. A total of 400 μ l of the top layer (methanol extract) was dried overnight, as was 0.05 to 25 nmol of a standard. After the addition of 50 μ l of pure pyridine (Sigma-Aldrich) and 50 µl of *N-tert*-butyldimethylsilyl-*N*-methylfluoride-acetamide (PBDMS; Sigma-Aldrich), dried samples and standards were derivatized at 80°C for 60 min. Samples were vortexed several times during the heating process to dissolve the pellet. Finally, samples were centrifuged at 13,000 \times g for 10 min, and the supernatant was transferred to glass vials for analysis by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) as previously described [\(37\)](#page-7-15). A Shimadzu QP2010 Plus GC-MS was utilized at an injection temperature of 250°C with an injection split ratio of $1/10$ and an injection volume of 1 to 2 μ l. GC oven temperature began at 130°C for 4 min, increasing to 230°C at 4°C/min and to 280°C at 20°C/ min, with a final hold at this temperature for 2 min. GC flow rate with helium carrier gas was 50 cm/s over a 15-m by 0.25-mm by 0.25-µm SHRXI-5ms Shimadzu column. The mass spectrometer scanned an *m/z* range of 50 to 600, with \sim 1 kV detector sensitivity (modified as necessary).

Statistical analysis. R statistical software [\(33\)](#page-7-16) was utilized for the following statistical tests. The Pearson correlation coefficient was calculated to test the associations between the relative abundance of the 15 most prevalent bacterial genera and HMO content in the milk samples collected in the *in vivo* portion of this study. An analysis of variance was performed on culture pH values measured at the termination of the growth curves. Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) test was then used to determine which treatments had elicited statistically different alterations in pH at the termination of the growth curves. One-sided *t* tests were performed to determine if the concentrations of individual HMO as well as individual amino acids after incubation with bacteria were significantly decreased from their starting values.

Results from the bacterial growth curves were fitted to separate mathematical models to estimate growth parameters using generalized nonlinear regression algorithms. Because growth dynamics varied greatly depending on the culture medium employed, data were analyzed independently for each growth medium. After testing several types of growth models, we determined that the Weibull model (34) was the most appropriate. Thus, the following growth model was used: $Y = C + (D C \times \exp[B \times (\log(t) - \log(I))]$. In this equation *Y* is bacterial growth (log CFU/ml), *t* is time (in hours), *C* is the bacterial concentration at the initiation of the experiment $(t = 0)$, *D* is the maximum growth value achieved, *B* is the rate of growth, and *I* is the time of the inflection point when the growth rate began to decrease.

For growth of the *S. epidermidis* isolate in the carbohydrate-free defined medium, the model fit was adjusted to constrain the value of *D* (maximum bacterial growth achieved) to a log CFU value of 10. This approach was selected because when the experiment was terminated at 24 h, growth had not reached a maximum in three of the six treatments. Consequently, the model was not able to predict an appropriate estimate for *D*. The constraint was set at 10 because in the trials performed with this isolate, the maximum log CFU observed was consistently near this value. Furthermore, with this constraint in place, the model fit was adequate. The residuals for each model were assessed for normality and random distribution to ensure adequacy of fit.

The experiments carried out with TSB as the growth medium were also analyzed with the Weibull model. For the growth of the *S. aureus* isolate, the model fit was good, as determined by examining the residuals as described previously. Although the fit for the growth of the *S. epidermidis* isolate was not perfect, it was nonetheless deemed sufficient; the residuals were organized in an alternating pattern, relatively small in magnitude, and complied with the assumption of normality.

The segmented exponential regression model was the best fit to describe the growth of the isolates in infant formula, and the following growth model was employed: $L = \log(1 - C/M)/-B$, where $Y = C$ for $t \leq$ *L*; otherwise $Y = M \times [1 - \exp(-B \times t)]$. In this equation *Y* is bacterial growth (log CFU/ml), *L* is lag phase before the initiation of exponential growth, *t* is time (in hours), *C* is the bacterial concentration at the initiation of the experiment $(t = 0)$, *M* is the maximum growth value achieved, and *B* is the growth rate.

A full model dummy-variable regression procedure was employed for both isolates in each growth medium to perform preplanned contrasts to evaluate the effects of the carbohydrate treatments on the equality of estimated lines, growth rates, and maximum growth values. Significance was declared at a *P* value of <0.05. Analyses of growth curve data were carried out using the NLMIXED procedure of SAS (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC).

RESULTS

A culture-independent analysis of the bacterial communities in the milk samples collected for the *in vivo* portion of this study revealed that the most abundant genera present were *Streptococcus* $(25\% \pm 6\%, \text{mean} \pm \text{standard error of the mean } [\text{SEM}]$, *Staph* y lococcus (18% \pm 6%), *Serratia* (8% \pm 2%), and *Corynebacterium* (4% \pm 2%). The total HMO content (7.7 \pm 0.7 g/liter) was positively related ($r = 0.66$, $P < 0.01$) to the relative abundance of *Staphylococcus*. No relation was observed, however, between total HMO and any other highly abundant bacterial genera.

As described previously, to investigate the correlation between *Staphylococcus* and HMO, selective media were utilized to isolate bacterial strains from human milk, and one strain each of *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* obtained was selected for use in all experiments. Bacterial growth curves were then completed in a variety of media with or without HMO to elaborate the effects of these complex glycans on *Staphylococcus* growth. In each experiment carbohydrate treatments were formulated at a concentration of 10 g/liter to emulate the reported concentration of HMO in mature human milk. The first series of experiments utilized a carbohydrate-free formulation of a defined medium for *Staphylococcus aureus* growth [\(11\)](#page-6-13) (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). Treatments included a negative control with no carbohydrate added, medium supplemented with HMO, medium supplemented with glucose or lactose, and medium supplemented with both HMO and glucose (HMO+G) or HMO and lactose (HMO+L). Comparison of the regression lines generated in this series of experiments demonstrated that the growth of both isolates was enhanced $(P < 0.0001)$ by the HMO treatment compared to growth of the negative control [\(Table 1](#page-2-0) and [Fig. 1A\)](#page-3-0). Additionally, in both isolates, the HMO+G and HMO+L treatments resulted in enhanced growth compared to treatments of only glucose or lactose.

In the second set of *in vitro* experiments, TSB (a nutritionally rich medium containing 2.5 g/liter glucose) was employed. The treatment effect of HMO addition reached statistical significance only in the *S. aureus* isolate ($P < 0.0001$), but the magnitude of the effect was less than that observed in the carbohydrate-free me-

dium [\(Table 1](#page-2-0) and [Fig. 1B\)](#page-3-0). In the *S. epidermidis* isolate no treatment effect was observed.

To create culture conditions that more closely emulated the nutritional conditions in the lactating mammary gland, a third series of growth curves was carried out using HMO-free infant formula (Similac 60/40; Abbott Nutrition) as a growth medium. This particular infant formula was selected because, like human milk, it contains almost no glucose. Rather, this formula contains lactose as a carbohydrate source. Addition of HMO elicited an alteration of growth in both isolates, as evidenced by the lack of coincidence of lines in the regression model [\(Table 1](#page-2-0) and [Fig. 2\)](#page-4-0). Specifically, HMO enhanced growth by increasing the rate and maximum growth achieved by the cultures (see Table S4 in the supplemental material).

To determine if the effects of HMO on bacterial growth were associated with catabolism of HMO, culture supernatants were collected before the experiments and when growth had reached stationary phase, late in the growth curves. No measurable decrease in HMO concentration from either isolate's supernatants was observed between time zero and stationary phase [\(Fig. 3](#page-4-1) and [Table 2\)](#page-4-2). Consequently, because no disappearance of substrate was observed, the HMO-associated enhancement of bacterial growth was not due to catabolism of HMO.

To gain more insight into the effects of HMO on bacterial metabolism, pH was assessed at each time point during the growth curves performed in the carbohydrate-free medium [\(Fig. 4\)](#page-5-0). In cultures of both isolates, the $HMO+G$ treatment resulted in a drastic drop in pH, whereas the glucose-only treatment was associated with a less pronounced reduction. Likewise, in cultures of the *S. epidermidis* isolate, the HMO+L treatment caused a substantial decrease in pH, and the lactose-only treatment caused a less drastic reduction. However, in cultures of the *S. aureus* isolate, the $HMO+L$ and lactose-only treatments elicited only mild decreases in pH. Most importantly, the HMO treatment in both isolates resulted in very little alteration in the culture pH.

To investigate the effects of HMO on bacterial catabolism of amino acids, cultures were grown in glucose-free DMEM (Mediatech) composed of amino acids and salts to facilitate HPLC analysis of culture supernatants. Treatment effects of HMO on growth in this medium were similar to those observed in the carbohydrate-free medium (data not shown). Supernatants were collected at the start of incubation and again at stationary phase (18 h for *S. aureus* and 20 h for *S. epidermidis*) to compare the concentrations of amino acids in the cultures before and after growth. In both isolates, the HMO treatment resulted in the depletion of several amino acids [\(Fig. 5\)](#page-5-1), suggesting that the enhanced bacterial

FIG 1 (A) One strain of *S. epidermidis* and one of *S. aureus* isolated from human milk were grown in a carbohydrate-free medium. The effects of various carbohydrate sources on growth were tested by adding them to the medium at a concentration of 10 g/liter. The growth of both isolates with HMO added was enhanced in comparison with the negative control $(P < 0.0001, n = 6)$. (B) When the same strains were grown in TSB, HMO addition did not affect the growth of the *S. epidermidis* isolate ($P = 0.26$, $n = 3$) but altered the growth of the *S. aureus* isolate ($P < 0.0001$, $n = 3$) although the differences were subtle and occurred mainly in the first 4 h of growth.

growth observed was accompanied by increased amino acid metabolism and not catabolism of HMO.

DISCUSSION

Numerous reports have detailed the effects of HMO on the growth of certain types of bacteria associated with the infant's gastrointestinal tract and, in particular, members of the genus *Bifidobacterium* [\(10,](#page-6-8) [27,](#page-7-4) [30,](#page-7-5) [35,](#page-7-6) [38\)](#page-7-7). This is the first report, however, that investigates the effects of HMO on the bacteria in the lactating mammary gland and, consequently, provides novel evidence of a connection between HMO and the bacterial profile of a woman's milk. The positive correlation observed between *Staphylococcus* relative abundance and HMO content in human milk was relatively strong and highly significant. Furthermore, there was no evidence of a similar correlation between HMO and any of the other prevalent bacterial genera. No correlation was observed between HMO and *Bifidobacterium* because very few members of this genus were observed in the milk samples [\(19\)](#page-6-10). Other investigators have identified bifidobacteria in milk samples [\(9\)](#page-6-15), but in our samples it was not present. The subsequent *in vitro* analysis supported the *in vivo* observations as HMO stimulated the growth "profile" of *Staphylococcus*in a variety of media, which is similar to

HMO stimulation of the growth of bifidobacteria in previous studies [\(3,](#page-6-16) [26\)](#page-7-18).

It is of particular interest to note that the effects of HMO on bacterial growth varied depending on the nutritional composition of the growth medium. Addition of HMO to cultures grown in the nutritionally rich TSB elicited less pronounced (*S. aureus*) or nonexistent (*S. epidermidis*) effects in comparison with those observed in the carbohydrate-free medium. When TSB was used, the treatment effects may have been somewhat ameliorated because cultures were already growing to their maximum potential due to the nutritionally rich nature of the growth medium. This reduced effect is noteworthy because it illustrates the importance of choosing culture conditions that are relevant to physiological situations.

Therefore, to emulate nutritional conditions in the lactating mammary gland more closely, experiments were performed with HMO-free infant formula. Ideally, these experiments would be conducted using human milk as the growth medium as this approach would account for the bioactive compounds (e.g., secretory IgA, lactoferrin, and lysozyme) contained in milk that potentially influence bacterial growth in the mammary gland. However, removal of HMO from human milk to provide an appropriate negative control is technically difficult. Hence, infant formula rep-

FIG 2 *Staphylococcus* isolates were grown in HMO-free infant formula with or without HMO (10 g/liter). The growth of both isolates was enhanced by HMO $(P < 0.0001, n = 6).$

resents the most suitable alternative. Importantly, the addition of HMO to cultures grown under these nutritionally similar conditions resulted in enhanced bacterial growth in terms of rate and maximum growth achieved. This provides more evidence that

TABLE 2 HMO concentrations in culture supernatant of the *S. epidermidis* and *S. aureus* isolates at $t = 0$ h and $t = 30$ h

HMO ^a	HMO concn(μ g/ml) at ^b :		
	$t=0$ h	$t = 30$ h	
		S. epidermidis	S. aureus
Total	10.9×10^{3}	$(10.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^3$	$(11.4 \pm 0.1) \times 10^3$
2′FL	2,550	$2,460 \pm 73$	$2,526 \pm 60$
3′SL	313	325 ± 9	331 ± 8
LNT	2,208	$2,246 \pm 70$	$2,309 \pm 40$
LNnT	318	313 ± 13	316 ± 9
LNFP I	2,721	$2,740 \pm 78$	2905 ± 19
LNFP II	635	661 ± 15	696 ± 8
LSTb	172	187 ± 7	191 ± 6
LSTc	370	359 ± 10	383 ± 2

^a Abbreviations: 2'FL, 2'-fucosyllactose; 3'FL, 3'-sialyllactose; LNT, lacto-*N*-tetraose; LNFP I, lacto-*N*-fucopentaose 1; LNFP II, lacto-*N*-fucopentaose 2; LSTb, sialyl-lacto-*N*-tetraose b; LSTc, sialyl-lacto-*N*-tetraose c.

^b None of the HMO fractions measured decreased after incubation with bacteria. The values for $t = 0$ represent both isolates.

HMO stimulate the growth of *Staphylococcus* in the lactating mammary gland.

The HMO-based augmentation of the growth of both *Staphylococcus*isolates occurred without any change in the HMO content of culture supernatants. This suggests that the bacteria did not metabolize the HMO. In contrast, previously published studies demonstrated that HMO-based promotion of bifidobacteria growth was accompanied by bacterial catabolism of HMO [\(3,](#page-6-16) [26\)](#page-7-18). In the previous work, the authors investigated the genomes of the HMO-utilizing strains and determined that they contained several genes coding for glycoside hydrolases that might have been important to HMO degradation, such as α -galactosidase, β -*N*acetylgalactosaminidase, β-hexosaminidase, α-L-fucosidase, sialidase, β -galactosidase, and α 1,2-L-fucosidase [\(26\)](#page-7-18). The experiments in the present study were performed using *Staphylococcus* strains isolated directly from human milk samples. This approach

FIG 3 Representative HPLC-fluorescence chromatogram of HMO in culture supernatant of the *S. aureus* isolate at $t = 0$ (A) and $t = 30$ (B). No alteration in HMO content was observed in supernatants from either the *S. epidermidis* or the *S. aureus* isolate. The numbered peaks represent the following oligosaccharides: 0, raffinose (internal standard); 1, 2'-fucosyllactose (2'FL); 2, 3'-sialyllactose (3'SL); 3, lacto-*N*-tetraose (LNT); 4, lacto-*N*-fucopentaose 1 (LNFP1); 5, lacto-*N*fucopentaose 2 (LNFP2); 6, sialyl-lacto-*N*-tetraose b; 7, sialyl-lacto-*N*-tetraose c; 8, lacto-*N*-difuco-hexaose; 9, disialyl-lacto-*N*-tetraose; 10, fucosyl-lacto-*N*hexaose; 11, difucosyl-lacto-*N*-hexaose; 12, fucosyl-disialyl-lacto-*N*-hexaose.

FIG 4 The pH of the culture supernatants from *Staphylococcus* isolates grown in the carbohydrate-free medium with various carbohydrate treatments (10 g/liter) was measured over time. Letters indicate significant treatment differences ($P < 0.05$) at the termination of the growth curves.

was taken due to the possibility that the genetic components associated with any response to HMO may be unique to isolates that have adapted to the mammary environment. One limitation of this approach is that the genetic makeup of the isolates employed is unknown, so at present we cannot draw any conclusions about the genetic basis of HMO-associated enhancement of *Staphylococcus* growth. However, examination of the enzyme-coding genes in the *Staphylococcus* genomes available on the Carbohydrate-Active enZYmes (CAZy) database [\(7\)](#page-6-17) revealed that none of the 27 *S. aureus* or 2 *S. epidermidis* genomes contained any of the aforementioned glycosidic enzymes. Interestingly, the genomes of other *Staphylococcus* species, including *Staphylococcus carnosus*, *Staphylococcus haemolyticus*, *Staphylococcus lugdunensis*, *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*, and *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*, contained at least one copy of the potentially HMO-degrading enzymes. Nonetheless, *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* were the species tested in the present study, so the observed lack of genetic basis for the HMO-specific glycoside hydrolases in isolates of these species may provide more evidence that the effects of HMO are due to a mechanism independent of bacterial metabolism of these glycans.

Furthermore, cultures grown in HMO-supplemented medium demonstrated very little pH alteration after several hours of growth. A drop in culture pH is generally indicative of carbohydrate catabolism and the buildup of the acidic intermediates associated with glycolysis. The intensified decrease in pH associated with the HMO+G treatment in both isolates and the $HMO+L$ treatment in the *S. epidermidis* isolate is logical because the addi-

FIG 5 Relative change in amino acid concentration in culture supernatants of the *S. epidermidis* (A) and *S. aureus* (B) strains at $t = 18$ h and $t = 20$ h, respectively, compared to $t = 0$. Bars represent means and SEM of three independent experiments for cultures without carbohydrate source, with glucose as the sole carbohydrate source, or with HMO as the sole carbohydrate source. $*$, significant decrease ($P < 0.05$).

tion of HMO enhanced the bacterial growth rate, likely leading to greater utilization of glucose or lactose. Nonetheless, in the treatment with only HMO added, very little (if any) pH alteration was observed. These observations provide further evidence that the HMO-associated enhancement of bacterial growth was not a result of HMO catabolism.

Recent work employing a biosensor-based assay demonstrated that a strain of *Staphylococcus* may interact with 2'-fucosyllactose [\(25\)](#page-7-19), which is one of the most abundant oligosaccharides in milk. These findings presented novel information that at least some strains of *Staphylococcus* may be able to bind to specific HMO. Interestingly, in the present study a positive correlation was observed between *Staphylococcus* and 2'-fucosyllactose *in vivo* (data not shown). Our *in vitro* data suggest that the stimulatory effects of HMO on *Staphylococcus* growth were not associated with metabolism of the HMO, so it is possible that the observed effects were connected to this type of specific binding event. Furthermore, if HMO binding were occurring, it might be connected to the activation of a growth-promoting signaling cascade.

Staphylococcus is a genus that profoundly influences lactationassociated health outcomes as it encompasses several pathogenic species implicated in lactational mastitis [\(13,](#page-6-18) [31\)](#page-7-20). Affecting up to 30% of lactating women [\(4\)](#page-6-19), mastitis is defined as inflammation of the breast that is associated with redness, swelling, and painful lactation that may be accompanied by fever or flu-like symptoms. Considering the pain that is associated with these symptoms, it is not surprising that women who have mastitis are more likely to abandon their efforts to breast-feed, thereby depriving the mother-infant dyad of the numerous health benefits associated with lactation and breast-feeding [\(36\)](#page-7-21). It is therefore noteworthy that, under nutritional conditions similar to those in the lactating mammary gland, HMO stimulated growth of both *Staphylococcus* isolates. Although the mechanism has yet to be elucidated, this interaction may be an important factor in the development of mastitis and, subsequently, the likelihood of a woman successfully breast-feeding her infant.

Indeed, *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* are both common mastitis pathogens, yet in several studies examining the bacterial communities of milk produced by women free from mastitis, the presence of both of these species has been reported [\(18,](#page-6-20) [22,](#page-6-21) [28\)](#page-7-10). It is therefore of great interest to understand why these species are seemingly harmless commensal organisms at some times and pathogens at others. Considering the striking interpersonal variation in HMO content and the positive effects of HMO on *Staphylococcus* growth reported here, we suggest the possibility that a woman's HMO profile may be one factor that influences the growth of *Staphylococcus* species involved in lactational mastitis.

A cocktail of heterogeneous compounds, HMO vary drastically in size, charge, and conformation [\(5,](#page-6-0) [6,](#page-6-1) [23,](#page-7-22) [24\)](#page-7-0). After observing the *in vitro* correlation between HMO and *Staphylococcus* in milk, we chose to perform our experiments with HMO purified from pooled donor milk collected from several individuals. We took this approach so our experiments would include a large variety of structures because it was unknown which type of compound would influence *Staphylococcus* growth *in vitro*. However, considering the diversity of structures that are contained in HMO, it is possible that not all HMO were responsible for the observed effects. Rather, it is more likely that bioactivity is limited to a specific structure, or set of structures, with a particular charge, size, or conformational characteristic. This concept was recently demonstrated in work with a neonatal rat model of necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) [\(20\)](#page-6-11). The authors initially determined that administration of whole HMO to formula-fed pups decreased the incidence of NEC-like symptoms to a level similar to that observed in pups fed with mother's milk. Further investigation found that, of the numerous structures that compose HMO, the protective effects were exclusive to one specific glycan: disialyllacto-*N*-tetraose. It is possible that a similar scenario is responsible for the effects of HMO on *Staphylococcus* growth reported here. Consequently, further work is needed to determine the exact structures required to elicit the effects described in this study.

In conclusion, this study provides novel evidence that HMO act to stimulate growth of *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis in vitro*, confirming the positive relation detected in human milk. Growth of *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* occurred without metabolism of HMO, suggesting that HMO act as growth stimulants. Therefore, the effects of HMO on human health during lactation may not be limited to the infant, but, rather, their influence may also extend to the mammary health of the lactating mother. These data suggest that HMO may interact with the bacterial communities of a mother's mammary gland before ever being introduced to the nursing infant and provoke the question as to how this interaction influences the success of lactation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the United Dairymen of Idaho, National Institutes of Health grants P20 RR15587 (M.A.M.), P20 RR016454 (M.A.M.), and R00DK078668 (L.B.), and the Initiative for Bioinformatics and Evolutionary Studies at the University of Idaho.

REFERENCES

- 1. **Albrecht S, et al.** 2011. Oligosaccharides in feces of breast- and formulafed babies. Carbohydr. Res. **346**:2173–2181.
- 2. **Arroyo R, et al.** 2010. Treatment of infectious mastitis during lactation: antibiotics versus oral administration of lactobacilli isolated from breast milk. Clin. Infect. Dis. **50**:1551–1558.
- 3. **Asakuma S, et al.** 2011. Physiology of consumption of human milk oligosaccharides by infant gut-associated bifidobacteria. J. Biol. Chem. **286**: 34583–34592.
- 4. **Barbosa-Cesnik C, Schwartz K, Foxman B.** 2003. Lactation mastitis. JAMA **289**:1609 –1612.
- 5. **Bode L, Beermann C, Mank M, Kohn G, Boehm G.** 2004. Human and bovine milk gangliosides differ in their fatty acid composition. J. Nutr. **134**:3016 –3020.
- 6. **Bode L.** 2009. Human milk oligosaccharides: prebiotics and beyond. Nutr. Rev. **67**(Suppl 2):S183–S191.
- 7. **Cantarel BL, et al.** 2009. The Carbohydrate-Active EnZymes database (CAZy): an expert resource for glycogenomics. Nucleic Acids Res. **37**: D233–D238.
- 8. **Chaturvedi P, et al.** 2001. Fucosylated human milk oligosaccharides vary between individuals and over the course of lactation. Glycobiology **11**: 365–372.
- 9. **Collado MC, Delgado S, Maldonado A, Rodríguez JM.** 2009. Assessment of the bacterial diversity of breast milk of healthy women by quantitative real-time PCR. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. **48**:523–528.
- 10. **Coppa GV, et al.** 2011. Oligosaccharides in 4 different milk groups, *Bifidobacteria*, and *Ruminococcus obeum*. J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr. **53**:80 –87.
- 11. **Crossley KB, Archer G.** 1997. Biology, p 1–38. *In* Crossley KB, Archer GL (ed), Staphylococci in human disease. Churchill and Livingstone Inc., New York, NY.
- 12. **Davidson B, Meinzen-Derr JK, Wagner CL, Newburg DS, Morrow AL.** 2004. Fucosylated oligosaccharides in human milk in relation to gestational age and stage of lactation. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. **554**:427–430.
- 13. **Delgado S, et al.** 2009. *Staphylococcus epidermidis* strains isolated from breast milk of women suffering infectious mastitis: potential virulence traits and resistance to antibiotics. BMC Microbiol. **9**:82. doi:10.1186/ 1471-2180-9-82.
- 14. **Engfer MB, Stahl B, Finke B, Sawatzki G, Daniel H.** 2000. Human milk oligosaccharides are resistant to enzymatic hydrolysis in the upper gastrointestinal tract. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. **71**:1589 –1596.
- 15. **Gabrielli O, et al.** 2011. Preterm milk oligosaccharides during the first month of lactation. Pediatrics **128**:e1520 –1531. doi:10.1542/peds.2011- 1206.
- 16. **Gnoth MJ, Kunz C, Kinne-Saffran E, Rudloff S.** 2000. Human milk oligosaccharides are minimally digested in vitro. J. Nutr. **130**:3014 –3020.
- 17. **György P, Kuhn R, Rose CS, Zilliken F.** 1954. Bifidus factor I. A variant of *Lactobacillus bifidus* requiring a special growth factor. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. **48**:193–201.
- 18. **Heikkilä MP, Saris PEJ.** 2003. Inhibition of *Staphylococcus aureus* by the commensal bacteria of human milk. J. Appl. Microbiol. **95**:471–478.
- 19. **Hunt KM, et al.** 2011. Characterization of the diversity and temporal stability of bacterial communities in human milk. PLoS One **6**:e21313. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0021313.
- 20. **Jantscher-Krenn E, et al.** 2011. The human milk oligosaccharide disialyllacto-*N*-tetraose prevents necrotising enterocolitis in neonatal rats. Gut. [Epub ahead of print.] doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2011-301404.
- 21. **Jantscher-Krenn E, et al.** 2012. Human milk oligosaccharides reduce *Entamoeba histolytica* attachment and cytotoxicity in vitro. Br. J. Nutr. [Epub ahead of print.] doi:10.1017/S0007114511007392.
- 22. **Jiménez E, et al.** 2008. *Staphylococcus epidermidis*: a differential trait of the fecal microbiota of breast-fed infants. BMC Microbiol. **8**:143. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-8-143.
- 23. **Kobata A.** 2010. Structures and application of oligosaccharides in human milk. Proc. Jpn. Acad. Ser. B Phys. Biol. Sci. **86**:731–747.
- 24. **Kunz C, Rudloff S, Baier W, Klein N, Strobel S.** 2000. Oligosaccharides in human milk: structural, functional, and metabolic aspects. Annu. Rev. Nutr. **20**:699 –722.
- 25. **Lane JA, Mehra RK, Carrington SD, Hickey RM.** 2011. Development of biosensor-based assays to identify anti-infective oligosaccharides. Anal. Biochem. **410**:200 –205.
- 26. **Marcobal A, et al.** 2010. Consumption of human milk oligosaccharides by gut-related microbes. J. Agric. Food. Chem. **58**:5334 –5340.
- 27. **Marcobal A, et al.** 2011. *Bacteroides* in the infant gut consume milk oligosaccharides via mucus-utilization pathways. Cell Host Microbe **10**: 507–514.
- 28. **Martín R, et al.** 2007. Cultivation-independent assessment of the bacterial diversity of breast milk among healthy women. Res. Microbiol. **158**: 31–37.
- 29. **Moro E.** 1900. Morphologie und bakteriologische Untersuchungen über die Darmbakterien des Säuglings: Die Bakterien-flora des normalen Frauenmilchstuhls. Jahrbuch Kinderh. **61**:686 –734.
- 30. **Morrow AL, et al.** 2004. Human milk oligosaccharides are associated with protection against diarrhea in breast-fed infants. J. Pediatr. **145**:297–303.
- 31. **Osterman KL, Rahm V.** 2000. Lactation mastitis: bacterial cultivation of breast milk, symptoms, treatment, and outcome. J. Hum. Lact. **16**:297– 302.
- 32. **Perez PF, et al.** 2007. Bacterial imprinting of the neonatal immune system: lessons from maternal cells? Pediatrics **119**:e724 –732. doi:10.1542/ peds.2006-1649.
- 33. **R Development Core Team.** 2011. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 34. **Ritz C.** 2010. Toward a unified approach to dose-response modeling in ecotoxicology. Env. Tox. Chem. **29**:220 –229.
- 35. **Ruiz-Palacios GM, Cervantes LE, Ramos P, Chavez-Munguia B, Newburg DS.** 2003. *Campylobacter jejuni* binds intestinal H(O) antigen (Fuc 1, 2Gal 1, 4GlcNAc), and fucosyloligosaccharides of human milk inhibit its binding and infection. J. Biol. Chem. **278**:14112–14120.
- 36. **Schwartz K, et al.** 2002. Factors associated with weaning in the first 3 months postpartum. J. Fam. Pract. **51**:439 –444.
- 37. **Scott DA, et al.** 2011. Comparative metabolic flux profiling of melanoma cell lines: beyond the Warburg effect. J. Biol. Chem. **286**:42626 –42634.
- 38. **Sela DA, Mills DA.** 2010. Nursing our microbiota: molecular linkages between bifidobacteria and milk oligosaccharides. Trends Microbiol. **18**: 298 –307.
- 39. **Stahl B, et al.** 2001. Detection of four human milk groups with respect to Lewis-blood-group-dependent oligosaccharides by serologic and chromatographic analysis. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. **501**:299 –306.
- 40. **Tissier H.** 1900. Recherches sur la flora intestinale de nourissons (état normal et pathologique). Paris, France.
- 41. **Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR.** 2007. Naïve Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **73**:5261–5267.
- 42. **Wu S, Tao N, German JB, Grimm R, Lebrilla CB.** 2010. Development of an annotated library of neutral human milk oligosaccharides. J. Proteome Res. **9**:4138 –4151.
- 43. **Wu S, Grimm R, German JB, Lebrilla CB.** 2011. Annotation and structural analysis of sialylated human milk oligosaccharides. J. Proteome Res. **10**:856 –868.
- 44. **Yang C, Richardson AD, Osterman A, Smith JW.** 2008. Profiling of central metabolism in human cancer cells by two-dimensional NMR, GC-MS analysis, and isotopomer modeling. Metabolomics **4**:13–29.
- 45. **Zhou X, et al.** 2004. Characterization of vaginal microbial communities in adult healthy women using cultivation-independent methods. Microbiology **150**:2565–2573.