

Diversity of Gene Cassette Promoters in Class 1 Integrons from Wastewater Environments

Alexandra Moura,^a Thomas Jové,^b Marie-Cécile Ploy,^b Isabel Henriques,^a and António Correia^a

Department of Biology & CESAM, University of Aveiro, Campus Universitário de Santiago, Aveiro, Portugal,^a and Université de Limoges, Inserm UMR 1092, Faculté de Médecine, Limoges, France^b

The diversity of gene cassette promoters in class 1 integrons was investigated in 47 strains isolated from wastewaters. The weak PcW and PcH1 variants predominated, suggesting that, similar to clinical environments, high rates of gene cassette recombination, rather than high expression of gene cassettes, have been preferentially selected in wastewaters.

ntegrons are natural gene capture and expression systems, being important players in bacterial adaptation (1). Five classes of resistance integrons have been described, with class 1 being the most prevalent. In class 1 integrons, the gene cassette Pc promoter is located within the integrase *int11* gene (3), and five predominant Pc variants possessing different expression efficiencies, PcW, PcH1, PcW_{TGN-10}, PcH2, and PcS from the weakest to the strongest, have been identified (5). In ~10% of class 1 integrons, a second promoter (P2) is also present, created by the insertion of a GGG triplet within the *att11* recombination site that optimizes the spacing between the -35 and -10 boxes (from 14 nucleotides [nt] to 17 nt) (5). Usually, the presence of P2 compensates for the weak strength of Pc, enhancing gene cassette expression without interfering with the expression of the integrase gene (4, 5).

Altogether, more than 20 Pc-P2 combinations have been identified in class 1 integrons, regardless of their origin (5, 15). The most frequent configurations are as follows, according to their strength (5): PcW < PcW-P2 < PcH1 < PcH1-P2 < PcW_{TGN-10}-P2 < PcW_{TGN-10} < PcH2 < PcS-P2 < PcS.

It has also been shown that promoter strength inversely correlates with the integrase gene expression and activity. On one hand, the weaker the promoter, the more active the integrase excision activity (5). On the other hand, the Pc promoter interferes with the level of *intI1* transcription, although it depends on the Pc variant: the strong PcS variant prevents *intI1* expression, in contrast to the other variants (4). Therefore, the Pc-P2 promoter configurations may give insights not only regarding the level of expression of the gene cassettes but also for the ability of the class 1 integrons to rearrange gene cassette arrays.

Wastewaters have been reported as important reservoirs of integrons and gene cassettes (8–11, 13). In addition, it has been shown that the type of effluent affects both the prevalence and the diversity of gene cassette arrays (10). In particular, a slaughterhouse's effluents have been shown to possess an increased prevalence of integron-carrying bacteria comparing to domestic wastewaters (8, 10). Despite that, the diversity of gene cassette arrays present was found to be higher in bacteria isolated from domestic effluents (10), probably as a result of different selective pressures shaping the diversity of bacterial communities inhabiting those systems (9, 10).

Here we investigated the diversity of gene cassette Pc promoters in 47 class 1 integrons detected in the 46 strains (one strain possessing two integrons) belonging to *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Aeromonadaceae* that were isolated from those two distinct wastewater environments: urban wastewaters (n = 23), consisting mostly of domestic discharges, and a slaughterhouse's wastewater (n = 24), consisting of discharges with animal origin. Bacterial isolation and identification, molecular typing, and characterization of integron variable regions have been described in detail in previous studies (8, 10). The characterization of the Pc-P2 region was performed by amplification and sequencing with the primers intI1F (5'-CCTCCCGCACGATGATC-3') and 5CSrevcompl (5'-CTTGCTGCTTGGATGCC-3') or by amplification of the complete integron and subsequent sequencing by primer walking as previously described (8, 10).

Among 47 class 1 integrons, six different Pc-P2 configurations were detected: PcW, PcW-P2, PcH1, PcW_{TGN-10}, PcH2, and PcS (Fig. 1). The results obtained showed the predominance of weak PcW and PcH1 variants in both types of effluents. Nevertheless, the diversity of the Pc-P2 configurations was dependent on the type of wastewater (χ^2 test; P < 0.001): PcW was significantly prevalent in the slaughterhouse's collection, whereas the PcH1 variant prevailed in the urban wastewater (Fig. 1).

A higher diversity of Pc variants was observed in integrons from urban wastewater isolates: five different Pc-P2 configurations were detected, namely, PcH1 (44%), PcW (30%), PcW_{TGN-10} (13%), PcS (9%), and PcH2 (4%). In integrons from the slaughterhouse's wastewaters, gene cassette promoters were limited to three configurations: PcW (79%), PcH1 (13%), and PcW-P2 (8%). Occurrence of the PcH1 variant in integrons from urban wastewaters, as well as the PcW variant in animal wastewaters, was much higher than that previously reported from *in silico* studies (26.2% and 16.5%, respectively), though differences may be related to the exclusion of identical arrays made in the *in silico* analysis (5).

Previous studies concerning clinical environments identified a high predominance of weak PcW variants in *Salmonella* (6, 14), and PcW and PcH1 variants also prevailed in *Escherichia coli* strains from human and animal origins (2), although the authors did not discriminate between PcW and PcW_{TGN-10} (15). In this study, the control of gene cassette expression was also associated with weak PcW and

Received 6 January 2012 Accepted 8 May 2012 Published ahead of print 11 May 2012 Address correspondence to Alexandra Moura, amoura@ua.pt. Copyright © 2012, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/AEM.00042-12



FIG 1 Distribution of the different Pc variants detected in this study among different taxa (*Aeromonas* spp. and *Enterobacteriaceae*) and sources (MM, slaughterhouse wastewaters; ER, urban wastewaters); previously reported occurrences based on GenBank *in silico* analyses (5) are also presented.

PcH1 variants in both wastewaters, suggesting the existence of a dynamic gene cassette pool in these environments that is similar to what has been described for clinical settings (2, 6, 14).

As shown in Table 1, different Pc variants were not species specific, as previously reported (12, 15). Also, different promoters

were found in integrons with identical gene cassette arrays (e.g., *aacA4-cr-bla*_{OXA-1}-*catB3-arr3*; *aadA2*). Nevertheless, the *dfrA1-aadA1* gene cassette array was always associated with the PcW promoter. As reported in previous studies, it is thought that this array is considerably stable and that its transfer occurs by the mo-

TABLE 1 Promoter configurations determined in this study

| Promoter configuration | Gene cassette array ^a | Organism | Strain designation ^b | Resistance phenotype ^c | Putative integron location ^d | GenBank accession no. |
|------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| PcS | ND | Klehsiella orvitoca | FR 1 13 | AMP FRY NAL STR | C | IN837680 |
| | orfER.1.7::ISAs12-aadA13 | Aeromonas salmonicida | ER.1.7 | AMP, CEF, NAL, STR | C | HQ170513 |
| PcH2 | bla _{GES-7} -aacA4 | Aeromonas media | ER.1.8 | AMP, CEF, KAN, NAL, STR (CAZ, GEN) | С | HQ170511 |
| PcW _{TGN-10} | aacA4-CR-bla _{OXA-1} -catB3-arr3 aacA4-CR-bla _{OXA-1} -catB3-arr3 dfrA12-orfF-aadA2 | Aeromonas allosaccharophila Aeromonas media Aeromonas media | ER.1.4 ER.1.25 ER.1.1 | AMP, CEF, ERY, GEN, KAN, NAL, STR (CIP) AMP, CEF, CIP, ERY, NAL AMP, CEF, ERY, KAN, NAL, STR, STX | C, P C C, P | HQ170510 HQ170516 FJ460175 |
| PcH1 | aacA4-CR-bla _{OXA-1} -catB3-arr3 ND catB8-aadA1 bla _{OXA-2} -aadA1-bla _{OXA-2} -gcuD aadA1 aadA2 aacA4-catB3-bla _{OXA-10} -aadA1 catB8-aadA17 catB3-aadA1 aadA1 aadA1 aadA1 aadA2 dfrA17-aadA5 | Aeromonas allosaccharophila Enterobacter cloacae Aeromonas allosaccharophila Aeromonas caviae Aeromonas madia Aeromonas media Aeromonas media Aeromonas media Aeromonas sp. Aeromonas sp. Aeromonas veronii Aeromonas veronii Aeromonas veronii Shigella sp. | ER.1.16 ER.1.10 ER.1.16 ER.1.26 MM.1.24 ER.1.5 ER.1.11 ER.1.17 ER.1.18 MM.1.6 ER.1.24 MM.1.10 ER.1.23 | AMP, CEF, ERY, GEN, KAN, NAL, STR, TET AMP, CEF, ERY (STR) AMP, CEF, ERY, GEN, KAN, NAL, STR, TET AMP, CEF, NAL, STR (ERY) AMP, CEF, ERY, IPM, STR AMP, CEF, ERY, KAN, NAL, STR, STX (CHL) AMP, CEF, NAL, STR (ATM, STX, ERY) AMP, CEF, NAL, STR (ATM, STX, ERY) AMP, CAZ, CEF, NAL, STR AMP, ERY, IPM, STR, TET (CEF, STX) AMP, CEF, ERY, KAN, NAL, STR AMP, CEF, ERY, KAN, NAL, STR AMP (ERY, STR, TET) AMP, CEF, CIP, ERY, NAL, STR, STX, TET | C, P C, C C C C C C C C C C C C C | HQ170517 JN837679 HQ170518 HQ170515 JQ326986 FJ460176 FJ460179 HQ170514 FJ460181 JQ326975 FJ460183 EU089667 FJ460182 |
| PcW-P2 | aadA1 aadA1 | Escherichia coli Escherichia coli | MM.1.15 MM.1.9 | ERY, STR, TET CEF, ERY, STR, TET | C C | JQ326978 EU089666 |
| PcW | dfrA1-aadA1 dfrA1-aadA1 dfrA1-aadA1 dfrA1-aadA1 dfrA1-aadA1 dfrA1-aadA1 dfrA1-aadA1 dfrA1-aadA1 adA2 bla $_{0XA-1}$ -aadA1 dfrA1-aadA1 | Aeromonas salmonicida Aeromonas salmonicida Aeromonas salmonicida Aeromonas salmonicida Aeromonas salmonicida Aeromonas sp. Escherichia coli Escherichia coli Escherichia coli Escherichia coli Aeromonas allosaccharophila Aeromonas caviae Aeromonas caviae Aeromonas salmonicida Aeromonas salmonicida | MM.1.19 MM.1.3 MM.1.4 MM.1.23 MM.1.29 ER.1.21 MM.1.5 ER.1.9 MM.1.15 ER.1.9 MM.1.13 ER.1.6 ER.1.20 ER.1.20 ER.1.22 MM.1.16 MM.1.16 MM.1.17 MM.1.18 MM.1.22 MM.1.22 MM.1.26 MM.1.27 ER.1.27 | AMP, CEF, STR, STX, TET (ERY) AMP, CEF, STR, STX (ERY) AMP, CEF, STR, STX, TET (ERY) AMP, CEF, STR, STX, TET (ERY) AMP, CAZ, CHL, CEF, ERY, GEN, IPM, STR, STX, TET AMP, CAZ, CEF, ERY, NAL AMP, CEF, STR, STX, TET (ERY) AMP, CEF, STR, STX, TET (CFI) ERY, TET, STX (CEF, STR) AMP, CEF, CHL, ERY, STR, STX, TET AMP, CEF, CHL, ERY, STR, STX, TET AMP, CEF, CHL, ERY, STR, STX, TET AMP, CEF, NAL AMP, CEF, NAL AMP, CEF, CHL, CIP, ERY, NAL, STR (CHL, CIP) AMP, CEF, STR, STX, TET (ERY) AMP, CEF, CIP, ERY, NAL | C, P C, P C C C P C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C | JQ326982 JQ326972 JQ326973 JQ326973 JQ326973 JQ326973 JQ326973 JQ326974 EU089668 EU089669 EU089669 EU089669 JQ326977 FJ460177 JN837678 HQ170512 JQ326979 JQ326979 JQ326979 JQ326981 JQ326981 JQ326984 JQ326984 JQ326977 JQ326984 JQ326985 JQ326986 JQ326987 JQ326987 JQ326984 JQ326985 JN837683 |

^a ND, not detected.

^b MM strains were obtained from the slaughterhouse's wastewaters, whereas ER strains refer to urban wastewaters.

^c Intermediary susceptibility phenotypes are shown in parentheses. AMP, ampicillin; ATM, aztreonam; CAZ, ceftazidime; CEF, cephalothin; CIP, ciprofloxacin; CHL,

chloramphenicol; ERY, erythromycin; GEN, gentamicin; IPM, imipenem; KAN, kanamycin; NAL, nalidixic acid; STR, streptomycin; TET, tetracycline; STX, trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole.

^d As determined by Southern hybridization (8, 10). C, chromosome; P, plasmid.

bilization of the complete integron structure in larger elements rather than by individual resistance gene cassettes (7, 8).

Nevertheless, we are aware that the number of integrons analyzed in this study is limited and that different methodologies applied among clinical studies may vary.

In summary, the results obtained showed the predominance of weak promoter variants in both types of wastewaters. Although some differences emerged in the distribution of the Pc variants between the two types of wastewaters, they converged in a trend that favors a high rate of recombination of gene cassettes, contributing to genome plasticity. Moreover, in this study, the trend of the Pc variants was more pronounced than those previously reported, which concerned mostly integrons from clinical origin.

To the best of our knowledge, this constitutes the first investigation concerning the configuration of gene cassette promoters in class 1 integrons from wastewater environments. More epidemiological studies focusing on the analysis of promoter configurations is necessary to refine data on their distributions and determine to what extent the class 1 integron promoters' ecologies differ according to the wastewater type.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) through project POCTI/BME/45881/2002 and grants SFRH/BD/ 19443/2004 and SFRH/BPD/72256/2010 (A. Moura) and SFRH/BPD/ 63487/2009 (I. Henriques).

We thank António Nogueira (Department of Biology, University of Aveiro) for his assistance in statistical analysis.

REFERENCES

- 1. Cambray G, Guerout AM, Mazel D. 2010. Integrons. Annu. Rev. Genet. 44:141–156.
- Cocchi S, et al. 2007. Distribution and characterization of integrons in Escherichia coli strains of animal and human origin. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 50:126–132.
- Collis CM, Hall RM. 1995. Expression of antibiotic resistance genes in the integrated cassettes of integrons. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 39:155– 162.
- 4. Guérin E, JovÉ T, Tabesse A, Mazel D, Ploy MC. 2011. High-level gene cassette transcription prevents integrase expression in class 1 integrons. J. Bacteriol. 193:5675–5682.
- 5. Jové T, Da Ré S, Denis F, Mazel D, Ploy MC. 2010. Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. PLoS Genet. 6:e1000793. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000793.
- 6. Lindstedt BA, Heir E, Nygård I, Kapperud G. 2003. Characterization of class I integrons in clinical strains of *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovars Typhimurium and Enteritidis from Norwegian hospitals. J. Med. Microbiol. 52:141–149.
- Martinez-Freijo P, Fluit AC, Schmitz FJ, Verhoef J, Jones ME. 1999. Many class 1 integrons comprise distinct stable structures occurring in

different species of *Enterobacteriaceae* isolated from widespread geographic regions in Europe. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 43:686–689.

- Moura A, Henriques I, Ribeiro R, Correia A. 2007. Prevalence and characterization of integrons from bacteria isolated from a slaughterhouse wastewater treatment plant. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 60:1243–1250.
- 9. Moura A, Henriques I, Smalla K, Correia A. 2010. Wastewater bacterial communities bring together broad-host range plasmids, integrons and a wide diversity of uncharacterized gene cassettes. Res. Microbiol. 161:58-66.
- 10. Moura A, Pereira P, Henriques I, Correia A. 2012. Novel gene cassettes and integrons in antibiotic resistant bacteria isolated from urban wastewaters. Res. Microbiol. 163:92–100.
- Pellegrini C, et al. 2011. Occurrence of class 1 and 2 integrons in resistant enterobacteriaceae collected from a urban wastewater treatment plant: first report from central Italy. Microb. Drug Resist. 17:229–234.
- 12. Peters EDJ, Leverstein-van Hall MA, Box ATA, Verhoef J, Fluit AC. 2001. Novel gene cassettes and integrons. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 45:2961–2964.
- 13. Pignato S, Coniglio MA, Faro G, Weill FX, Giammanco G. 2009. Plasmid-mediated multiple antibiotic resistance of *Escherichia coli* in crude and treated wastewater used in agriculture. J. Water Health 7:251– 258.
- Schmitz FJ, et al. 2001. Increased prevalence of class I integrons in *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella* species, and *Enterobacter* species isolates over a 7-year period in a German University Hospital. J. Clin. Microbiol. 39: 3724–3726.
- Vinué L, Jové T, Torres C, Ploy MC. 2011. Diversity of class 1 integron gene cassette Pc promoter variants in clinical *Escherichia coli* strains and description of a new P2 promoter variant. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 38: 526–529.