

Migratory birds, ticks, and *Bartonella*

Ylva Molin, PhD^{1*}, Mats Lindeborg, MD²,
Fredrik Nyström, MSc³, Maxime Madder, PhD⁴,
Eva Hjelm, PhD¹, Björn Olsen, MD, Professor²,
Thomas G.T. Jaenson, Professor⁵ and
Christian Ehrenborg, MD, PhD²

¹Clinical Bacteriology, Department of Medical Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden; ²Infectious Diseases, Department of Medical Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden; ³Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden; ⁴Department of Animal Health, Institute of Tropical Medicine, University of Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium; ⁵Medical Entomology, Department of Systematic Biology, Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

Bartonella spp. infections are considered to be vector-borne zoonoses; ticks are suspected vectors of bartonellae. Migratory birds can disperse ticks infected with zoonotic pathogens such as *Rickettsia* and tick-borne encephalitis virus and possibly also *Bartonella*. Thus, in the present study 386 tick specimens collected in spring 2009 from migratory birds on the Mediterranean islands Capri and Antikythera were screened for *Bartonella* spp. RNA. One or more ticks were found on 2.7% of the birds. Most ticks were *Hyalomma rufipes* nymphs and larvae with mean infestation rates of 1.7 nymphs and 0.6 larvae per infested bird. *Bartonella* spp. RNA was not detected in any of the tick specimens.

Keywords: *Bartonella*; migratory birds; ticks; zoonosis; emerging infection

Received: 15 January 2011; Revised: 17 January 2011; Accepted: 17 January 2011; Published: 11 February 2011

Bartonella infections are widespread in wild and domesticated mammals and several new species have been described during the last decades. Thirteen *Bartonella* species and subspecies have, so far, been associated with human disease, e.g. catch-scratch disease, Carrión's disease, and trench fever (1). The bartonelloses are considered to be vector-borne zoonoses and for some *Bartonella* species the vector is known: *B. henselae* – fleas, *B. quintana* – human louse, and *B. bacilliformis* – phlebotomine sandflies (2). *Bartonella* spp. have been detected – based almost exclusively on polymerase chain reaction (PCR) – in several tick species including *Ixodes ricinus*, *I. scapularis*, *I. persulcatus*, *Dermacentor reticulatus*, *Rhipicephalus sanguineus*, and *Carios kelleyi* (2). Although Cotté et al. (3) experimentally demonstrated that *I. ricinus* can transmit *B. henselae* during a blood meal, replicating bacteria have rarely been reported in ticks and there is little support for the hypothesis that ticks are natural competent vectors of *Bartonella* bacteria (1).

There is a growing body of evidence showing that migratory birds are important in the dispersal of ticks infected with important human pathogens, e.g. tick-borne

encephalitis virus and *Rickettsia* spp. (4, 5). Possibly this may also be true for *Bartonella* spp.

In order to investigate the potential presence of *Bartonella* spp. in ticks infesting birds, a total of 386 ticks was removed from migratory birds net-captured on two Mediterranean islands and later screened for the presence of *Bartonella* spp. RNA.

Materials and methods

A total of 7,453 springtime migratory birds were captured in mist nets at Capri bird observatory in Italy ($n=4,924$) and at Antikythera bird observatory in Greece ($n=2,529$) between April 2nd 2009 and May 18th 2009. Each captured bird was identified to species and the ears, throat, nape, and abdomen of each bird were checked for ticks. Any tick observed was removed with forceps and individually submerged in Eppendorf tubes filled with RNAlater buffer (QIAGEN) and frozen at -20°C .

The dorsal and ventral areas of each tick were photographed with a DinoLite USB-microscope. The pictures were carefully analyzed in order to determine stage and species of the tick. The morphological

species identification of immature ticks is difficult, especially with regard to the genus *Hyalomma* (6). Therefore, to confirm the identifications based on tick morphology a molecular approach was chosen for 10 larval and nymphal tick specimens, identified morphologically as *Hyalomma* sp. and considered to be representative for the whole *Hyalomma* sample. Available sequences of the different genes of *Hyalomma* species were compared in the GeneBank, and the mitochondrial 12S rDNA was identified as an appropriate target gene.

The ticks were homogenized using a QIAGEN Tissue-Lyzer (QIAGEN) and RNA extraction was performed in a QIAGEN M48 BioRobot using the MagAttract[®] RNA Tissue Mini M48 kit. Random hexamer primers and Illustra[™] Ready-to-GO RT-PCR beads kit (GE Healthcare, UK) were used for cDNA synthesis. The cDNA was then used for analyzes of *Bartonella* spp. and tick identification.

A total of 386 tick specimens were analyzed for potential presence of *Bartonella* spp. RNA using a quantitative real-time PCR (q-PCR) targeting the citrate synthase gene (*gltA*) (7). The reaction was adjusted by using 5 µl cDNA template (instead of 1 µl). Negative and positive controls were included in each step, i.e. RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and q-PCR.

For the molecular identification of the 10 selected ticks, standard PCR amplifications were carried out in 25 µl reaction mixtures containing 5 µl of the cDNA, 1.65 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM of the four dNTPs, 10 pM of each primer (T1B122S and T2A12S), 1 Utaq polymerase enzyme (Promega), and 1 µl Yellow Sub[™] (GENEO Bioproducts, Hamburg, Germany). The reaction mixture was overlaid by a drop of fine neutral mineral oil (ICN) and placed on a heating block of a programmable thermocycler (Biometra, Westburg). After a denaturation step of 4 min at 94°C, each of the 40 cycles consisted of 30 s at 92°C, 45 s at 58°C, and 60 s at 72°C before a final elongation step of 8 min at 72°C (8).

The PCR products were cloned prior to sequencing. For this, a TOPO TA Cloning[®] Kit was used (Invitrogen[™]). The clones thus obtained were sequenced by the VIB (Flemish Institute for Biotechnology) Genetic Service Facility at the University of Antwerp, using the ABI PRISM[®] BigDye[™] Terminator cycle sequencing kit and a capillary DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems 3730 DNA Analyzer).

Results

One or more ticks were found on 2.7% of the birds, with means of 1.7 nymphs and 0.6 larvae, respectively, per infested bird (Table 1). The majority of the 386 ticks found were nymphs and larvae of *Hyalomma* ($n = 367$; Table 2). Sequencing data for the 10 *Hyalomma* ticks revealed that

nine were *H. rufipes* and one was *H. marginatum*. These findings supported the diagnoses based on tick morphology. In total, 119 (30.8%) ticks were larvae and 250 (68.1%) were nymphs, i.e. 98.9% of the ticks were larvae or nymphs. In the present study only one adult tick, a female *I. ricinus*, was found.

Bartonella spp. RNA was not detected in any of the 386 ticks analyzed.

Discussion

None of the 386 ticks collected from birds captured on Antikythera, Greece and Capri, Italy during April–May 2009 was positive for RNA of *Bartonella*. Adult ticks rarely infest small and medium-sized birds and, accordingly, 98.9% of the ticks in the present study were larvae and nymphs.

We could not find any published report on *Bartonella* infections in ticks collected from migratory birds. However, in agreement with the present results, Monks et al. (9) screened ticks collected from free-living and captive birds with suspected avian tick-related syndrome for the presence of zoonotic pathogens. All 161 ticks were negative for *Bartonella* DNA (9). Furthermore, 64 *Carios capensis* ticks from a brown pelican (*Pelecanus occidentalis*) rookery in South Carolina, US, were also negative for *Bartonella* DNA (10). Wild birds may be important hosts of several blood-feeding arthropods, including ticks, potentially infected with clinically important pathogens (4, 5). However, these published investigations on *Bartonella* and the present one do not support the notion of a geographic spread of *Bartonella* in ticks infesting migratory birds.

The proportion of ticks positive for *Bartonella* spp. DNA in other studies varies from very low, i.e. 0.43% in questing *Amblyomma americanum* in the southern United States (11) and 1.2% in *I. ricinus* ticks collected in the Czech Republic (12) to much higher in *I. ricinus* ticks collected from roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) in The Netherlands, where 60% of the ticks were positive for *Bartonella* spp. DNA (13). All 167 *I. ricinus* ticks collected by flagging vegetation in central Sweden were negative for *Bartonella* DNA (14). This could possibly be explained by the fact that 95% of the ticks were host-seeking larvae that had never taken a blood meal, and host-seeking nymphs that had previously (as larvae) taken one blood-meal.

In conclusion, the results of the present study provided no support to the hypothesis that ticks infesting spring-time migratory birds may be infected with *Bartonella* bacteria. To our knowledge, this is the first published report about the potential presence of *Bartonella* bacteria in ticks carried by migratory birds.

Table 1. Bird species infested with ticks during springtime migration

Scientific name	Common name	No. birds	No. ticks	No. (%) birds infested	Mean infestation rate (No. ticks/ No. infested bird)	Mean no. larvae/infested bird	Mean no. nymphs/infested bird
<i>Acrocephalus schoenobaenus</i>	Sedge warbler	250	16	6 (2.4)	2.7	0.8	1.7
<i>Acrocephalus scirpaceus</i>	European reed warbler	9	4	1 (11)	4.0	0.0	4.0
<i>Anthus trivialis</i>	Tree pipit	208	11	7 (3.4)	1.6	0.1	1.3
<i>Erithacus rubecula</i>	European robin	52	5	2 (3.8)	2.5	0.0	2.5
<i>Ficedula albicollis</i>	Collared flycatcher	29	3	1 (3.4)	3.0	0.0	3.0
<i>Ficedula hypoleuca</i>	Pied flycatcher	1032	56	37 (3.6)	1.5	0.5	1.0
<i>Hippolais icterina</i>	Icterine warbler	292	4	4 (1.4)	1.0	0.8	0.3
<i>Hippolais pallida</i>	Eastern olivaceous warbler	24	1	1 (4.2)	1.0	0.0	1.0
<i>Lanius senator</i>	Woodchat shrike	53	28	7 (13)	4.0	0.9	3.1
<i>Luscinia megarhynchos</i>	Nightingale	118	15	6 (5.1)	2.5	2.3	0.2
<i>Motacilla flava</i>	Yellow wagtail	6	9	1 (17)	9.0	4.0	5.0
<i>Muscicapa striata</i>	Spotted flycatcher	572	2	2 (0.4)	1.0	0.5	0.5
<i>Oenanthe oenanthe</i>	Wheatear	4	2	2 (50)	1.0	0.0	1.0
<i>Oriolus oriolus</i>	Eurasian golden-oriole	148	12	7 (4.7)	1.7	0.3	1.4
<i>Phoenicurus phoenicurus</i>	Common redstart	176	20	12 (6.8)	1.7	0.2	1.5
<i>Phylloscopus sibilatrix</i>	Wood warbler	543	18	16 (2.9)	1.1	0.5	0.6
<i>Phylloscopus trochilus</i>	Willow warbler	464	3	3 (0.7)	1.0	0.3	0.7
<i>Saxicola rubetra</i>	Whinchat	745	80	38 (5.1)	2.2	0.9	1.2
<i>Sylvia borin</i>	Garden warbler	1005	6	4 (0.4)	1.5	1.0	0.3
<i>Sylvia communis</i>	Common whitethroat	863	85	42 (4.9)	2.1	0.4	1.6
<i>Turdus philomelos</i>	Song thrush	6	5	1 (17)	5.0	0.0	5.0
Other species		854	1*	0	0	0.0	0.0
	Total	7,453	386	200 (2.7)	1.9	0.6	1.7

*One tick was found on an unidentified bird species.

Table 2. Genus and stage of ticks

Tick genus	No. ticks	No. larvae (%)	No. nymphs (%)	No. adults	Unidentifiable
<i>Hyalomma</i>	369	117 (32%)	250 (68%)	–	2
<i>Ixodes</i>	7	1	5	1	–
<i>Amblyomma</i>	2	–	2	–	–
<i>Haemaphysalis</i>	2	–	2	–	–
Unidentifiable	6	1	4	–	1
Total	386	119 (30.8%)	263 (68.1%)	1	3

Acknowledgements

We thank the personnel at Capri and Antikythera bird observatories for collecting the ticks.

Conflict of interest and funding

The authors declare no conflict of interest. Thomas Jaenson's research is funded by Carl Trygger's stiftelse.

References

1. Angelakis E, Billeter SA, Breitschwerdt EB, Chomel BB, Raoult D. Potential for tick-borne bartonellosis. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2010; 16: 385–91.
2. Billeter SA, Levy MG, Chomel BB, Breitschwerdt EB. Vector transmission of *Bartonella* species with emphasis on the potential for tick transmission. *Med Vet Entomol* 2008; 22: 1–15.
3. Cotté V, Bonnet S, Le Rhun D, Le Naour E, Chauvin A, Boulouis HJ, et al. Transmission of *Bartonella henselae* by *Ixodes ricinus*. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2008; 14: 1074–80.
4. Elfving K, Olsen B, Bergstrom S, Waldenstrom J, Lundkvist A, Sjostedt A, et al. Dissemination of spotted fever rickettsia agents in Europe by migrating birds. *PLoS One* 2010; 5: e8572.
5. Waldenstrom J, Lundkvist A, Falk KI, Garpmo U, Bergstrom S, Lindegren G, et al. Migrating birds and tickborne encephalitis virus. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2007; 13: 1215–8.
6. Apanaskevitch DA, Horak IG. The genus *Hyalomma* Koch, 1844: V. re-evaluation of the taxonomic rank of taxa comprising the *H. (Euhyalomma) marginatum* Koch complex of species (acari: Ixodidae) with redescription of all parasitic stages and notes on biology. *Int J Acarology* 2008; 34: 13–42.
7. Ehrenborg C, Bystrom R, Hjelm E, Friman G, Holmberg M. High *Bartonella* spp. seroprevalence in a Swedish homeless population but no evidence of trench fever. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2008; 40: 208–15.
8. Beati, L, Keirans, JE. Analysis of systematic relationship among ticks of the genera *Rhipicephalus* and *Boophilus* (Acari: Ixodidae) based on mitochondrial 12S ribosomal DNA gene sequences and morphological characters. *J Parasitol* 2001; 87: 32–48.
9. Monks D, Fisher M, Forbes NA. *Ixodes frontalis* and avian tick-related syndrome in the United Kingdom. *J Small Anim Pract* 2006; 47: 451–5.
10. Reeves WK, Loftis AD, Sanders F, Spinks MD, Wills W, Denison AM, et al. *Borrelia, Coxiella, and Rickettsia* in *Carios capensis* (Acari: Argasidae) from a brown pelican (*Pelecanus occidentalis*) rookery in South Carolina, USA. *Exp Appl Acarol* 2006; 39: 321–9.
11. Billeter SA, Miller MK, Breitschwerdt EB, Levy MG. Detection of two *Bartonella tamiiae*-like sequences in *Amblyomma americanum* (Acari: Ixodidae) using 16S-23S intergenic spacer region-specific primers. *J Med Entomol* 2008; 45: 176–9.
12. Hercik K, Hasova V, Janecek J, Branny P. Molecular evidence of *Bartonella* DNA in ixodid ticks in Czechia. *Folia Microbiol (Praha)* 2007; 52: 503–9.
13. Schouls LM, Van De Pol I, Rijpkema SG, Schot CS. Detection and identification of *Ehrlichia, Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato, and *Bartonella* species in Dutch *Ixodes ricinus* ticks. *J Clin Microbiol* 1999; 37: 2215–22.
14. La Scola B, Holmberg M, Raoult D. Lack of *Bartonella* sp. in 167 *Ixodes ricinus* ticks collected in central Sweden. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2004; 36: 305–6.

*Ylva Molin

Clinical Bacteriology
Department of Medical Sciences
Uppsala University
Dag Hammarskjölds väg 17
751 85 Uppsala, Sweden
Tel: +46 18 611 39 11
Fax: +46 18 55 91 57
Email: ylva.molin@medsci.uu.se