

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

J Adolesc Health. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 November 01.

# Published in final edited form as:

J Adolesc Health. 2011 November ; 49(5): 550–552. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2011.03.011.

# Two years later: Wellness councils and healthier vending in a cohort of middle and high schools

#### Martha Y. Kubik, PhD,

University of Minnesota, School of Nursing, 5-140 Weaver Densford Hall, 308 Harvard St SE, Minneapolis, MN 55455, Phone: 612-625-0606; Fax: 612-626-2359

#### Kian Farbakhsh, MS, and

University of Minnesota, Division of Epidemiology and Community Health, Minneapolis, MN

#### Leslie A. Lytle, PhD

University of Minnesota, Division of Epidemiology and Community Health, Minneapolis, MN

Martha Y. Kubik: kubik002@umn.edu

# Abstract

**Purpose**—To examine the association between school wellness council structure over two years and low-nutrient, energy-dense (LNED) vending fare assessed as a food score (range 0–7), following enactment of federal legislation.

**Methods**—Multivariate linear regression was used to examine 2006/2007 and 2008/2009 data among a cohort of middle (n=16) and high (n=38) schools located in one Midwest metropolitan area.

**Results**—Schools with district and school councils had a significantly lower mean food score (3.28) than schools with district only (4.50) and no councils (4.99).

**Conclusions**—Wellness councils, particularly a structure that includes both a district and school council, may decrease LNED food/beverage availability in middle and high schools.

## Keywords

school food policy; wellness councils; vending

The 2006/2007 school year found most US schools responding to the Child Nutrition and WIC Reauthorization Act of 2004 requiring school districts participating in the federal school meal program to establish policies that included nutrition guidelines for all foods/ beverages offered at school and policy development involving key stakeholders, such as parents and school representatives (1). National data suggest that many schools and/or school districts utilize health/wellness councils to develop and disseminate nutrition policies (2).

In middle and high schools, vending machines (VM) are a prevalent source of low-nutrient, energy-dense (LNED) foods/beverages and a policy target (3, 4). Prior research conducted

<sup>© 2011</sup> Society for Adolescent Medicine. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Correspondence to: Martha Y. Kubik, kubik002@umn.edu.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

in 2006/2007 found that LNED vending fare was lower in middle and high schools reporting a district-only or district and school wellness council structure, with the latter offering the greatest benefit when compared to schools without councils (5). However, due to weaknesses with the federal legislation related to policy implementation and enforcement, there is concern about council sustainability and meaningful policymaking over time (6–8). For the current study, wellness council structure in 2006/2007 and 2008/2009 was assessed in a cohort of public middle and high schools and the association between council structure at the two time-points and availability of LNED vending fare in 2008/2009 was examined.

# Methods

Data were collected as part of a longitudinal measurement study of youth to assess obesityrelated factors, conducted in Minneapolis/St. Paul, Minnesota (9). Study recruitment and data collection details have been reported elsewhere (5, 9). The sample for this study included 54 public middle (n=16) and high (n=38) schools that completed school-level data collection in 2006/2007 and 2008/2009. The University of Minnesota Human Subjects Research Committee approved the study.

On both measurement occasions, a survey completed by the school principal/designee asked whether the school and school district had a health/wellness advisory council. A composite variable representing wellness council structure at both time-points was created as follows: district and school councils at both time-points; district-only council at one or both timepoints; and no council at one or both time-points.

In 2008/2009, trained study staff visited schools on one day and directly observed and recorded package size and kilocalories and fat grams per package for all vending items. LNED foods/beverages, defined as snacks containing > 3 grams of fat/serving or > 200 calories/serving and soft drinks (regular and diet), fruit drinks (not 100% fruit juice), sport drinks, and 2% or whole milk (plain or flavored) were grouped into seven categories (chocolate; other candy; baked goods not low-fat; salty snacks not low-fat; 2% or whole milk (plain or flavored); soda pop/fruit drinks; sports drinks) (3, 5). A food score was calculated based on number of categories (range: 0–7). Higher scores indicated more LNED foods/beverages. Schools without VM or VM offering only healthy items (water, 100% fruit juice) were scored as 0. Prior research demonstrated a high correlation between the mean number of LNED food/beverage items and the food score (5).

At baseline (2006/2007), information on school-level characteristics was obtained from the Minnesota Department of Education website, supplemented by a school representative. A cross-sectional approach and multivariate linear regression, adjusted for school-level characteristics, were used to examine the association between the composite wellness council variable and the food score. Analyses were performed using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

## Results

Most schools were suburban (91%) and high schools (70%). Mean student enrollment was 1566 (SD 695) and 18% (SD 14.5%) of students reported free/reduced lunch participation. Among schools, 12 (22%) had a school and district council at both time-points. At one or both time-points, 33 (61%) had a district-only council and 9 (17%) reported no council (Table 1). In 2008/2009, schools with a school and district council at both time-points reported a greater variety of council participants and more frequent meetings than schools with a district-only council (Table 2).

J Adolesc Health. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 November 01.

In adjusted multivariate analysis, having a wellness council structure at both time-points was inversely associated with the food score ( $\beta$ = -1.71 (district and school at both);  $\beta$ = -0.49 (district-only at one or both); F-test =0.07). High schools and suburban schools had higher scores than middle and urban schools,  $\beta$ =2.13; p=0.003 and  $\beta$ =2.66; p=0.04, respectively. In post hoc adjusted analysis, the mean food score for schools with both a district and school council was significantly lower compared to schools with district-only (3.28 versus 4.50; p=0.05) or no council (3.28 versus 4.99; p=0.04) at one or both time-points. The mean score for schools with district-only and no council structures at one or both time-points was not significantly different (4.50 versus 4.99; p=0.48).

# Discussion

This research contributes to the dearth of empirical evidence linking school policy to schoollevel food practice. Study findings indicate that two years post enactment of federal legislation, LNED foods/beverages remain common vending fare in middle and high schools. However, schools reporting a wellness council structure over two years that included both a school and district wellness council had significantly less LNED vending fare than schools with a district-only council or no council at one or both time-points. Consistent with earlier research, the added benefit of a school council is likely due to involving a greater variety of stakeholders, particularly students and teachers, and more frequent meetings (2, 5), factors that foster improved policy oversight and enforcement at the school level. Stability of council structure over time may also be a factor.

Study strengths include the examination of policy and practice in a school cohort over a two year period and the use of objective data to categorize food/beverages. However, the cohort was a small convenience sample of mostly suburban high schools in one Midwestern metropolitan area, thus limiting generalizability. Data collected on one day may not be representative of food/beverage availability throughout the school year.

Wellness councils may be a sustainable and useful structure for developing and disseminating food policy that results in healthy food practice at the school level, particularly a structure that includes both a district and school council. Future research should replicate this study in a larger sample of more diverse schools and examine other prevalent food practices, such as the use of LNED foods/beverages as rewards/incentives and for school fundraising (3, 8), as well as the link between policy, practice and weight outcomes among students.

#### Acknowledgments

This research was funded through a grant from the National Cancer Institutes as part of their Transdisciplinary Research in Energetics and Cancer Initiative. Grant# U54CA116849.

### References

- 1. Child nutrition and WIC reauthorization act of 2004. Retrieved August 10, 2010 from http://www.govtrack.us/congress/bill.xpd?bill=s108-2507
- Jones SE, Fisher CJ, Greene BZ, et al. Healthy and safe school environment, Part I: Results from the School Health Policies and Programs Study 2006. J Sch Health. 2007; 77:522–543. [PubMed: 17908106]
- O'Toole TP, Anderson S, Miller C, et al. Nutrition services and foods and beverages available at school: Results from the School Health Policies and Programs Study 2006. J Sch Health. 2007; 77:500–521. [PubMed: 17908105]

J Adolesc Health. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 November 01.

- Johnson DB, Bruemmer B, Lund AE, et al. Impact of School District Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Policies on Student Beverage Exposure and Consumption in Middle Schools. J Adolesc Health. 2009; 45:S30–S37. [PubMed: 19699434]
- Kubik MY, Lytle LA, Farbarkash K. School and district wellness councils and availability of lownutrient, energy-dense vending fare in Minnesota middle and high schools. J Am Diet Assoc. 2011; 111:150–155. [PubMed: 21185978]
- Probart C, McDonnell E, Weirich JE, et al. Statewide assessment of local wellness policies in Pennsylvania public school districts. J Am Diet Assoc. 2008; 108:1497–1502. [PubMed: 18755322]
- 7. Story M, Kaphingst KM, Robinson-O'Brien, et al. Creating healthy food and eating environments: Policy and environmental approaches. Annu Rev Public Health. 2008; 29:6.1–6.20.
- Kubik MY, Lytle LA, Farbarkash K, et al. Food use in middle and high school fundraising: Does policy support healthy practice? Results from a survey of Minnesota school principals. J Am Diet Assoc. 2009; 109:1215–1219. [PubMed: 19559138]
- 9. Lytle LA. Examining the etiology of childhood obesity: The IDEA Study. Am J Comm Psychol. 2009; 44:338–349.

#### Table 1

Wellness council structure over two school years in a cohort of Minnesota public middle (n=16) and high schools (n=38).

2006/2007	2008/2009				
	District only Council	School + District Council	No Council	Total	
District only Council	19	9	4	32	
School + District Council	5	12	0	17	
No council	0	1	4	5	
Total	24	22	8	54	

#### Table 2

Characteristics of district and school wellness councils in a cohort of middle and high schools (N=54), <sup>1</sup> Minneapolis/St Paul, Minnesota, 2008/2009.

	District Councils <sup>2</sup> (n=33)	School Councils <sup>3</sup> (n=12)	Р
Members:			
Principals	27%	50%	0.17
Parents	21%	33%	0.45
Students	9%	42%	0.02
School Nurses	36%	42%	0.74
Teachers	42%	92%	< 0.01
Food Service Staff	36%	50%	0.50
Meeting Frequency			
Monthly	18%	33%	0.42
VM <sup>4</sup> policy			
Yes	70%	58%	0.50

<sup>I</sup>Nine schools reported no wellness council during one or both school years, 2006/2007 and 2008/2009.

 $^{2}$  Defined as a school with only a district-level council during one or both school years, 2006/2007 and 2008/2009.

 $^{3}$  Defined as a school with a district and school council during both school years, 2006/2007 and 2008/2009.

<sup>4</sup>VM=Vending Machine