Cardiovascular, Pulmonary, and Renal Pathology

Acute Oral Ethanol Exposure Triggers Asthma In Cockroach Allergen–Sensitized Mice

Jacqueline C. Bouchard, Jiyoun Kim, Dominic R. Beal, Louis J. Vaickus, Florin L. Craciun, and Daniel G. Remick

From the Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts

Asthma may be triggered by multiple mediators, including allergen-IgE cross-linking and non-IgE mechanisms. Several clinical studies have shown acute ethanol consumption exacerbates asthma, yet no animal model exists to study this process. We developed a model of ethanol-triggered asthma in allergen-sensitized mice to evaluate the mechanisms of ethanol inducing asthma-like responses. Outbred mice were exposed to cockroach allergens on Days 0 and 14; and on Day 21, mice received ethanol by oral gavage. Tracer studies confirmed alcohol aspiration did not occur. Within 30 minutes, alcohol induced degranulation of over 74% of mast cells, and multiple parameters of asthma-like pulmonary inflammation were triggered. Ethanol-gavaged mice had a fivefold increased production of eotaxin-2 (534 pg/mL) and a sevenfold increase in bronchoalveolar eosinophils (70,080 cells). Ethanol induced a 10-fold increase in IL-13, from 84 pg/mL in sensitized mice to 845 pg/mL in ethanol-gavaged sensitized mice. In cockroach allergen–sensitized mice, ethanol triggered asthma-like changes in respiratory physiology and a significant fivefold increase in airway mucin production. Importantly, none of these asthmatic exacerbations were observed in normal mice gavaged with ethanol. Cromolyn sodium effectively stabilized mast cells, yet increased mucin production and bronchoalveolar eosinophil recruitment. Together, these data show a single oral alcohol exposure will trigger asthma-like pulmonary inflammation in allergen-sensitized mice, providing a novel asthma model. *(Am J Pathol 2012, 181:845–857; [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2012.05.020\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2012.05.020)*

Asthma is one of the most common chronic diseases, with increasing prevalence, affecting nearly 25 million people in the United States alone and 300 million world-

wide.¹ One in 10 children and nearly 1 in 12 adults have asthma.² There are multiple triggers for asthma, including airway irritants, allergens, respiratory infections, aspirin, cold, and exercise.³ Despite recognition of asthma triggers and availability of a myriad of therapeutic options, in many patients, the etiology is undefined, suggesting other triggers and disease mechanisms have yet to be identified.

Recent increases in other health issues have been noted in teens and young adults, the age group also highly affected by asthma, including an increase in binge drinking.[4,5](#page-10-3) Currently, over half of American adults are regular drinkers, with even higher prevalence rates in high school and college-aged students.^{5,6} In the young adults that do drink, the majority of the drinking is in the form of binge drinking[.7,8](#page-10-5) Although the incidences of asthma and binge drinking are both on the rise, their interactions remain relatively unexplored. Multiple clinical studies have clearly shown that ethanol exacerbates asthma. $9-12$ Although a recent publication showed that chronic alcohol exposure would reduce inflammation in ovalbumin-induced murine asthma,¹³ our studies focused on whether acute alcohol exposure can trigger atopic asthma in a sensitized animal.

The allergen dose administered was carefully chosen to produce sensitized mice with the capacity to exhibit asthmatic responses following cockroach allergen (CRA) exposure, but that do not display chronic inflammation in the absence of a stimulus. This "sensitized, but asymptomatic" model was designed to mimic the majority of human asthmatics, who are allergen sensitized and primed to respond to provocation, but who do not display outright asthmatic inflammation and respiratory distress in the absence of a stimulus.^{[14](#page-11-1)} Furthermore, this model of asthma allows the investigation of asthma triggers in a sensitized, but otherwise asymptomatic, host. The data presented here demonstrate a novel mechanism for triggering asthma by showing that a single instance of acute

Supported by NIH grant R01 ES013538 (D.G.R.).

Accepted for publication May 22, 2012.

Supplemental material for this article can be found at *[http://ajp.](http://ajp.amjpathol.org) [amjpathol.org](http://ajp.amjpathol.org)* or at *[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2012.05.020.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2012.05.020)*

Address reprint requests to Daniel Remick, M.D., 670 Albany St, Boston MA 02118. E-mail: [remickd@bu.edu.](mailto:remickd@bu.edu)

ethanol exposure can trigger multiple asthmatic symptoms in cockroach allergen–sensitized mice.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Female, outbred Hsd:ICR 18- to 20-g mice were used exclusively for these experiments (Harlan Laboratories, Indianapolis, IN). Mice were housed in a temperaturecontrolled room with 12-hour light/dark cycles and unrestricted access to food and water. All experiments were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Boston University).

Allergen Sensitization

Previous reports demonstrated that intratracheal sensitization with CRA, without the use of adjuvants, was sufficient to induce a robust asthmatic response.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ In the current study, the CRA dose was approximately 10-fold lower to develop a model of allergen sensitization. CRA was purchased from Greer Laboratories (Lenoir, NC) as a lyophilized extract and diluted in sterile Hanks' balanced salt solution to yield a combined dose of 100 ng of the allergens Blag1 and Blag2, and 30 ng of lipopolysaccharide per $50-\mu L$ dose. Allergen sensitization (Day 0) and challenge (Day 14) were given via intratracheal instillation as described.¹⁷

Mild Alcohol Intoxication Model

One week after the last CRA challenge, mice underwent food deprivation for 2 hours before water or ethanol administration by oral gavage. Absolute 200 proof ethanol (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was diluted to 32%, 10.7%, or 3.2% (v/v) in water for blood ethanol concentration kinetics, and the 32% dose (approximately 3 g/kg) for all other experiments. Gavage was performed under light isoflurane anesthesia by administering 300 μ L of water or ethanol to the stomach with an 18 ga \times 51 mm gastric feeding tube (Instech Solomon, Plymouth Meeting, PA). Oral gavage was chosen as the physiologically relevant route for ethanol dosing[,18,19](#page-11-4) and mice were lightly anesthetized during the gavage procedure to reduce unnecessary stress-related immune modulation.²⁰ In separate experiments, additional CRA-sensitized mice were given 200 μ L of water or 32% ethanol by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection to induce similar blood ethanol kinetics. To rule out potential aspiration during the gavage procedure, ethanol was measured in bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) samples. In a separate study, naive fooddeprived mice were gavaged with 300 μ L of 32% ethanol containing 1 mg/mL methylene blue tracer dye.²¹ Immediately following gavage, the stomach and lungs were resected and homogenized in 0.1 mol/L sodium hydroxide, and the presence of dye assayed by optical density at 665 nm. For blood ethanol concentration kinetic measurements, naive mice were gavaged with 300 μ L of 32%, 10.7%, or 3.2% ethanol, and $20-\mu L$ blood samples

were obtained from the submandibular vein at designated time points. Plasma and BAL ethanol concentrations were determined using the alcohol dehydrogenase– based Ethanol-L3K Assay (Genzyme Diagnostics P.E.I., Charlottetown, PE Canada).

Mini Mitter Cross-Dose Movement Study

E-Mitter Transmitters (Mini Mitter Co., Bend, OR) were used as previously described.²² In brief, transmitters were placed subcutaneously 3 days before ethanol or water gavage. Mice were food deprived for 2 hours then gavaged with 300 μ L of water or 32% ethanol. Movement was monitored continuously for 10 hours starting 3 hours before gavage. As a cross-over study, the day after the first gavage, mice were gavaged with water if gavaged with ethanol and vice versa, and the movement results combined. Movement counts (centimeters moved) were measured every 15 minutes.

Collection of Blood Cells, Plasma, and BAL Inflammatory Cells

Mice from 0.5 or 2 hours postgavage, or 0.5 hours after i.p. injection, were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal ketamine/xylazine injection at 87 and 13 μ g/g body weight, respectively, bled retro-orbitally, and sacrificed by cervical dislocation. Twenty microliters of blood were analyzed with a Hemavet hematology system for blood cell counts (Drew Scientific, Oxford, CT), and the remaining blood sample was spun to collect plasma. BAL was performed as previously described.^{[17](#page-11-3)} Total cell counts were performed on a Coulter particle counter. Cells were then spun onto a glass slide, stained with Diff-Quik Stain Set (Siemens, Newark, DE), and cell differentials were performed under a light microscope. Plasma and BAL cell methodology was repeated for naive (normal) mice and CRA-sensitized mice before gavage (sensitized).

Lung Histology and Processing

Sterile saline was used to perfuse heart and lungs followed by ethanol fixation and paraffin embedding of a single lobe. To test whether using ethanol as a fixative was having a confounding effect on the histology results, studies were performed that fixed paired lung sections in both 70% ethanol and 10% buffered formalin. The comparisons showed no significant differences in mucin staining and exhibited similar trends when comparing mast cell numbers between groups; thus 70% ethanol was used as fixative for all further experiments. Lung sections taken 0.5 or 2 hours postgavage were sectioned and stained with periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) for mucus analysis and quantified as previously described.[16](#page-11-8) Additional slides were also stained with toluidine blue for mast cell identification and quantified as previously described.²³ The remainder of the unfixed lung was homog-enized as described^{[24](#page-11-10)} for tryptase, chemokine, and cytokine measurements. The lung processing protocol should not disrupt intact mast cell granules since this

lung homogenization protocol did not include harsh detergents or sonication steps; therefore, lung homogenate tryptase levels represent tryptase released by the mast cells. Lung histology methodology was repeated for naive (normal) mice and CRA-sensitized mice before gavage (sensitized).

Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay for Cytokines and Tryptase

Lung homogenate supernatants from lungs collected 30 minutes postgavage were diluted 1:10 for chemokine and cytokine measurements as described.²⁵ Tryptase was measured by coating the BAL or lung homogenate on a 96-well plate overnight at 4°C. After blocking with casein, tryptase was detected with rat anti-mouse tryptase- β 1 (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) and developed with mouse anti-rat IgG coupled to horseradish peroxidase. Recombinant mouse tryptase β -1 was used as a standard (R&D Systems). Cytokine measurements were repeated for naive (normal) mice and CRA-sensitized mice before gavage (sensitized).

CRA-Specific IgE Measurements

Measurements were performed as previously described.²⁴ A 96-well plate was coated overnight at 4° C with 50 μ L per well of a CRA solution diluted to 5 μ g/mL Blag2 allergen concentration in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Plates were blocked with Casein Blocker (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) for 2.5 hours and then 50 μ L of 1:10 diluted plasma, collected from each mouse 30 minutes postgavage, and was incubated overnight. IgE bound to CRA-coated plate was detected using goatanti-mouse IgE– horseradish peroxide (Bethyl Laboratories, Montgomery, TX) and assay developed with tetramethylbenzidine. CRA-specific IgE measurements were repeated for naive (normal) mice and CRA-sensitized mice before gavage (sensitized).

Pulmonary Physiology

Respiratory function was evaluated using unrestrained whole-body plethysmography (Buxco Research Systems, Wilmington, NC). Select groups of mice receiving gavage (water or ethanol) were assayed either 30 minutes or 1 hour postgavage on Day 21 of immunization protocol. Other nongavaged mice (normal and sensitized) were assayed at arbitrary times on Day 21. All mice were acclimated 5 minutes in plethysmography chambers, then baseline respiratory physiology was recorded before aerosol exposures. Aerosol challenges of PBS, 25 mg/mL methacholine, and 50 mg/mL methacholine were given after baseline measurements were taken.

Heat-Map Data Presentation

Each parameter measured per mouse was z-score normalized using the average and SD of all available data for that parameter as previously described.¹⁶ Z-scores were averaged per group and loaded into MultiExperiment Viewer freeware (MeV; *<http://www.tm4.org/mev>*, last accessed October 16, 2011), and unsupervised hierarchical clustering was performed. Detailed descriptions of each parameter are listed in Supplemental Table S1, available at *<http://ajp.amjpathol.org>*.

Mast Cell Stabilization Experiments

The mast cell stabilizer, cromolyn sodium (Enzo Life Sciences, Exeter, UK), proven effective at stabilizing mast cells in other studies, ^{26,27} was used in ethanol-gavaged CRA-sensitized mice. Cromolyn sodium was given intratracheally once a day for 3 days before ethanol gavage (Days 18, 19, and 20) and once on Day 21, 1 hour before gavage. Each instillation was given as 30 mg/kg cromolyn in two $25-\mu L$ aliquots in saline (vehicle). Mice were sacrificed 30 minutes after ethanol gavage. Asthmatic responses for all mast cell stabilizer–treated mice were measured as described above.

Statistics

Statistical analysis was performed using Graphpad Prism 5.02 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA). All data are presented as mean \pm SEM. Significance was achieved if *P* 0.05 using unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-tests, oneway or two-way analysis of variance with a Bonferroni posttest with a confidence interval of 95%, or by χ^2 analysis for comparisons with values below detection limits. Respiratory physiology was analyzed by two-way analysis of variance separately for normal (normal, normal + water, normal $+$ ethanol) and for sensitized (sensitized, sensitized $+$ water, sensitized $+$ ethanol) mice.

Results

Development of Mild Alcohol Intoxication Model

To assess the effects of alcohol consumption in our asthmatic mice, we first needed to design an acute ethanol exposure model to reproduce acute alcohol intoxication rather than chronic alcoholism. The model was developed because previous reports indicate that in humans, acute ethanol intoxication will induce clinical symptoms of asthma in sensitized individuals.^{11,28,29} Blood alcohol pharmacokinetics were determined by gavaging normal mice with various concentrations of ethanol and withdrawing serial blood samples from the same mouse. There was a clear dose-response effect on blood ethanol concentration (BEC) [\(Figure 1A](#page-3-0)), and the high-dose ethanol (32%; approximately 3g/kg) induced a peak BEC of 37 mmol/L (0.17%) at 1 hour postgavage, a level easily achieved by binge-drinking humans.³⁰ To most closely correlate ethanol-induced behavioral changes and BEC to human intoxication, the 32% ethanol dose was selected for all subsequent studies. Additional experiments using i.p. injection of alcohol achieved similar blood ethanol kinetics with 200 μ L administration (data not shown). To address the possibility of pulmonary aspiration of ga-

Figure 1. Binge-drinking model. **A:** Blood ethanol concentrations (BEC). BEC were measured from mice gavaged with $300 \mu L$ of the indicated concentrations of ethanol and serial blood samples collected. BEC peaked between 30 and 90 minutes and was undetectable by 5 hours. Values are mean \pm SEM; $n = 2$ to 6 per group. **B:** Pulmonary aspiration ruled out by lung ethanol measurements. Ethanol concentrations were measured in BAL samples 30 minutes postgavage; all were below detection. Values are mean \pm SEM; $n = 7$ to 8 per group. **C:** Pulmonary aspiration was additionally ruled out via gavaging mice with 300 μ L of methylene blue in 32% ethanol solution and quantifying the dye in homogenized lung and stomach samples. Dye was undetectable in lung whereas it was measurable in stomach. Values are mean \pm SEM; $n = 4$ per group. **D:** Locomotor activity monitored in gavaged mice. Subcutaneous Mini-Mitters were implanted, and 3 days later, the mice were gavaged with water or 32% ethanol to measure gross motor activity. Food withdrawal or gavage induced an increase in movement, but there was no difference between the water and ethanol groups. Values are mean \pm SEM for total movement counts accumulated over 15 minutes, per mouse; $n = 10$ per group. $*P < 0.05$ BAL versus blood (**B**) or lung versus stomach (**C**), χ^2 analysis.

Below

Lung Stomach

*

vaged liquid, two separate methods were used. Ethanol was measured in the BAL obtained 30 minutes after gavage and found to be below detection limits [\(Figure 1B](#page-3-0)). Additional studies done by gavaging with a methylene blue tracer demonstrated that none of the tracer was detected in the lungs [\(Figure 1C](#page-3-0)). Both of these experiments demonstrated that no pulmonary aspiration occurred during the ethanol gavage procedure. Although these additional experiments were performed to confirm that substantial alcohol aspiration did not occur during gavage procedures, the presence of alcohol in the lung cannot be completely ruled out. Bronchial circulation and the volatile nature of alcohol allow for the vaporization of alcohol directly into the conducting airways to allow lung exposure to ethanol, 31 hence the basis behind the breathalyzer test.³²

Time post-gavage (hours)

Ethanol-gavaged mice showed mild ataxia similar to other murine binge drinking models.³³ To rule out severe ataxia and a gross loss/gain of locomotor activity, we measured movement using a subcutaneously implanted radiotransmitter [\(Figure 1D](#page-3-0)). There were no differences in locomotor movements between the water- and ethanolgavaged groups at any time point. Intestinal histology showed no ethanol-induced damage or induction of mucin (data not shown). These results indicate that our model is representative of mild acute alcohol intoxication.

Ethanol Triggers Mast Cell Degranulation in Allergen-Sensitized Mice

A murine asthma model previously described in our lab^{[15](#page-11-2)} used 10-fold higher allergen concentrations and investi-

gated asthmatic responses induced after a third allergen exposure on Day 21. The current study used a lower allergen concentration and only two pulmonary exposures to allergens (Days 0 and 14). The purpose of lowering the dose and number of exposures was to elicit sensitization without sustained asthma-like pulmonary inflammation, to allow the evaluation of whether ethanol could trigger asthma-like pulmonary inflammation in a symptomless, but sensitized, host. Even though we used a lower dose of allergen, there was still a clear asthmatic phenotype in our allergen-sensitized mice compared to normal mice, including increases in cockroach allergen– specific IgE, a 5-fold increase in circulating eosinophils, a 20-fold increase in BAL eosinophils, increased mucin production, and respiratory exacerbations as represented by increases in enhanced pause (PenH) in response to methacholine [\(Table 1\)](#page-4-0). Allergen sensitization increased the numbers of lung mast cells approximately 10-fold compared to normal mice, consistent with previous publications showing increased lung mast cells in allergen-sensitized³⁴ and asthmatic³⁵ individuals.

Traditionally, allergic-type inflammatory events are potentiated by repeat antigen exposure, subsequent IgE cross-linking, and mast cell degranulation.³⁶ Because antigens were not administered during the time of ethanol gavage in our model, we investigated whether mast cell degranulation was indeed involved, potentially through another mechanism.

Acetaldehyde, the first metabolite of ethanol catabolism, is elevated immediately on ethanol ingestion in mice[37](#page-11-21) and will induce mast cell degranulation *in vivo*. [38](#page-11-22) To test whether ethanol exposure caused mast cell de-

Parameter	Normal	Sensitized	P value
CRA-specific IgE (OD)	0.223 ± 0.011	0.288 ± 0.015	0.007
Eosinophils (per mL blood)	5 ± 2	24 ± 10	0.126
BAL eosinophils (per mouse)	$386 + 96$	$7746 + 2292$	0.023
Mast cells (per 10 lung slices)	2.5 ± 2.5	22.5 ± 6.2	0.053
Mucus (mean gray area \times 1000)	$28 + 9$	125 ± 30	0.020
PenH (25 mg/mL methacholine)	0.436 ± 0.017	0.620 ± 0.051	0.028

Table 1. Comparison of Asthmatic Parameters in Normal (Naive) and CRA-Sensitized Mice

Sensitized mice were immunized with cockroach allergens on Days 0 and 14 and then studied on Day 21, ie, 7 days after the last exposure to allergen. The final allergen exposure typically given on Day 21 was not administered.

OD, optical density.

granulation in our allergen-sensitized mice, we used two independent methods. First, we measured tryptase, one of the abundant proteins in mast cell granules, in lung homogenates 30 minutes postgavage [\(Figure 2A](#page-4-1)). Within 30 minutes, ethanol gavage [sensitized $+$ ethanol gavage $(S+E)$] significantly increased tryptase release into the lung tissue in CRA-sensitized mice compared to wa $ter-gavage$ controls [sensitized $+$ water gavage $(S+W)$]. To confirm mast cell degranulation, lung sections from the same mice used for tryptase measurements were stained with toluidine blue [\(Figure 2B](#page-4-1)) and the number of intact mast cells counted in a blinded manner. We saw a statistically significant 74% reduction in toluidine blue–stained mast cells in the ethanol-gavaged group [\(Figure 2C](#page-4-1)) when compared to water-gavaged controls. Similar results were seen in mice administered ethanol by i.p. injection, which showed a statistically significant 91% reduction in toluidine blue– stained mast cells in ethanol-injected mice compared to sensitized mice, from 18.1 mast cells/10 lungs in sensitized mice to 1.3 mast cells/10 lungs, respectively (*P* 0.05, data not shown). The immobile nature of tissue mast cells and the relatively short 30-minute time point signify a rapid degranulation occurred that resulted in the loss of granule staining. Cockroach allergen–specific IgE was measured and compared between the two groups, and was not significantly affected by ethanol gavage (data not shown), suggesting the cause of increased mast cell

degranulation was not due to changes in allergen-specific IgE. Together, these data indicate acute ethanol exposure caused substantial mast cell degranulation in lungs of allergen-sensitized mice.

Ethanol Triggers Asthma-Like Pulmonary Inflammation in CRA-Sensitized Mice

As shown in [Figure 2,](#page-4-1) ethanol induced mast cell degranulation in lungs of allergen-sensitized mice. Therefore, we hypothesized that other inflammatory events would be initiated in the lungs of these mice to result in asthma-like pulmonary inflammation. An array of asthmatic parameters was investigated, including pulmonary physiology, bronchoalveolar lavage inflammatory cell infiltrate, airway mucin, and cytokine production in the lung. Within 30 minutes of ethanol exposure, exacerbations in 45 of 76 asthmatic parameters were observed, including mucin production, eosinophil infiltration, increased production of eosinophil chemokines, and aggravations in respiratory physiology 1 hour after ethanol. By z-score normalization, we were able to transform these data into a heat map [\(Figure 3\)](#page-5-0), allowing simultaneous comparison of all parameters and helping to visualize trends between the groups. A detailed listing and descriptions of all 76 parameters can be found in Supplemental Table S1, available at *<http://ajp.amjpathol.org>*. Six groups of mice were

Figure 2. Oral ethanol causes mast cell degranulation in CRA-sensitized mice. A: Mast cell degranulation measured by increased tryptase secretion. Tryptase present in lung homogenates was measured in CRA-sensitized mice gavaged with either water (S+W) or 32% ethanol (S+E) and sacrificed 30 minutes postgavage. Ethanol-gavaged mice had significantly higher tryptase release when compared to water-gavaged sensitized mice. Data are mean \pm SEM; $n = 8$ per group. **B:** Photomicrograph of pulmonary mast cells visualized by toluidine blue staining of lung sections in sensitized mice. **Arrows** indicate mast cells; **inset** is a higher powered magnification (original magnification, 100). **C:** Number of toluidine blue–stained mast cells. Granule-containing mast cells were counted per 4-m lung slice. Sensitized mice gavaged with ethanol (S+E) had significantly fewer toluidine blue–stained mast cells than water-gavaged sensitized mice (S+W), indicating that rapid loss of granule contents occurs within 30 minutes of ethanol gavage. All data are mean \pm SEM, representative of eight mice per group.**P* < 0.05,****P* < 0.001 versus the indicated groups, two-tailed Student's *t*-test.

Figure 3. Heat-map representation of asthmatic parameters in normal or allergen-sensitized mice with or without water or ethanol gavage. Individual data points collected from pulmonary physiology, BAL inflammatory cell infiltrate, airway mucin production, and mast cell degranulation (tryptase) were z-score normalized and analyzed with MultiExperiment Viewer freeware. Normal mice (N) gavaged with water $(N+W)$ or ethanol $(N+E)$ displayed similar data patterns to nongavaged normal mice (N). Sensitized mice (S) gavaged with water $(S+W)$ showed slight induction of asthmatic parameters. However, sensitized mice gavaged with ethanol (S+E) showed striking exacerbations in numerous inflammatory parameters as depicted in the far right column, with white bars showing greatest negative deviation from the mean, and black bars depicting greatest positive deviation. Hatched bars indicate data not collected for that parameter for that group. Complete listing and description of heat-map parameters are provided in Supplemental Table S1 (available at *<http://ajp.amjpathol.org>*).

compared: normal (N), normal gavaged with water $(N+W)$, normal gavaged with ethanol $(N+E)$, CRA-sensitized, but not gavaged (S), sensitized gavaged with water $(S+W)$, and sensitized gavaged with ethanol $(S+E)$. [Fig](#page-5-0)[ure 3](#page-5-0) clearly shows an ethanol-induced effect in the sensitized mice, with little to no effect in the water-gavaged controls. Importantly, ethanol did not induce exacerbations in normal mice, demonstrating that an atopic phenotype is required for ethanol-induced modulation of asthmatic parameters. The heat-map data were used to guide further detailed analysis.

Ethanol Consumption Increases BAL Eosinophil Recruitment in Asthmatic Mice

Pulmonary eosinophil recruitment represents a hallmark of asthma and is a marker of disease severity.^{[36,39](#page-11-20)} We investigated whether ethanol consumption would trigger an influx of eosinophils by quantifying the number of cells in the BAL fluid at 30 minutes postgavage. BAL cells collected from sensitized mice were almost exclusively alveolar macrophages, with scant eosinophils [\(Figure 4A](#page-6-0), left panel). The water-gavaged, sensitized mice also showed primarily macrophages, few lymphocytes, and increased eosinophils [\(Figure 4A](#page-6-0), center panel). Acute ethanol exposure showed a substantial increase in eosinophils recovered from the BAL [\(Figure 4A](#page-6-0), right panel). Neither water nor ethanol gavage increased numbers of BAL macrophages in allergen-sensitized mice [\(Figure](#page-6-0) [4B](#page-6-0)). However, at this time point, either water or ethanol gavage caused greater BAL eosinophil recruitment when compared to nongavaged sensitized mice [\(Figure 4C](#page-6-0)). Although the water gavage did increase eosinophil recruitment, this change was not significant and most likely due to stress from the gavage procedure. By contrast, ethanol-gavaged mice showed a significant sevenfold eosinophil increase when compared to sensitized mice and significant twofold increase over BAL eosinophil numbers from water-gavaged CRA-sensitized mice. Additional studies administering water or alcohol by i.p. injection showed similar trends. Injection of water did not induce significant eosinophil recruitment, whereas i.p. ethanol resulted in significant increases over sensitized mice (3.95 \times 10⁵ eosinophils per mouse; $P < 0.05$ vs sensitized).

To determine potential mechanisms responsible for greater eosinophil recruitment in ethanol-gavaged mice, we measured eosinophil chemotactic factors in lung homogenates obtained from the 30-minute postgavage groups. No differences between water and ethanol groups were detected for eotaxin-1 and regulated on activation normal T cell expressed and secreted (RANTES) (data not shown). However, pulmonary eotaxin-2 levels in ethanol-gavaged sensitized mice were significantly increased approximately fivefold over sensitized mice or sensitized mice with water gavage [\(Figure](#page-6-0) [4D](#page-6-0)). No increases in eotaxin-2 were observed in normal mice gavaged with ethanol. These data suggest acute oral ethanol triggers increases in lung eotaxin-2 and results in significant eosinophil infiltration into the bronchoalveolar spaces.

Increased Th-2 Cytokine Production in Lungs of Ethanol-Gavaged Mice

Ethanol increased eotaxin-2 in lungs of sensitized mice, raising the question of whether ethanol augmented other inflammatory mediators. To test this hypothesis, we mea-

Figure 4. Eosinophil recruitment induced by ethanol. **A:** Representative images of BAL cytospins of an allergen-sensitized mouse and of sensitized mice gavaged with water or ethanol and sacrificed 30 minutes postgavage. Scale bar = 50 μ m. **B:** BAL macrophages. Ethanol gavage in sensitized (S+E) mice did not induce increases in all inflammatory cells, as BAL macrophages were unaffected 30 minutes postgavage. **Dotted line** depicts average BAL macrophages in normal, naive mice. Data are mean \pm SEM for 7 to 15 mice. C: BAL eosinophils. Water gavage in sensitized mice (S+W) increased BAL eosinophil recruitment when compared to sensitized levels immediately postgavage (30 minutes), although the increase was not significant. However, ethanol gavage in sensitized mice (S+E) shows a significant sevenfold increase in BAL eosinophils at this time point when compared to nongavaged sensitized mice, and a significant doubling of BAL eosinophils when compared to water-gavaged control mice. Data are mean \pm SEM for seven to eight mice. D: Eosinophil chemokine production. Eotaxin-2 was increased by fivefold in the lungs of ethanol-gavaged sensitized mice 30 minutes postgavage as compared to both sensitized (S) and water-gavaged sensitized mice (S+W). No significant increases in eotaxin-2 were seen for any other group. Data are mean \pm SEM for four to eight mice. ns, not significant. *** $P < 0.001$, ** $P < 0.01$, and $*P$ < 0.05 using one-way analysis of variance with Bonferroni posttests.

sured the Th2-type cytokines IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 at 30 minutes postgavage in lungs of normal mice gavaged with water or ethanol, and CRA-sensitized mice gavaged with water or ethanol. These cytokines were selected since they are associated with allergic diseases³⁶ and are increased on allergen exposure in a CRA asthma model[.15,17](#page-11-2) Ethanol failed to elicit increases in any of the cytokines in normal mice (data not shown). By contrast, ethanol gavage increased all three cytokines in asthmatic mice [\(Figure 5\)](#page-6-1). IL-5 was below detection $(<$ 6 pg/mL) in water-gavaged mice but increased to 175 pg/mL in lungs of ethanol-gavaged mice. Ethanol induced a modest increase in IL-4. Importantly, a significant 10-fold increase in IL-13 was observed in lungs of ethanol-gavaged sensitized mice over water-gavaged controls.

Ethanol Exacerbates Respiratory Function in Allergen-Sensitized Mice

As shown in [Figure 3,](#page-5-0) 14 of the 18 measured respiratory parameters altered in ethanol-gavaged sensitized mice were not changed in ethanol-gavaged normal mice. Among these are several parameters previously shown to be exacerbated in CRA-triggered murine asthma, including increases in PenH, inspiratory time, and expiratory time and decreases in breathing frequency, all associated with worsening respiratory function.¹⁶ PenH was measured 1 hour postgavage in six groups of mice: normal; normal gavaged with water; normal gavaged with ethanol; CRA-sensitized, but not gavaged; sensitized gavaged with water; and sensitized gavaged with ethanol [\(Figure 6A](#page-7-0)). Sensitized mice gavaged with ethanol showed striking increases in PenH when exposed to increasing methacholine challenges, whereas all other groups challenged with methacholine displayed PenH

Figure 5. Th-2 type cytokine production. Ethanol gavage resulted in increased levels of Th-2 type cytokines IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 in lungs of sensitized mice 30 minutes postgavage, with a significant 10-fold increase in IL-13. Data are mean \pm SEM, $n = 4$ per group. BD, below detection. $P < 0.01$ using two-tailed Student's *t*-test.

values similar to or only slightly elevated from baseline measurements. In addition to the increases in PenH, the ethanol-gavaged sensitized group showed substantial depressions in breathing frequency [\(Figure 6B](#page-7-0)), as well as lengthened times of inspiration [\(Figure 6C](#page-7-0)) and expiration [\(Figure 6D](#page-7-0)), all suggesting acute oral ethanol was contributing to pulmonary distress in CRA-sensitized mice. Normal mice gavaged with ethanol did not show these respiratory exacerbations, again suggesting ethanol-triggered asthmatic responses require a sensitized host.

Methacholine (mg/mL)

The 1-hour time point was an ideal time to measure early respiratory physiology responses, as it lessened the possibility of gavage-related anesthetic having confounding effects on the airway physiology. However, additional experiments were performed at an earlier (30 minute) time point that confirmed the 1-hour whole-body plethysmography data. Specifically, ethanol-gavaged mice had significant increases in PenH over sensitized mice (PenH = 2.5 vs PenH = 1.0; $P < 0.01$), whereas water-gavaged mice did not (PenH $= 1.5$ vs PenH $= 1.0$; not significant). The other respiratory parameters followed similar trends at the 30-minute time point (data not shown).

Ethanol Gavage Increases Mucin Production and Respiratory Obstruction in Sensitized Mice

Close examination of the PBS data in [Figure 6](#page-7-0) shows that even without the methacholine challenge, there were dif-

ferences in respiratory parameters in the sensitized mice gavaged with ethanol. This was examined more closely by evaluating the baseline expiratory time (Te) before nebulization of even PBS. Of the six groups of mice, Te was only increased in sensitized mice gavaged with ethanol [\(Figure 7A](#page-8-0)). One hallmark of asthma is bronchial mucus production, which may account for airway luminal occlusion^{[40](#page-11-23)} and lead to intrapleural airway obstruction and increased expiratory time.^{[41,42](#page-11-24)} Mucin production was investigated in PAS-stained lungs of normal mice and normal mice gavaged with water or ethanol and sacrificed 30 minutes postgavage. None of the lungs examined (normal, water-gavaged normal, or ethanolgavaged normal) displayed mucin production. Representative histology is given [\(Figure 7B](#page-8-0)), and morphometric analysis confirmed these findings [\(Figure 7C](#page-8-0)). It is important to note that because fresh lung tissue was needed for lung homogenate cytokine and tryptase measurements, insufflation with the fixative could not be performed. As a result, the airway epithelium has a "serrated" appearance.

Next, PAS-stained lungs were examined from sensitized mice and sensitized mice gavaged with water or ethanol and sacrificed 30 minutes postgavage. Representative histology [\(Figure 7D](#page-8-0)) and morphometric analysis [\(Figure 7E](#page-8-0)) are shown. The majority of CRA-sensitized mice did not show bronchial mucin production [\(Figure](#page-8-0) [7D](#page-8-0), left panel). Water-gavaged sensitized mice showed a small amount of mucin production [\(Figure 7D](#page-8-0), center panel); however, this was not significantly elevated over

Figure 7. Ethanol induces airway obstruction and mucin accumulation in allergen-sensitized mice. **A:** Baseline expiratory times. Time of expiration, before exposure to PBS or methacholine, was found to be significantly elevated in sensitized mice receiving oral alcohol 30 minutes postgavage. Normal mice gavaged with alcohol did not exhibit increased baseline Te. Data are mean \pm SEM, $n = 3$ to 7 per group. B: Representative PAS-stained histology for normal mouse lungs with or without water or ethanol gavage, 30 minutes postgavage. No mucin was detectable in any normal mouse lung with either gavage. **C:** Morphometric analysis of histology at $\times 2$ magnification from B showing mucin production is not induced in normal mice gavaged with water or ethanol. Data are mean \pm SEM, $n =$ 4 per group. **D:** Representative PAS-stained histology of sensitized mouse lungs 30 minutes after water or ethanol gavage. Bright pink depicts massive mucin production in ethanol-gavaged sensitized mice. **E:** Morphometric analysis of histology at 2 magnification from D showing large, significant increases in mucin production induced in ethanol-gavaged sensitized mice over both sensitized and water-gavaged sensitized controls. Data are mean \pm SEM for eight mice, representative of two separate experiments. All photomicrographs are the same magnification. N, normal; N+E, normal gavaged with ethanol; N+W, normal gavaged with water; ns, not significant; S, CRA-sensitized, but not gavaged; S+E, sensitized gavaged with ethanol; S+W, sensitized gavaged with water. **P* < 0.05, $*P < 0.01$ versus the respective group, one-way analysis of variance with Bonferroni posttests.

that of sensitized mice. Ethanol gavage caused a massive induction of bronchial mucin as demonstrated by the bright magenta staining [\(Figure 7D](#page-8-0), right panel). Computer-aided morphometry demonstrated that this increased staining was significantly elevated over both sensitized and water-gavaged sensitized mucin production [\(Figure 7E](#page-8-0)). The ethanol-induced mucin production follows the same trends as the expiratory time exacerbations, suggesting that in a sensitized host, ethanol will induce mucin overproduction, leading to airway obstruction, which can be measured through analysis of wholebody plethysmography. Importantly, mucin induction was not observed in normal mice gavaged with ethanol, suggesting lungs needed to be allergen sensitized or "primed" in order for ethanol-induced mucin production to occur.

Mast Cell Stabilizers Exacerbate Pulmonary Eosinophilia and Mucin Overproduction

[Figure 2](#page-4-1) demonstrated rapid mast cell degranulation in CRA-sensitized mice on ethanol administration. The soluble mediators released by mast cells $43,44$ may provide the mechanism(s) for the rapid increase in asthmatic responses observed in ethanol-gavaged mice. To address this possibility, mice were treated with the mast cell stabilizer cromolyn sodium or saline vehicle as described. Cromolyn sodium–treated mice exhibited mast cell stabilization, with significant reduction of tryptase secretion into the BAL [\(Figure 8A](#page-9-0)) and increased detection of intact, toluidine blue–staining mast cells in the lung tissue [\(Figure 8B](#page-9-0)). Despite the mast cell stabilization, cromolyn-treated mice exhibited no differences in lung or BAL levels of eotaxin-2, IL-4, IL-5, or IL-13 (data not shown). Interestingly, lungs of cromolyn-treated mice displayed higher numbers of BAL eosinophils [\(Figure 8C](#page-9-0)) and increased amounts of bronchial mucin staining [\(Fig](#page-9-0)[ure 8,](#page-9-0) D and E).

Discussion

The role of alcohol on asthma, particularly the ethanolinduced mechanism of asthma exacerbations, remains undefined.^{[11,29](#page-11-13)} To our knowledge, this is the first study in a relevant animal model examining the mechanism(s) of alcohol-triggered asthma. Clinical studies have demonstrated that alcohol will trigger asthma in Caucasians^{9,11,12}as well as in Asian populations^{10,29,45} with or without a genetic deficiency of acetaldehyde dehydrogenase.^{[9,11,12,28](#page-10-6)} Therefore, this study addresses an important public health issue, and our findings suggest alcohol should be included on the list with other asthma triggers such as cigarette smoke, allergens, respiratory infections, and exercise.

Acetaldehyde, the first metabolite of ethanol, has been shown to cause mast cell degranulation.^{[38](#page-11-22)} Interestingly, acetaldehyde, but not ethanol, will stimulate histamine release from peripheral blood leukocytes.²⁹ Other well-

Figure 8. Mast cell stabilizer cromolyn sodium exacerbates BAL eosinophil recruitment and mucin overproduction. A: Tryptase secretion. Mast cell stabilization 30 minutes postgavage was monitored by measuring a significant decrease in tryptase secretion into the BAL in cromolyn-treated mice. **B:** Mast cell staining. Mast cell stabilization was confirmed by counting toluidine blue–stained mast cells in ethanol-fixed lungs. A significant increase in granule-intact toluidine blue–stained mast cells was observed in cromolyn-treated mice. **C:** BAL eosinophils. Eosinophil infiltration in the BAL was quantified 30 minutes postgavage. Cromolyn treated mice exhibited a significant fourfold increase in BAL eosinophils compared to saline-treated controls. **D:** Representative PAS-stained histology showing mucin production in lungs of vehicle-treated ethanol-gavaged sensitized mice (**left**) and cromolyn-treated ethanol-gavaged sensitized mice (**right**). **E:** Morphometric analysis of histology at $\times 4$ magnification from D shows a significant increase in mucin production in cromolyn-treated mice. Data are mean \pm SEM, $n = 6$ to 7 per group. **P* 0.05, ****P* 0.001, using *a priori* one-tailed Student's *t*-test (**A** and **B**) and two-tailed Student's *t*-test (**C** and **E**).

known asthma triggers such as automobile exhaust and tobacco smoke⁴⁶ also contain acetaldehyde^{47,48}; it is entirely appropriate to hypothesize that the mast cell is the main effector cell for the ethanol-induced asthmatic exacerbations observed here. We did indeed observe mast cell degranulation in ethanol-gavaged mice; however, the extent of their role in inducing other asthmatic responses is unclear because mast cell stabilization actually increased pulmonary inflammation and mucin production in this model. Our observations can possibly be explained by the fact that the mast cell stabilizers provide an increased resistance to apoptosis to the immune cells[,49,50o](#page-11-30)r the selective production and storage of discrete granule contents,⁵⁰ such that tryptase granules are stabilized by cromolyn, but other granule stores containing chemokines and cytokines may be unaffected by the stabilizers. Alternatively, cytokines may not be stored in granules, and ethanol or its metabolites induce secretion of the cytokines through a mechanism independent of mast cell granule stabilization.⁵¹ Another possibility is that ethanol triggers mediator production in other cell types, for example, resident alveolar macrophages⁵² or bronchoepithelial cells.⁵³

Perhaps the most striking finding presented here is the rapid and massive ethanol-induced mucin production in the lung. Within minutes of gavage, ethanol stimulated mucin production in the lungs of sensitized mice, but not in those of normal mice, suggesting an exclusive mechanism of induction in sensitized lungs and ruling out a nonspecific irritant effect of alcohol. The rapidity of induction is not uncommon; for example, gastric mucin induction has been shown to be as rapid as 5 minutes after insult[.54](#page-11-35) Ethanol-triggered mucin production in the asthmatic lung has not yet been reported, and the role of ethanol on airway mucin remains understudied.³¹ Our novel finding could have implications affecting disease morbidity, because refractory, severe airway disease has been thought to arise from the coexistence of multiple inflammatory stimuli,^{[55](#page-11-36)} and mucus plugging is a major contributor in fatal asthma.[40](#page-11-23) In essence, ethanol-induced mucin overproduction may provide the additional inflammatory stimulus and push a patient into refractory asthma and increase disease morbidity.

Our data demonstrate a rapid inflammatory response is initiated on ethanol gavage in allergen-sensitized mice. These results do not agree with the majority of clinical

and laboratory studies on the effects of ethanol, which have shown that alcohol consumption is generally immunosuppressive[.56 –58](#page-11-37) Alcohol intake is a well-known risk factor for the increased incidence and severity of lung infections $31,59-61$ and has been shown to decrease cytokine and chemokine production, inflammatory cell recruitment, and other inflammatory responses in the lung. By contrast, our studies show that acute oral ethanol will actually trigger asthma-like pulmonary inflammation, although this only occurs in allergen-sensitized mice. The explanation for our conflicting evidence may lie in the ethanol-induced polarization of the immune system. Ethanol abuse has been shown to have a differential effect on Th1 and Th2 responses, 62 and it is possible that this polarized effect may occur as rapidly as 30 minutes after ethanol ingestion. This could represent a potential explanation for how ethanol induces exacerbation of the preexisting Th2 state in the CRA-sensitized mice whereas normal, nonasthmatic mice have no asthmatic inflammatory exacerbations. By suggesting ethanol can stimulate an immune response, our data do not necessarily contradict the immunosuppressive effects others have shown but instead describe a distinct mechanism for the role of ethanol in allergen-sensitized individuals.

An interesting finding was observed in the control group, the water-gavaged sensitized mice, which exhibited some increased inflammation when compared to sensitized, but nongavaged, mice. Our results showed water gavage induced slight mucin production and eosinophil infiltration within 30 minutes of the gavage procedure. These findings are not entirely surprising because others have shown vehicle gavage to modulate immunity and attributed this to the stress of the procedure[.43,63](#page-11-25) A possible mechanism for this may be the close network of nerves distributed along the oral and pharyngeal cavities and along the esophagus, including the vagal nerve, 52 and the possible manipulation of this nerve system by the physical stress of gavage. A relationship between neural activity and asthma has been suggested since mast cells have been demonstrated to be in close proximity with the vagal nerve endings. Furthermore, neurotransmitters play an important role in the modulation of asthma. The neurotransmitter acetylcholine has been shown to exacerbate asthma by stimulating bronchoconstriction and increasing mucus secretion[.44](#page-11-38) In addition, the literature shows another neurotransmitter, serotonin, mediates the secretion of chemokines and cytokines from alveolar epithelial cells.⁴⁴ Interestingly, serotonin is found in rodent mast cell granules, 64 and its release can be triggered by changes in pressure across the gut wall.⁵³ This may have relevance to ethanol administration by gavage because we gave our mice a relatively large bolus (300 μ L) of water or ethanol.

Multiple factors were considered in the decision to give ethanol via oral gavage. Gavage assures a rapid and accurate dose of ethanol is administered to each mouse, and is presented to the mice in the same route and rapid timeframe by which binge-drinking humans consume alcohol. It could be argued that systemic alcohol administration by intraperitoneal or intravenous injection would have generated equivalent results; however, these routes

are not as physiologically relevant, and do not mimic the biology of drinking and processing of ethanol through the gut. Important interactions between alcohol and the stomach need to be considered; for example, on entering the gut, ethanol can stimulate production of glucocorticoids and immunoregulatory cytokines and chemokines,³³ all important factors that may play a role in modulating the asthmatic response and which should be included in a binge-drinking model studying inflammation.

In conclusion, our data demonstrate that ethanol gavage caused significant, substantial inflammatory exacerbations over water gavage in the parameters measured in our mice. For this reason, and for the explanations given above, we believe the results presented in this paper are representative of true ethanol-elicited inflammatory exacerbations and were investigated in a model suitable to the human context of acute ethanol exposure. Our data clearly demonstrate oral ethanol rapidly triggers asthma-like pulmonary inflammation in allergen-sensitized mice. The rapidity of the exacerbations suggests that the events are initiated by secreted preformed mediators such as chemokines (eotaxin-2) and cytokines (IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13) to induce eosinophil infiltration and mucin production, and lead to respiratory obstruction. The role of mast cells in this response is complex, and experiments presented here suggest mast cell stabilization may actually contribute to exacerbations of pulmonary inflammation and mucin production. As ethanol exposure failed to elicit inflammatory responses in naive, nonasthmatic mice, the ethanol-induced exacerbations appear to be restricted to allergen-sensitized individuals.

Acknowledgments

We thank Timothy Elton for his substantial analytical contributions to the mast cell stabilization experiments.

References

- 1. Global Initiative for Asthma: Pocket Guide for Asthma Management and Prevention. Bethesda, MD, Global Initiative for Asthma, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, 2010
- 2. Akinbami LJ, Moorman JE, Liu X: Asthma prevalence, health care use, and mortality: United States, 2005–2009. Natl Health Stat Report 2011, Jan 12:1–14
- 3. Goksel O, Celik GE, Erkekol FO, Gullu E, Mungan D, Misirligil Z: Triggers in adult asthma: are patients aware of triggers and doing right? Allergol Immunopathol (Madr) 2009, 37:122–128
- 4. Jackson JE, Doescher MP, Hart LG: Problem drinking: rural and urban trends in America, 1995/1997 to 2003. Prev Med 2006, 43:122– 124
- 5. Serdula MK, Brewer RD, Gillespie C, Denny CH, Mokdad A: Trends in alcohol use and binge drinking, 1985-1999: results of a multi-state survey. Am J Prev Med 2004, 26:294 –298
- 6. Naimi TS, Brewer RD, Mokdad A, Denny C, Serdula MK, Marks JS: Binge drinking among US adults. JAMA 2003, 289:70 –75
- 7. NIAAA Council approves definition of binge drinking. NIAAA Newsletter 2004 Winter:3
- 8. Courtney KE, Polich J: Binge drinking in young adults: data, definitions, and determinants. Psych Bul 2009, 135:142–156
- 9. Ayres JG: Alcohol-induced bronchial asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1997, 99:860
- 10. Harada S, Agarwal DP, Goedde HW: Mechanism of alcohol sensitivity and disulfiram-ethanol reaction. Subst Alcohol Actions Misuse 1982, 3:107–115
- 11. Vally H, de Klerk N, Thompson PJ: Alcoholic drinks: important triggers for asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2000, 105:462– 467
- 12. Zellweger JP: Alcohol-induced asthma: not only in Asians. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1997, 99:860
- 13. Oldenburg PJ, Poole JA, Sisson JH: Alcohol reduces airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR) and allergic airway inflammation in mice. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2012, 302:L308 –L315
- 14. Koh MS, Irving LB: Evidence-based pharmacologic treatment for mild asthma. Int J Clin Pract 2007, 61:1375–1379
- 15. Vaickus LJ, Bouchard J, Kim J, Natarajan S, Remick DG: Inbred and outbred mice have equivalent variability in a cockroach allergeninduced model of asthma. Comp Med 2010, 60:420 – 426
- 16. Vaickus LJ, Bouchard J, Kim J, Natarajan S, Remick DG: Assessing pulmonary pathology by detailed examination of respiratory function. Am J Pathol 2010, 177:1861–1869
- 17. Vaickus LJ, Bouchard J, Kim J, Natarajan S, Remick DG: Oral tolerance inhibits pulmonary eosinophilia in a cockroach allergen induced model of asthma: a randomized laboratory study. Respir Res 2010, 11:160
- 18. Lim RT Jr., Gentry RT, Ito D, Yokoyama H, Baraona E, Lieber CS: First-pass metabolism of ethanol is predominantly gastric. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 1993, 17:1337–1344
- 19. Elgebaly SA, Kozol RA, Kreutzer DL: Alcohol and immune system: role of gastric tissue. Prog Clin Biol Res 1990, 325:75–78
- 20. Plackett TP, Kovacs EJ: Acute models of ethanol exposure to mice. Methods Mol Biol 2008, 447:3–9
- 21. Fergani A, Oudart H, Gonzalez De Aguilar JL, Fricker B, Rene F, Hocquette JF, Meininger V, Dupuis L, Loeffler JP: Increased peripheral lipid clearance in an animal model of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. J Lipid Res 2007, 48:1571–1580
- 22. Remick DG, Bolgos G, Copeland S, Siddiqui J: Role of interleukin-6 in mortality from and physiologic response to sepsis. Infect Immun 2005, 73:2751–2757
- 23. de Waal Malefyt R, Figdor CG, Huijbens R, Mohan-Peterson S, Bennett B, Culpepper J, Dang W, Zurawski G, de Vries JE: Effects of IL-13 on phenotype, cytokine production, and cytotoxic function of human monocytes. Comparison with IL-4 and modulation by IFN-gamma or IL-10. J Immunol 1993, 151:6370 – 6381
- 24. Natarajan S, Kim J, Bouchard J, Cruikshank W, Remick DG: Reducing LPS content in cockroach allergens increases pulmonary cytokine production without increasing inflammation: a randomized laboratory study. BMC Pulm Med 2011, 11:12
- 25. Nemzek JA, Siddiqui J, Remick DG: Development and optimization of cytokine ELISAs using commercial antibody pairs. J Immunol Methods 2001, 255:149 –157
- 26. Santone DJ, Shahani R, Rubin BB, Romaschin AD, Lindsay TF: Mast cell stabilization improves cardiac contractile function following hemorrhagic shock and resuscitation. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2008, 294:H2456 –H2464
- 27. Holgate ST, Peters-Golden M, Panettieri RA, Henderson WR Jr.: Roles of cysteinyl leukotrienes in airway inflammation, smooth muscle function, and remodeling. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2003, 111(Suppl):S18 – S34; discussion S34-S36
- 28. Ayres JG, Clark TJ: Alcoholic drinks and asthma: a survey. Br J Dis Chest 1983, 77:370 –375
- 29. Shimoda T, Kohno S, Takao A, Fujiwara C, Matsuse H, Sakai H, Watanabe T, Hara K, Asai S: Investigation of the mechanism of alcohol-induced bronchial asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1996, 97: 74 – 84
- 30. Urso T, Gavaler JS, Van Thiel DH: Blood ethanol levels in sober alcohol users seen in an emergency room. Life Sci 1981, 28:1053– 1056
- 31. Sisson JH: Alcohol and airways function in health and disease. Alcohol 2007, 41:293–307
- 32. Hlastala MP: The alcohol breath test: a review. J Appl Physiol 1998, 84:401– 408
- 33. Carson EJ, Pruett SB: Development and characterization of a binge drinking model in mice for evaluation of the immunological effects of ethanol. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 1996, 20:132–138
- 34. Di Santo E, Meazza C, Sironi M, Fruscella P, Mantovani A, Sipe JD, Ghezzi P: IL-13 inhibits TNF production but potentiates that of IL-6 in vivo and ex vivo in mice. J Immunol 1997, 159:379 –382
- 35. Muchamuel T, Menon S, Pisacane P, Howard MC, Cockayne DA: IL-13 protects mice from lipopolysaccharide-induced lethal endotoxemia: correlation with down-modulation of TNF-alpha, IFNgamma, and IL-12 production. J Immunol 1997, 158:2898 –2903
- 36. Hamelmann E, Gelfand EW: IL-5-induced airway eosinophilia: the key to asthma? Immunol Rev 2001, 179:182–191
- 37. Park HM, Eo YW, Cha KS, Kim YM, Lee KB: Determination of free acetaldehyde in total blood for investigating the effect of aspartate on metabolism of alcohol in mice. J Chromatogr B Biomed Sci Appl 1998, 719:217–221
- 38. Kawano T, Matsuse H, Kondo Y, Machida I, Saeki S, Tomari S, Mitsuta K, Obase Y, Fukushima C, Shimoda T, Kohno S: Acetaldehyde induces histamine release from human airway mast cells to cause bronchoconstriction. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 2004, 134:233–239
- 39. Reed CE: The importance of eosinophils in the immunology of asthma and allergic disease. Ann Allergy 1994, 72:376 –380
- 40. Kuyper LM, Pare PD, Hogg JC, Lambert RK, Ionescu D, Woods R, Bai TR: Characterization of airway plugging in fatal asthma. Am J Med 2003, 115:6 –11
- 41. Asti C, Melillo G, Caselli GF, Daffonchio L, Hernandez A, Clavenna G, Omini C: Effectiveness of carbocysteine lysine salt monohydrate on models of airway inflammation and hyperresponsiveness. Pharmacol Res 1995, 31:387–392
- 42. Shimura S, Andoh Y, Haraguchi M, Shirato K: Continuity of airway goblet cells and intraluminal mucus in the airways of patients with bronchial asthma. Eur Respir J 1996, 9:1395–1401
- 43. Roberts RA, Soames AR, James NH, Gill JH, Wheeldon EB: Dosinginduced stress causes hepatocyte apoptosis in rats primed by the rodent nongenotoxic hepatocarcinogen cyproterone acetate. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1995, 135:192–199
- 44. Mirotti L, Castro J, Costa-Pinto FA, Russo M: Neural pathways in allergic inflammation. J Allergy (Cairo) 2010, 2010:491928
- 45. Harada S, Agarwal DP, Goedde HW: Aldehyde dehydrogenase deficiency as cause of facial flushing reaction to alcohol in Japanese. Lancet 1981, 2:982
- 46. Tatum AJ, Shapiro GG: The effects of outdoor air pollution and tobacco smoke on asthma. Immunol Allergy Clin North Am 2005, 25:15–30
- 47. Cao J, Belluzzi JD, Loughlin SE, Keyler DE, Pentel PR, Leslie FM: Acetaldehyde, a major constituent of tobacco smoke, enhances behavioral, endocrine, and neuronal responses to nicotine in adolescent and adult rats. Neuropsychopharmacology 2007, 32:2025–2035
- 48. Komazaki Y, Narita Y, Tanaka S: Development of an automated measurement system using a diffusion scrubber and high-performance liquid chromatography for the monitoring of formaldehyde and acetaldehyde in automotive exhaust gas. Analyst 1998, 123:2343–2349
- 49. Bloom JW, Kaltenborn WT, Quan SF: Risk factors in a general population for snoring. Importance of cigarette smoking and obesity. Chest 1988, 93:678 – 683
- 50. Hoshino Y, Ayuse T, Kurata S, Schneider H, Kirkness JP, Patil SP, Schwartz AR, Oi K: The compensatory responses to upper airway obstruction in normal subjects under propofol anesthesia. Respir Physiol Neurobiol 2009, 166:24 –31
- 51. Giulian D, Ingeman JE: Colony-stimulating factors as promoters of ameboid microglia. J Neurosci 1988, 8:4707-4717
- 52. Myers AC: Electrophysiology of airway nerves. Curr Protoc Pharmacol 2007, Chapter 11:Unit11.10
- 53. Bearcroft CP, Perrett D, Farthing MJ: Postprandial plasma 5-hydroxytryptamine in diarrhoea predominant irritable bowel syndrome: a pilot study. Gut 1998, 42:42– 46
- 54. Miyake K, Tanaka T, McNeil PL: Disruption-induced mucus secretion: repair and protection. PLoS Biol 2006, 4:e276
- 55. Pavord ID, Birring SS, Berry M, Green RH, Brightling CE, Wardlaw AJ: Multiple inflammatory hits and the pathogenesis of severe airway disease. Eur Respir J 2006, 27:884 – 888
- 56. Cook RT: Alcohol abuse, alcoholism, and damage to the immune system: a review. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 1998, 22:1927–1942
- 57. Pavia CS, La Mothe M, Kavanagh M: Influence of alcohol on antimicrobial immunity. Biomed Pharmacother 2004, 58:84 – 89
- 58. Szabo G: Consequences of alcohol consumption on host defence. Alcohol Alcohol 1999, 34:830 – 841
- 59. Goral J, Karavitis J, Kovacs EJ: Exposure-dependent effects of ethanol on the innate immune system. Alcohol 2008, 42:237–247
- 60. Raasch CE, Zhang P, Siggins RW 2nd, LaMotte LR, Nelson S, Bagby GJ: Acute alcohol intoxication impairs the hematopoietic precursor cell response to pneumococcal pneumonia. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2010, 34:2035–2043
- 61. Gamble L, Mason CM, Nelson S: The effects of alcohol on immunity and bacterial infection in the lung. Med Mal Infect 2006, 36:72–77
- 62. Peterson JD, Vasquez K, Waltenbaugh C: Interleukin-12 therapy restores cell-mediated immunity in ethanol-consuming mice. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 1998, 22:245–251
- 63. Dozier MM, Ratajczak HV, Sothern RB, Thomas PT: The influence of vehicle gavage on seasonality of immune system parameters in the B6C3F1 mouse. Fundam Appl Toxicol 1997, 38:116 –122
- 64. Hay DW, Torphy TJ, Undem BJ: Cysteinyl leukotrienes in asthma: old mediators up to new tricks. Trends Pharmacol Sci 1995, 16:304 –309