

Published in final edited form as:

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2012 May 21; 51(21): 5222–5225. doi:10.1002/anie.201201117.

Metal Catalyzed One-Pot Synthesis of Tetrazines Directly from Aliphatic Nitriles and Hydrazine

Dr. Jun Yang,

Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA, 92093

Dr. Mark R. Karver,

Massachusetts General Hospital, 185 Cambridge Street, Boston, MA, 02114

Weilong Li,

Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA, 92093

Swagat Sahu, and

Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA, 92093

Prof. Neal. K. Devaraj*

Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA, 92093

Keywords

bioorthogonal; heterocycle; catalysis; cycloaddition; tetrazine

There is rapidly growing interest in the use of 1,2,4,5-tetrazines as bioorthogonal coupling agents.^[1-3] Recent applications of tetrazine cycloadditions include intracellular small molecule imaging, genetically targeted protein tagging, post-synthetic DNA labeling, nanoparticle based clinical diagnostics, and in-vivo imaging.^[4-7] In addition, tetrazines have seen significant use in materials science^[8,9], coordination chemistry,^[10,11] and specialty explosives research.^[12,13] They are also valuable synthetic intermediates, and have been elegantly deployed on route to several natural product syntheses.^[14-16] Despite the promise of tetrazines, the lack of convenient synthetic methods is a significant roadblock to their broader use and study by the scientific community.^[17] Here we report that Lewis acid transition metal catalysts, most notably divalent nickel and zinc salts, can catalyze the formation of 1,2,4,5-tetrazines directly from nitriles. To our knowledge, this is the first method utilizing homogenous catalysis to directly synthesize tetrazines from a wide range of unactivated aliphatic nitriles and hydrazine. Symmetric and asymmetric tetrazines were conveniently prepared from multiple precursors including alkyl nitriles, aromatic nitriles, and formamidine salts. This methodology should greatly improve the accessibility of tetrazines and lead to further exploration of their applications, particularly with respect to bioorthogonal conjugations.

The most convenient route to 1,2,4,5-tetrazines is by addition of hydrazine to aromatic nitriles followed by oxidation of the 1,2-dihydro-tetrazine product.^[18] Unfortunately, this strategy is not viable for producing tetrazines from unactivated nitriles such as alkyl nitriles.

*¹ndevaraj@ucsd.edu, <http://devarajgroup.ucsd.edu>.

Earlier reports claiming to access tetrazines directly from alkyl nitriles have proven difficult to reproduce, likely due to confusion between the intermediate 1,2-dihydro-tetrazines and isomeric 4-amino-1,2,4-triazoles.^[19-21] There have been several reported methods to access dialkyl tetrazines from alternative precursors, such as imidates, amidine salts, and aldehydes, but these methods suffer from low yields, limited substrate scope, and the requirement of additional synthetic steps.^[22-24] For these reasons, a general and robust method to prepare symmetric and asymmetric 1,2,4,5-tetrazines directly from unactivated nitriles would be highly desirable.

Though the mechanism of tetrazine synthesis has been debated, it is generally agreed that reaction begins with nucleophilic attack of the nitrile by hydrazine forming an amidrazone.^[25,26] We speculated that the addition of Lewis acid catalysts might promote this reaction by binding to the nitrile and/or hydrazine. Metal ions have long been known to activate nitriles to nucleophilic addition.^[27-29] However, to our knowledge, there has not been a report of using homogenous catalysis to promote the formation of 1,2,4,5-tetrazines.^[21,30] We used the reaction of benzyl cyanide with neat hydrazine to survey a range of Lewis acid catalysts at 5 mol % loading (Table 1).^[31] In the absence of catalyst, tetrazine products could not be isolated.^[20] Remarkably, the addition of 5 mol % nickel triflate ($\text{Ni}(\text{OTf})_2$) led to near quantitative yield of 3,6-dibenzyl-1,2,4,5-tetrazine. Zinc salts also gave good yields, with addition of 5 mol % zinc triflate ($\text{Zn}(\text{OTf})_2$) leading to 70% yield of the desired tetrazine. Nickel and zinc salts possessing stronger coordinating anions gave lower yields, possible due to the lowered solubility of these salts in aprotic media and the decreased Lewis acid strength compared to the triflates.^[32]

Given the high yields obtained with nickel and zinc triflates, we tested their effect on the yields of several other tetrazine syntheses where at least one component was an alkyl nitrile (Table 2). In each instance we tested either $\text{Ni}(\text{OTf})_2$ or $\text{Zn}(\text{OTf})_2$ for catalytic effect. In general we observed that zinc salts gave higher yields for less active nitriles such as those that were sterically hindered or affected by electron donating groups. On the other hand, more reactive nitriles benefited from the use of nickel salts. However, there were exceptions and it is suggested that both catalysts be tried when attempting synthesis of new tetrazines. For the synthesis of symmetric 3,6-dialkyl 1,2,4,5-tetrazines, yields ranged from 95% for 3,6-dibenzyl-1,2,4,5-tetrazine (entry **1**) to 24% for the sterically hindered 3,6-di-*tert*-butyl-1,2,4,5-tetrazine (entry **3**). The moderate yield for the latter tetrazine is impressive given that all previous attempts to synthesize the molecule have only led to trace isolated yield.^[24,33]

The scope of this method extends to asymmetric 3,6-disubstituted 1,2,4,5-tetrazines, which are among the most challenging tetrazines to synthesize.^[17,25,34] Metal ions could readily promote the formation of 6-methyl terminated tetrazines from acetonitrile and aromatic nitriles in 40-70% yield (entries **5-10**). Alkyl nitriles and acetonitrile could also combine with hydrazine to yield 6-methyl terminated alkyl tetrazines in 36-40% yield (entries **11-14**). Several of these tetrazines possess functional group handles to facilitate their use in biological applications. For instance, it has recently been demonstrated that methyl terminated tetrazines are highly stable partners in bioorthogonal cycloadditions and can be used in a mutually orthogonal fashion with azide-alkyne cycloadditions.^[6,35] 6-methyl terminated tetrazines were previously only accessible from reactive precursors such as imidates and amidine salts and in lower yield.^[23,35] Dialkyl asymmetric tetrazines with bulkier substituents are extremely difficult to isolate, even from imidates and amidines. In contrast, we were able to isolate 3-benzyl-6-pentyl-1,2,4,5-tetrazine (entry **15**) from benzyl cyanide and excess hexanenitrile, albeit in lower relative yield (12%).

Several groups have measured the rate of cycloaddition between various tetrazines and strained dienophiles such as norbornene and *trans*-cyclooctene.^[1,2,5,36] The substituents on the 3 and 6 positions of 1,2,4,5-tetrazines have a significant affect on the kinetics of the reaction.^[35] While 6-methyl terminated tetrazines benefit from stability, tetrazines terminated with hydrogen at the 6 position react much faster and have proven utility in live cell and live animal applications where lowered concentrations of labeling agent are typically used.^[2,7,36] With this in mind, we examined if metal catalysis could improve synthetic routes to hydrogen terminated monoaryl-tetrazines. We found that an excess of trimethylsilyl cyanide can be used along with an aromatic nitrile to yield a hydrogen terminated asymmetric tetrazine (entry **16**). This is the first example of using trimethylsilyl cyanide to synthesize tetrazines and is possible due to the addition of nitrile activating metal catalysts. Additionally, we explored the affect of Ni(OTf)₂ and Zn(OTf)₂ on the synthesis of tetrazine from aromatic nitriles and formamidine salts (Table 3). Although these reactions do not require catalysis, yields are typically low, between 10-20%.^[35] Interestingly, we found that metal ions could promote the reaction and significantly increase the yield of tetrazine, which was 60-74% depending on the precursors and catalyst used. This improved methodology will be highly useful to researchers interested in performing rapid bioorthogonal couplings.

Given the lack of clarity on the mechanism of tetrazine formation, and the large number of metal coordinating species involved such as hydrazine, nitriles, and amidrazones, it is difficult to confidently propose the precise role of the metal ion. It is likely that the metal acts as a Lewis acid by coordinating to the nitrile and promoting nucleophilic addition by hydrazine.^[28,29,37,38] It is also plausible that the metal binds both the nitrile and hydrazine, promoting synthesis of the amidrazone intermediate (**Figure 1**).^[39] Recent elegant work has demonstrated that a similar mechanism is likely responsible for the nickel catalyzed addition of pyrazole to acetonitrile.^[37] Clearly future studies are required to refine our understanding of tetrazine synthesis.

In conclusion we have discovered that metal salts such as nickel and zinc triflates can be used to catalyze the one-pot synthesis of 1,2,4,5-tetrazines from unactivated nitriles. Previously, these tetrazines were either not practically accessible or could be obtained in low yield after multistep syntheses. The ability to conveniently obtain a range of symmetric and asymmetric tetrazines directly from commercially available nitriles should encourage further use of tetrazines as bioorthogonal coupling agents. Furthermore, this finding will benefit important niche applications of tetrazines, for instance in coordination chemistry, explosives research, materials science, and total synthesis.^[8,11,12,15,16,40] We believe that this methodology will increase the accessibility of substituted tetrazines to a broader range of researchers, allow syntheses of compounds that were previously unobtainable in useful quantities, and help remove a synthetic roadblock to studying the unique properties and applications of these

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.


Acknowledgments

[**] The authors gratefully acknowledge Ralph Mazitschek, Carlos Guerrero, and Scott Hilderbrand for helpful discussions. This material is based upon work supported in part by NIH grant K01EB010078, the University of California, San Diego, and the NSF under CHE-0741968.

References

- [1]. Blackman ML, Royzen M, Fox JM. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008; 130:13518–13519. [PubMed: 18798613]
- [2]. Devaraj NK, Weissleder R, Hilderbrand SA. *Bioconjug. Chem.* 2008; 19:2297–2299. [PubMed: 19053305]
- [3]. Wiessler M, Waldeck W, Kliem C, Pipkorn R, Braun K. *Int. J. Med. Sci.* 2009; 7:19–28. [PubMed: 20046231]
- [4]. Liu DS, Tangpeerachaikul A, Selvaraj R, Taylor MT, Fox JM, Ting AY. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012; 134:792–795. [PubMed: 22176354] Reiner T, Kelihier EJ, Earley S, Marinelli B, Weissleder R. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011; 50:1922–1925. Schoch J, Wiessler M, Jaschke A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:8846–8847. [PubMed: 20550120] Seitchik JL, Peeler JC, Taylor MT, Blackman ML, Rhoads TW, Cooley RB, Refakis CA, Fox JM, Mehl RA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012; 134:2898–2901. [PubMed: 22283158] Lang K, Davis L, Torres-Kolbus J, Chou C, Deiters A, Chin JW. *Nature Chemistry.* 2012; AOPBudin G, Yang KS, Reiner T, Weissleder R. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011; 50:9378–9381. Devaraj NK, Hilderbrand S, Upadhyay R, Mazitschek R, Weissleder R. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010; 49:2869–2872. Han HS, Devaraj NK, Lee J, Hilderbrand SA, Weissleder R, Bawendi MG. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:7838–7839. [PubMed: 20481508] Haun JB, Castro CM, Wang R, Peterson VM, Marinelli BS, Lee H, Weissleder R. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2011; 3:71ra16.
- [5]. Rossin R, Verkerk PR, van den Bosch SM, Vulderson RC, Verel I, Lub J, Robillard MS. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010; 49:3375–3378.
- [6]. Karver MR, Weissleder R, Hilderbrand SA. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012; 51:920–922.
- [7]. Haun JB, Devaraj NK, Hilderbrand SA, Lee H, Weissleder R. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 2010; 5:660–665. [PubMed: 20676091]
- [8]. Hansell CF, Espeel P, Stamenovic MM, Barker IA, Dove AP, Du Prez FE, O'Reilly RK. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:13828–13831. [PubMed: 21819063]
- [9]. Audebert P, Sadki S, Miomandre F, Clavier G. *Electrochem. Commun.* 2004; 6:144–147. Li Z, Ding JF, Song NH, Lu JP, Tao Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:13160–13161. [PubMed: 20809639]
- [10]. Kaim W. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2002; 230:127–139. Xue M, Ma SQ, Jin Z, Schaffino RM, Zhu GS, Lobkovsky EB, Qiu SL, Chen BL. *Inorg. Chem.* 2008; 47:6825–6828. [PubMed: 18582032]
- [11]. Xu Y, Duan L, Akermark T, Tong L, Lee BL, Zhang R, Akermark B, Sun L. *Chemistry.* 2011; 17:9520–9528. [PubMed: 21766360]
- [12]. Wei T, Zhu W, Zhang X, Li YF, Xiao H. *J. Phys. Chem. A.* 2009; 113:9404–9412. [PubMed: 19642635]
- [13]. Chavez DE, Hiskey MA, Gilardi RD. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2000; 39:1791–1793.
- [14]. Saracoglu N. *Tetrahedron.* 2007; 63:4199–4236. Benson SC, Lee L, Yang L, Snyder JK. *Tetrahedron.* 2000; 56:1165–1180.
- [15]. Hamasaki A, Zimpleman JM, Hwang I, Boger DL. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:10767–10770. [PubMed: 16045367]
- [16]. Boger DL, Hong J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001; 123:8515–8519. [PubMed: 11525659]
- [17]. Clavier G, Audebert P. *Chem. Rev.* 2010; 110:3299–3314. [PubMed: 20302365]
- [18]. Hofmann KA, Ehrhart O. *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.* 1912; 45:2731–2740. Muller E, Herrdegen L. *J. Praktische Chemie.* 1921; 102:113–155. Curtius T, Hess A. *J. Praktische Chemie.* 1930; 125:40–53.
- [19]. Erickson, JG.; Wiley, PF.; Wystrach, VP. Intersciences Publishers. New York: 1956. The 1,2,3- and 1,2,4-Triazines, Tetrazines, and Pentazines; p. 179
- [20]. Bowie RA, Neilson DG, Watson KM, Gardner MD, Ridd V, Mahmood S. *J. Chem. Soc. Perk. Trans. 1.* 1972:2395–2399.
- [21]. Abdel-Rahman MO, Kira MA, Tolba MN. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1968:3871–3872.
- [22]. Pinner A. *Chem. Ber.* 1893; 26:2126.
- [23]. Lang SA, Johnson BD, Cohen E. *J. Heterocyclic Chem.* 1975; 12:1143–1153.


- [24]. Skorianetz W, Kovats ES. *Helv. Chim. Acta.* 1971; 54:1922–1939.
- [25]. Neunhoeffer, H.; Wiley, PF. *Chemistry of 1,2,3-Triazines, Tetrazines, and Pentazines.* John Wiley & Sons; New York: 1978. p. 1073
- [26]. Audebert P, Sadki S, Miomandre F, Clavier G, Vernieres MC, Saoud M, Hapiot P. *New Journal of Chemistry.* 2004; 28:387–392.
- [27]. Oxley P, Partridge MW, Short WF. *J. Chem. Soc.* 1947:1110–1116. Siegl WO. *J. Org. Chem.* 1977; 42:1872–1878. Demko ZP, Sharpless KB. *J. Org. Chem.* 2001; 66:7945–7950. [PubMed: 11722189] Wang JF, Xu F, Cai T, Shen Q. *Org. Lett.* 2008; 10:445–448. [PubMed: 18173276]
- [28]. Kukushkin VY, Pombeiro AJL. *Chem. Rev.* 2002; 102:1771–1802. [PubMed: 11996549]
- [29]. Rousselet G, Capdevielle P, Maumy M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1993; 34:6395–6398.
- [30]. Though there have been a few previous reports of using catalysts such as sulfur (see ref. [21]) and heterogenous metals to promote tetrazine formation, previous results were mostly limited to aromatic nitriles and suffer from irreproducibility. Zajac WW, Siuda JF, Nolan MJ, Santosusso TM. *J. Org. Chem.* 1971; 36:3539–3541. Lim CL, Pyo SH, Kim TY, Yim ES, Han BH. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* 1995; 16:374–377.
- [31]. Reaction conditions were optimized for catalyst loading, hydrazine equivalents, and temperature when we first realized that Lewis acids could be used to catalyze tetrazine synthesis. For most tetrazines, the optimum yields were obtained at 5% catalyst loading, 5 equivalents of hydrazine and a temperature of 60°C. Certain tetrazines required alternative conditions to achieve satisfactory yields and these are noted in the text and supplementary information.
- [32]. Hertweck C. *J. Prakt. Chem.* 2000; 342:316–321.
- [33]. Larsen C, Binderup E, Moller J. *Acta. Chem. Scand.* 1967; 21:2855–2858.
- [34]. Hu WX, Xu F. *J. Heterocyclic Chem.* 2008; 45:1745–1750.
- [35]. Karver MR, Weissleder R, Hilderbrand SA. *Bioconjug. Chem.* 2011; 22:2263–2270. [PubMed: 21950520]
- [36]. Devaraj NK, Upadhyay R, Haun JB, Hilderbrand SA, Weissleder R. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009; 48:7013–7016.
- [37]. Hsieh CC, Lee CJ, Horng YC. *Organometallics.* 2009; 28:4923–4928.
- [38]. Mason R, Thomas KM, Galbraith AR, Shaw BL, Elson CM. *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm.* 1973:297–299.
- [39]. Kukushkin VY, Pombeiro AJL. *Inorg. Chim. Acta.* 2005; 358:1–21.
- [40]. Audebert P, Miomandre F, Clavier G, Vernieres MC, Badre S, Meallet-Renault R. *Chemistry.* 2005; 11:5667–5673. [PubMed: 16021645] Bu XH, Morishita H, Tanaka K, Biradha K, Furusho S, Shionoya M. *Chem. Commun.* 2000:971–972. Chen C, Allen CA, Cohen SM. *Inorg. Chem.* 2011; 50:10534–10536. [PubMed: 21985297]



Scheme 1.
Plausible catalytic role of the metal during synthesis

Table 1

Survey of metal catalysts




catalyst	yield ^[a]	catalyst	yield ^[a]	catalyst	yield ^[a]
none	0%	Cu(OAc) ₂	59%	NiCl ₂	73%
Zn(OAc) ₂	38%	MnBr ₂	55%	NH ₂	93%
ZnCl ₂	11%	CuBr ₂	23%	Ni(OTf) ₂	95%
ZnBr ₂	46%	CoCl ₂ ·6H ₂ O	13%	CuOAc	53%
ZnI ₂	68%	MgCl ₂	63%	CuCl	12%
Zn(OTf) ₂	70%	Yb(OTf) ₃	31%	CuBr	42%
Cu(OTf) ₂	11%	Sc(OTf) ₃	26%	CuI	50%
MgBr ₂	15%	Ni(acac) ₂	10%	Cu(OTf)	57%






















^[a] yields reported after isolation by silica flash chromatography









Table 2

Synthesis of 1,2,4,5-tetrazines directly from nitriles catalyzed by Ni(OTf)₂ or Zn(OTf)₂.



entry	R ¹	R ²	catalyst	product	yield ^d /%
1			Ni		95%
2			Zn		59%
3			Zn		24%
4			Zn		32%
5 ^[b]			Ni		58%
6			Ni		68%

entry	R ¹	R ²	catalyst	product	yield ^(a)
7			Ni		66%
8			Ni		41%
9			Zn		43%
10			Ni		70%
11			Zn		40%
12 ^(c)			Zn		36%
13			Ni		36%

entry	R ¹	R ²	catalyst	product	yield ^[a]
14		H_3C	Zn		40%
15			Zn		12%
16 ^[c]			Zn		30%


^[a] yields reported after isolation by silica flash chromatography

^[b] the protective groups were lost during oxidative workup

^[c] reaction required 36 hours

Table 3

Metal catalyzed synthesis of tetrazine from aromatic nitriles and formamidine.



entry	R	catalyst	product	yield
17		Ni		74%
18		Ni		64%
19 ^[b]		Zn		70%

[a] yields reported after isolation by silica flash chromatography

[b] required use of DMF as cosolvent and 36 hours of reaction