Molecular Basis for Protein-specific Transfer of *N***-Acetylgalactosamine to** *N***-Linked Glycans by the Glycosyltransferases 1,4-***N***-Acetylgalactosaminyl Transferase 3 (4GalNAc-T3) and 4GalNAc-T4***

Received for publication, April 12, 2012, and in revised form, June 13, 2012 Published, JBC Papers in Press, June 21, 2012, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M112.371567

Dorothy Fiete, Mary Beranek, and Jacques U. Baenziger¹

From the Department of Pathology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri 63110

Background: Luteinizing hormone and carbonic anhydrase-6 bear unique *N*-linked oligosaccharides terminating with LacdiNAc (GalNAc β 1,4GlcNAc).

Results: Two related β 1,4-*N*-acetylgalactosaminyltransferases have distinct specificities for peptide motifs on luteinizing hormone and carbonic anhydrase-6.

Conclusion: Affinity for the peptide motif determines the efficiency of GalNAc transfer to oligosaccharide acceptors. **Significance:** Kinetic parameters define the basis for protein-specific synthesis of carbohydrate structures *in vivo*.

Two closely related β 1,4-*N*-acetylgalactosaminyltrans**ferases, 4GalNAc-T3 and 4GalNAc-T4, are thought to** account for the protein-specific addition of β 1,4-linked GalNAc **to Asn-linked oligosaccharides on a number of glycoproteins including the glycoprotein hormone luteinizing hormone and carbonic anhydrase-6 (CA6). We have utilized soluble, secreted forms of 4GalNAc-T3 and 4GalNAc-T4 to define the basis for protein-specific GalNAc transfer** *in vitro* **to chimeric substrates consisting of** *Gaussia* **luciferase followed by a glycopro**tein substrate. Transfer of GalNAc by β 4GalNAc-T3 and **4GalNAc-T4 to terminal GlcNAc is divalent cation-dependent. Transfer of GalNAc to glycoprotein acceptors that contain a peptide recognition determinant is maximal between 0.5 and** 1.0 mm MnCl₂; however, transfer is increasingly inhibited by concentrations of MnCl₂ above 1 mM and by anion concentra**tions above 15 mM. In contrast, transfer of GalNAc to the simple sugar acceptor** *N***-acetylglucosamine--***p***-nitrophenol (GlcNAc** pNP) is not inhibited by concentrations of $MnCl₂$ or anions that **would inhibit transfer to glycoprotein acceptors by >90%. This finding indicates that interaction with the peptide recognition determinant in the substrate is sensitive to the anion concentration. 4GalNAc-T3 and 4GalNAc-T4 have similar but distinct** specificities, resulting in a 42-fold difference in the IC₅₀ for transfer **of GalNAc to chimeric glycoprotein substrates by agalacto human chorionic gonadotropin, comprising 29 nM for 4GalNAc-T3 and** 1.2 μM for β4GalNAc-T4. Our *in vitro* analysis indicates that enzy**matic recognition of the peptide determinant and the oligosaccharide acceptor are independent events.**

Glycoprotein hormones including luteinizing hormone and thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) that are synthesized in the anterior lobe of the pituitary bear *N*-linked oligosaccharides that terminate with the sequence SO_4 -4-GalNAc β 1, $4GlcNAc\beta1,2Man$ (1). The addition of $\beta1,4$ -linked GalNAc to *N*-linked oligosaccharides on the glycoprotein hormones (1, 2) and other glycoproteins such as the prolactin-like proteins (3), carbonic anhydrase-6 $(CA6)^2$ (4), and SorLA/LR11 (5) reflects the action of one or more protein-specific β 1,4-*N*-acetylgalactosaminyl-transferases (β 4GalNAc-T). Two β 4GalNAc-Ts have been cloned that are able to transfer GalNAc to β -linked GlcNAc, β4GalNAc-T3 (B4GALNT3, GenBankTM AB089940, AB114826) (6), and β 4GalNAc-T4 (B4GALNT4, GenBankTM AB089939, AB114827) (7). We previously reported that β 4GalNAc-T3 and β 4GalNAc-T4 expressed in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells exhibit protein-specific transfer of Gal-NAc to the *N*-linked oligosaccharides on the glycoprotein hormone α subunit and those on CA6 but not to those on transferrin (Trf) (8). We found that a 19-amino acid peptide sequence located at the carboxyl terminus of CA6 confers the protein-specific addition of 1,4-linked GalNAc to *N*-linked oligosaccharides on CA6 by CHO cells expressing either β 4GalNAc-T3 or β 4GalNAc-T4. The addition of this 19-amino acid sequence, CA(1–19), to the carboxyl terminus of Trf confers GalNAc addition to the *N*-linked oligosaccharides on Trf in CHO cells expressing either β 4GalNAc-T3 and β 4GalNAc-T4.

Transcript levels for *4GalNAc-T3* and *4GalNAc-T4* differ in tissues known to express β 1,4-*N*-acetylgalactosaminyltransferase activity (9). *4GalNAc-T3* transcripts are abundant in stomach, colon, and ,testis, whereas *4GalNAc-T4* transcripts are abundant in ovary, brain, colon, and uterus (7). We found transcripts for both *4GalNAc-T*3 and *4GalNAc-T4* in mouse pituitaries; however, *4GalNAc-T3* transcripts are more abun-

^{*} This work was supported, in whole or in part, by National Institutes of Health

 1 To whom correspondence should be addressed: Dept. of Pathology, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 South Euclid Ave., St. Louis, MO 63110. Tel.: 314-362-8730; Fax: 314-362-8888; E-mail: Baenziger@wustl. edu.

² The abbreviations used are: CA6, carbonic anhydrase 6; GLuc, *Gaussia* luciferase; RLuc, Renilla luciferase; Trf, transferrin; WFA, *W. floribunda* agglutinin; 4GalNAc-T, 1,4-*N*-acetylgalactosaminyl transferase; *p*NP, *p*-nitrophenol; hCG, human chorionic gonadotropin.

dant than β 4GalNAc-T4 transcripts.³ A key question is whether β 4GalNAc-T3 and β 4GalNAc-T4 are simply redundant or display differences in specificity or catalytic properties. Why are two closely related transferases produced? Do 4GalNAc-T3 and 4GalNAc-T4 modify different substrates *in vivo*? Examination of these questions requires *in vitro* analysis of the specificity and properties of β 4GalNAc-T3 and B4GalNAc-T4.

We have now examined protein-specific transfer of GalNAc by 4GalNAc-T3 and 4GalNAc-T4 to *N*-linked oligosaccharides on various chimeric glycoprotein substrates *in vitro*. We previously defined the peptide recognition determinant itself in in vivo studies in CHO cells expressing β 4GalNAc-T3 and 4GalNAc-T4. Our present studies in the *in vitro* system complement the *in vivo* findings, revealing that β4GalNAc-T3 and 4GalNAc-T4 display similar but distinct specificities. *In vitro* analysis has further allowed us to separate enzymatic recognition of the peptide determinant and the oligosaccharide acceptor as two independent events.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Preparation of Soluble Forms of 4GalNAc-T3 and 4GalNAc-T4—Constructs in which the cytosolic and transmembrane domains of murine *4GalNAc-T3* and *4GalNAc-T4* were replaced by the preprotrypsin leader followed by the FLAG epitope were generated using pFLAG-CMV-1 (Sigma) as was described for human and murine β 4GalNAc-T3 and *4GalNAc-T4* (6, 7) and are referred to as *4GalNAc-T3*-F and β4GalNAc-T4-F. Soluble β4GalNAc-T3-F and β4GalNAc-T4-F were obtained by transfection of HEK 293-T cells grown in serum-free Pro293A-CDM plus 4.1 mM L-glutamine medium (Lonza 12-764Q) using Xfect (Clontech) per the manufacturer's protocol. β4GalNAc-T3-F and β4GalNAc-T4-F were purified from the medium by binding to anti-FLAG M-1 affinity gel (Sigma 4596) and elution with FLAG peptide (Sigma 3290). The eluted transferases were stored at -80 °C after the addition of glycerol to a final concentration of 10%.

Preparation of Substrates—Chimeric forms of the glycoprotein hormone α subunit and Trf (see Fig. 1) were prepared as described (8). pCMV-GLuc was obtained from New England Biolabs. The *Gaussia* luciferase (GLuc) constructs in each case consist of GLuc followed by the α subunit or Trf and the epitope Myc-His. GLuc chimeras were expressed in Lec8/CHO cells (10) grown in serum-free Ultra CHO medium (Lonza 12-724Q) using Lipofectamine and Lipofectamine Plus (Invitrogen) per the manufacturer's transfection protocol. Media containing the chimeric proteins were harvested and purified using nickelnitrilotriacetic acid-agarose (Qiagen). The bound proteins were eluted using 250 mm imidazole, 50 mm monobasic sodium phosphate, 300 mM sodium chloride, 0.05% Tween 20, pH 8.0. The purified substrates were exchanged into 25 mm HEPES buffer, pH 7.5, containing 0.1% BSA and stored at -80 °C after the addition of glycerol to a final concentration of 10%.

Quantitation of GalNAc Incorporation into Gaussia Luciferase Containing Chimeric Glycoproteins—*In vitro* transfer of GalNAc to GLuc chimeric glycoproteins was carried out in a

 50 - μ l reaction containing: 25 mm Hepes buffer, pH 7.5, 10% glycerol, 0.05% Triton X-100, 5 mm KCl, 0.5 or 1.0 mm MnCl₂, 1 mg/ml BSA, 0.24 mm UDP-GalNAc, and the affinity-purified GLuc substrate. The affinity-purified substrate was diluted sufficiently to maintain the final concentration of the imidazole below 5 mm. Following the addition of either β 4GalNAc-T3-F or 4GalNAc-T4-F, transfer of GalNAc was allowed to proceed for 20 min at 25 °C. Transfer was terminated by the addition of 450 μ l of ice-cold 2 mm EDTA, pH 7.5.

The amount of GalNAc transferred to GLuc substrates was determined using a microplate assay. B&W Isoplate-96 HB (PerkinElmer Life Sciences 6005580) 96-well plates were coated with *Wisteria floribunda* agglutinin (WFA) by adding 100 µl of 25 mm sodium carbonate buffer, pH 8.5, containing 1.25μ g of WFA (Sigma L8258) per well and incubating overnight at 4 °C. Plates were washed with 0.1% BSA/PBS using a Bio-Rad Immunowash microplate washer and blocked with 5% BSA/PBS. The light units of GLuc activity present following GalNAc transfer were determined for each *in vitro* assay by adding $5-8 \times 10^5$ light units of each transferase reaction in 100 μ l of 15.6 μ g/ml histone, 0.1% BSA, PBS (positive signal) or in 100 μ l of 15.6 μ g/ml histone, 0.1% BSA/PBS, containing 50 mm GalNAc (background signal) to WFA-coated wells. After incubation overnight at 4 °C, unbound GLuc substrate was removed by washing, and the amount of bound GLuc substrate was determined using a Wallac Victor2 luminometer using the *Renilla* luciferase assay system (Promega E2820). Fifty mm GalNAc inhibits binding to immobilized WFA. The difference between the light units of GLuc substrate bound in the absence and presence of GalNAc reflects the amount of GLuc substrate that is modified with β 1,4-linked GalNAc. In the absence of added β 4GalNAc-T3 or β 4GalNAc-T4, the amount of GLuc substrate bound in the absence and presence of GalNAc was identical. All assays were preformed in quadruplicate.

Transfer of UDP-[³ H]GalNAc to N-Acetylglucosamine p -Nitrophenol—Transfer of GalNAc to β -linked GlcNAc in the absence of peptide recognition was assayed using *N*-acetylglucosamine-*β-p*-nitrophenol (GlcNAc*β-p*NP) as a substrate. Unless otherwise indicated, the assay was carried out in a final volume of 50 μ l containing: 100 mm HEPES buffer, pH 7.5, 0.2% Triton X-100, 20 m_M MnCl₂, 2 m_M ATP,1 m_M GlcNAcβ-*p*NP (Sigma N9376), and 0.4 mM UDP-GalNAc plus 150,000 dpm of UDP-[³H]GalNAc. Transfer was initiated by the addition of either purified β 4GalNAc-T3-F or purified β 4GalNAc-T4-F and incubating at 37 °C for 2 h. The reaction was terminated by the addition of 950 μ l of ice-cold 2 mm EDTA, pH 7.0. The reaction was loaded onto a 500-mg Sep-Pak C-18 cartridge (Waters) previously activated with 2 ml of ethanol and equilibrated with 10 ml of H_2O . The Sep-Pak was washed with 15 ml of H₂O, and bound $[^{3}H]$ GalNAc-GlcNAc β -*p*NP was eluted with 2 ml of 1-butanol. The amount of [³H]GalNAc eluted was determined by liquid scintillation counting in 10 ml of Ultima Gold counting mixture (PerkinElmer Life Sciences).

Peptides—The 19-amino acid peptide CA1–19 with and without an amino-terminal biotin moiety was synthesized and purified by Biomolecules Midwest, St. Louis, MO. The 15 amino acid peptide α 32–46, glycoprotein hormone α (32– ³ Y. Mi and J. U. Baenziger, unpublished observation. 46)amide, was purchased from Bachem Biochemicals.

FIGURE 1. **Schematic representation of chimeric glycoprotein substrates.** Plasmids encoding GLuc followed by the glycoprotein hormone α subunit (*Alpha*) or Trf were prepared by ribocloning (8). Locations of Asn glycosylation sites are indicated by the A. The location of the sequence PLRSKK that was mutated to PLESEE is indicated for the α subunit. The carboxyl-terminal 19 amino acids from CA6 (CA1–19) were added to the carboxyl terminus of α and the carboxyl terminus of Trf and are indicated by the *filled oval*. Sequences of CA1–19 and of peptides with partial sequences are shown. All chimeric glycoprotein substrates contained a Myc epitope and $His₆$ residues. The sequence of the synthetic peptide from the α subunit that contains the key residues required for recognition, α 32–46, is also shown.

RESULTS

Secreted Forms of 4GalNAc-T3 and 4GalNAc-T4 Mediate Protein-specific Transfer of GalNAc in Vitro—Substrate constructs encoding chimeric glycoproteins consisting of GLuc, a secreted form of luciferase (11, 12), followed by either the glycoprotein hormone α subunit or Trf, were generated as described (8). The chimeric substrate GLuc glycoproteins shown in schematic form in Fig. 1 were epitope-tagged at their carboxyl terminus with sequences for Myc, and 6 residues of His that were utilized for purification by chelate affinity chromatography. The GLuc chimeras were expressed in Lec8/CHO cells, which are not able to transport UDP-Gal into the Golgi (10, 13) and thus do not add Gal to *N*-linked oligosaccharides on secreted glycoproteins. The fusion protein expressed in Lec8/CHO cells bears *N*-linked complex type oligosaccharides terminating with β -linked GlcNAc that acts as the substrate for GalNAc addition by either β4GalNAc-T3 or β4GalNAc-T4 in *vitro*. Equal light units of purified GLuc substrates were incubated with either affinity-purified β 4GalNAc-T3-F or 4GalNAc-T4-F (secreted FLAG-tagged forms, see "Experimental Procedures") and UDP-GalNAc. Following termination of the transferase reaction, equal amounts of each GLuc substrate were incubated in 96-well plates coated with the Gal-NAc-specific lectin WFA (14). After removing unbound substrate, the amount of GLuc substrate bound by the WFA was then determined by injection of coelenterazine.

Conditions were established to ensure that both the transferase assay itself and the plate assay for bound GalNAcmodified GLuc substrates remained in a linear range. The incorporation of GalNAc into the *N*-linked oligosaccharides of GLucα(PLRSKK) and GLucα(PLRSKK)CA1–19 increased linearly for at least 15 min for both β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F (Fig. 2). The incorporation of GalNAc was

FIGURE 2. Time course for GalNAc transfer by β **4GalNAc-T3-F** and **4GalNAc-T4-F** *in vitro***.**4GalNAc-T3-F(*left panel*) and4GalNAc-T4-F(*right panel*) were incubated with GLuc α (PLRSKK)CA1–19 and UDP-GalNAc at 25 °C for the times indicated. The amount of GalNAc incorporated into GLuca(PLRSKK)CA1-19 was determined by capturing GalNAc-modified $GLuc\alpha$ (PLRSKK)CA1-19 onto 96-well plates coated with the lectin WFA that binds terminal β 1,4-linked GalNAc. The input of luciferase activity was identical for each well. The amount of bound luciferase activity was determined using the *Renilla* luciferase assay (Promega) as described. The S.E. is shown for all data points, which were performed in triplicate, but is obscured by the data points. Incorporation of GalNAc increased linearly for both β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F for a minimum of 20 min. *LU*, light units.

proportional to the amount of β 4GalNAc-T3-F and 4GalNAc-T4-F added (not shown).

Anion and Cation Requirements for Optimal Transfer of Gal-NAc by 4GalNAc-T3-F and 4GalNAc-T4-F in Vitro—Optimization of enzymatic reaction conditions revealed that transfer of GalNAc to GLucα(PLRSKK) by either β4GalNAc-T3-F or β 4GalNAc-T4-F was progressively inhibited by concentrations of NaCl and KCl in excess of $10-15$ mm (Fig. 3). NaPO₄ reduced transfer of GalNAc by 80–90% at a concentration of 10 mm. The addition of a divalent cation such as Mn^{2+} was essential for transfer; maximal rates of transfer were achieved for both β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F at 0.5 mm Mn²⁺ (Fig. 4). The apparent k_d for Mn²⁺ binding based on GalNAc transfer was 0.25 mm for β 4GalNAc-T3-F and 0.06 mm for β 4GalNAc-T4-F. Concentrations of Mn²⁺ greater than 1 mm inhibited GalNAc transfer (Fig. 4), likely reflecting the free Clconcentrations. Thus, maintenance of a low anion concentration was essential for optimal transfer of GalNAc to glycoprotein substrates.

The divalent cations Co^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , and Ca^{2+} were also able to support transfer of GalNAc to GLuc α , whereas ${ {\rm Mg}^{2+} }$ was not (Fig. 4). However, the order of cation effectiveness for the two transferases differed; for *4GalNAc-T3*-F, the order was Mn^{2+} > Co^{2+} > Ca^{2+} > Cd^{2+} , whereas for β 4GalNAc-T4-F, this order was $Mn^{2+} > Co^{2+} = Cd^{2+} \gg Ca^{2+}$. Thus, the enzymatic properties of β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F display discernable differences in divalent cation binding. Using GLucα(PLRSKK)CA1–19 as the substrate, we determined an apparent K_m for UDP-GalNAc of 1.4 μ M for β 4GalNAc-T3-F and 10 μ M for β 4GalNAc-T4-F (Fig. 5).

The reduced rate of GalNAc transfer by both β 4GalNAc-T3 and β 4GalNAc-T4 in the presence of increasing concentrations of anions could reflect an impact on peptide recognition, saccharide recognition, or both. We therefore determined the rate of [³H]GalNAc transfer to GlcNAcβ-pNP, a small substrate that is devoid of peptide components, by β 4GalNAc-T3-F under different conditions (Table 1). In the presence of 0.2 mm

FIGURE 3. **The impact of salt on UDP-GalNAc transfer to GLuc** α (PLRSKK)CA1-19. Increasing amounts of NaCl, KCl, or NaPO₄ were added to the transferase assay, which contains 25 mm HEPES, 5 mm KCI, and 1 mM MnCl₂, at the concentrations shown. All assays were performed in triplicate, and the *error bars* (S.E.) are shown. The amount of GalNAc transferred is expressed as a percentage of the amount of transfer obtained in the absence of additional salt.

 Mn^{2+} , the same amount of GalNAc was transferred to GlcNAcβ-pNP in 25 mM HEPES versus 100 mM HEPES. Furthermore, transfer of GalNAc increased 3-fold in the presence of 20 mm Mn^{2+} and 100 mm HEPES, conditions that would have markedly inhibited transfer to the peptide substrate $GLuc\alpha(PLRSKK)$ bearing a recognition motif. Thus, it is peptide recognition rather than saccharide recognition that is sensitive to inhibition by anions. In addition, the apparent K_m for UDP-GalNAc is not sensitive to anion concentrations used for these assays (not shown).

4GalNAc-T3-F and 4GalNAc-T4-F Are Protein-specific— We previously demonstrated that hCG, but not Trf, that has had terminal Sia and Gal removed from its *N*-linked oligosaccharide structures is specifically modified with GalNAc by one or more β 1,4GalNAc transferases present in the pituitary (2). We established an*in vitro* competition-type assay to examine the impact of different peptide recognition motifs on transfer of GalNAc by β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F. The addition of increasing amounts of agalacto-hCG to the transferase assay reduced the incorporation of GalNAc into $\operatorname{GLuc}\alpha(\text{PLRSKK})$ by both β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F (Fig. 6). In contrast to the observed competition with agalacto-hCG, the highest concentration of agalacto-Trf examined, 1.05 μ M, did not reduce the incorporation of GalNAc into $\operatorname{GLuc}\alpha(\operatorname{PLRSKK})$ by either β 4GalNAc-T3-F or β 4GalNAc-T4-F (Fig. 6). The IC₅₀ for agalacto-hCG inhibition of GalNAc incorporation into GLucα(PLRSKK)CA1–19 was 29 nm for β4GalNAc-T3-F and 1.23 μ M for β 4GalNAc-T4-F. The 42-fold difference in the IC₅₀ for agalacto-hCG competition indicates differences in the peptide specificities of β 4GalNAc-T3-F as compared with 4GalNAc-T4-F.

Peptide Inhibition of Protein-specific Transfer of GalNAc by 4GalNAc-T3-F and 4GalNAc-T4-F—Like the *N*-linked oligosaccharides on luteinizing hormone, the *N*-linked oligosaccharides on a secreted form of carbonic anhydrase, CA6, are also modified with β 1,4-linked GalNAc (15). When either $\mathop{\rm RLuc}\nolimits\alpha(\mathop{\rm PLRSKK}\nolimits)$ or $\mathop{\rm RLuc}\nolimits\mathop{\rm CA6}\nolimits$ is synthesized in CHO cells stably expressing β 4GalNAc-T3 or β 4GalNAc-T4, the basic residues within the sequence PTPLRSKK that is present in the glycoprotein hormone α subunit and the 19 amino acids located at the carboxyl terminus of CA6, respectively, increase the effi-

GalNAc Transfer to N-Linked Oligosaccharides

ciency of GalNAc addition to their *N*-linked oligosaccharides 3–5-fold as compared with a substrate such as RLucTrf that does not contain a recognition determinant (8). We tested both the peptide CA1–19, consisting of the 19-amino acid sequence located at the carboxyl terminus of CA6, and the peptide α 32–46 (Fig. 1) that contains the PTPLRSKK sequence as competitive inhibitors of GalNAc transfer to GLucα(PLRSKK)CA1–19 by β4GalNAc-T3-F and β4GalNAc-T4-F (Fig. 7). The peptide CA1–19 inhibited transfer of Gal-NAc to $\text{GLuc}\alpha(\text{PLRSKK})\text{CA1--19}$ with similar IC₅₀ values of 0.77 μ M for β 4GalNAc-T3-F and 1.83 μ M β 4GalNAc-T4-F. In contrast, α 32–46 inhibited transfer of GalNAc with an IC₅₀ of 0.38 μ M for β 4GalNAc-T3-F and 4.59 μ M β 4GalNAc-T4-F. The 12-fold difference in the IC_{50} for α 32–46 agrees with the difference in specificity seen above for β 4GalNAc-T3-F and 4GalNAc-T4-F using agalacto-hCG.

The Presence of a Peptide Recognition Motif Is Essential for Efficient Protein-specific Transfer of GalNAc by 4GalNAc-T3-F and 4GalNAc-T4-F—We next examined GalNAc addition *in vitro* by β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F to GLuc substrates that did or did not contain the sequences that we had proposed are critical for recognition *in vivo*. The constructs we examined are shown in Fig. 1. Mutation of the basic residues in the sequence PLRSKK of α subunit to acidic residues, *i.e*. PLESEE, reduced GalNAc transfer to GLuc α 42-fold by β 4GalNAc-T3-F and 19-fold by 4GalNAc-T4-F (Fig. 8, *A* and *B*), confirming that the basic residues within the sequence PLRSKK are essential for substrate recognition by both β 4GalNAc-T3-F and 4GalNAc-T4-F. The minimal amount of GalNAc transferred to ${\rm Gluc}\alpha{\rm (PLESEE)}$ is similar to that transferred to ${\rm GlucTrf.}$ Trf is a glycoprotein that we have previously shown is not recognized by the pituitary β 1,4GalNAc transferase (2). Adding the CA1–19 peptide sequence to the carboxyl terminus of GLucTrf increased the amount of GalNAc transferred to this substrate 9-fold for β 4GalNAc-T3-F and 135-fold for 4GalNAc-T4-F (Fig. 8*E*), thus converting GLucTrf from a poor to a highly effective substrate that is recognized by both β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F. Similarly adding the CA1–19 peptide sequence to the carboxyl terminus of $Glucc\alpha(PLESEE)$ restored the acceptor activity of GLuca(PLESEE)CA1–19 for both β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β4GalNAc-T4-F. In fact GLucα(PLESEE)CA1–19 was modified to a greater extent than $\text{GLuc}\alpha(\text{PLRSKK})$ by both β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F. Adding CA1-19 to $\operatorname{GLuc}\alpha(\operatorname{PLRSKK})$ enhanced GalNAc transfer to the α subunit oligosaccharides 4.2-fold for β 4GalNAc-T3-F and 23-fold for 4GalNAc-T4-F, consistent with our observation above that β 4GalNAc-T4-F has a stronger affinity for CA1–19 than for α 32–46.

Minimal Requirements for Recognition of the Peptide Motif by 4GalNAc-T3-F and 4GalNAc-T4-F—The effectiveness of the CA1–19 recognition motif at promoting GalNAc transfer by β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F when this motif was added to the carboxyl terminus of multiple glycoprotein substrates suggested that the context of the sequence was not critical and that the *in vitro* assay could be used to determine the minimal requirements for recognition by β 4GalNAc-T3-F and 4GalNAc-T4-F. We therefore appended different regions of

FIGURE 4. **Divalent cation dependence for GalNAc transfer by 4GalNAc-T3-F and 4GalNAc-T4-F.** No transfer of GalNAc by either 4GalNAc-T3-F or β4GalNAc-T4-F to GLucα(PLRSKK)CA1–19 was observed in the presence of 50 μm EDTA. *Upper panels*, increasing amounts of MnCl₂ were added to bring the free concentration of Mn²⁺ to the levels indicated for the transfer reaction. *Middle panels*, concentrations of Mn²⁺ above 1 mm inhibited transfer of GalNAc by
both *β4GalNAc-T3-F* and *β4GalNAc-T4-F. Lower panels*, σ , Ca²⁺, Cd²⁺, Mg²⁺, and Co²⁺ to support GalNAc transfer was examined at final concentrations of 0.1 and 1.0 mm using *B*4GalNAc-T3-F and *BAGalNAc-T4-F that had been treated with 50* μ *m EDTA. <i>LU*, light units. *Error bars* indicate S.E.

the CA1–19 peptide sequence to the carboxyl terminus of $\operatorname{GLuc}\alpha(\text{PLESEE})$ and compared these constructs as substrates for β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F (Fig. 8). The fulllength sequence CA1–19 was the best substrate for both 4GalNAc-T3-F (*panel C*) and 4GalNAc-T4-F (*panel D*). Removing the carboxyl-terminal 3 amino acids to form CA1–16 reduced the extent of modification by 50% for both enzymes. The smallest region that was recognized by both β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F was CA7-16, which has the sequence QKITKRKKEK. The carboxyl-terminal region consisting of CA11–19 was recognized, whereas the aminoterminal half of the sequence consisting of CA1–10 was not. Thus, residues outside of the critical region CA7–16 enhance recognition but are not themselves essential.

Western Blot Analysis of β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F to Compare Specific Activities-The substrate GLuca-(PLRSKK) was used for our initial characterization of β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F. Significantly more β 4GalNAc-T4-F than β 4GalNAc-T3-F was required to transfer the same amount of GalNAc to $\operatorname{GLuc}\alpha(\text{PLRSKK})$, suggesting that β 4GalNAc-T4-F was not as active an enzyme as 4GalNAc-T3-F. In contrast, transfer of GalNAc to $\operatorname{GLuc}\alpha(\text{PLRSKK})\text{CA1--19}$ suggested that $\beta 4\text{GalNAc-T3-F}$ and β 4GalNAc-T4-F have similar specific activities. To resolve this paradox, we utilized Western blots to compare the amount of β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F that was required to transfer equal amounts of GalNAc to GLuc-(PLRSKK) *versus* GLucα(PLRSKK)CA1-19 as substrates.

Western blot analysis of β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F under nonreducing (Fig. 9*A*) and reducing (Fig. 9*B*) conditions using an antibody directed at the FLAG epitope revealed proteolytic cleavage of the major fraction of both transferases. The two fragments produced were covalently associated via one or more disulfide bonds because in the absence of reducing agents, both β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F migrated as doublets with M_r 140,000–160,000. Equal amounts of enzyme activity based on transfer of GalNAc to GLuc α (PLRSKK) and to GLucα(PLRSKK)CA1-19, respectively, were subjected to quantitation by Western blot following separation by SDS-PAGE using an antibody directed at the FLAG epitope (Fig. 9*C*).

FIGURE 5. The apparent K_m for UDP-GalNAc incorporation by β 4GalNAc-**T3-F and β4GalNAc-T4-F.** β4GalNAc-T3-F and β4GalNAc-T4-F were incubated with increasing amounts of UDP-GalNAc for 15 min, and the amount of GalNAc incorporated into GLuc α (PLRSKK)CA1-19 was determined. Nonlinear regression analysis assuming a single binding site for UDP-GalNAc provided the best fit and yielded an apparent K_m of 1.4 μ m for β 4GalNAc-T3-F and 10.3 M for 4GalNAc-T4-F. *Error bars* indicate S.E. *LU*, light units.

TABLE 1

Transfer of [3 H]GalNAc to GlcNAc-*p***-nitrophenol by 4GalNAc-T3-F**

Reactions were carried out for 2 h at 37° C in HEPES buffer, pH 7.5, containing 0.2% Triton X-100, 2 mm ATP, 1 mm GlcNAcβ-*p*NP, 0.4 mm UDP-GalNAc plus 75,000
dpm UDP-[³H]GalNAc with the concentrations of Mn²⁺ and HEPES indicated. The results shown are representative of multiple experiments performed with different $\mathrm{Mn^{2+}}$ and HEPES concentrations.

In the case of β 4GalNAc-T3-F, the same amount of β 4GalNAc-T3-F protein was detected by immunoblotting whether the amount of activity examined was based on transfer to $\operatorname{GLuc}\alpha(\operatorname{PLRSKK})$ or $\operatorname{GLuc}\alpha(\operatorname{PLRSKK})$ CA1–19 (compare the *GT3 Alpha lane* and the *GT3 Alpha(CA1–19) lane* in *panel C*). The amounts of β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F were also similar by Western blot when the amount of activity examined was based on transfer of GalNAc to GLucα(PLRSKK)CA1-19 (compare the *GT3 Alpha lane* and the *GT4 Alpha(CA1–19) lane* in *panel C*). In marked contrast, significantly more β 4GalNAc-T4-F was required to transfer the same amount of $GalNAc$ to $GLuc\alpha(PLRSKK)$ than to GLucα(PLRSKK)CA1-19 (compare the *GT4 Alpha lane* with the *GT4 Alpha(CA1–19) lane* in *panel C*). Thus, the specific

FIGURE 6. **Comparison of agalacto-hCG and agalacto-Trf as inhibitors of GalNAc transfer by 4GalNAc-T3-F and 4GalNAc-T4-F** *in vitro***.** Transfer of GalNAc to GLuc α (PLRSKK)CA1–19 by β 4GalNAc-T3-F (\bullet , \odot) and β 4GalNAc-T4-F (\triangle , \blacktriangle) was monitored in the presence of increasing amounts of agalactohCG (agal-hGC). For *β*4GalNAc-T3-F (●), between 0 and 200 ng of agalactohCG was added per 50- μ l assay, and for β 4GalNAc-T4-F (\blacktriangle), between 0 and 4026 ng of agalacto-hCG was added per 50- μ l assay to obtain optimal inhibition. The best fit of the data was obtained using nonlinear transforms and assuming a single binding site. agalacto-hCG inhibited transfer of GalNAc to GLuc α (PLRSKK)CA1–19 with an IC₅₀ of 29 nm for β 4GalNAc-T3-F and 1.23 μ m for β 4GalNAc-T4-F. The addition of 40, 400, or 4000 ng of agalacto-Trf per 50-ul assay (open symbols) did not inhibit transfer of GalNAc to GLuc α (PLRSKK)CA1–19 by either β 4GalNAc-T3-F (\circlearrowright) or β 4GalNAc-T4-F (\triangle). *Error bars* indicate S.E.

activity for transfer of GalNAc associated with β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F is similar for transfer to GLuc α (PLRSKK)CA1–19, whereas the specific activity associated with β 4GalNAc-T4-F for transfer of GalNAc to $GLuca(PLRSKK)$ is significantly lower than for transfer to GLucα(PLRSKK)CA1–19.

Clearly more β 4GalNAc-T4-F was required to transfer the same amount of GalNAc to $\operatorname{GLuc}\alpha(\operatorname{PLRSKK})$ than to GLuca(PLRSKK)CA1-19. Furthermore, the specific activities of β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F were similar for transfer of GalNAc when GLuc α (PLRSKK)CA1–19 was used as the substrate. Thus, the difference in the affinity of β 4GalNAc-T4-F for the recognition motif found in the α subunit as compared with the motif in CA6 accounts for this apparent difference in activity.

DISCUSSION

Unique oligosaccharide structures occur on a number of glycoproteins, serving as information-bearing signposts whose recognition is utilized for intracellular trafficking and/or extracellular clearance of these molecular species. For example, β 1,4-linked GalNAc-4-SO₄ and Sia α 2,6GalNAc on glycoprotein hormones (16–18) are recognized by receptors that mediate clearance of these hormones from the blood, and Man-6- PO_4 is recognized by receptors that direct enzymes and other glycoproteins bearing these structures to lysosomes (19). However, much remains to be learned about how these specific oligosaccharide structures are added to individual glycoproteins and, in some instances, how individual glycosylation sites are chosen. We recently described a system that provides unique opportunities to identify those parameters that are critical for synthesis of unique carbohydrate structures *in vivo* (8). This strategy, based on chimeric proteins consisting of GLuc followed by the glycoprotein of interest and an epitope tag, has defined the requirements for the protein-specific addition of

FIGURE 7. **Inhibition of GalNAc transfer by peptides CA1-19 and** α32-46. *Upper panel*, the peptide CA1–19 inhibited transfer of GalNAc to $GLuc\alpha$ (PLRSKK)CA1–19 with an IC₅₀ of 0.77 μ m for β 4GalNAc-T3-F and 1.83 μ м for β 4GalNAc-T4-F. *Lower panel,* the peptide α 32–46 inhibited transfer of GalNAc to GLuc α (PLRSKK) with an IC₅₀ of 0.38 μ m for β 4GalNAc-T3-F and 4.59 μ M for β 4GalNAc-T4-F. The best fit of the data for inhibition with CA1-19 and α 32–46 was obtained using nonlinear transforms and assuming a single binding site. *Error bars* indicate S.E.

1,4-linked GalNAc to *N*-linked oligosaccharides using CHO cells expressing β 4GalNAc-T3 or β 4GalNAc-T4 (8). In the current studies, we have used the identical GLuc chimeras to examine kinetic parameters for GalNAc addition by 4GalNAc-T3 and 4GalNAc-T4 to glycoprotein substrates*in vitro*.

Our *in vitro* studies demonstrate that the conditions optimal for transfer of GalNAc to glycoprotein substrates containing a particular recognition determinant surprisingly are not typical of the conditions traditionally utilized for *in vitro* characterization of glycosyltransferase properties. Transfer of GalNAc to glycoprotein acceptors by both β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F is progressively inhibited by increasing Cl⁻ concentrations above 10 mM. The actual concentration of ions to which these transferases would be exposed in the cellular milieu is thus an interesting question. The concentration of Mn^{2+} has not been directly measured in the Golgi. However, culturing cells in medium containing 100 μ M Mn²⁺ induces transport of a *cis*-Golgi localized protein GPP130 to multivesicular bodies (20). Furthermore, culturing cells in the presence of 1 mm Mn^{2+} reduces cell viability (21). Such studies suggest that the actual concentration of Mn $^{2+}$ is likely less than 200 μ m

FIGURE 8. **Peptide recognition determinant requirements for transfer of GalNAc by 4GalNAc-T3-F and 4GalNAc-T4-F** *in vitro***.** The chimeric glycoprotein acceptors illustrated schematically in Fig. 1 were incubated with either β 4GalNAc-T3-F or β 4GalNAc-T4-F. The relative amount of GalNAc incorporated was determined by incubating aliquots containing the identical amount of GLuc activity with WFA-coated 96-well plates. The amount of transfer to each of the constructs shown was normalized to the amount of transfer to GLuc-(PLRSKK), which was set as equal to 100%. In *A* and *B*, *open* bars are constructs based on GLucα(PLRSKK), and *black bars* are constructs based on GLucα(PLESEE). *Error bars* indicate S.E. LU, light units.

in the Golgi where β 4GalNAc-T3 and β 4GalNAc-T4 reside and carry out their functional activities; this would be consistent with their respective affinities for Mn^{2+} of 0.25 and 0.06 mM.

The behavior of GalNAc transfer to a small-molecule acceptor that does not contain a peptide recognition determinant stands in sharp contrast to the transfer of GalNAc to GLucα(PLRSKK)CA1-19. In the former case, the transfer of GalNAc to GlcNAc β -pNP is not inhibited by 20 mm MnCl₂. Furthermore, the IC_{50} values for inhibition of GalNAc addition to GLucα(PLRSKK)CA1–19 by agalacto-hCG and the peptide CA1–19 are shifted to higher concentrations in the presence of 5 m_M MnCl₂, suggesting that it is peptide recognition that is sensitive to the concentration of salt rather than the catalytic transfer of GalNAc from UDP-GalNAc to the oligosaccharide acceptor.

Both β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F require that a divalent cation be present for transfer of GalNAc from UDP-GalNAc to the glycoprotein oligosaccharide. They do, however, differ in the order of their preference for divalent cations, sug-

FIGURE 9. Western blot analysis of affinity-purified β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β4GalNAc-T4-F. β4GalNAc-T3-F and β4GalNAc-T4-F were affinity-purified from medium using anti-FLAG-agarose and elution with FLAG peptide. The affinity-purified enzymes were examined by SDS-PAGE in the absence (*A*) or presence (*B* and *C*) reducing agent. Following electrophoresis, the proteins were electrophoretically transferred to a PVDF membrane, and proteins containing the FLAG epitope were visualized using anti-FLAG. In *C*, identical light units of activity using either GLuca(PLRSKK), indicated as Alpha, or GLucα(PLRSKK)CA1-19, indicated as *Alpha(CA1-19)*, were loaded onto the gel. In the absence of reducing agents, β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F both migrate as closely spaced doublets reflecting the presence of the uncleaved form and the disulfide-linked cleaved form.

gesting that although the sequences of the catalytic domains are 67% identical, there are structural features that differentiate their catalytic properties. These same differences may also account for the difference in the affinity for Mn^{2+} .

In previous studies using detergent extracts of bovine pituitary, we reported that GalNAc is transferred to the synthetic intermediate $GlcNAc₂Man₃GlcNAc₂Asn$ on hCG with an apparent K_m of 4.4 μ M and to glycopeptides bearing the same synthetic intermediate with an apparent K_m of 1.2–2.6 mm (22). The $>$ 270-fold difference in the K_m for hCG *versus* glycopeptides can account for the ability of the β 4GalNAc transferase present in pituitary extracts to add GalNAc to oligosaccharides on substrate glycoproteins such as hCG that contain a recognition determinant but not to the same oligosaccharide structures on glycoproteins such as Trf that do not contain a recognition determinant (2). hCG is a dimeric glycoprotein consisting of two noncovalently associated subunits, each of which has two Asn-linked oligosaccharides. The α and β subunits each contain a recognition determinant that can mediate

GalNAc Transfer to N-Linked Oligosaccharides

the selective addition of GalNAc to the separated subunits. We used an *in vitro* assay to identify the basic residues in the sequence PLRSKK as being essential components of the glycoprotein hormone α subunit recognition determinant (23). Both β 4GalNAc-T3 and β 4GalNAc-T4 display the predicted specificity for the glycoprotein hormone α subunit *versus* Trf when expressed in CHO cells (8). Among those glycoproteins identified as bearing N -linked oligosaccharides with β 1,4-linked Gal-NAc, we have demonstrated that regions with a recognition determinant of clustered basic residues are critical for the protein-specific addition of GalNAc by β 4GalNAc-T3 and β 4GalNAc-T4 to the α subunit, to CA6 (8, 15), and to SorLA/ LR11 (5).

Our *in vitro* studies reveal significant differences in the specificity of β4GalNAc-T3 versus β4GalNAc-T4 for the recognition motifs in the α subunit and CA6. Transfer of GalNAc to GLucα(PLRSKK)CA1-19, which contains both recognition motifs, is inhibited by agalacto-hCG but not by agalacto-Trf, indicating that the peptide recognition motif is required for inhibition of transfer of GalNAc. However, inhibition of Gal-NAc transfer to GLucα(PLRSKK)CA1–19 by β4GalNAc-T4-F requires a 42-fold higher concentration of agalacto-hCG $(IC_{50} = 1.23 \mu M)$ than does inhibition of GalNAc transfer by $\beta 4$ GalNAc-T3-F (IC $_{50}$ = 28.8 nm). The difference in IC $_{50}$ indicates that β 4GalNAc-T3-F has a significantly stronger affinity for the recognition motif in agalacto-hCG than does 4GalNAc-T4-F.

Further support for the role of peptide recognition was obtained from inhibition studies. Peptides containing a recognition motif fully inhibited transfer of GalNAc to glycoprotein substrates containing a recognition motif but had no effect on transfer to $GlcNAc\beta-pNP$. Peptide recognition and transfer of GalNAc appear to be independent events. The IC_{50} values of 0.38 and 4.59 μ M obtained for α 32–46 inhibition of GalNAc transfer by β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F, respectively, indicate that binding of the peptide recognition motif accounts for the majority of the affinity for glycoprotein substrates and that β4GalNAc-T3-F has a stronger affinity for the α subunit recognition motif than does β 4GalNAc-T4-F.

High levels of β 4GalNAc-T3 and β 4GalNAc-T4 expression in stably transformed CHO cells had prevented a definitive assessment of the degree to which the presence of a recognition determinant could increase modification of *N*-linked oligosaccharides with GalNAc *in vivo* (8). Our *in vitro* analysis demonstrated that mutation of the basic residues within the recognition motif of the α subunit from PLRSKK to PLESEE reduced the amount of GalNAc transferred to $\operatorname{GLuc}\alpha$ by β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F by 42- and 19-fold, respectively. Adding the CA1–19 sequence to GLucTrf increased the amount of GalNAc transferred to GLucTrf(CA1–19) 9- and 135-fold for β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F, respectively. Adding the CA1–19 sequence to the carboxyl terminus of GLucα(PLESEE) yielded a substrate, GLucα(PLESEE)CA1-19, that was more efficiently modified with GalNAc than GLuca(PLRSKK) by both β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F. Thus, the CA1–19 sequence was recognized more efficiently than the PLRSKK sequence. Furthermore, the location of the recognition determinant within the linear sequence of

the peptide was not critical because the PLRSKK motif precedes the two glycosylated Asn residues in the α subunit, whereas the CA1–19 sequence has been added to the carboxyl terminus of the α subunit. However, in spatial terms, accessibility of the peptide motif within the three-dimensional structure of the substrate relative to the oligosaccharide structure is likely critical. Based on the crystal structure of Trf (24), the CA1–19 added to the carboxyl terminus of Trf is predicted to be in close proximity to both *N*-linked oligosaccharides on Trf. Deletion of either glycosylation site on GLucTrfCA1–19 did not prevent modification with GalNAc (not shown), indicating that both sites can be modified in the presence of CA1–19.

The entire sequence of CA1–19 was not required for recognition by either β 4GalNAc-T3-F or β 4GalNAc-T4-F. Residues 7–16, QKITKRKKEK, were essential for recognition, whereas residues 1– 6 and 17–19 appeared not to be essential but did enhance recognition. Given our previous insights on the importance of clustered basic residues (5, 8, 23), the bolded residues QKIT**KRKK**EK within CA1–19 and PTPL**RSKK** within the α 32–46 sequence may be the most critical for recognition by β 4GalNAc-T3 and β 4GalNAc-T4, whereas the other residues contribute to presentation of these basic residues to the transferase binding site.

The difference in substrate preference exhibited by 4GalNAc-T3 *versus* 4GalNAc-T4 was confirmed at the protein level. Western blot analysis with anti-FLAG demonstrated that a much greater quantity of β 4GalNAc-T4-F than 4GalNAc-T3-F was required to provide the same light units of transferase activity based on GalNAc transfer to $GLuca-$ (PLRSKK). In contrast, when equal light units of transferase activity based on GalNAc transfer to GLuc α (PLRSKK)-CA1–19 were examined, the amounts of β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F present were similar. Thus, β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F have similar specific activities when using $GLuc\alpha(PLRSKK)CA1-19$ as the substrate.

The *in vitro* approach here expands our understanding of the contribution of peptide recognition determinants to the protein-specific transfer of GalNAc by β 4GalNAc-T3 and β 4GalNAc-T4. β 4GalNAc-T3 and β 4GalNAc-T4 are closely related structurally; however, they differ in their substrate preferences with β 4GalNAc-T4 showing a strong preference for the recognition determinant represented by CA1–19 as compared with that present in the α subunit. There is a single peptide binding site in both β 4GalNAc-T3 and β 4GalNAc-T4 because the peptides CA1–19 and α 32–46 are both able to completely inhibit transfer of GalNAc to GLucα(PLRSKK)CA1–19. The IC₅₀ values for CA1–19 and α 32–46 fall in the range of 0.38– 4.59 μ M, whereas the apparent K_m for transfer to a glycopeptide acceptor devoid of any recognition determinant is 1.2–2.6 mM. Thus, as is seen with the phosphotransferase that adds $GlcNAc-PO₄$ to oligomannose structures on lysosomal enzymes (25), the affinity for the peptide recognition determinant is much stronger than for the oligosaccharide acceptor.

The kinetics for GalNAc transfer by β 4GalNAc-T3-F and 4GalNAc-T4-F *in vitro* indicate that both transferases are highly protein-specific. Multiple lines of evidence indicate that 4GalNAc-T3 has stronger affinity for the recognition determinant in the hormone α subunit than does $\beta 4$ GalNAc-T4 and,

as a result, β 4GalNAc-T3-F transfers GalNAc to the α subunit oligosaccharides more efficiently than β 4GalNAc-T4-F under the conditions of the *in vitro* assay. Nonetheless, β 4GalNAc-T3 and β 4GalNAc-T4 have similar specificities, and either or both could contribute to the modification of the reproductive glycoprotein hormones *in vivo*. Supporting this conclusion is the marked decrease in GalNAc transfer by both β 4GalNAc-T3-F and β 4GalNAc-T4-F *in vitro* when the PLRSKK sequence in GLucα is mutated to PLESEE. Gotoh *et al*. (7) have reported that β 4GalNAc-T4 transcripts are abundantly expressed in mouse brain, whereas β 4GalNAc-T3 transcripts are not. In contrast, we have found that both *4GalNAc-T3* and β 4GalNAc-T4 transcripts are present in pituitaries from mice.³ Thus, in the pituitary, either or both transferases may contribute to the modification of oligosaccharides on the glycoprotein hormones with GalNAc. It is possible that β 4GalNAc-T3 and 4GalNAc-T4 are both required for efficient modification of the oligosaccharides on hormones such as luteinizing hormone.

Our *in vitro* studies indicate that it is now possible to generate glycoprotein substrates that differ in their affinity for β 4GalNAc-T3 and β 4GalNAc-T4. Expression of substrates with different affinities for β 4GalNAc-T3 and β 4GalNAc-T4 in cells that express one or both transferases offers the opportunity to examine how differences in location, affinity for the substrate, and relative levels of transferases determine the structural outcome *in vivo*. Our ability to use the identical substrates for *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies provides a unique opportunity to examine how different parameters affect the pattern and extent of glycosylation within the milieu of the Golgi.

Acknowledgment—We thank Nancy L. Baenziger for critical reading of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- 1. Baenziger, J. U., and Green, E. D. (1991) Structure, synthesis, and function of the asparagine-linked oligosaccharides on pituitary glycoprotein hormones, in *Biology of Carbohydrates*, Volume 3 (Ginsberg, V., and Robbins, P. W., eds.) pp. 1–46, JAI Press Ltd., London
- 2. Smith, P. L., and Baenziger, J. U. (1988) A pituitary *N*-acetylgalactosamine transferase that specifically recognizes glycoprotein hormones. *Science* **242,** 930–933
- 3. Manzella, S. M., Dharmesh, S. M., Cohick, C. B., Soares, M. J., and Baenziger, J. U. (1997) Developmental regulation of a pregnancy-specific oligosaccharide structure, NeuAca2,6GalNAc β 1,4GlcNAc, on select members of the rat placental prolactin family. *J. Biol. Chem.* **272,** 4775–4782
- 4. Hooper, L. V., Hindsgaul, O., and Baenziger, J. U. (1995) Purification and characterization of the GalNAc-4-sulfotransferase responsible for sulfation of GalNAc 1,4GlcNAc-bearing oligosaccharides. *J. Biol. Chem.* **270,** 16327–16332
- 5. Fiete, D., Mi, Y., Oats, E. L., Beranek, M. C., and Baenziger, J. U. (2007) *N*-Linked oligosaccharides on the low density lipoprotein receptor homolog SorLA/LR11 are modified with terminal GalNAc-4-SO4 in kidney and brain. *J. Biol. Chem.* **282,** 1873–1881
- 6. Sato, T., Gotoh, M., Kiyohara, K., Kameyama, A., Kubota, T., Kikuchi, N., Ishizuka, Y., Iwasaki, H., Togayachi, A., Kudo, T., Ohkura, T., Nakanishi, H., and Narimatsu, H. (2003) Molecular cloning and characterization of a novel human β1,4-*N*-acetylgalactosaminyltransferase, β4GalNAc-T3, responsible for the synthesis of *N*,*N*-diacetyllactosediamine, GalNAc1–4GlcNAc. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278,** 47534–47544
- 7. Gotoh, M., Sato, T., Kiyohara, K., Kameyama, A., Kikuchi, N., Kwon, Y. D., Ishizuka, Y., Iwai, T., Nakanishi, H., and Narimatsu, H. (2004) Molecular

cloning and characterization of β 1,4-*N*-acetylgalactosaminyltransferases IV synthesizing *N*,*N*-diacetyllactosediamine. *FEBS Lett.* **562,** 134–140

- 8. Miller, E., Fiete, D., Blake, N. M., Beranek, M., Oates, E. L., Mi, Y., Roseman, D. S., and Baenziger, J. U. (2008) A necessary and sufficient determinant for protein-selective glycosylation *in vivo*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283,** 1985–1991
- 9. Dharmesh, S. M., Skelton, T. P., and Baenziger, J. U. (1993) Coordinate and restricted expression of the ProXaaArg/Lys-specific GalNAc-transferase and the GalNAc β 1,4GlcNAc β 1,2Man α -4-sulfotransferase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **268,** 17096–17102
- 10. Patnaik, S. K., and Stanley, P. (2006) Lectin-resistant CHO glycosylation mutants. *Methods Enzymol.* **416,** 159–182
- 11. Verhaegent, M., and Christopoulos, T. K. (2002) Recombinant *Gaussia* luciferase: overexpression, purification, and analytical application of a bioluminescent reporter for DNA hybridization. *Anal Chem.* **74,** 4378–4385
- 12. Tannous, B. A., Kim, D. E., Fernandez, J. L., Weissleder, R., and Breakefield, X. O. (2005) Codon-optimized *Gaussia* luciferase cDNA for mammalian gene expression in culture and *in vivo*. *Mol. Ther.* **11,** 435–443
- 13. Oelmann, S., Stanley, P., and Gerardy-Schahn, R. (2001) Point mutations identified in Lec8 Chinese hamster ovary glycosylation mutants that inactivate both the UDP-galactose and CMP-sialic acid transporters. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276,** 26291–26300
- 14. Nyame, K., Smith, D. F., Damian, R. T., and Cummings, R. D. (1989) Complex-type asparagine-linked oligosaccharides in glycoproteins synthesized by *Schistosoma mansoni* adult males contain terminal β -linked *N*-acetylgalactosamine. *J. Biol. Chem.* **264,** 3235–3243
- 15. Hooper, L. V., Beranek, M. C., Manzella, S. M., and Baenziger, J. U. (1995) Differential expression of GalNAc-4-sulfotransferase and GalNAc-transferase results in distinct glycoforms of carbonic anhydrase VI in parotid and submaxillary glands. *J. Biol. Chem.* **270,** 5985–5993
- 16. Manzella, S. M., Hooper, L. V., and Baenziger, J. U. (1996) Oligosaccha-

rides containing β 1,4-linked *N*-acetylgalactosamine, a paradigm for protein-specific glycosylation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **271,** 12117–12120

- 17. Mi, Y., Fiete, D., and Baenziger, J. U. (2008) Ablation of GalNAc-4-sulfotransferase-1 enhances reproduction by altering the carbohydrate structures of luteinizing hormone in mice. *J. Clin. Invest.* **118,** 1815–1824
- 18. Park, E. I., Mi, Y., Unverzagt, C., Gabius, H. J., and Baenziger, J. U. (2005) The asialoglycoprotein receptor clears glycoconjugates terminating with sialic acid α2,6GalNAc. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 102, 17125-17129
- 19. Kornfeld, S. (1986) Trafficking of lysosomal enzymes in normal and disease states. *J. Clin. Invest.* **77,** 1–6
- 20. Mukhopadhyay, S., Bachert, C., Smith, D. R., and Linstedt, A. D. (2010) Manganese-induced trafficking and turnover of the *cis*-Golgi glycoprotein GPP130. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **21,** 1282–1292
- 21. Mukhopadhyay, S., and Linstedt, A. D. (2011) Identification of a gain-offunction mutation in a Golgi P-type ATPase that enhances Mn^{2+} efflux and protects against toxicity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **108,** 858–863
- 22. Smith, P. L., and Baenziger, J. U. (1990) Recognition by the glycoprotein hormone-specific *N*-acetylgalactosaminetransferase is independent of hormone native conformation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **87,** 7275–7279
- 23. Mengeling, B. J., Manzella, S. M., and Baenziger, J. U. (1995) A cluster of basic amino acids within an α -helix is essential for α subunit recognition by the glycoprotein hormone *N*-acetylgalactosaminyltransferase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **92,** 502–506
- 24. Wally, J., Halbrooks, P. J., Vonrhein, C., Rould, M. A., Everse, S. J., Mason, A. B., and Buchanan, S. K. (2006) The crystal structure of iron-free human serum transferrin provides insight into interlobe communication and receptor binding. *J. Biol. Chem.* **281,** 24934–24944
- 25. Reitman, M. L., Lang, L., and Kornfeld, S. (1984) UDP-*N*-acetylglucosamine: lysosomal enzyme *N*-acetylglucosamine-1-phosphotransferase. *Methods Enzymol.* **107,** 163–172

