

Published in final edited form as:

Free Radic Biol Med. 2012 September 15; 53(6): 1222–1225. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2012.06.036.

Wanted and Wanting: Antibody Against Methionine Sulfoxide

Nancy B. Wehr and Rodney L. Levine*

Laboratory of Biochemistry, National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

Abstract

Methionine residues in protein can be oxidized by reactive oxygen or nitrogen species to generate methionine sulfoxide. This covalent modification has been implicated in processes ranging from normal cell signaling to neurodegenerative diseases. A general method for detecting methionine sulfoxide in proteins would be of great value in studying these processes, but development of a chemical or immunochemical technique has been elusive. Recently, an antiserum raised against an oxidized corn protein, DZS18, was reported to be specific for methionine sulfoxide in proteins (*Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **485**:35–40 2009.) However, data included in that report indicate that the antiserum is not specific. Utilizing well-characterized native and methionine-oxidized glutamine synthetase and aprotinin, we confirm that the antiserum does not possess specificity for methionine sulfoxide.

Keywords

Methionine sulfoxide; Anti-methionine sulfoxide antibody; Oxidative stress

Methionine and cysteine are the two commonly occurring sulfur-containing amino acids, and both can undergo reversible oxidations – cysteine to cystine and methionine to methionine sulfoxide. Sensitive and specific analytical methods for detection and quantitation of disulfide-containing proteins are readily available. That is not the case for methionine sulfoxide, and this analytical void greatly hinders the study of methionine oxidation and reduction in proteins. The importance of the methionine redox cycle is highlighted by the existence of several methionine sulfoxide reductases in almost all organisms exposed to oxygen, from bacteria to mammals. Reducing or knocking out methionine sulfoxide reductase A in cultured cells and several lower organisms caused increased susceptibility to oxidative stress [1–5]. Mice lacking methionine sulfoxide reductase A are also more susceptible to oxidative challenge, although their life span is not altered [6]. Methionine sulfoxide reductase A is down regulated in human breast cancer cells, and this down regulation causes a more aggressive phenotype primarily due to increased oxidative stress [7]. Conversely, overexpression of methionine sulfoxide reductase A increases resistance to oxidative stress [4, 8–11]. Overexpression also reduces accumulation of oxidatively damaged proteins [11] which has been hypothesized to be an

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved

*Corresponding author: rlevine@nih.gov Contact information for manuscript correspondence: Rodney L. Levine NIH Bldg 50, Room 2351 Bethesda, MD 20892 Phone: +1 301-496-2310 Fax: +1 301-451-5460.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Conflicts of interest None.

important mechanism of the aging process [12]. It is thus notable that overexpression of methionine sulfoxide reductase A in *Drosophila* doubled the lifespan of the flies [4].

Because of the evident importance of methionine sulfoxide in proteins, many laboratories have made numerous attempts to raise an antibody which is specific for methionine sulfoxide. Each of these attempts was unsuccessful, but as is often the case with unsuccessful outcomes, none has been published. The situation for methionine sulfoxide appears to be the same as that for phosphoserine and phosphothreonine: One can raise antibodies which are specific for a peptide that contains methionine sulfoxide [13] or phosphoserine or phosphothreonine, but one cannot obtain an antibody which reacts specifically with the residue itself. Our efforts as well as those of others with whom we have communicated have included the screening of large phage display libraries [18] for specific methionine sulfoxide antibodies. In our case, we screened 15 billion clones 3 separate times and found not a single antibody which was specific for methionine sulfoxide.

Thus the paper by Oien, Moskovitz, and colleagues [17] reporting a methionine sulfoxide specific antiserum was of considerable interest. They exposed a methionine-rich corn protein, DZS18, to hydrogen peroxide to convert its methionine residues to methionine sulfoxide. Oxidized DZS18 was utilized as the immunogen to produce antiserum in a rabbit. A patent application has been submitted for this process [19], and the antibody or more precisely, the antiserum, is currently sold by at least 7 companies. Several papers utilizing the antiserum have been published [14–17]. The developers of the antiserum state that it is specific for methionine sulfoxide in proteins, a claim which is surprising in view of the inability of other investigators to produce such antibodies. This prompted us to examine the specificity of the antiserum.

Materials and methods

Preparation of oxidatively modified test proteins

Recombinant *Escherichia coli* glutamine synthetase is routinely prepared in this laboratory with the construct *YMC 10/pgln6* and purified by the zinc-induced aggregation procedure as described [20]. It is stored at 4°C in 50 mM Hepes, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM MnCl₂, pH 7.0. A 4 mg/ml glutamine synthetase solution was oxidized [21, 22] with 250 mM hydrogen peroxide for 1 hr 37° in a buffer composed of 71 mM KH₂PO₄, 13 mM Hepes, 26 mM KCl, and 0.3 mM MnCl₂, with a final pH of 5.7. Methionine-oxidized glutamine synthetase was dialyzed against 50 mM Hepes, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM MnCl₂, pH 7.0 in CelluSep T4 with a 12,000–14,000 Da nominal cutoff (1430-10, Membrane Filtration Products, Inc, San Antonio TX USA). A 4 mg/ml solution of aprotinin (A-1153, Sigma, St Louis, MO USA) was oxidized with 250 mM hydrogen peroxide for 1 hr at 37 °C in 97 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 5.5. Methionine-oxidized aprotinin and its native control were separately dialyzed against four changes of water over 16 hr in Spectra/Por Biotech CE with a nominal cutoff of 500–1000 Da (131084, Spectrum Laboratories, Rancho Dominguez, CA USA). Proteins were analyzed for methionine oxidation by mass spectroscopy using an Agilent 6520 QTOF LC/MS (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA USA) and by amino acid analysis (Eclipse, Agilent) [23] after cyanogen bromide oxidation of methionine to homoserine [24, 25].

Western blots on nitrocellulose and PVDF

Antiserum raised against oxidized DZS18 was purchased from two suppliers (600161, Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, Michigan; MS01, Oxford Biomedical Research, Rochester Hills, MI). Western blots of native and methionine-oxidized glutamine synthetases and aprotinins on nitrocellulose (Invitrogen LC2001, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY USA) and PVDF (IPFL00010, Immobilon-FL PVDF, Millipore, Billerica, MA USA) were

prepared from identical Tris glycine non-reducing gels (Invitrogen EC61355), each with test proteins loaded at 1 µg protein per lane. A third gel was prepared and stained with Coomassie Blue to confirm the protein load [26]. Membranes were blocked 7 hr in 0.5% non-fat dry milk (170–6404 Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA USA) in 137 mM NaCl, 25 mM Tris, 2.7 mM KCl, pH 7.4 (TBS), washed 5 min three times in TBS with 0.1% Tween 20, and incubated 16 hr at 4° C with a 1:250 dilution of DZS18 antiserum (Cayman) in TBS with 0.5% dried milk. The secondary antibody incubation was for 1 hr with 1:10,000 dilution of goat anti-rabbit antibody tagged with DyLight 800 labeled fluorescent dye (072-07-15-06, KPL, Gaithersburg, MD) diluted in Odyssey blocking buffer (927–40000, LI-COR, Lincoln, NE USA) with 0.1% Tween 20. Washes after antibody applications were done in triplicate for 5 min in TBS, 0.1% Tween 20. Membranes were rinsed twice briefly in water and scanned in the 800 channel of an Odyssey imager (LI-COR). After detection and quantitation of bound antibody from the DZS18 antiserum, glutamine synthetase on the blots was quantitated using a 1:75,000 dilution of a polyclonal antibody prepared in our laboratory against bacterial glutamine synthetase. Aprotinin was detected by staining the membranes with FCF Fast Green [26].

Dot blots—Antiserum was also evaluated with dot blots on nitrocellulose. In addition to the anti-DZS18 antiserum, we used the same dilutions of a non-immune rabbit serum, a gift from Geumsoo Kim of this laboratory. Native and methionine-oxidized glutamine synthetases and aprotinins, were diluted in 10 mM Hepes, 1 mM MgCl₂, pH 7.2 to give solutions containing 0.5, 0.25, and 0.05 µg/µl. Two µl of each solution were applied in duplicate onto five replicate dot blots on 0.45 µm nitrocellulose membranes (Invitrogen LC2001). One blot was stained with Fast Green for quantitation of protein binding. Four blots were detected using a general protocol suggested by Oxford in which membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk in 75 mM Na₂HPO₄ 68 mM NaCl, pH 7.2 (PBS) overnight at 4°. The membranes were incubated with 1:500 and 1:2,000 dilutions of either the Oxford DZS18 antiserum or non-immune rabbit serum in PBS with 1% dried milk and 0.05% Tween 20 for 6 hr at room temperature. The secondary antibody, goat anti-rabbit tagged with DyLight 800 labeled fluorescent dye (072-07-15-06 KPL) diluted 1:10,000 in Odyssey blocking buffer with 0.1% Tween 20 was added for 1 hr at room temperature. Blots were washed three times for 5 minutes each with PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 after each antibody incubation. Blots were washed twice briefly with water and read in the 800 nm channel of an Odyssey Imager.

Results and Discussion

Reactivity towards native and methionine-oxidized glutamine synthetase

In figure 1 of their paper, Oien and colleagues show a Western blot against native and methionine-oxidized glutamine synthetase [17]. While one would expect no staining of the native protein and strong reactivity of the methionine-oxidized form, both forms are equally reactive with the antiserum. The authors explain this surprising result by stating that glutamine synthetase “is naturally oxidized under its recommended storage conditions at 4° C.” This unreferenced claim is incorrect. The glutamine synthetase used in their studies was produced and given to them by our laboratory which has studied the enzyme for decades. We did demonstrate in the early 1980s that the protein undergoes a site-specific oxidative modification under the storage conditions utilized at the time [27]. However, we convincingly demonstrated that the oxidation was of a specific histidine residue located at the active site metal-binding site. We isolated a peptide containing the oxidized histidine [28] with the sequence Met₂₆₈His₂₆₉Cys₂₇₀His₂₇₁Met₂₇₂. We pointed out the remarkable specificity of the oxidation in that only His₂₆₉ was oxidized. Neither the other histidine nor either methionine nor the cysteine were modified, a finding which was explained when the

crystal structure was solved, and His₂₆₉ was shown to be liganded to the divalent cation required for catalytic activity [29]. In the course of those early studies we also demonstrated that manganese prevented the oxidation [30]. As a consequence of this observation, we changed the storage buffer for glutamine synthetase from one containing 10 mM MgCl₂ to one containing 1 mM MnCl₂. We have used the manganese-containing buffer for almost 30 years and have found that the protein remains stable for at least several years. In our hands, no methionine oxidation has been observed during storage as assessed by both amino acid analysis and mass spectrometry (Table 1).

Thus, the lack of a difference in reactivity between native and methionine-oxidized glutamine synthetase in figure 1 of the Oien report cannot reasonably be explained by oxidation during storage. The lack of a difference could be explained if the putative methionine-oxidized glutamine synthetase was not actually oxidized by the hydrogen peroxide exposure utilized, but we believe that it was oxidized for two reasons. Firstly, the oxidized protein had a slower mobility during SDS gel electrophoresis, a common characteristic of proteins containing methionine sulfoxide. Secondly, the investigators followed our published procedure which reproducibly oxidizes ~8 of the 16 methionine residues in the protein [21]. (The investigators state that all 16 methionines were oxidized according to their amino acid analysis, but the data are not shown, and we have never observed such extensive oxidation with our procedure.)

We also assessed the reactivity of the DZS18 antiserum with native and methionine-oxidized aprotinin, selected because it is a small protein that has a single, highly exposed methionine residue [31]. Neither amino acid analysis nor mass spectrometry detected methionine sulfoxide in the native aprotinin but found 1.0 residue in the oxidized form (Table 1).

Using our validated native and methionine-oxidized glutamine synthetase and aprotinin, we utilized the DZS18 antiserum supplied by two different companies. Employing a fluorescent-tagged secondary antibody to support quantitative analysis, we performed 6 dot blots and 6 Western blots after SDS gel electrophoresis. Three Western blots were on nitrocellulose and 3 on PVDF. In every case, there was no significant difference in reactivity of the native and methionine-oxidized proteins (Fig. 1).

Antisera may suffer a loss of specificity if not sufficiently diluted, and even serum from a nonimmunized rabbit may bind non-specifically to many proteins. Thus, we carried out comparisons between the native and methionine-oxidized proteins on dot blots with 2 dilutions of antiserum. Again, no differences were observed between native and methionine-oxidized glutamine synthetase (Fig. 2). We also compared the reaction toward native and oxidized proteins of 1:500 and 1:2,000 dilutions of DZS18 antiserum and with the same dilutions of non-immune serum (Fig. 2). The staining is the same for the non-immune serum and that from the rabbit exposed to oxidized DZS18. DZS18 antiserum and non-immune serum showed no reactivity toward native and methionine-oxidized aprotinin (not shown).

We conclude that DZS18 antiserum cannot distinguish between native glutamine synthetase and a form containing an average of 8 methionine sulfoxide residues nor can it detect a highly exposed methionine sulfoxide in aprotinin. The reactivity observed by Oien and colleagues [17] and reproduced by us is non-specific.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.

References

- [1]. Douglas T, Daniel DS, Parida BK, Jagannath C, Dhandayuthapani S. Methionine sulfoxide reductase A (MsrA) deficiency affects the survival of *Mycobacterium smegmatis* within macrophages. *J. Bacteriol.* 2004; 186:3590–3598. [PubMed: 15150247]
- [2]. Moskovitz J, Bar-Noy S, Williams WM, Requena J, Berlett BS, Stadtman ER. Methionine sulfoxide reductase (MsrA) is a regulator of antioxidant defense and lifespan in mammals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2001; 98:12920–12925. [PubMed: 11606777]
- [3]. Moskovitz J, Berlett BS, Poston JM, Stadtman ER. The yeast peptide-methionine sulfoxide reductase functions as an antioxidant in vivo. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 1997; 94:9585–9589. [PubMed: 9275166]
- [4]. Ruan H, Tang XD, Chen ML, Joiner ML, Sun G, Brot N, Weissbach H, Heinemann SH, Iverson L, Wu CF, Hoshi T, Chen ML, Joiner MA, Heinemann SH. High-quality life extension by the enzyme peptide methionine sulfoxide reductase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2002; 99:2748–2753. [PubMed: 11867705]
- [5]. St John G, Brot N, Ruan J, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, Weissbach H, Nathan C. Peptide methionine sulfoxide reductase from *Escherichia coli* and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* protects bacteria against oxidative damage from reactive nitrogen intermediates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2001; 98:9901–9906. [PubMed: 11481433]
- [6]. Salmon AB, Perez VI, Bokov A, Jernigan A, Kim G, Zhao H, Levine RL, Richardson A. Lack of methionine sulfoxide reductase A in mice increases sensitivity to oxidative stress but does not diminish life span. *FASEB J.* 2009; 23:3601–3608. [PubMed: 19487311]
- [7]. De Luca A, Sanna F, Sallèse M, Ruggiero C, Grossi M, Sacchetta P, Rossi C, De Laurenzi V, Di Ilio C, Favalaro B. Methionine sulfoxide reductase A down-regulation in human breast cancer cells results in a more aggressive phenotype. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2010; 107:18628–18633. [PubMed: 20937881]
- [8]. Moskovitz J, Flescher E, Berlett BS, Azare J, Poston JM, Stadtman ER. Overexpression of peptide-methionine sulfoxide reductase in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and human T cells provides them with high resistance to oxidative stress. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 1998; 95:14071–14075. [PubMed: 9826655]
- [9]. Romero HM, Berlett BS, Jensen PJ, Pell EJ, Tien M. Investigations into the role of the plastidial peptide methionine sulfoxide reductase in response to oxidative stress in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* 2004; 136:3784–3794. [PubMed: 15516509]
- [10]. Yermolaieva O, Xu R, Schinstock C, Brot N, Weissbach H, Heinemann SH, Hoshi T. Methionine sulfoxide reductase A protects neuronal cells against brief hypoxia/reoxygenation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2004; 101:1159–1164. [PubMed: 14745014]
- [11]. Cabreiro F, Picot CR, Perichon M, Mary J, Friguet B, Petropoulos I. Identification of proteins undergoing expression level modifications in WI-38 SV40 fibroblasts overexpressing methionine sulfoxide reductase A. *Biochimie.* 2007; 89:1388–1395. [PubMed: 17624653]
- [12]. Levine RL, Stadtman ER. Oxidative modification of proteins during aging. *Exp. Gerontol.* 2001; 36:1495–1502. [PubMed: 11525872]
- [13]. Erickson JR, Joiner ML, Guan X, Kutschke W, Yang J, Oddis CV, Bartlett RK, Lowe JS, O'Donnell SE, Aykin-Burns N, Zimmerman MC, Zimmerman K, Ham AJ, Weiss RM, Spitz DR, Shea MA, Colbran RJ, Mohler PJ, Anderson ME. A dynamic pathway for calcium-independent activation of CaMKII by methionine oxidation. *Cell.* 2008; 133:462–474. [PubMed: 18455987]
- [14]. Ringman JM, Fithian AT, Gyls K, Cummings JL, Coppola G, Elashoff D, Pratico D, Moskovitz J, Bitan G. Plasma Methionine Sulfoxide in Persons with Familial Alzheimer's Disease Mutations. *Dementia and geriatric cognitive disorders.* 2012; 33:219–225. [PubMed: 22584618]
- [15]. Day AM, Brown JD, Taylor SR, Rand JD, Morgan BA, Veal EA. Inactivation of a peroxiredoxin by hydrogen peroxide is critical for thioredoxin-mediated repair of oxidized proteins and cell survival. *Molecular cell.* 2012; 45:398–408. [PubMed: 22245228]
- [16]. Canello T, Frid K, Gabizon R, Lisa S, Friedler A, Moskovitz J, Gasset M, Gabizon R. Oxidation of Helix-3 Methionines Precedes the Formation of PK Resistant PrPSc. *Plos Pathogens.* 2010; 6

- [17]. Oien DB, Canello T, Gabizon R, Gasset M, Lundquist BL, Burns JM, Moskovitz J. Detection of oxidized methionine in selected proteins, cellular extracts and blood serums by novel anti-methionine sulfoxide antibodies. *Arch Biochem Biophys.* 2009; 485:35–40. [PubMed: 19388147]
- [18]. Winter G, Griffiths AD, Hawkins RE, Hoogenboom HR. Making antibodies by phage display technology. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 1994; 12:433–455. [PubMed: 8011287]
- [19]. Moskovitz, J. Methionine sulfoxide antibodies. US Patent Application 20100068205. 2009.
- [20]. Miller RE, Shelton E, Stadtman ER. Zinc-induced paracrystalline aggregation of glutamine synthetase. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 1974; 163:155–171. [PubMed: 4153165]
- [21]. Levine RL, Mosoni L, Berlett BS, Stadtman ER. Methionine residues as endogenous antioxidants in proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 1996; 93:15036–15040. [PubMed: 8986759]
- [22]. Berlett BS, Levine RL, Stadtman ER. Carbon dioxide stimulates peroxynitrite-mediated nitration of tyrosine residues and inhibits oxidation of methionine residues of glutamine synthetase: Both modifications mimic effects of adenylylation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 1998; 95:2784–2789. [PubMed: 9501167]
- [23]. Henderson, J.; Ricker, R.; Bidlingmeyer, BA.; Woodward, C. Rapid, accurate, sensitive, and reproducible HPLC analysis of amino acids. Agilent Technologies; Santa Clara, CA: 2000. Part No. 5980-1193E
- [24]. Fliss H, Weissbach H, Brot N. Oxidation of methionine residues in proteins of activated human neutrophils. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 1983; 80:7160–7164. [PubMed: 6580633]
- [25]. Stadtman ER, Van Remmen H, Richardson A, Wehr NB, Levine RL. Methionine oxidation and aging. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 2005; 1703:135–140. [PubMed: 15680221]
- [26]. Luo S, Wehr NB, Levine RL. Quantitation of protein on gels and blots by infrared fluorescence of Coomassie blue and Fast Green. *Anal. Biochem.* 2006; 350:233–238. [PubMed: 16336940]
- [27]. Levine RL. Oxidative modification of glutamine synthetase. I. Inactivation is due to loss of one histidine residue. *J.Biol.Chem.* 1983; 258:11823–11827. [PubMed: 6137483]
- [28]. Farber JM, Levine RL. Sequence of a peptide susceptible to mixed function oxidation: Probable cation binding site in glutamine synthetase. *J.Biol.Chem.* 1986; 261:4574–4578. [PubMed: 2870062]
- [29]. Almasy RJ, Janson CA, Hamlin R, Xuong NH, Eisenberg D. Novel subunit-subunit interactions in the structure of glutamine synthetase. *Nature.* 1986; 323:304–309. [PubMed: 2876389]
- [30]. Levine RL. Oxidative modification of glutamine synthetase. II. Characterization of the ascorbate model system. *J.Biol.Chem.* 1983; 258:11828–11833. [PubMed: 6137484]
- [31]. Parkin S, Rupp B, Hope H. Structure of bovine pancreatic trypsin inhibitor at 125 K definition of carboxyl-terminal residues Gly57 and Ala58. *Acta crystallographica. Section D, Biological crystallography.* 1996; 52:18–29.




Figure 1.

Western blot of methionine-oxidized and native proteins probed with DZS18 antiserum (Cayman, 600161). Blots were prepared on nitrocellulose with 1 μ g of each protein. Lane 1, native aprotinin; Lane 2, methionine-oxidized aprotinin; Lane 3, native glutamine synthetase; Lane 4, methionine-oxidized glutamine synthetase. The glutamine synthetase band intensities were quantitated by the Odyssey Imager and were 3.1 for native and 2.4 for methionine-oxidized glutamine synthetase. Aprotinin signals were not detectable.




Figure 2.

Dot blots of glutamine synthetase probed with DZS18 antiserum (Oxford MS01) or non-immune serum. 1 μ g of native and methionine-oxidized glutamine synthetase were spotted in duplicate. Quantitation by the Odyssey Imager is shown in each graph with their standard deviations.

Table 1Methionine Sulfoxide Residues in Glutamine Synthetase and Aprotinin^a

Protein	Treatment	Time after Oxidation	Amino Acid Analysis	Mass Spectroscopy
Glutamine Synthetase	None	1 week	0.2	0.0
		1.7 years	1.1	0.0
	Oxidation	1 week	8.9	8.0
		1.8 years	8.2	8.0
Aprotinin	None	1 week	0.1	0.0
		3.7 years	0.0	0.0
	Oxidation	1 week	1.0	1.0
		2.6 years	1.0	1.0

^aMass spectroscopy is both more accurate and more precise than amino acid analysis.