Assembly of Multiple CotC Forms into the *Bacillus subtilis* Spore Coat

Rachele Isticato,¹ Giovanni Esposito,¹ Rita Zilhão,^{2,3} Sofia Nolasco,³† Giuseppina Cangiano,¹ Maurilio De Felice,¹ Adriano O. Henriques,² and Ezio Ricca¹^{*}

*Dipartimento di Fisiologia Generale ed Ambientale, Universita` Federico II, Naples, Italy,*¹ *and Instituto de Tecnologia Química e Biolo´gica, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, 2781-901 Oeiras,*² *and Departmento de Biologia Vegetal, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon,*³ *Portugal*

Received 2 July 2003/Accepted 12 September 2003

We report evidence that the CotC polypeptide, a previously identified component of the *Bacillus subtilis* **spore coat, is assembled into at least four distinct forms. Two of these, having molecular masses of 12 and 21 kDa, appeared 8 h after the onset of sporulation and were probably assembled on the forming spore immediately after their synthesis, since no accumulation of either of them was detected in the mother cell compartment, where their synthesis occurs. The other two components, 12.5 and 30 kDa, were generated 2 h later and were probably the products of posttranslational modifications of the two early forms occurring directly on the coat surface during spore maturation. None of the CotC forms was found either on the spore coat or in the mother cell compartment of a** *cotH* **mutant. This indicates that CotH serves a dual role of stabilizing the early forms of CotC and promoting the assembly of both early and late forms on the spore surface.**

The *Bacillus subtilis* spore is encased within a complex multilayered protein structure known as the coat, whose role is to protect the spore against bactericidal enzymes and chemicals, such as lysozyme and chloroform, and to influence the spore's ability to germinate in response to appropriate germinants. However, the recent finding that a component of the *B. subtilis* coat has laccase activity (20) suggests that the coat may have other, so far unexplored, roles. The coat is composed of a heterogeneous group of over 25 polypeptides arranged into three main structural layers: a diffuse undercoat, a laminated lightly staining inner layer, and a thick electron-dense outer coat. Several of these polypeptides have been studied, and their structural genes (*cot* genes) have been identified. Expression of all *cot* genes is governed by a cascade of four transcription factors, acting specifically in the mother cell compartment of the sporangium in the sequence sigma E-SpoIIID-sigma K-GerE, with sigma E and sigma K being RNA polymerase sigma factors and SpoIIID and GerE being DNA-binding proteins acting in conjunction with sigma E- and sigma K-driven RNA polymerase (5, 11).

In addition to the transcriptional control, a variety of posttranslational modifications have been shown to occur during coat formation. At least two coat-associated polypeptides (of about 8 and 9 kDa) appear to be glycosylated (11), while others are derived from proteolytic processing of larger precursors (1, 3, 27). Cross-linking of structural proteins is also believed to occur and result in the insolubilization of specific components. Since several coat proteins are tyrosine rich and since dityrosine bonds are present in purified coat material, it is believed that this type of modification may contribute to the assembly and function of the coat (13). Also $(\gamma$ -glutamyl)lysine crosslinks are found in purified spores, and a coat-associated transglutaminase has been identified (17). The occurrence of transglutaminase-dependent cross-linking of the outermost coat layer has been suggested (12).

The initial stages in coat assembly occur early after the onset of sporulation and involve functional interactions among at least two morphogenetic proteins, both made under sigma E control. First, the SpoIVA protein localizes at the outer forespore membrane. Second, SpoIVA directs the assembly of CotE in a ring-like structure that surrounds the forespore at a distance of about 75 nm from it (6). The gap generated by the localization of SpoIVA and CotE is thought to be the site of assembly of the inner coat components. Within this region, the inner coat may correspond to the more internal sector, adjacent to the SpoIVA protein. In contrast, the outer coat proteins are assembled on the outside of the CotE structure (5, 11). Additional proteins with morphogenetic functions are needed for coat formation. SpoVID and SafA are made under sigma E control; SpoVID interacts with SafA and directs it to the forming spore and is also required to maintain the CotE ring around the forespore (5, 11, 23). In contrast CotH is a morphogenetic protein produced under sigma K control that plays a role in outer coat assembly and the lysozyme resistance of the spore and that, in conjunction with CotE, is also responsible for efficient spore germination (21, 33).

Most coat components are produced at late stages of sporulation, with some proteins, such as CotD, CotT, and CotS, targeted to the inner coat, and others, such as CotB, CotC, and CotG, directed to the outer coat (11). Of these outer coat components, CotB has been recently identified as exposed on the spore surface (7, 15).

This study focuses on the incorporation of CotC into the coat structure and on how this event is controlled by the morphogenetic protein CotH. CotC is a coat component initially identified by a reverse genetic approach (4) and later associated with the outer coat layer (32). Together with CotD and CotG, CotC represents about 50% of the total solubilized coat proteins and, being alkali soluble, can be selectively extracted

Corresponding author. Mailing address: Dipartimento di Fisiologia Generale ed Ambientale, Universita` Federico II, via Mezzocannone 16, 80134 Naples, Italy. Phone: 39-081-2534636. Fax: 39-081- 5514437. E-mail: ericca@unina.it.

[†] Present address: Gulbenkian Institute of Science, Oeiras, Portugal.

TABLE 1. *B. subtilis* strains

Strain	Relevant genotype	Source or reference	
PY79	Wild type	30	
BD063	cot A :: cat	4	
BD071	cotC::cat	4	
BZ213	cotE::cat	31	
ER ₂₀₃	$cotG$::erm	24	
ER ₂₀₉	$cotH$::cat	21	
RH101	$cotC$::spc	This work	
RH ₂₀₁	cotB::spc	15	
RH ₂₁₁	$cotE$::spc	This work	
RH ₂₀₂	$cotU$::neo	This work	
RH ₂₁₄	cot A :: cat cot U :: neo	This work	
RH ₂₀₃	$cotC::cat\ cotU::neo$	This work	
RH ₂₁₃	cotB::spc cotU::neo	This work	
RH216	$cotH::cm\ cotU::neo$	This work	
RH217	$cotG::erm\ cotU::neo$	This work	
RH218	$cotE$::spc $cotU$::neo	This work	

from purified spores by an NaOH treatment (11). CotC is highly similar to the protein encoded by *ynzH*, an open reading frame identified during the analysis of the *B. subtilis* genome (18), and has recently been proposed as a new coat component and renamed CotU (19). CotC and CotU have almost identical N-terminal regions, diverging in only 1 out of 24 amino acid residues. In addition, CotC is also relatively similar to CotG, and, intriguingly, assembly of both CotC and CotG proteins is under the control of the morphogenetic protein CotH (21).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and transformation. *B. subtilis* strains utilized are listed in Table 1. Plasmid amplification for nucleotide sequencing, subcloning experiments, and transformation of *Escherichia coli* competent cells were performed with $E.$ coli strain DH5 α (26). Bacterial strains were transformed by previously described procedures for CaCl₂-mediated transformation of *E. coli* competent cells (26) and two-step transformation of *B. subtilis* (2).

Genetic and molecular procedures. Isolation of plasmids, restriction digestion, and ligation of DNA were carried out by standard methods (26). Chromosomal DNA from *B. subtilis* was isolated as described elsewhere (2). Fragments of *cotC* and *cotU* DNA were amplified by PCR from the *B. subtilis* chromosome, and the amplification was primed with the synthetic oligonucleotides listed in Table 2. The PCR products were visualized on ethidium bromide-stained agarose gels and gel purified by the QIAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen) as specified by the manufacturer.

A *cotU* null mutant was obtained by transforming competent cells of the *B. subtilis* strain PY79 with plasmid pRH41, carrying a neomycin resistance (*neo*) cassette in the *cotU* coding region. A purified 274-bp DNA fragment originating from the amplification of *B. subtilis* chromosomal DNA with Ys2 and Ya2 oligonucleotides (Table 2) was digested with *Not*I and *Eco*RI and cloned into

plasmid pBEST501 (16). The plasmid obtained was cleaved with *Sph*I and *Sal*I and used to clone, to the 5' end of the *neo* cassette, a second PCR fragment of 242 bp, originating from amplification of the *B. subtilis* chromosome with Ys1 and Ya1 oligonucleotides (Table 2). Neo^r clones were the result of doublecrossover recombination, resulting in the interruption of the *cotU* gene on the *B. subtilis* chromosome. Several Neo^r clones were analyzed by PCR, and one of them, RH202, was used for further studies. The *cotU* null mutation was then moved by chromosomal DNA-mediated transformation to the following isogenic strains (Table 1): BD063 (*cotA*), generating RH214; BD071 (*cotC*), generating RH203; ER203, (*cotG*), generating RH217; ER209 (*cotH*), generating RH216; RH211, (*cotE*) generating RH218; and RH201 (*cotB*), generating RH213.

Strain RH211 was obtained by transforming strain BZ213 (*cotE*::*cat*) with a linearized form of plasmid pJL62 (*cat*::*spc*) (a gift from A. Grossman) to inactivate *cat* and introduce a spectinomycin resistance gene. Several clones resistant to spectinomycin but sensitive to chloramphenicol were isolated, and one of them, RH211, was used for further studies.

cotC **expression in** *E. coli***.** The *cotC* coding region was amplified by PCR from *B. subtilis* chromosomal DNA with primers CotCcoding and CotCSTOP (Table 2). The 210-bp PCR product was cleaved with *Xho*I and *Sal*I and ligated into *Xho*I-digested expression vector pRSETA (Invitrogen). The recombinant plasmid carrying an in-frame fusion of the $5'$ end of the $cotC$ coding region to six histidine codons under the transcriptional control of a T7 promoter was used to transform competent cells of *E. coli* BL21(DE3) (Invitrogen), yielding strain RH52. This strain was grown in ampicillin-supplemented (50 μ g/ml) TY medium (26) to an optical density of 0.7 at 600 nm. The T7 promoter was then induced by adding isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG; final concentration, 0.5 mM) to the culture, which was incubated for 2 h at 37°C. The six-His-tagged CotC protein was purified under denaturing conditions via Ni-nitrilotriacetic acid affinity chromatography as recommended by the manufacturer (Qiagen, Inc.).

Western blotting. Sporulation of wild-type and recombinant strains was induced by the exhaustion method (2, 22). After a 30-h incubation at 37°C, spores were collected, washed four times, and purified by lysozyme treatment as previously described (2, 22). The number of purified spores obtained was measured by direct counting with a Bürker chamber under an optical microscope (Olympus; BH-2 with $40 \times$ lenses). Aliquots of 10^{10} spores suspended in 0.3 ml of distilled water were used to extract coat proteins by 0.1 N NaOH treatment at 4°C as previously reported (2). The concentration of the extracted coat proteins was determined by the Bio-Rad DC (detergent-compatible) protein assay to avoid potential interference by the NaOH present (final concentration, 0.2 to 0.6 mN) in the extraction buffer and 15 μ g of total proteins fractionated on 18% denaturing poly-acrylamide gels. Proteins were then electrotransferred to nitrocellulose filters (Bio-Rad) and used for Western blot analysis by standard procedures. For the analysis of sporulating cells samples were harvested at various times during sporulation and disrupted by sonication in 25 mM Tris (pH 7.5)–0.1 M NaCl–1 mM EDTA–15% (vol/vol) glycerol–0.1 mg of phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride/ml. Sonicated material was then fractionated by centrifugation at 12,000 $\times g$ for 20 min. The pellet, containing the forming spores resistant to the sonication treatment, was solubilized by 0.1 N NaOH treatment at 4°C, and the total protein concentration was determined as described above. Fifty (mother cell extract) or 15 μ g (forespore extract) of total proteins was fractionated on 18% denaturing polyacrylamide gels. Western blot filters were visualized by the SuperSignal West Pico chemiluminescence (Pierce) method as specified by the manufacturer.

CotC-specific antibodies were raised in rabbits immunized with a 14-amino-

TABLE 2. Synthetic oligonucleotides

Oligonucleotide	Sequence $(5'-3')^a$	Restriction site	Positions of annealing \mathcal{P}
Ys1	gcggccgcACTAATCTCTCTATACAC	NotI	$-200/ -182$
Ya1	gaattcCCAAGTATAATACTCTTC	EcoRI	$+42/+25$
Ys2	gtcgacGATCACGATTATCATTAC	Sall	$+175/+192$
Ya2	gcatgcTTATAAATAGGGGAAGGC	SphI	$+449/+430$
CotCooding	ctcgagATGGGTTATTATTACAAA	Xh oI	$+1/+15$
CotCSTOP	gtcgacTTATTAGTAGTGTTTTTTATGC	SalI	$+357/+338$
C/5/Nco	gagccatggATATGGGTTATTACAAA	NcoI	$-11/+15$
C2	ccggaattcTGGCGTTTAGTAGTGTTT	EcoRI	$+188/+205$

^a Capital and lowercase letters indicate nucleotides complementary to corresponding *cotU* or *cotC* DNA and unpaired flanking sequences carrying a restriction site,

 \overrightarrow{b} Referred to *cotU* or *cotC* sequences, taking the initiation codon as +1.

FIG. 1. Western blot analysis of proteins extracted from spores of the wild type (lane 1), *cotC* (lane 2) and *cotU* (lane 3) null mutants, and a double *cotC cotU* null mutant (lane 4). Proteins were fractionated on 18% polyacrylamide gel and, upon electrotransfer on nitrocellulose membranes, were reacted with CotC-specific rabbit antibodies and then with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies and visualized by the Pierce method. Molecular mass markers are indicated on the right. The estimated sizes of the polypeptides recognized by the CotCspecific antibody are also indicated.

acid synthetic peptide (NH₂-YDYVVEYKKHKKHY-COOH) designed on the base of the C-terminal region of CotC (IGtech, Salerno, Italy).

Yeast two-hybrid system. The Matchmaker two-hybrid system (Clontech) was used as described by Ozin et al. (23), with only minor modifications. The *cotC* coding region was amplified by PCR using primer pair C/5/Nco and C2 (Table 2). The PCR product was digested with *Nco*I and *Eco*RI and inserted between the same sites of plasmids pAS2-1 and pACT2 (Clontech) to create fusions to the Gal4 DNA binding or activation domains, yielding plasmids pRZ99 and pRZ98, respectively. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains Y187 (MATa ura3-52 his3-200 *ade2*-*101* t*rp1*-*901 leu2*-*3*,*112 gal4 met gal80 URA3*::*GAL1*UAS-*GAL1*TATA-*HIS3*) and Y190 (*MAT***a** *ura3*-*52 his3*-*200 ade2*-*101 lys2*-*801 trp1*-*901 leu2*-*3*,*112 gal4 gal80 cyh*^r *2 LYS2*::*URA*::*GAL1*UAS-*HIS3*TATA-*HIS3 URA3*::*GAL1*UAS-*GAL1*_{TATA}-*HIS3*) (Clontech) were independently transformed with the pAS2-1 or pACT-2 vector and/or each of the *cotC* constructs according to the protocols suggested by the manufacturer. The resulting clones were used in pairwise matings selecting for Leu and Trp. Colony lift assays for detection of β -galactosidase activity were as described by the manufacturer (Clontech).

RESULTS

Multiple *cotC***-dependent polypeptides are present in the spore coat.** The *cotC* gene of *B. subtilis* encodes a 66-aminoacid polypeptide (CotC) having a deduced molecular mass of 8.8 kDa but migrating on sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) gel as a 12-kDa polypeptide (4). CotC has been identified as a component of the outer layer of the coat (32), and its assembly requires the action of the morphogenetic protein CotH (21). To study the mechanism of CotC assembly in more detail, we raised specific antibodies against a 14-amino-acid synthetic peptide designed on the basis of the CotC C-terminal sequence and performed a Western blot analysis on the coat protein fraction extracted from purified spores of wild-type and isogenic *cotC* mutant strains (4). Anti-CotC antibodies recognized six polypeptides in the coat proteins of wild-type spores, five of which were absent in the coat protein fraction of *cotC* null mutant spores (Fig. 1, lanes 1 and 2). The remaining 17-kDa polypeptide,

present also in *cotC* mutant spores, is evidently encoded by a different gene and is, therefore, not directly dependent on *cotC* expression (Fig. 1, lanes 1 and 2). Only two of the five *cotC*dependent polypeptides (12 and 21 kDa) were extracted from wild-type spores in amounts large enough to be observed by Coomassie blue staining of the SDS-PAGE gel (data not shown).

Due to the high level of sequence similarity between CotC and the putative product of *ynzH*, an open reading frame identified in the analysis of the *B. subtilis* genome (18), recently identified as the coat component CotU (19), it was possible that at least some of the polypeptides recognized by our anti-CotC antibodies were the product(s) of *cotU* expression. To test this possibility, we constructed a *cotU* null mutant (see Materials and Methods) and, by chromosomal-DNA-mediated transformation, a double *cotC cotU* null mutant. Coat proteins were extracted from purified spores and analyzed by Western blotting with anti-CotC antibodies. In addition to the 17-kDa *cotC*-independent polypeptide (see above), another polypeptide (23 kDa) was dependent on the expression of both *cotC* and *cotU* (Fig. 1). We decided to focus our study on the four polypeptides exclusively dependent on *cotC* expression, and, to optimize definition of these four bands, we used the *cotU* null mutant in most of the Western blot experiments of this study.

Expression of *cotC* **in** *E. coli* **produces two polypeptides.** The $cotC$ gene was fused to codons for a six-His tag at its $5'$ end, placed under the control of the T7*lac* promoter, and introduced into cells of the *E. coli* host BL21(DE3) (Novagen). Cells of the recombinant strain obtained, RH52, were induced with IPTG and lysed as described in Materials and Methods, and total proteins were purified by affinity chromatography on $Ni²⁺$ columns. As shown in Fig. 2, two polypeptides of 16 and 32 kDa were purified and both were recognized by CotCspecific and six-His tag-specific antibodies. We identify the 16-kDa polypeptide as the six-His–CotC fusion product (4.5 and 8.8 kDa, respectively, with the latter migrating with an

FIG. 2. Coomassie-blue stained SDS-PAGE gel and Western blots of crude extracts (Ext.) and Ni-nitrilotriacetic acid agarose columnpurified proteins (Pur.) of the IPTG-induced *E. coli* RH52 strain. Proteins were fractionated on 18% polyacrylamide gel and visualized by Coomassie blue staining of the gel or used to perform Western blot analysis with anti-CotC- or anti-six-His-specific antibodies, as indicated. Molecular mass markers are indicated on the right. The estimated sizes of CotC-dependent polypeptides are also reported.

FIG. 3. Western blot of proteins extracted from spores of *cotC cotU* (lane 1), *cotU* (lane 2), *cotA cotU* (lane 3), *cotG cotU* (lane 4), *cotH cotU* (lane 5), *cotB cotU* (lane 6), and *cotE cotU* (lane 7) mutants. Proteins were fractionated on 18% polyacrylamide gel and, upon electrotransfer on nitrocellulose membranes, were reacted with CotCspecific rabbit antibodies and then with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies and visualized by the Pierce method. Molecular mass markers are indicated on the right. The estimated sizes of CotCdependent polypeptides are also reported.

apparent mass of 12 kDa; Fig. 1), whose expected apparent mass is 16.5 kDa. The slower-migrating CotC polypeptide, with an apparent mass of 32 kDa (Fig. 2), is most easily explained if two CotC molecules are bound together. Based on this, we suggest that the faster-migrating protein corresponds to the monomeric form of CotC, whereas the slower one results from the assembly of CotC monomers into a homodimer. Cases of dimers and also oligomers resistant to detergent treatment and strong reducing conditions have been reported previously and are rather frequent (25, 28, 29).

CotC-CotC interaction in a yeast two-hybrid system. The results shown in Fig. 2 suggested that the 12-kDa polypeptide is most likely a CotC monomer able to self-interact to originate a homodimer in *E. coli* without the action of specific *B. subtilis* factors. We used a yeast two-hybrid system (8, 9) to confirm that CotC monomers can spontaneously self-interact. The *cotC* coding sequence was fused to either the activation domain or the DNA-binding domain of the yeast transcriptional activator GAL4, and the gene fusions were introduced into yeast reporter strains Y187 and Y190 (see Materials and Methods). Interaction of the fusion proteins within yeast cells results in the expression of a *lac*Z reporter gene (10). No β-galactosidase activity was detected when the individual fusion proteins were expressed with either control vector (data not shown). In contrast, an interaction between CotC and itself was detected, thus confirming the results of Fig. 2 and indicating that CotC molecules have the potential to self-interact.

Assembly of all CotC-dependent polypeptides depends on *cotH* **and** *cotE* **expression.** Spores of strains carrying a *cotU* null mutation along with a null mutation in one other *cot* gene were solubilized by NaOH treatment, and the released proteins were compared with those released by a strain with mutations only in *cotU* (Fig. 3). This analysis showed that CotC-dependent polypeptides of 30, 21, 12.5, and 12 kDa were all present in the *cotU* single mutant as well as in the *cotU cotA*, *cotU cotB*, and *cotU cotG* double mutants. All four *cotC*-dependent polypeptides were absent in strains with double-null mutation

cotU cotH or *cotU cotE* (Fig. 3, lanes 5 and 7, respectively). Moreover, the amount of the 30-kDa polypeptide extracted from *cotU cotB* mutant spores (Fig. 3, lane 6) was repeatedly less than that extracted from spores with *cotU* mutations only (Fig. 3, lane 2). Identical results were obtained in the single null mutant strains with mutations in *cotA*, *cotB*, *cotG*, *cotH*, or *cotE* (not shown), thus suggesting that assembly of all four *cotC*-dependent polypeptides in the spore coat strictly requires *cotE* and *cotH* expression and that, in addition, assembly of the 30-kDa polypeptide is partially dependent on *cotB* expression.

CotC 12.5- and 30-kDa polypeptides appear late during spore formation. Sporulating cells of a *cotU* null mutant were harvested at various times during sporulation and lysed by sonication as described in Materials and Methods, and the forming spores surviving the treatment were separated by centrifugation. The forming spores were then extracted by alkali treatment, and the released proteins were compared with those present in the mother cell cytoplasm. For each time point both protein fractions were analyzed by Western blotting with CotC-specific antibodies. At all time points analyzed we detected no CotC-specific polypeptides in the mother cell fraction (Fig. 4). In the forespore fraction, CotC monomeric (12 kDa) and homodimeric (21-kDa) forms were observed starting 8 h after the onset of sporulation (T8), while the other two *cotC*-dependent polypeptides of 12.5 and 30 kDa appeared 2 h later (Fig. 4). Appearance of CotC-specific polypeptides starting from T8 was in perfect agreement with the previously described *cotC* expression pattern (14, 31). Identical results were obtained with a wild-type strain (not shown). While $15 \mu g$ of total proteins was used to detect CotC from purified spores and forespore fractions, $50 \mu g$ of total proteins was analyzed in the case of mother cell samples. This amount of total protein allowed visualization of CotB (15) and CotA (see below) in the mother cell fraction of sporulating cells. Therefore, absence of CotC-specific polypeptides in the mother cell fraction together with the appearance of the 12.5- and 30-kDa polypeptides 2 h later than the 12- and 21-kDa forms of CotC (Fig. 4) suggests that (i) CotC does not accumulate in the mother cell, probably as a consequence of its immediate assembly on the forming spore, and (ii) the 12.5- and 30-kDa polypeptides are most likely generated on the forming spore coat by specific posttranslational modifications of the previously assembled forms of 12 and 21 kDa.

CotH stabilizes CotC in the mother cell compartment of sporulating cells. As a consequence of our hypothesis that CotC assembles on the forming spore immediately after its synthesis in the mother cell compartment and that the 12.5 and 30-kDa polypeptides form only on the forespore, we expected to find only the 12- and 21-kDa CotC polypeptides in the mother cells of mutants that fail to assemble CotC. To test this prediction, we performed a Western blot analysis of CotC polypeptides from sporulating cells of *cotU cotE* and *cotU cotH* null mutant strains that, as shown in Fig. 3, do not assemble CotC. Cells were collected at various times during sporulation and lysed by sonication, and forespore and mother cell fractions were separated as described above. The forming spores were then extracted by alkali treatment, and the released proteins were compared with those present in the mother cell cytoplasm by using CotC-specific antibodies. In agreement with our prediction, accumulation of only two CotC-specific

FIG. 4. Western blot of proteins extracted at various times after the onset of sporulation from the mother cell or forespore of sporulating cells of a *cotU* null mutant strain. Fifty (mother cell extract) or 15 μg (forespore extract) of total proteins was fractionated on 18% polyacrylamide gel, and upon electrotransfer on nitrocellulose membranes, proteins were reacted with CotC-specific rabbit antibodies and then with peroxidaseconjugated secondary antibodies and visualized by the Pierce method. Molecular mass markers are indicated. The estimated sizes of CotCdependent polypeptides are also reported.

polypeptides, of 12 and 21 kDa, could be observed in the mother cell fraction of a *cotU cotE* null mutant (Fig. 5A). The intensities of the signals observed for the 12- and 21-kDa polypeptides, compared to those obtained for the same polypeptides from wild-type spores, make it extremely unlikely that the absence of the other two forms of CotC could be due to their low concentrations. To our surprise, we repeatedly failed to detect any CotC-specific polypeptide in the mother cell protein fraction of a *cotH* mutant at all time points analyzed (Fig. 5B), as well as in the forespore fraction (not shown). The same mother cell extracts were reacted with CotA-specific antibodies (L. Martins and A. O. Henriques, unpublished results); this revealed the presence of CotA-specific polypeptides of the expected sizes (Fig. 5C), thus suggesting that the phenomenon observed in the *cotH* mutant is restricted to CotC forms and is not due to aspecific protein degradation.

DISCUSSION

In the present work we report evidence that the CotC component of the *B. subtilis* spore coat is assembled into at least four forms, all dependent on the expression of the *cotH* gene. Expression of the CotC structural gene in *E. coli* produced two polypeptides, most likely corresponding to a CotC monomer

FIG. 5. (A) Western blot of proteins extracted from mature spores of a *cotU* null mutant strain and from the mother cell fraction of sporulating cells of a $\text{cot}E$ mutant strain, 8 (t₈) and 10 h after the onset of sporulation. (B) Western blot of proteins extracted 8, 9, 10, and 11 h after the onset of sporulation from the mother cell of sporulating cells of a *cotH* null mutant strain. (C) Western blot of proteins extracted 10 h after the onset of sporulation from the mother cell of sporulating cells of a *cotH* null mutant strain. Fifty (mother cell extract) or 15 g (forespore extract) of total proteins was fractionated on 18% polyacrylamide gel and, upon electrotransfer onto nitrocellulose membranes, proteins were reacted with CotC-specific (A and B) or CotA-specific (C) rabbit antibodies and then with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies and visualized by the Pierce method. Molecular mass markers are indicated. The estimated sizes of CotC-dependent polypeptides are also reported.

and a homodimer resistant to the detergent treatment and the reducing conditions used. Since it is unlikely that an *E. coli* enzyme forms specific covalent bonds between CotC molecules and since CotC has the potential to self-interact, as shown by our experiment with yeast cells (data not shown), we believe that the CotC homodimer is most probably formed by spontaneous, noncovalent assembly of monomers. Additional biochemical experiments, out of the scope of this study, are needed to understand the nature of this interaction.

CotC assembly depends on *cotE* and *cotH* expression. Dependency on *cotE* was expected, since it has been previously shown that *cotE* null mutant spores do not assemble the outer coat (31). Dependency on *cotH* expression was previously reported for the most abundant 12-kDa CotC form (21). Assembly of two other coat components, CotB and CotG, also depends on *cotH* expression. Since assembly of CotB, in turn, depends on *cotG* expression (24), hierarchical control CotH-CotG-CotB was proposed (21). Here we show (Fig. 3) that all four CotC polypeptides strictly require *cotE* and *cotH* expression and do not depend on the expression of the *cotA*, *cotB*, or *cotG* gene and that only the 30-kDa form has a partial requirement for *cotB* expression.

Western blotting performed at various times during sporulation with the wild type and *cotH* and *cotE* mutants allowed three conclusions. (i) The 12- and 21-kDa CotC forms are assembled on the forming spore immediately after their synthesis in the mother cell compartment. This is based on the observation that the 12- and 21-kDa forms accumulate in the mother cell of a *cotE* mutant (unable to assemble them) but not in the mother cell of wild-type spores. (ii) The 12.5- and 30-kDa forms of CotC are generated on the forming spore coat, since they are never found in the mother cell of wild-type or *cotE* mutant cells. Their formation is most likely due to specific posttranslational modifications of the previously assembled forms of 12 and 21 kDa. The nature of such modifications has not been clarified. However, since CotC contains several tyrosines (30.3% of total residues) and since dityrosine bond formation may be involved in coat assembly (reference 13 and references therein), it is possible that this type of cross-link is, at least in part, responsible for the formation of the 12.5 and 30-kDa forms of CotC. (iii) CotH or a *cotH*-controlled factor allows assembly of the 12- and 21-kDa forms of CotC on the spore surface. This is based on the observation that the 12 and 21-kDa forms do not accumulate in the mother cell of a *cotH* mutant. Since CotC is present in the cytoplasm of a *cotE* mutant (Fig. 5A) as well as in *E. coli* (Fig. 2) but is not found in the cytoplasm of a *cotH* mutant (Fig. 5B), its absence cannot be due to the low stability of the protein. We believe it more likely that a specific factor (possibly a protease) degrades CotC in the absence of CotH (or a *cotH-*dependent protein). According to this model, in a wild-type strain CotH (or a *cotH*dependent protein) would prevent CotC degradation either by interacting in a chaperone-like manner with CotC or its specific protease in the mother cell or by immediately recruiting CotC into the coat of the forming spore. Either way, CotC is not present in the mother cell or on the forespore of a *cotH* mutant. Our data do not allow us to establish whether CotC is degraded or is only cleaved near its C-terminal end, making it undetectable for our antibodies. However, such hypothetical cleavage does not occur in a wild-type strain and would in any

case lead to a nonphysiological situation (i.e., assembly of a shorter form of CotC).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the European Union grant no. QLK5- CT-2001-01729 to E.R. and A.O.H. and by MIUR (Cofin 2002; FIRB 2002) grants to E.R.

REFERENCES

- 1. **Aronson, A. I., H.-Y. Song, and N. Bourne.** 1988. Gene structure and precursor processing of a novel *Bacillus subtilis* spore coat protein. Mol. Microbiol. **3:**437–444.
- 2. **Cutting, S., and P. B. Vander Horn.** 1990. Genetic analysis, p. 27–74. *In* C. Harwood and S. Cutting (ed.), Molecular biological methods for *Bacillus.* John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, United Kingdom.
- 3. **Cutting, S., L. Zheng, and R. Losick.** 1991. Gene encoding two alkali-soluble components of the spore coat from *Bacillus subtilis.* J. Bacteriol. **173:**2915– 2919.
- 4. **Donovan, W., L. Zheng, K. Sandman, and R. Losick.** 1987. Genes encoding spore coat polypeptides from *Bacillus subtilis.* J. Mol. Biol. **196:**1–10.
- 5. **Driks, A.** 1999. *Bacillus subtilis* spore coat. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. **63:**1– 20.
- 6. **Driks, A., S. Roels, B. Beall, C. P. Moran, Jr., and R. Losick.** 1994. Subcellular localization of proteins involved in the assembly of the spore coat of *Bacillus subtilis.* Genes Dev. **8:**234–244.
- 7. **Duc, L. H., H. A. Hong, N. Fairweather, E. Ricca, and S. M. Cutting.** 2003. Bacterial spores as vaccine vehicles. Infect. Immun. **71:**2810–2818.
- 8. **Fields, S., and O. Song.** 1989. A novel genetic system to detect proteinprotein interactions. Nature **340:**245–246.
- 9. **Fields, S., and R. Sternglanz.** 1994. The two-hybrid system: an assay for protein-protein interactions. Trends Genet. **10:**286–292.
- 10. **Guarente, L.** 1993. Strategies for the identification of interacting proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **90:**1639–1641.
- 11. **Henriques, A., and C. P. Moran, Jr.** 2000. Structure and assembly of the bacterial endospore coat. Method*s* **20:**95–110.
- 12. **Henriques, A. O., B. W. Beall, and C. P. J. Moran.** 1997. CotM of *Bacillus* $subtilis$, a member of the α -crystallin family of stress proteins, is induced during development and participates in spore outer coat formation. J. Bacteriol. **179:**1887–1897.
- 13. **Henriques, A. O., L. R. Melsen, and C. P. Moran, Jr.** 1998. Involvement of superoxide dismutase in spore coat assembly in *Bacillus subtilis.* J. Bacteriol. **180:**2285–2291.
- 14. **Ichikawa, H., and L. Kroos.** 2000. Combined action of two transcription factors regulates genes encoding spore coat proteins of *Bacillus subtilis.* J. Biol. Chem. **275:**13849–13855.
- 15. **Isticato, R., G. Cangiano, T.-H. Tran, A. Ciabattini, D. Medaglini, M. R. Oggioni, M. De Felice, G. Pozzi, and E. Ricca.** 2001. Surface display of recombinant proteins on *Bacillus subtilis* spores. J. Bacteriol. **183:**6294–6301.
- 16. **Itaya, M., K. Kondo, and T. Tanaka.** 1989. A neomycin resistance cassette selectable in a single copy state in the *Bacillus subtilis* chromosome. Nucleic Acid Res. **17:**4410.
- 17. Kobayashi, K., Y. Kumazawa, K. Miwa, and S. Yamanaka. 1994. ε-(γ-Glutamyl)lysine cross-links of spore coat proteins and transglutaminase activity in *Bacillus subtilis.* FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **144:**157–160.
- 18. **Kunst, F., N. Ogasawara, I. Moszer, H. Yoshikawa, A. Danchin, et al.** 1997. The complete genome sequence of the gram-positive bacterium *Bacillus subtilis.* Nature **390:**249–256.
- 19. **Lai, E.-M., N. D. Phadke, M. T. Kachman, R. Giorno, S. Vazquez, A. J. Vazquez, J. R. Maddock, and A. Driks.** 2003. Proteomic analysis of the spore coats of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus anthracis.* J. Bacteriol. **185:**1443–1454.
- 20. **Martins, L. O., C. M. Soares, M. M. Pereira, M. Teixeira, T. Costa, G. H. Jones, and A. O. Henriques.** 2002. Molecular and biochemical characterization of a highly stable bacterial laccase that occurs as a structural component of the *Bacillus subtilis* endospore coat. J. Biol. Chem. **277:**18849–18859.
- 21. **Naclerio, G., L. Baccigalupi, R. Zilhao, M. De Felice, and E. Ricca.** 1996. *Bacillus subtilis* spore coat assembly requires *cotH* gene expression. J. Bacteriol. **178:**4375–4380.
- 22. **Nicholson, W. L., and P. Setlow.** 1990. Sporulation, germination and outgrowth, p. 391–450. *In* C. Harwood and S. Cutting (ed.), Molecular biological methods for *Bacillus.* John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, United Kingdom.
- 23. **Ozin, A. J., C. S. Samford, A. O. Henriques, and C. P. Moran, Jr.** 2001. SpoVID guides SafA to the spore coat in *Bacillus subtilis.* J. Bacteriol. **183:**3041–3049.
- 24. **Sacco, M., E. Ricca, R. Losick, and S. Cutting.** 1995. An additional GerEcontrolled gene encoding an abundant spore coat protein from *Bacillus subtilis.* J. Bacteriol. **177:**372–377.
- 25. **Salahpour, A., H. Bonin, S. Bhalla, U. Petaja-Repo, and M. Bouvier.** 2003. Biochemical characterization of β 2-adrenergic receptor dimers and oligomers. Biol. Chem. **384:**117–123.
- 26. **Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis.** 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 27. **Serrano, M., R. Zilhao, E. Ricca, A. Ozin, C. Moran, and A. Henriques.** 1999. A *Bacillus subtilis* secreted protein with a role in endospore coat assembly and function. J. Bacteriol. **181:**3632–3643.
- 28. **Tenney, K., I. Hunt, J. Sweigard, J. I. Pounder, C. McClain, E. J. Bowman, and B. J. Bowman.** 2000. Hex-1, a gene unique to filamentous fungi, encodes the major protein of the Woronin body and functions as a plug for septal pores. Fungal Genet. Biol. **31:**205–217.
- 29. **Tosi, G., R. Meazza, A. De Lerma Barbaro, A. D'Agostino, S. Mazza, G. Corradin, A. Albini, D. M. Noonan, S. Ferrini, and R. S. Accolla.** 2000. Highly stable oligomerization forms of HIV-1 Tat detected by monoclonal

antibodies and requirement of monomeric forms for the transactivating function on the HIV-1 LTR. Eur. J. Immunol. **30:**1120–1126.

- 30. **Youngman, P., J. B. Perkins, and R. Losick.** 1984. A novel method for the rapid cloning in *Escherichia coli* of *Bacillus subtilis* chromosomal DNA adjacent to Tn917 insertion. Mol. Gen. Genet. **195:**424–433.
- 31. **Zheng, L., W. P. Donovan, P. C. Fitz-James, and R. Losick.** 1988. Gene encoding a morphogenic protein required in the assembly of the outer coat of the *Bacillus subtilis* endospore. Genes Dev. **2:**1047–1054.
- 32. **Zheng, L. B., and R. Losick.** 1990. Cascade regulation of spore coat gene expression in *Bacillus subtilis.* J. Mol. Biol. **212:**645–660.
- 33. **Zilhao, R., G. Naclerio, L. Baccigalupi, A. Henriques, C. Moran, and E. Ricca.** 1999. Assembly requirements and role of CotH during spore coat formation in *Bacillus subtilis.* J. Bacteriol. **181:**2631–2633.