Models for the mechanism for activating copper-zinc superoxide dismutase in the absence of the CCS Cu chaperone in Arabidopsis

Chien-Hsun Huang, Wen-Yu Kuo and Tsung-Luo Jinn*

Institute of Plant Biology and Department of Life Science; National Taiwan University; Taipei, Taiwan

Keywords: Arabidopsis, CCS, CuZnSOD, GSH, SOD activation

Submitted: 12/28/11

Accepted: 12/28/11

http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/psb.7.3.19192

*Correspondence to: Tsung-Luo Jinn; Email: jinnt@ntu.edu.tw

Addendum to: Huang CH, Kuo WY, Weiss C, Jinn TL. Copper chaperone-dependent and -independent activation of three copper-zinc superoxide dismutase homologs localized in different cellular compartments in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 2012; 158:737–46; PMID:22186608; http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp. 111.190223

opper-zinc superoxide dismutase (CuZnSOD; CSD) is an important antioxidant enzyme for oxidative stress protection. To date, two activation pathways have been identified in many species. One requiring the CCS, Cu chaperone for SOD, to insert Cu and activate CSD (referred to as CCSdependent pathway), and the other works independently of CCS (referred to as CCS-independent pathway). In our previous study, we suggest an unidentified factor will work with glutathione (GSH) for CSD activation in the absence of the CCS. Here, two models of the CCSindependent mechanism are proposed. The role of the unidentified factor may work as a scaffold protein, which provides a platform for the CSD protein and Cu-GSH to interact, or as a Cu carrier, which itself can bind Cu and interact with CSD proteins. We also suggest that the CSD protein conformation at C-terminal is important in providing a docking site for unidentified factor to access.

Superoxide dismutases (SODs) can defend against free radical species by disproportionation O_2^- into H_2O_2 and O_2 molecules,^{1,2} which are classified as Cu-Zn SOD (CuZnSOD), Fe SOD (FeSOD), Mn SOD (MnSOD) or Ni SOD (NiSOD).^{3,4} In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, there are three CuZnSOD (CSD) isoforms: CSD1 in the cytoplasm, CSD2 in chloroplasts, and CSD3 in peroxisomes.^{3,5} It is known that CSD activation involves two pathways.^{6,7} The CCS-dependent pathway has been well studied, which requires the CCS (Cu chaperone of SOD).⁸ An alternative pathway, which acts in the absence of CCS, is observed in mice, nematode and Arabidopsis,^{7,9-12} with its mechanism unclear. Depending on an organism's lifestyle and complexity, it is very likely that different eukaryotes may have evolved to favor one pathway over the other.

In our previous study,⁷ the three Arabidopsis CSD isomers show different favor for the two activation pathways, which is due to cellular environments they localized but not their protein structures (Fig. 1): cytoplasmic CSD1 is activated mainly depending on CCS (64%) and partially by the CCS-independent pathway (36%); chloroplastic CSD2 activation depends completely on CCS (100%); and peroxisomal CSD3 activated mainly by the CCS-independent pathway (100%). The extremely different preference of three CSD present in a single cell makes Arabidopsis a good species for the investigation of the CuZnSOD activation preference.

Two Models of How the Unidentified Factor Involves In the CCS-Independent Pathway

Based on our previous study, an unidentified factor is participated in the CCSindependent pathway and may cooperate with glutathione (GSH) to activate CSD.⁷ Here, we propose two models for CSD activation in the absence of the CCS (Fig. 2). In the first model, the Cu cofactor is transferred from Cu-GSH complexes to CSD, but interaction between the Cu-GSH and CSD proteins requires the assistance of an unidentified factor (model A). The role of the unidentified factor is a scaffold protein, which provides



Figure 1. Variable levels of CCS-dependent and -independent activation of three Arabidopsis CSDs localized in different cellular compartments. Assuming that the total activity of each CSD in the WT is 100%, the fraction activated by AtCCS (CCS) is in green and that activated through the CCS-independent (CI) pathway is in orange. Solid arrows represent the activation that was demonstrated experimentally, and the dashed arrows indicate activation levels that were undetectable in our system.





a platform for the CSD protein and Cu-GSH to interact. In the second model, Cu is first transferred from Cu-GSH to the unidentified factor and then transferred to a CSD protein (model B). Here, the role of the unidentified factor could be as a Cu carrier, which itself can bind Cu and interact with CSD proteins. Nevertheless, the interaction between CSD and the unidentified factor is essential in either model. Of note, the importance of proline 144 on the carboxyl terminus of human CSD (hSOD1) has been noted as a potential Cu-GSH docking site of CSD protein,¹⁰ which implies the approach of Cu-GSH to the CSD protein (model A), for a more convincing model.

C-Terminal of CSD Protein May Determines Its Activation by CCS or the Unidentified Factor

An important difference between CCSdependent and -independent pathways concerns the status of the CSD disulfide bond.⁶ CCS can activate only disulfidereduced CSD proteins, because the interaction between CCS and CSD involves formation of an intermolecular disulfide bond (Fig. 3A).¹³ Thus, intramolecular disulfide-oxidized CSD would preclude the interaction (Fig. 3B). In contrast, both disulfide-reduced and -oxidized CSD can be activated by the CCS-independent pathway.6 This observation led us to suggest that the interaction of CSD and the unidentified factor differs from that of CSD and CCS, which does not require the formation of the intermolecular disulfide bond (Fig. 3D), so the disulfideoxidized CSD can still interact with the unidentified factor (Fig. 3E).

As mentioned previously, the presence of proline at position 144 of yeast CSD (ySOD1) prevents activation by the CCS-independent pathway.^{10,12} The most recent model indicates that this proline results in a conformational restriction that prevents disulfide bond formation within CSD in the absence of the CCS.⁶ This restriction on disulfide bond formation can be overcome by the action of CCS but not the CCS-independent mechanism (**Fig. 3C and F**, respectively). Here, we suggest another reason for an essential unidentified factor in CCS-independent



Figure 3. CSD interacts with the CCS vs. the unidentified factor. (A–C) The CCS interacts with disulfide-reduced CSD and CSD_{PP} but not disulfide-oxidized CSD. (D–F), The unidentified factor (unknown) interacts with disulfide-reduced and -oxidized CSD but not CSD_{PP}.

pathway interacting with the disulfideoxidized CSD. In this model, the molecular conformation adopted by CSD containing proline 144 may prevent

References

- Beyer W, Imlay J, Fridovich I. Superoxide dismutases. Prog Nucleic Acid Res Mol Biol 1991; 40:221-53; PMID:1851570; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6603(08)60843-0
- Bowler C, Van Montagu MV, Inzé D. Superoxide dismutase and stress tolerance. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 1992; 43:83-116; http://dx.doi.org/10. 1146/annurev.pp.43.060192.000503
- Alscher RG, Erturk N, Heath LS. Role of superoxide dismutases (SODs) in controlling oxidative stress in plants. J Exp Bot 2002; 53:1331-41; PMID:11997379; http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jexbot/53.372.1331
- Zelko IN, Mariani TJ, Folz RJ. Superoxide dismutase multigene family: a comparison of the CuZn-SOD (SOD1), Mn-SOD (SOD2), and EC-SOD (SOD3) gene structures, evolution, and expression. Free Radic Biol Med 2002; 33:337-49; PMID:12126755; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0891-5849(02)00905-X
- Kliebenstein DJ, Monde RA, Last RL. Superoxide dismutase in Arabidopsis: an eclectic enzyme family with disparate regulation and protein localization. Plant Physiol 1998; 118:637-50; PMID:9765550; http://dx. doi.org/10.1104/pp.118.2.637

interaction of the unidentified factor with the CSD protein. This situation would explain why proline 144 blocked only CCS-independent activity but not that

. Leitch JM, Yick PJ, Culotta VC. The right to choose:

- multiple pathways for activating copper,zinc superoxide dismutase. J Biol Chem 2009; 284:24679-83; PMID:19586921; http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc. R109.040410
- Huang CH, Kuo WY, Weiss C, Jinn TL. Copper chaperone-dependent and -independent activation of three copper-zinc superoxide dismutase homologs localized in different cellular compartments in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 2011. In press. PMID:22186608; http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.190223
- Culotta VC, Klomp LWJ, Strain J, Casareno RLB, Krems B, Gitlin JD. The copper chaperone for superoxide dismutase. J Biol Chem 1997; 272:23469-72; PMID:9295278; http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc. 272.38.23469
- Wong PC, Waggoner D, Subramaniam JR, Tessarollo L, Bartnikas TB, Culotta VC, et al. Copper chaperone for superoxide dismutase is essential to activate mammalian Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2000; 97:2886-91; PMID:10694572; http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.040461197

conferred by CCS. Further investigation is required to characterize the unidentified factor and elucidate the complete mechanism.

- Carroll MC, Girouard JB, Ulloa JL, Subramaniam JR, Wong PC, Valentine JS, et al. Mechanisms for activating Cu- and Zn-containing superoxide dismutase in the absence of the CCS Cu chaperone. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004; 101:5964-9; PMID:15069187; http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0308298101
- 11. Chu CC, Lee WC, Guo WY, Pan SM, Chen LJ, Li HM, et al. A copper chaperone for superoxide dismutase that confers three types of coppet/zinc superoxide dismutase activity in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 2005; 139:425-36; PMID:16126858; http://dx.doi. org/10.1104/pp.105.065284
- Jensen LT, Culotta VC. Activation of CuZn superoxide dismutases from *Caenorhabditis elegans* does not require the copper chaperone CCS. J Biol Chem 2005; 280:41373-9; PMID:16234242; http://dx.doi.org/10. 1074/jbc.M509142200
- Culotta VC, Yang M, O'Halloran TV. Activation of superoxide dismutases: putting the metal to the pedal. Biochim Biophys Acta 2006; 1763:747-58; PMID: 16828895; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2006. 05.003