Proviral deletions and oncogene base-substitutions in insertionally mutagenized *c-myc* alleles may contribute to the progression of avian bursal tumors

(somatic mutation/homologous recombination/transposable elements)

DAVID WESTAWAY, GREGORY PAYNE*, AND HAROLD E. VARMUS

Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94143

Communicated by Phillip A. Sharp, October 24, 1983

ABSTRACT Bursal lymphomas induced in chickens by avian leukosis viruses (ALVs) harbor proviral insertions that augment expression of an adjacent cellular oncogene, c-myc. To analyze such insertionally mutagenized c-myc genes in greater detail, we isolated molecular clones from two independent tumors. Precise proviral integration has occurred within the transcribed region of the c-myc gene in both mutant alleles. The proviruses bear different internal deletions that preclude the expression of the gag, pol, and env genes. The c-myc gene from bursal lymphoma LL4 contains a single copy of an ALV long terminal repeat (LTR), presumably the product of homologous recombination between LTRs at the ends of a normal provirus; the "solo" LTR is positioned in the correct orientation to act as a promoter for the c-myc gene. Bursal lymphoma LL3 contains an ALV provirus positioned upstream in the opposite transcriptional orientation to the coding exons of c-myc and deleted from a site within the leader region into the gag gene. In addition, the nucleotide sequence of the c-mvc gene from tumor LL3 differs from the published sequence of the normal c-myc coding region at 3 positions of 180 determined. One of these changes, a silent nucleotide transition, is documented as a somatic mutation by restriction endonuclease mapping. It is flanked by two other candidate tumor-specific point mutations, one of which predicts an amino acid replacement, $Pro \rightarrow Thr$ at position 63. Thus, additional lesions that may affect the expression of viral genes and the quantity and nature of the putative c-myc gene product occur in provirally mutated c-myc alleles and may contribute to tumor progression.

Avian leukosis viruses (ALVs) are a family of weakly oncogenic, replication-competent retroviruses with a generalized proviral structure 5'. ..LTR-gag-pol-env-LTR...3', in which LTR denotes long terminal repeat. The viruses do not contain transforming genes, but they induce tumors, predominantly bursal lymphomas, after a long latency period. Histopathological and epidemiological analysis indicates that lymphoma formation is a multi-stage phenomenon (1, 2).

Recent experiments support the notion that ALVs contribute to this process by acting as insertional mutagens (3-8). The majority of ALV-induced lymphomas exhibit proviral integrations adjacent to the c-myc gene, the cellular homologue of the putative transforming gene (v-myc) of the acute leukemia virus MC29 (5-8). The tumors contain elevated levels of c-myc-specific transcripts, irrespective of the relative configurations of the c-myc and proviral transcriptional units (5, 6). Potent transcriptional promoting and enhancing activities located within the proviral LTRs are implicated in the over-expression of the c-myc gene. Accordingly, the deletions frequently exhibited by proviruses linked to c-myc always spare at least one LTR (3, 4, 7). The high frequency of c-myc-linked integrations is not thought to reflect an affinity for c-myc sequences as an insertional substrate; most experimental findings are consistent with random retroviral integrations into the host genome (9). Integrations within the c-myc locus probably bestow a selective advantage that would account for the clonality of bursal lymphomas. However, the nature as well as the quantity of the putative c-myc gene product may figure in the outgrowth of tumor cells. For example, the avian bursal lymphoma cell lines RP9, B1, H1, and BK25 encode myc-related proteins that differ in size (10).

To understand the mechanism and repercussions of c-myc activation, we have generated molecular clones of activated c-myc alleles suitable for both structural and functional analysis. These clones were derived from previously described bursal lymphomas (3, 6) induced by a plaque-purified stock of the ALV, Rous-associated virus-2 (RAV-2). Partial sequence analysis of two such alleles reveals that a number of changes in addition to the primary insertion have occurred. These changes may confer selective advantages and contribute to multistep progression towards the malignant phenotype.

METHODS

Molecular Cloning. Tumor LL4 DNA was digested to completion with *Bam*HI and enriched for c-myc sequences by rate zonal sedimentation. Approximately 3 μ g of the fractionated DNA was ligated to 7 μ g of *Bam*HI-digested bacteriophage Charon 30 "arms" (11), prepared by the method of Maniatis *et al.* (12). The ligation products were packaged *in* vitro (13). Recombinant phage were plated on Escherichia coli KH802 on square 24-cm plates (14). Plaque hybridization yielded one phage, λ LL4, which hybridized with a radiolabeled Pst I restriction fragment extending from nucleotide 158 to nucleotide 1676 of v-myc (15) and also with a cDNA probe specific for the U5 domain of the Rous sarcoma virus LTR (3). The 10-kilobase (kb) BamHI insert fragment of λ LL4 was subcloned into a derivative of pBR322 (16) lacking the EcoRI restriction site (a gift from W. DeLorbe) to yield the plasmid pLL4. Three subclones were derived from the recombinant bacteriophage that span the provirally linked c-myc allele in tumor LL3 (6). Both pLL3Ab and pLL3Eb contain Bgl II fragments inserted into the BamHI site of pBR322. pLL3Ab contains a 9-kb Bgl II fragment that includes 5' c-myc flanking sequences and the 3' half of the ALV provirus. pLL3Eb contains a 7-kb Bgl II fragment that includes the 5' half of the provirus and c-myc sequences homologous to v-myc. A 0.9-kb Pst I fragment was excised from pLL3Eb and inserted into the plasmid pUC8 (17).

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "*advertisement*" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. \$1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviations: LTR, long terminal repeat; kb, kilobase; ALV, avian leukosis virus; RAV-2, Rous-associated virus 2; v- and c-myc, homologous viral and cellular myc gene; bp, base pairs. *Present address: Department of Biochemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720.



FIG. 1. Structure of the provirally activated c-myc gene from bursal lymphoma LL4. (A) Sizing the proviral insert. (A Left) Partial restriction maps of pc-myc and pLL4. Transcription of c-myc and the LTR proceeds from left to right. \forall , Bgl I; \diamond , Sac I; \blacklozenge , Sac II. c-myc introns are indicated by single lines, and the first large c-myc exon homologous to v-myc is indicated by a narrow box. A putative c-myc exon of uncertain size is indicated by a dashed narrow box (see text). Restriction enzymes that do not cleave within RAV-2-type LTRs (ref. 22; Fig. 3) were used to define the size of the proviral insertion. Fragments spanning the insertion site are bracketed. (A Right) Digests fractionated on a 0.8% agarose gel. Lanes: M, λ phage DNA cleaved with EcoRI and HindIII; 1 and 3, pLL4; 2 and 4, pc-myc. Lanes 1 and 2 show digests with BamHI and Bgl I. (B) Sequences spanning the LTR/host DNA junctions. A hexanucleotide direct repeat flanking the solo LTR is boxed and 12 nucleotides homologous to the 5' extremity of v-myc are underlined (see text).

Sequence Analysis. DNA sequence analysis was performed as described by Maxam and Gilbert (18). Dephosphorylated 5' termini were radiolabeled with $[\gamma^{-32}P]ATP$ and polynucleotide kinase. The 3' termini of *Eco*RI sites were radiolabeled by a "fill-in" reaction with $[\alpha^{-32}P]ATP$ and the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I (19). Products from the piperidine cleavage reactions were recovered by precipitation with ethanol (20).

RESULTS

Identification of a "Solo" ALV LTR in the Activated c-myc Locus from Tumor LL4. Previous analysis of tumor LL4 DNA and RNA has defined an extensively deleted RAV-2 provirus that retains at least a portion of one LTR and is positioned on the 5' side of the c-myc gene in the same transcriptional orientation (3, 6). The tumor contains abundant levels of mosaic transcripts initiated within the LTR and extending into c-myc (6). Restriction mapping of genomic DNA from tumor LL4 indicated that the activated c-myc locus was contained within a 10-kb BamHI fragment (unpublished data). This fragment was cloned into the bacteriophage λ vector Charon 30 and subcloned to yield the plasmid pLL4. The proviral insertion in pLL4 was documented by a comparison to pc-myc (21), an analogous subclone derived from a wild-type c-myc gene (Fig. 1A). Digests of pLL4 and pcmyc were electrophoresed in parallel. In each pair of digests (lanes 1/2 and 3/4), a restriction fragment present in pc-myc was replaced by a larger fragment derived from pLL4. The increase in fragment size, 0.35-0.40 kb, is in accord with tumor LL4 containing a solo LTR.

This prediction was verified by nucleotide sequence determination. The pLL4 LTR is missing the terminal dinucleotides A-A and T-T of the unintegrated retroviral genome and is bracketed by a 6-base-pair (bp) direct repeat of cellular DNA, features characteristic of a normal proviral integration event (Fig. 1B). Furthermore, the normal c-myc sequence contains only one copy of the hexanucleotide G-T-T-T-G-C, which flanks the LL4 LTR (M. Linial, C.-K. Shih, and W. Hayward, personal communication). The LTR is inserted into c-myc in the same transcriptional direction, slightly upstream of 12 nucleotides, G-T-G-C-A-C-G-G-C-C-A-G, present in v-myc but absent from the two known c-myc exons (23). These nucleotides are flanked on their 3' side by a consensus splice donor sequence and could define the 3' boundary of a third c-myc exon \approx 450 bp upstream from the first of the two known exons. In any event, the LL4 insertion appears to lie within the transcribed portion of the c-myc gene.

Sequence Analysis of a c-myc-Linked ALV Provirus from Tumor LL3. Previous analysis of bursal lymphoma LL3 established that it harbors a defective RAV-2 provirus upstream and in the opposite transcriptional orientation from the two coding c-myc exons (6). This arrangement has been found in three other tumors and was correlated in at least some instances with an estimated 20-fold increase of c-mycspecific transcripts (3, 6). Expression of c-myc in these tumors is thought to be potentiated by a transcription-enhancing activity present in the proviral DNA.

Sequence analysis of the LL3 provirus defined an internal deletion anticipated from restriction mapping data. The deletion starts between 15 and 17 bp downstream of the 5' LTR boundary and extends approximately 1100 bp to a position within the coding sequence for the gag polypeptide p27 (ref. 24; Fig. 2). The deletion appears to have occurred by means of a crossover within a dinucleotide shared between the transcribed leader and the p27 sequence. The deletion removes three signal sequences from the proviral genome: (i) the ATG initiation codon for the gag and gag-pol polyproteins (24); (ii) the splice donor site used in the biogenesis of env mRNA (24, 25); and (iii) a signal for the encapsidation of viral genomes into virions (26, 27). The deletion also removes one nucleotide from the tRNA^{Trp} primer binding site (24, 28).

Sequences spanning the LL3 provirus/host cell junction and extending into the v-myc homology region confirm the inverted orientation of the provirus with respect to the direction of c-myc transcription (Fig. 2; unpublished results). The provirus is inserted into an intron 148 bp 5' of the first large c-myc exon homologous to v-myc. The proviral termini lack the LTR dinucleotides A-A and T-T and are flanked by a 6base-pair direct repeat of cell DNA, A-T-A-T.

LTR Sequences. The 5' LTR of LL3 and the solo LTR of LL4 have an identical nucleotide sequence (Fig. 3); 20 of 350 positions vary between the sequence of the LL3/LL4 RAV-2 LTR and one previously determined RAV-2 LTR (22). These differences probably reflect the independent origins (29, 30) of the two RAV-2 isolates used by the laboratories involved. The LL3/LL4 RAV-2 sequence is closely related to that of a Rous sarcoma virus LTR clone used

Genetics: Westaway et al.



FIG. 2. Structure of the provirally linked c-myc gene from bursal lymphoma LL3. Transcription proceeds from left to right for c-myc and from right to left for the RAV-2 provirus. Nucleotide sequences are presented in the coding sense for c-myc and in the anticoding sense for the RAV-2 provirus. Sequences spanning the provirus/host cell DNA junction are shown on the left and right sides of the figure. A hexanucleotide direct repeat of chicken DNA bracketing the provirus is boxed. The nucleotides in the center of the figure define a deletion that fuses the proviral primer binding site (PBS) to the p27 region of the gag gene. The exact breakpoint cannot be determined because the boxed dinucleotide is present both in the gag gene and the primer binding site. The gag sequences presented here correspond to those from positions 1219-1231 of the published nucleotide sequence of the Prague strain of Rous sarcoma virus (24). cmyc introns and exons are as denoted in Fig. 1A. The positions of three deviations from the c-myc nucleotide sequence (23) are denoted by stars (see Figs. 5 and 6). The 6.7-kb defective provirus, with large boxes indicating U3 and U5 domains of the LTRs and broken double lines indicating the internal region, is not drawn to scale.

to define proviral "enhancing" activity (28, 31).

Candidate Somatic Mutations Within the Provirally Linked c-myc Gene from Tumor LL3. Restriction mapping of the mutant c-myc allele from tumor LL3 previously revealed the loss of an Sac I cleavage site normally present in the 5' coding exon of c-myc at position 190 (6, 23). Figure 4 shows a Southern transfer analysis (32) of Sac I digests of normal and tumor DNAs isolated from three birds and probed with a myc-specific hybridization reagent. All the samples show a 3.2-kb c-myc fragment whose termini are defined by Sac I sites at position 190 and downstream of the c-myc polyadenylylation site. However, digestion of the LL3 tumor DNA, but not LL3 spleen DNA, also yielded a 24-kb c-myc fragment. We conclude that the loss of the Sac I site in the LL3 c-myc allele cannot be ascribed to allelic polymorphism and is due to a somatic mutation.

Partial sequence analysis of the mutant c-myc allele reveals a silent $G \rightarrow A$ transition in a glutamine codon (number 57), which destroys the Sac I restriction site (Fig. 5). Two other deviations from the normal c-myc sequence (23) flank the change in codon 57. The first is a silent $C \rightarrow A$ replacement in the third position of a proline codon at position 46.



FIG. 4. Southern transfer analysis of c-myc from three bursal lymphomas. DNA (5 μ g) from the bursal tumors LL1, LL2, and LL3 (ref. 3) (lanes 1, 3, and 5) and unaffected spleens (lanes 2, 4, and 6) of the same three birds was digested to completion with Sac I, electrophoresed on 0.6% agarose gel, transferred to a nitrocellulose filter (32), and hybridized with a radiolabeled 1.5-kb Pst I fragment derived from the v-myc region of MC29 DNA (15). The faint doublet at 11 and 13 kb corresponds to endogenous viral sequences that are detected by 90 nucleotides of env sequences included in the probe fragment. A 24-kb tumor-specific c-myc Sac I fragment is indicated by one arrow, the other indicates the position of a 3.2-kb fragment derived from the normal c-myc locus.

The second is a conservative $C \rightarrow A$ replacement, which converts the proline codon at position 63 to a threonine codon. No known restriction enzyme recognition sites span either codon 46 or 63, precluding a convenient assessment of whether the base changes represent germinal or somatic sequence variation. Indirect evidence that these base variants represent somatic events comes from the comparison of *myc* DNA sequences from different sources (15, 23, 33, 34). The three base replacements lie in a domain of the c-*myc* gene that is highly conserved in v-*myc* and in chicken, mouse, and human c-*myc* (Fig. 6). Thus, an amino acid replacement in this domain may affect the behavior of the c-*myc* gene product and participate in tumor pathogenesis.

DISCUSSION

We have analyzed molecular clones of two mutant c-myc genes derived from ALV-induced bursal lymphomas and defined deletion and point mutations, in addition to the insertion mutations, that affect these alleles.

Proviral Insertion Sites. Sequence analysis of molecular clones derived from the bursal tumors LL3 and LL4 confirms the position and orientation of the proviral insertions

	A T - ·	T]			· G - ·	
	•	•		•	•	•	•	
	1	•	•		1	•	'	•
GCCACTTGGAT	GGCAGTAAGCTGC	TATCATCGTG	GTATGATCGT	GCCTTGTTAG	GAAGGCAACA	GACGGGTCTAAC	CACGGATTG	GACCGAACC
• GA [] - •	A G G ·	G		A				በ
·GA∐	A]	C	A		G	-1	
		'				1		
		'	•	L'3 R		'RU5	•	•
CCGCATTGCAG	GAGATATTGTATT	TAAGTGCCTA	SCTCGATACA	ATAAACGCCA	TTTGACCATT	CACCACATTGGT	GTGCACCTO	GGGTTGATG
								
•				•				

FIG. 3. Comparison of the LTR sequences from RAV-2, the LL3/LL4 proviruses, and the Rous sarcoma virus DNA clone SRA-2. LL3 and LL4 LTR sequences were derived by the Maxam-Gilbert technique after radiolabeling the proviruses at the *Eco*RI sites within the LTRs; hence, the sequence of the *Eco*RI site and a few adjacent nucleotides were not directly determined, as denoted by dots. Nucleotide gaps generated during the alignment of homologous sequences are indicated by open boxes. The terminal dinucleotides are missing from the LL3 and LL4 proviruses, presumably as a result of integration (9). Dashes indicate identity. The sequences of unintegrated RAV-2 and SRA-2 genomes are from Ju and Skalka (22) and Swanstrom *et al.* (28).



FIG. 5. Nucleotide sequences of the c-myc gene from bursal lymphoma LL3. The autoradiogram shows base-specific cleavage products derived from the anti coding strand of a pUC8/c-myc subclone displayed on an 8% sequencing gel. Deviations from the "normal" c-myc sequence are indicated by stars. The sequence encompassing a Sac I site in a normal allele is bracketed. Codons are numbered from the ATG codon at nucleotides 16–18 of the published chicken c-myc sequence (23).

previously deduced from restriction mapping. Integrated proviruses of ALV and Rous sarcoma virus are characterized by the deletion of the LTR terminal dinucleotides A-A and T-T and are bracketed by 6-bp direct repeats of cell DNA (ref. 9; Figs. 1B and 2). The hexanucleotide sequence bracketing the integration site in tumor LL3, A-T-A-T-A-T, is intriguing in that it also flanks three independent insertions of the retrovirus-like elements 297 and 17.6 in the *Drosophila melanogaster* histone gene cluster (35, 36) and flanks a chicken syncytial virus provirus at a different position in chicken c-myc (R. A. Swift and H.-J. Kung, personal communication).

Both the LL3 and LL4 insertion sites appear to lie within the transcribed region of c-myc. In tumor LL4, efficient transcription of c-myc is initiated at the LTR cap site and extends through the U5 domain into c-myc. Candidate c-myc splice donor and acceptor sites flanking the possible 0.5-kb intron shown in Fig. 1A are available for the maturation of this transcript. The LL3 provirus is located within this putative c-myc intron. Unfortunately, we were unable to exam-

	45					50				55					60					65				
	GLN	Pro	PRO	ALA	Pro	Ser	GLU	Asp	llε	Trp	Lys	Lys	Ph€	GLU	LEU	LEU	Pro	Thr	Pro	PRO	LEU	Ser	Pro	SER
Chicken C-Myc	CAG	CCT	CCC	GCC	ccG	TCC	GAG	GAC	ATC	TGG	AAG	AAG	ŤΠ	GAG	CTC	CTG	ccc	ACG	CCG	ccc	CTC	TCG	ccc	AGC
Chicken C-Myc/LL3			A											Α						A•				
V-Myc																		T*						
Mouse C-Myc		G		G	С	AGT		T				Α	C		G	AA	•	C			6	С	G	
Human C-Myc		C	G	6	C	AG		T				Α	С		6			С			G	С	T	

FIG. 6. Comparison of myc DNA sequences. Chicken c-myc codons are numbered as in Fig. 5. Other myc sequences have been aligned underneath; the v-myc sequence is from ref. 15, mouse cmyc from ref. 33, and human c-myc from ref. 34. Variant positions are indicated with the appropriate nucleotide. Nonsilent changes are indicated by an asterisk. The affected codons, numbered with respect to the chicken sequence, are: LL3 c-myc, Pro \rightarrow Thr at codon 63; mouse c-myc, Leu \rightarrow Gln at codon 59; and v-myc, Thr \rightarrow Met at codon 61. ine c-myc RNA in tumor LL3. Abundant levels of c-myc RNAs were found in a hepatic metastasis of tumor LL7, which has a similarly disposed proviral integration (6). These RNA-species did not contain virus-specific sequences, suggesting that the LL3/LL7 proviruses may activate a cryptic promoter in the c-myc intron upstream of the first large exon or may enhance transcriptional initiation from the normal c-myc promoter(s). In the latter case, viral sequences would be eliminated by splicing.

Proviral Deletions. Proviral deletions are a common feature of ALV-induced lymphomas (3, 4, 7). The deletions we define here are internal and preclude the expression of viral replicative genes. The breakpoints of the LL3 deletion are in the primer binding site within the leader (L) region and in the gag gene. The deletion removes the gag/gag-pol ATG codon and the splice donor site used to express the env gene. The LL4 deletion removes a whole provirus with the exception of one LTR. Both proviral deletions eliminate sequences necessary for the encapsidation of virion RNA. This packaging signal has been mapped between the splice donor site and the gag gene ATG codon in spleen necrosis virus and Moloney murine leukemia virus (37, 38), and a similar area of the genome is implicated in the packaging of Rous sarcoma virus (26, 27). The absence of this signal gives a clue as to the timing of the deletions. The deletions could not have existed in the infecting virus stock and must have occurred within the lymphocytes that underwent clonal expansion to form tumors. The deletions could have arisen during reverse transcription or after establishment of the provirus. The structure of viral DNA in LL4 is more easily reconciled with the latter explanation because the simplest mechanism for the generation of a solo LTR is homologous recombination between the LTRs of an intact provirus. This sequence of events has been documented for murine leukemia proviruses in two experimental contexts (39-41) and for the retrovirus-like Drosophila transposon gypsy (42) and the Saccharomyces transposon Tyl (43, 44).

The high frequency of proviral deletions in lymphomas indicates that selective pressures favor defective genomes because proviruses are not intrinsically unstable. [For example, generation of solo LTRs in other settings occurs at a frequency between 10^{-6} and 10^{-7} (39, 41).] Two types of selection have been proposed (3, 4). The first is that deletions prevent the expression of viral gene products presented on the cell surface, allowing the tumor to escape immune surveillance. The second is that the proviral deletions facilitate expression of the linked c-myc gene, in turn producing a more malignant lymphocyte. The LL3 and LL4 deletions are clearly compatible with both hypotheses.

Perturbations of proviruses have been correlated with the changes in the expression of linked genes. Thus, the reversion of recessive mutations attributed to murine leukemia virus, gypsy, and Tyl insertions is frequently associated with the generation of solo terminal repeat structures. Reversion to the wild-type (39-42) or cold-sensitive wild-type (43, 44) phenotype is presumably due to an increment in the level of the "target" gene product; however, this has been verified only in one instance (39). Other experiments concern an RSV-derived provirus linked upstream, and in the same transcriptional orientation, from a preproinsulin gene. Deletion or insertion mutations that decrease transcription initiation from the 5' LTR facilitate the expression of the preproinsulin gene (G. Ju, personal communication). These analogies suggest that the generation of a solo LTR in tumor LL4 might dictate an increase in c-myc gene expression.

Point Mutations in c-myc. Analysis of part of the c-myc oncogene from tumor LL3 has revealed that at least three nucleotides are different from the c-myc gene sequence reported by Watson *et al.* (23) (Figs. 5 and 6). We have proven that one of the changes, a $G \rightarrow A$ transition in codon 57, is a

tumor-specific somatic mutation and that the c-myc allele bearing this mutation predominates in DNA extracted from tumor LL3 (Fig. 4). Features commend the other base variants in codons 47 and 63 as somatic mutations that might contribute to the pathogenesis of the LL3 tumor, specifically their occurrence in a conserved domain of c-myc and the prediction of an amino acid replacement at codon 63 (Fig. 6). The clustering of the base variants is notable and can be reconciled with two known mutagenic pathways, one of which may operate specifically in lymphoid cells (45-48). Furthermore, simultaneous mutation at several clustered positions could account for the coselection of silent mutations and those that alter amino acid sequence.

Are sequence variations in the c-myc gene a common feature of tumors? The codon 57 mutation can be assessed by restriction mapping and is not shared by tumors LL1, LL2 (Fig. 4), and LL4 (Fig. 1A). However, it is noteworthy that a c-myc gene activated by the translocation of an IgG gene in a Burkitt lymphoma appears to have sustained 25 point mutations in the first protein coding exon (ref. 49; T. Rabbitts, personal communication). Functional assays for c-myc transforming activity are needed to assess the significance of these putative somatic mutations.

Multiple Mutations in ALV c-myc Loci. Data presented here define three somatic mutations that have occurred at the c-myc locus in ALV-induced bursal lymphomas. These are proviral integration, proviral deletion, and point mutation within the c-myc coding region. A fourth variation is the amplification of provirally activated c-myc genes in chicken syncytial virus-induced bursal lymphomas (50). An event at a different genetic locus has been reported by Cooper and co-workers: the activation of a transforming gene B-lym (51). Although questions about the timing, ubiquity, and pathological correlates of these genetic lesions remain to be answered, their identification supports the contention that tumorigenesis is a multistage phenomenon.

We thank Larry Donehower, Betsy Matthews, and Graeme Mardon for gifts of reagents and C.-K. Shih, Terry Rabbitts, Grace Ju, and H.-J. Kung for communication of results prior to publication. We are particularly grateful to Maxine Linial and Bill Hayward for helping us to position our insertions within their unpublished c-myc sequence and for pointing out the location of v-myc sequences absent from known c-myc exons. We also thank J. Marinos for typing the manuscript. This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health and the American Cancer Society

- Teich, N., Wyke, J., Mak, T., Bernstein, A. & Hardy W. 1. (1982) in Molecular Biology of Tumor Viruses Part III RNA Tumor Viruses, eds. Weiss, R., Teich, N., Varmus, H. E. & Coffin, J. (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY), pp. 802-817.
- Neiman, P. E., Jordan, L., Weiss, R. A. & Payne, L. N. 2. (1980) in Viruses in Naturally Occurring Cancers, eds. Essex, M., Todaro, G. & zur Hausen H. (Cold Spring Harbor Labora-
- tory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY), pp. 519–528. Payne, G. S., Courtneidge, S. A., Crittenden, L. B., Fadly, 3. A. M., Bishop, J. M. & Varmus, H. E. (1981) Cell 23, 311-322.
- Neel, B. G., Hayward, W. S., Robinson, H. L., Fang, J. & 4. Astrin, S. M. (1981) Cell 23, 323-334.
- Hayward, W. S., Neel, B. G. & Astrin, S. M. (1981) Nature 5. (London) 290, 475–479.
- Payne, G. S., Bishop, J. M. & Varmus, H. E. (1982) Nature (London) 295, 209-217.
- Fung, Y.-K., Fadly, A. M., Crittenden, L. B. & Kung, H.-J. 7. (1981) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78, 3418-3422. Neel, B. G., Gasic, G. P., Rogler, C. E., Skalka, A. M., Ju,
- 8. G., Hishinuma, F., Papas, T., Astrin, S. M. & Hayward, W. S. (1982) J. Virol. 44, 158-166.
- Varmus, H. E. (1983) in Mobile Genetic Elements, ed. Sha-9. piro, J. A. (Academic, New York), pp. 438-445.

- 10. Pachl, C., Schubach, W., Eisenman, R. & Linial, M. (1983) Cell 33, 335-344.
- 11 Rimm, D. L., Horness, D., Kucera, J. & Blattner, F. R. (1980) Gene 12, 301-309
- 12. Maniatis, T., Hardison, R. C., Lacy, E., Lauer, J., O'Connell, C., Quon, D., Sim, D. K. & Efstratiadis, A. (1978) Cell 15, 687-701.
- 13. Maniatis, T., Fritsch, E. F. & Sambrook, J. (1982) in Molecular Cloning (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY), pp. 264-268.
- Lenhard-Schuller, R., Hohn, B., Brack, C., Hirama, M. & 14. Tonegawa, S. (1978) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 75, 4709-4713
- 15. Alitalo, K., Bishop, J. M., Smith, D. H., Chen, E. Y., Colby, W. W. & Levinson, A. D. (1983) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80, 100-104.
- Bolivar, F., Rodriguez, R. L., Greene, P. J., Betlach, M. C., 16. Heynecker, H. L., Boyer, H. W., Crosa, J. H. & Falkow, S. (1977) Gene 2, 95–113.
- Vieira, J. & Messing, J. (1982) Gene 19, 259-268. 17.
- 18. Maxam, A. M. & Gilbert, W. (1980) Methods Enzymol. 65, 499-595
- Drouin, J. (1980) J. Mol. Biol. 140, 15-34. 19.
- Smith, D. R. & Calvo, J. M. (1980) Nucleic Acids Res. 8, 20. 2255-2274.
- Vennstrom, B., Sheiness, D., Zabielski, J. & Bishop, J. M. 21. (1982) J. Virol. 42, 773-779.
- Ju, G. & Skalka, A. M. (1980) Cell 22, 379-386.
- 23. Watson, D. K., Reddy, E. P., Duesberg, P. H. & Papas, T. S. (1983) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80, 2146-2150.
- 24. Schwartz, D. E., Tizzard, R. & Gilbert, W. (1983) Cell 33, 853-869.
- Hackett, P. B., Swanstrom, R., Varmus, H. E. & Bishop, J. M. (1982) J. Virol. 41, 527-534. 25.
- Shank, P. & Linial, M. (1980) J. Virol. 36, 450-456. 26.
- 27.
- Pugatsch, T. & Stacey, D. W. (1983) Virology 128, 505-511. Swanstrom, R., DeLorbe, W. J., Bishop, J. M. & Varmus, 28. H. E. (1981) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78, 124-128.
- Vogt, P. K. (1965) Virology 25, 237-247. 29.
- Hanafusa, H. (1965) Virology 25, 248-255. 30
- Luciw, P. A., Bishop, J. M., Varmus, H. E. & Capecchi, M. R. (1983) Cell 33, 705-716. 31.
- Southern, E. M. (1975) J. Mol. Biol. 98, 503-517. 32.
- 33. Stanton, L. W., Watt, R. & Marcu, K. B. (1983) Nature (London) 303, 401-406.
- 34. Colby, W. W., Chen, E. Y., Smith, D. H. & Levinson, A. D. (1983) Nature (London) 301, 722-725.
- 35. Ikenaga, H. & Saigo, K. (1982) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79, 4143-4147.
- Kugimaya, W., Ikenaga, H. & Saigo, K. (1983) Proc. Natl. 36. Acad. Sci. USA 80, 3193-3197.
- 37. Watanabe, S. & Temin, H. (1982) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79. 5986-5990
- 38. Mann, R., Mulligan, R. C. & Baltimore, D. (1983) Cell 33, 153-
- Varmus, H. E., Quintrell, N. & Ortiz, S. (1981) Cell 25, 23-36. 30
- Jenkins, N. A., Copeland, N. G., Taylor, B. A. & Lee, B. K. 40. (1981) Nature (London) 293, 370-374.
- 41. Copeland, N. G., Hutchinson, K. W. & Jenkins, N. A. (1983) Cell 33, 379-387.
- Molodell, J., Bender, W. & Meselson, M. (1983) Proc. Natl. 42.
- Acad. Sci. USA 80, 1678-1682. Roeder, G. S. & Fink, G. R. (1980) Cell 21, 239-249. 43.
- Farabaugh, P. J. & Fink, G. R. (1980) Nature (London) 286, 44. 352-356.
- Radding, C. (1978) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 47, 847-880. 45.
- 46. Baltimore, D. (1981) Cell 24, 592-594.
- Egel, R. (1981) Nature (London) 290, 191-192. 47.
- Tonegawa, S. (1983) Nature (London) 302, 575-581. 48.
- Hamlyn, P. H. & Rabbitts, T. H. (1983) Nature (London) 304, 49. 135-139.
- 50. Noori-Daloii, M. R., Swift, R. A. & Kung, H.-J. (1981) Nature (London) 294, 574-576.
- Goubin, G., Goldman, D. S., Luce, J., Neiman, P. E. & Coo-51. per, G. M. (1983) Nature (London) 302, 114-119.