Indian J Microbiol (September 2009) 49:223–229
DOI: 10.1007/s12088-009-0042-9

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Impact of commonly used agrochemicals on bacterial diversity in cultivated soils

J. A. Ampofo · W. Tetteh · M. Bello

Received: 22 November 2007 / Accepted: 5 May 2008
© Association of Microbiologists of India 2009

Abstract The effects of three selected agrochemicals on bacterial diversity in cultivated soil have been studied. The selected agrochemicals are Cerox (an insecticide), Ceresate and Paraquat (both herbicides). The effect on bacterial population was studied by looking at the total heterotrophic bacteria presence and the effect of the agrochemicals on some selected soil microbes. The soil type used was loamy with pH of 6.0–7.0. The soil was placed in opaque pots and bambara bean (*Vigna subterranean*) seeds cultivated in them. The agrochemicals were applied two weeks after germination of seeds at concentrations based on manufacturer's recommendation. Plant growth was assessed by weekly measurement of plant height, foliage appearance and number of nodules formed after one month. The results indicated that the diversity index (Di) among the bacteria populations in untreated soil and that of Cerox-treated soils were high with mean diversity index above 0.95. Mean Di for Ceresate-treated soil was 0.88, and that for Paraquat-treated soil was 0.85 indicating low bacterial populations in these treatment-type soils. The study also showed that application of the agrochemicals caused reduction in the number of total heterotrophic bacteria population sizes in the soil. Ceresate caused 82.50% reduction in bacteria number from a mean of 40×10^5 cfu g^{-1} of soil sample to 70×10^4 cfu g^{-1}. Paraquat-treated soil showed 92.86% reduction, from a mean of 56×10^5 cfu g^{-1} to 40×10^4 cfu g^{-1}. Application of Cerox to the soil did not have any remarkable reduction in bacterial population number. Total viable cell count studies using Congo red yeast-extract mannitol agar indicated reduction in the number of *Rhizobium* spp. after application of the agrochemicals. Mean number of *Rhizobium* population numbers per gram of soil was 180×10^4 for the untreated soil. Cerox-treated soil recorded mean number of 138×10^4 rhizobial cfu g^{-1} of soil, a 23.33% reduction. Ceresate- and Paraquat-treated soils recorded 20×10^4 and 12×10^4 cfu g^{-1} of soil, respectively, representing 88.89% and 93.33% reduction in *Rhizobium* population numbers. Correspondingly, the mean number of nodules per plant was 44 for the growth in untreated soil, 30 for the plant in the Cerox-treated soil, 8 for the plant in Paraquat-treated soil and 3 for the plant in Ceresate-treated soil. The study has confirmed detrimental effect of insecticide on bacterial populations in the soil. Total heterotrophic counts, rhizobial counts as well as the number of nodules of all samples taken from the chemically treated soils were all low as compared to values obtained for the untreated soil. However, the effect of the insecticide was minimal in all cases as compared to the effects of the herbicides on the soil fauna. Indiscriminate use of agrochemicals on farms can therefore affect soil flora and subsequently food production.

Keywords Agrochemicals · *Rhizobium* · Diversity index

J. A. Ampofo (✉) · W. Tetteh · M. Bello
Council for Scientific and Industrial Research,
Water Research Institute, CSIR–WRI,
P. O. Box M 32,
Accra, Ghana

E-mail: jaampofo@yahoo.com

Introduction

Agrochemicals provide protection for crops and increase yields, prevent and cure disease, provide insulation to

reduce energy use and provide countless other benefits that make life better for people. While the chemicals industry has made good progress reducing its overall environmental footprint, chemicals can also create a negative impact on human health and the environment when their production and use are not managed responsibly [1–4].

Although the impacts are complex and often unknown or sometimes open to debate, some negative effects are well documented, such as chemicals found in the environment that are persistent, bioaccumulative and or toxic (e.g. PCBs, dioxins) [5, 6]. Concern has been raised about chemicals which interfere with the normal function of hormonal systems of human and animals (i.e. endocrine disrupters), and substances which impact on children's health [7].

Glyphosate, the main component of Ceresate, is a non-selective, non-residual herbicide used against annual or biennial herbaceous monocotyledons, herbaceous dicotyledonous and perennial malignant weeds. It is absorbed by foliage and transported through plant and is very effective on deep-roots perennial species. It is metabolized or broken down by some plants, while other plants do not break it down. It is not usually absorbed from the soil by plants [8–10]. Glyphosate remains unchanged in the soil for varying lengths of time, depending on soil texture and organic matter content. The half-life of glyphosate can range from 3 to 130 days.

Dimethoate (Cerox) is an organophosphorus insecticide with a contact and systemic action. Dimethoxon, an oxygen analogue metabolite of dimethoate, appears to play a dominant role in its toxicity for insects and mammals [11, 12]. Hydrolytic degradation is the main inactivating pathway of dimethoate in the environment. The half-life of dimethoate in different plants is between 2 and 5 days. Degradation in soil is dependent on the type of soil, temperature, moisture, and pH level.

Paraquat is a selective herbicide used to control most annual grasses and certain broadleaf weeds in field corn, potatoes, rice, cotton, soybeans, tobacco, peanuts and sunflowers. It is used in both pre-emergence and early post-emergence weed control [13]. Paraquat is not subject to microbial degradation. Slight losses of Paraquat can result from photodecomposition and volatilization. Its soil half-life is 90 days.

Microorganisms present in soil include actinomycetes, fungi, algae, bacteria and protozoa. Most organisms are found in the top layers of soil, usually the top 2–3 centimeters, since this is typically where most of the organic matter is located [14]. The organisms are usually concentrated close to root surfaces in the rhizosphere, within living and dead roots, on soil particles, or among aggregates of soil particles. The rhizosphere is the region of the soil that is immediately adjacent to and affected by plant roots. It is a dynamic region where interaction takes place between plants, soil, microorganisms, nutrients and water [15].

Microorganisms play a major role in the breakdown of pesticides in the soil. Many microbes are capable of utilizing pesticides as sources of carbon and most pesticides studied are attacked at one or more sites by microorganism e.g. the bacteria *Hydrogenomones* can degrade DDT completely to carbon dioxide [9, 16].

Edwards [1] lists possible effects on living organisms in soil contaminated with insecticides to include (i) direct toxic effect to microbial life in the soil, (ii) affecting organisms genetically to produce populations resistant to pesticides, (iii) sub-lethal effects resulting in alterations in behavior or changes in metabolic or reproductive activities, and, (iv) absorption into the bodies of soil fauna and passing on to other organisms.

The study was to determine the effects of some selected agrochemicals on bacterial population in the soil, and to investigate the effect of agrochemicals on plant growth.

Materials and methods

Agrochemicals used

The agrochemicals used were obtained from the market. Each agrochemical was fresh and well sealed in bottles of one liter volume. The products included (i) Cerox, an insecticide containing dimethoate 400g/L; (ii) Ceresate, a herbicide containing glyphosate IPA 4% w/w SL; and (iii) Paraquat, a herbicide with composition, Paraquat DCL 24% w/w SL.

Selection of viable seeds for planting

Undamaged bambara groundnut seeds of similar sizes were surface-sterilized by immersing for 5 min in 0.1% mercuric chloride (HgCl) solution and washed in six changes of sterile distilled water. The seeds were next washed in 70% ethanol for 3 min, and rinsed twice with sterile distilled water. The sterile seeds were placed on water agar (0.1% agar) in large Petri dishes and incubated at room temperature for 5 days. The vigorously germinating seeds were selected for planting.

Planting of seeds in experimental soil

Five seeds were sowed in each pot, and the seedlings were thinned to one after they had survived. There were four replicates for each soil treated type. The plants received full sunlight up to mid-day each day and were protected from

rains. They were watered daily with 20 ml tap water per pot. Once a week, each pot received, in addition, 10 ml Sachs' solution to augment the nutrient content in the soil.

Application of agrochemicals

On the second week of planting, the agrochemicals were applied to the soil with the seedlings. Pots labelled A served as control, no agrochemical was applied. Pots labelled B were sprayed with Cerox. Pots labelled C were sprayed with Ceresate and pots labeled D were sprayed with Paraquat. Spraying was done using a spray bottle. The agrochemicals were diluted with sterile distilled water according to the manufacturer's recommendation as follows:

Ceresate:	5 ml:300 ml of distilled water
Cerox:	1.8 ml:300 ml of distilled water
Paraquat:	1.2 ml:300 ml of distilled water

Assessment of extent of growth of experimental plants

The following records were made of the bambara groundnut plants: number of leaves, leaf length and leaf broadness. These measurements were taken once a week, for four weeks using a ruler.

Assessment of nodulation

After six weeks, the plants were harvested and the roots thoroughly washed. The nodules were detached and counted.

Enumeration of total heterotrophic bacterial populations in soils

Soil samples were taken one day after planting of the seedlings and another set of samples on the third week after planting, from each of the pots to determine the bacterial population present in each soil treatment type. The soil samples were taken from between 2 and 8 cm away from the stem of each seedling. One gram of each soil sample was dissolved in 9 ml of sterile saline water and thoroughly mixed. Serial dilutions were made of each solution and 1 ml plated on nutrient agar supplemented with yeast extract. All plates were incubated at 37°C for a maximum of 48 h.

Enumeration of *Rhizobium* sp. populations in each soil

Congo red yeast extract mannitol agar (YMA) [17] was inoculated with 1g of each soil treatment type. Incubation was at 30°C for 5 days.

Determination of bacterial diversity in the soils

The Phene plate (PhP) system which deals with fingerprinting of bacteria in microplates [18, 19] was used in the determination.

The bacteria to be tested were first pre-cultivated on appropriate agar media such as blood agar, brain heart infusion agar, brilliant green agar, cereus selective agar, deoxycholate citrate agar, eosin methylene blue agar, KF Streptococcus agar, MacConkey agar, nutrient agar, standard plate count agar, SS agar, Staphylococcus medium, triple sugar iron. The same pre-cultivation conditions were used for all strains in the test series.

A multichannel pipette with sterile tips was used to fill all wells in the PhP plate with suspending substrate. Aliquots of 0.320–0.375 ml of the substrate were dispensed into all eight wells of 'Column 1' in the plate and 0.150 ml into all the other wells. All wells in 'Column 1' were inoculated with eight different types of bacteria colonies. The plates were left for at least one hour, after which the bacterial suspensions in the first column were homogenized with the aid of the multichannel pipette. Quantities of 25 µl of the bacterial suspensions in the first column were then transferred to all the other wells in each row with the multichannel pipette. Colonies suspected to be anerobic were covered with sterile paraffin oil. Each plate was covered by a sterile lid and put in a wet chamber to avoid drying. The plates were incubated at 37°C. The color of each well was assessed after 16, 40 and 64 h of incubation. An optical microplate reader connected to a computer with the PhP software was used. Three readings were made after 16, 40 and 64 h, respectively. The absorbance was measured at 620 nm.

Statistical analysis

The Statgraphics Plus for Windows version 4.0 [20] was employed to test for significant differences between the various means of parameters of the differently treated soils and those of the untreated soil.

Results and discussion

Enumeration of population of rhizobia in the differently treated soil types

Total viable count studies using Congo red YMA produced the *Rhizobium* sp. population numbers indicated in Table 1. There were high population numbers per gram of soil in Cerox-treated soil and untreated soil, respectively. Ceresate- and Paraquat-treated soils had very low popula-

tion numbers per gram of soil. There was no statistically significant difference between the means of the population numbers in the Ceresate-treated and Paraquat-treated soils. There was, however, statistically significant difference in the means of the population numbers in the untreated soil, Cerox-treated soils and those of the Ceresate-treated and Paraquat-treated soils.

Assessment of extent of growth of experimental plants

Plants were assessed four weeks after germination. On the basis of plant growth and the extent of nodulation recorded in Table 2, the plants could be described as follows:

- Untreated soil: Plants grew luxuriantly with deep green foliage, had highest mean leaf number and mean leaf length. They also formed the highest number of nodules and were the largest.
- Cerox-treated soil: Plants showed moderate growth and nodulation.
- Ceresate- and Paraquat-treated soils: Plants showed stunted growth and yellowish-green foliage and formed the smallest number of mean number of nodules per plant, 3–10 nodules, as compared to 30–44 mean nodules per plant of the untreated soil and the Cerox-treated soil.

There was no statistically significant difference between the means of leaf length of plants cultured in Cerox-treated soil and those of plants in Paraquat-treated soil. There was also no significant difference between means of leaf length of plants cultured in Cerox-treated soil and those in untreated soil.

There was no statistically significant difference between the mean leaf numbers of plants cultured in Cerox- and Ceresate-treated soils. There was no significant difference between the mean leaf sizes of plants cultured in Cerox-treated soil, Paraquat-treated soil and untreated soil. There was also no significant difference between the mean leaf sizes of plants cultured in the Cerox-treated soil and Ceresate-treated soil.

There was no significant difference between the mean number of nodules of plants cultured in Ceresate- and Paraquat-treated soils.

Table 1 *Rhizobium* spp. population numbers in soils with the different treatments

Treatment type soil	Mean number of *Rhizobium* spp. Population (g^{-1} soil) × 10^4
Cerox-treated soil	138 (± 12.11)
Ceresate-treated soil	20 (± 4.11)
Paraquat-treated soil	12 (± 3.55)
Untreated soil	180 (± 9.99)

Total heterotrophic bacteria counts in soils after chemical application

The results in Table 3 show that all the differently treated soil types had total viable bacteria present. The mean number of viable heterotrophic bacteria recorded for the soil samples varied from 40 × 10^4 cfu g^{-1} to 61 × 10^5 cfu g^{-1}. Paraquat-treated soil recorded the least number of heterotrophic bacteria followed by Ceresate and the Cresox. The untreated soil recorded the highest mean viable heterotrophic bacteria count. There was statistically significant difference between the means of the 4 variables at the 95.0% confidence level with the treatment types. There was, however, no significant difference between the various means before treatment, treatment after day 1, and treatment after 3 weeks at the 95.0% confidence level.

Analysis of the diversity indices of the bacterial flora in the soils

The diversity indices of the bacterial flora were high (more than 0.90) for both the untreated soil and Cerox-treated soil (Tables 4a and 4b). However, the diversity indices of the bacterial flora for the Ceresate- and Paraquat-treated soils had values of less than 0.90 (Tables 4c and 4d). A high Di (maximum value is +1) means that the assayed isolates were evenly distributed into different types, whereas low Di (minimum value is 0) means that one or few types of bacteria dominated the studied population [18, 19, 21].

Similarities between the bacterial populations in the differently treated soils

The PhP software used [19] also calculated the population similarity coefficients (Sp) between the different treatments. Sp coefficients were performed according to the unweighted-

Table 2 Growth and nodulation of the bambara groundnuts raised in the differently treated soils

Treatment type	Mean leaf length (cm)	Mean leaf number	Mean leaf size (cm)	Mean number of nodules
Cerox-treated	6.13 (±0.79)	11 (±2.94)	2.29 (±0.55)	30 (±5.16)
Ceresate-treated	3.36 (±0.86)	10 (±1.15)	1.57 (±0.28)	3 (±2.83)
Paraquat-treated	5.45 (±0.55)	6 (±2.16)	2.06 (±0.39)	8 (±5.45)
Untreated soil	6.36 (0.40)	15 (±2.50)	2.37 (±0.24)	44 (±5.72)

Table 3 Mean values of total heterotrophic bacteria count surviving after treatment with agrochemicals

Treatment type	Value before treatment	Value after treatment 1 day	Value after treatment 3 weeks
Cerox	60×10^5 (±90.65)	18×10^5 (±258.20)	10×10^5 (±182.57)
Ceresate	40×10^5 (±75.28)	73×10^4 (±29.44)	70×10^4 (±52.28)
Paraquat	56×10^5 (±45.09)	41×10^4 (±54.77)	40×10^4 (±65.83)
Untreated	52×10^5 (±19.90)	54×10^5 (±496.66)	61×10^5 (±258.20)

pair group method using the average linkages method. High Sp coefficients (<0.5) means that the two compared samples shared many identical genera. Low Sp coefficients (>0.5) means different bacterial populations [22]. The mean similarities are presented in Table 5. Comparison between the differently treated soil types, i.e. Cerox-treated soil, Ceresate-treated soil, and Paraquat-treated soil showed Sp values all below 0.50, an indication of related populations with high diversity indices of bacteria. Comparison between the populations of bacteria from the untreated soil and the agrochemical-treated soils, however, showed Sp values greater than 0.5, an indication that the related populations were of low diversity indices [18, 19, 21, 23].

This study has confirmed detrimental effect of insecticide on bacterial populations in the soil. Total heterotrophic counts, rhizobial counts as well as the number of nodules of all samples taken from the chemically treated soils were all low as compared to values obtained for the untreated soil. However, the effect of the insecticide was minimal in all cases as compared to the effects of the herbicides on the soil fauna.

Chemicals exert number of different toxic effects on a bacterial cell. It is difficult or even impossible to deduce the toxic mechanism of a specific chemical by just looking at its molecular structure, although chemicals with similar structures and/or physicochemical properties are expected to have similar modes of action [9]. Several studies have been done on the quantitative structure-activity relationships (QARs), but still knowledge is scarce. There are general rules though, such as lipophilic chemicals being more prone to disturb the bacterial membrane than hydrophilic chemicals, and electrophilic chemicals often forming irreversible covalent bonds to their target site at nucleophilc entities in biological molecules, such as proteins and DNA. A chemical may have multiple modes of toxic action and at low concentration it may even be used as a nutrient.

The effect of glyphosate on soil microbes has been studied by several authors because glyphosate unlike most other herbicides kills the plant by blocking a biochemical pathway which is also essential for most of the bacteria and fungi. It is known [10] that glyphosate blocks certain bio-

Table 4a Diversity among bacterial flora in untreated soil

Sample name and no.	No. of isolates	Di value
Untreated soil		
1	24	0.992
2	24	0.908
3	24	0.974
4	24	0.962
Mean diversity		0.959

Table 4b Diversity among bacterial flora in Cerox-treated soil

Sample name and no.	No. of isolates	Di value
Untreated soil		
1	24	0.989
2	24	0.978
3	24	0.987
4	24	0.962
Mean diversity		0.980

Table 4c Diversity among bacterial flora in Ceresate-treated soil

Sample name and no.	No. of isolates	Di value
Untreated soil		
1	24	0.898
2	24	0.862
3	24	0.855
4	24	0.915
Mean diversity		0.880

Table 4d Diversity among bacterial flora in Paraquat-treated soil

Sample name and no.	No. of isolates	Di value
Untreated soil		
1	24	0.842
2	24	0.814
3	24	0.882
4	24	0.880
Mean diversity		0.850

Table 5 Similarities between the bacterial populations for the different soil treatment types

Parameter	Population of	Compared to	Sp value
Soil treatment type	Cerox	Ceresate	0.35
	Cerox	Paraquat	0.49
	Ceresate	Paraquat	0.13
No treatment	Untreated	Cerox	0.51
	Untreated	Ceresate	0.55
	Untreated	Paraquat	0.52

chemical pathways that are essential for growth of bacteria and the low number in bacteria population (10×10^5) as compared to the population in the untreated soil (61×10^5) is evident enough to support this. In similar experiments conducted in Australia by the CSIRO Lands and Water [16] the herbicides Ally®, Hoegrass® and Paraquat® were applied directly onto soil without any stubble cover at two and five times the recommended rate. In most situations this low level of functioning continued up to nine weeks. However, when the chemical was applied directly to the soil or to growing plants, the stress time for soil organisms was reduced. The research showed that it takes six weeks for the microbial activity to return to normal.

The Phene-Plate (PhP) system for biochemical fingerprinting of bacteria, which is based on measurements of the kinetics of biochemical tests, was suitable in using to type total of 384 isolates of bacteria in microplates. The system included mathematical models and had the advantage of calculating the diversity index (Di) of the bacterial populations present in each of the treated soils, as well as calculating the similarity coefficient (Sp) [18, 19] between the populations of bacteria in the different treatments.

The current gaps in knowledge about the characteristics effects and exposure patterns of existing chemicals must be filled. Given the large knowledge gaps about chemicals on the market, it is important to generate and assess information regarding their potential risks by means of appropriate legal and regulatory instruments, voluntary agreements and economic incentives. A scientific, rules-based approach requires reliable information on effects and exposure as the basis for risk management decisions, where such information is not available, more and more countries may take precautionary approach. Workers and the public must take a more active role in monitoring and contributing to chemical safety management discussions. To facilitate this, good data from research institutions on health and environmental impacts must be more widely available.

Policies need to be established to ensure that this information is reliable, and presented in a way that is useful to all potential users for decision-making, including workers, the general public and the government. Further, governments and industry should work toward educating the public with respect to chemical safety and, where feasible, provide public interest groups with resources that allow them to play the equitable role in policy discussions.

The half-life for glyphosate is between 3 and 130 days [10], hence the effect of glyphosate on the soil bacteria was still evident. Dimethoate has a half-life of about 3–5 days [11], but its effect on bacteria growth was still evident after three weeks. This means, dimethoate had still not been degraded or the recovery rate for the microorganisms was very slow. The half-life of Paraquat is not known, but it is known that Paraquat is not easily degraded and sticks to the surface soil for a longer period, because it is not leached easily. Pots treated with this agrochemical showed the effects after three weeks of application.

The following recommendations are made from this study

- Agricultural biotechnology may offer a possible alternative that may permit higher yield levels without intensive use of agrochemicals.
- If the herbs to be eliminated can easily be uprooted, then it is more advisable to do manual elimination.

Acknowledgment This investigation received financial support from the Government of Ghana. The authors would like to thank the Director-General of the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research of Ghana, the Director of the Water Research Institute, the Head of Environmental Biology and Health Division and the Head of Department of Botany, University of Ghana for their assistance. The cooperation and efforts of the staff of the Microbiology Section of the Water Research Institute are acknowledged.

References

1. Edwards L (1975) Effects of pesticides on soil organisms. Soil Biol Biochem 12:285
2. Tu CM (1990) Effect of four experimental insecticides on enzyme activities and level of adenosine triphosphate in mineral and organic soils. J Health Environ Sci B25 (6): 787–800

3. Zulalian J (1990) Study of the absorption, excretion, metabolism, and residues in tissues of rats treated with carbon-14-labeled pendimethalin, Prowl herbicide. J Agric Food Chem 38:1743–1754
4. http://www.epa.gov. (2005) Pesticides
5. Waiwright M (1978) A review of the effect of pesticides on microbial activity in soil. J Soil Sci 29:287–298
6. Moorman JB (1989) A review of pesticide effects on microorganisms and microbial processes related to soil fertility. J Prod Agric 21:14–23
7. De Reuck J, Colardyn F and Willems J (1979) Fatal encephalopathy in acute poisoning with organophosphorus insecticides. A Clinicopathologic study of two cases. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 81(4):247–254
8. Rueppel ML, Brightwell BB, Schaefer J, Marvel JT (1977) Metabolism and degradation of glyphosate in soil and water. Agric Food Chem 25:517–528
9. McEwen FL and Stephenson GR (1979) Uses and Significance of Pesticides in the Environment. Wiley Interscience Publication, pp 229–252
10. Eberbach PL and Douglas LA (1983) Persistence of glyphosate in a sandy loam. Soil Biol Biochem 15:485–487
11. Bohn WR (1964) The disappearance of dimethoate from soil. J Econ Entomol 57(6):798–799
12. Koppel C, Forycki Z, and Ibe K (1986) Hemoperfusion in severe Dimethoate poisoning. Intensive Care Med 12(2):pp 110–112
13. McErtensson AM (1992) Effects of agrochemicals and heavy metals on fast-growing rhizobia and their symbiosis with small-seeded legumes. Soil Biol Biochem 24: 435–445
14. Alexander M (1979) Introduction to Soil Microbiology. Kreiger Publishing Company. pp 3, 6, 438
15. http://www.soilhealth.segs.uwa.edu.au (2005) Soil habitat
16. http://www.goggles.com (2005) Safety and health in the use of agrochemicals
17. Hann NJ (1966) The Congo Red reaction in bacteria and its usefulness in the identification of rhizobia. Canadian J Microbiol 12:725–733
18. Kuhn I, Allestam G, Stenstrom TA and Mollby R. (1991) Biochemical fingerprinting of water coliform bacteria - a new method for measuring the phenotypic diversity and for comparing different bacterial populations. Appl Environ Microbiol 57 (11):3171–3177
19. Kuhn I and Mollby R (1993) The PhP RS system – a simple microplate method for studying coliform bacterial populations. J Microbiol Meth 17:255–259
20. answer@manu.com (1999) Statgraphics Plus for Windows version 4.0
21. Ampofo JA and Clerk GC (2003) Diversity of bacteria in sewage treatment plant used as fish culture pond in southern Ghana. Aquaculture Res 34:1–9
22. Sneath PHA and Sokal RR (1973) Numerical Taxonomy: The Principles and Practice of Numerical Classification. W.H. Freeman, San Francisco
23. Gabrielson J, Kuhn I, Colque-Navarro P, Hart M, Iversen A, McKenzie D and Mollby R (2003) Microplate-based microbial assay for risk assessment and (eco)toxic fingerprinting of chemicals. Analytica Chimica Acta 485:121–130