

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Med Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 October 08.

Published in final edited form as:

J Med Chem. 2011 July 14; 54(13): 4350–4364. doi:10.1021/jm2001025.

Design, Synthesis, Docking, and Biological Evaluation of Novel Diazide-containing Isoxazole- and Pyrazole-based Histone Deacetylase Probes

Raghupathi Neelarapu^{†,§}, Denise L. Holzle^{‡,§}, Subash Velaparthi^{†,¶}, He Bai[†], Michael Brunsteiner[†], Sylvie Y. Blond^{‡,8,*}, and Pavel A. Petukhov^{†,*}

[†]Department of Medicinal Chemistry and Pharmacognosy, College of Pharmacy, University of Illinois at Chicago, 833 South Wood Street, Chicago, IL 60612

[‡]Center for Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, University of Illinois at Chicago, 900 South Ashland, Chicago, IL 60612, USA

⁸UMRS-940, Institut Universitaire d'Hématologie (IUH) Saint Louis, Institut de Génétique Moléculaire, 27 rue Juliette Dodu, 75010 Paris, France

Abstract

The design, synthesis, docking, and biological evaluation of novel potent HDAC3 and HDAC8 isoxazole- and pyrazole-based diazide probes suitable for Binding Ensemble Profiling with Photoaffinity Labeling (BEProFL) experiments in cells is described. Both the isoxazole- and pyrazole-based probes exhibit low nanomolar inhibitory activity against HDAC3 and HDAC8, respectively. The pyrazole-based probe **3f** appears to be one of the most active HDAC8 inhibitors reported in the literature with an IC₅₀ of 17 nM. Our docking studies suggest that unlike the isoxazole-based ligands the pyrazole-based ligands are flexible enough to occupy the second binding site of HDAC8. Probes/inhibitors **2b**, **3a**, **3c**, and **3f** exerted the anti-proliferative and neuroprotective activities at micromolar concentrations through inhibition of nuclear HDACs, indicating that they are cell permeable and the presence of an azide or a diazide group does not interfere with the neuroprotection properties, or enhance cellular cytotoxicity, or affect cell permeability.

Keywords

histone deacetylases; HDAC; Binding Ensemble Profiling with Photoaffinity Labeling; BEProFL; diazide probes; pyrazoles; isoxazoles; antiproliferative activity; neuroprotection

Introduction

Histone deacetylases (HDACs)¹ are well established enzymatic targets for multiple therapeutic applications.² It is widely accepted that therapeutic application of HDAC inhibitors depends on their HDAC class and isoform selectivity profile,^{3–4} making isoform

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. PAP: Phone: 312-996-4174. Fax: 312-996-7107. pap4@uic.edu. SYB: Phone: +33 (0)1 42 49 48 78. Fax: +33 (0)1 42 49 48 38. sylvie.blond@inserm.fr.

[§]These authors contributed equally.

Present address for SV: Translational Chemistry & Medicine, Department of Chemistry, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road (SP30-3156), La Jolla, CA. 92037, for MB: Research Center Pharmaceutical Engineering, Inffeldgasse 21a/II, 8010 Graz, Austria

Supporting Information. Activity assay protocols for HDAC1, 2, 4–7, 9–11 and metallo proteases (MMPs)-1, 3, 9, 14. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

selective inhibitors an important issue in the design and development of novel HDAC-based therapeutics. One of the key challenges in HDAC inhibitor design is the limited amount of information regarding the binding modes (poses) available to the highly solvent exposed surface binding groups (SBG) of HDAC inhibitors. To address this problem we recently developed a Binding Ensemble Profiling with Photoaffinity Labeling (BEProFL) approach that utilizes a diazide probe to map experimentally the multiple binding poses of the SBGs of HDAC ligands.⁵ The method requires availability of the active and preferably isoform-selective HDAC probes containing an aromatic azide group, which can covalently cross-link to the protein of interest upon UV-irradiation, and an aliphatic azide group, which can react with a biotin or fluorescent tag for further purification or visualization. The design of the diazide group may affect the HDAC activity and selectivity of the parent HDAC inhibitors. For example, the diazide probe **1** (Figure 1), which was designed by adding a diazide portion to SAHA through a linker and used in our recent BEProFL approach, showed a 17-fold decrease in HDAC8 inhibitory activity compared to SAHA.⁵

Further extension of the BEProFL approach to cell-based studies would also require probes that are not highly cytotoxic, and, therefore, evaluation of antiproliferative properties of the probes in the cell-based studies needs to accompany the evaluation of the HDAC activity of the probes against recombinant proteins *in vitro*. Design of isoform-selective inhibitors within a class is particularly challenging because of the similarity between isoforms within the class. In this paper we decided to focus on diazide probes for the two class I isoforms HDAC8 and HDAC3, which inhibitors may be of particular value for the treatment of neuroblastoma and leukemia,^{6–7} and gastric, prostate, and colorectal cancer,⁸ respectively.

As a starting point for the design of HDAC3 diazide probes, we decided to use recently reported isoxazole-based inhibitors that are particularly active against HDAC3,⁹ whereas for the design of HDAC8 active probes we explored a novel 4-aminopyrazole-based scaffold. Herein, we report the design, synthesis, docking, and biological evaluation of active HDAC3 and HDAC8 diazide probes suitable for photolabeling experiments in cells.

Results and discussion

Probe design

Our preliminary docking studies showed that HDAC inhibitors with linear scaffolds can be accommodated by both HDAC3 and HDAC8 binding sites. The next step was to ensure that the highly lipophilic diazide portions of the probes necessary for the BEProFL are efficiently hidden in the ridges surrounding the binding site. The overall design of the probes is shown in Figure 2. The zinc binding group (ZBG) was chosen as in SAHA and many other similar hydroxamic acid-based ligands as it is known for its excellent Zinc (II) complexing properties. The flexible aliphatic chain in the linker allows the ligands to find the most appropriate place for their SBG. The next portion of the ligand - a five-membered heterocycle - was designed so that it can provide the necessary kink and facilitate the placement of the SBG containing the diazide next to the protein surface. The latter is necessary for both the binding and the efficient cross-linking of the diazide portion upon UV irradiation in BEProFL. Two heterocycles drew our attention based on the criteria described above and ease of synthesis – an isoxazole and a 4-aminopyrazole ring. The two substituents form an angle of ca 145° allowing the substituents in the isoxazole and the pyrazole rings to be positioned complementary to the pseudo-spherical shape of the protein. We envisioned that the heteroatoms in the ring systems, as being more hydrophilic, may be oriented toward the solvent, whereas the more hydrophobic portions of the heterocycles would be hidden in one of the grooves on the protein surface, thus facilitating the desired placement of the diazide portion of the probes next to the protein surface. In an opposite orientation of the

five-membered heterocycles, the large portions of the SBG would not make any pronounced interactions with the protein surface. An amide group was chosen as connecting unit between the heterocyclic and linker portions of the ligands. On one hand, it provides the necessary versatility of the synthesis and, on the other hand, the amide NH is known to form hydrogen bond with the side chain of Asp101 at the gorge region of the binding site.^{10–11} Excellent potential of the design described above is supported by a series of highly HDAC3 active isoxazole-based HDAC inhibitors.⁹ Until recently,¹² the activity of the published HDAC8 inhibitors was mostly in the high nanomolar to low micromolar range, leaving us no other options than to try a novel 4-aminopyrazole scaffold in an attempt to find high affinity probes for HDAC8.

Synthesis

A practical and scalable synthetic route for diazide moiety 8 was furnished following the procedure described earlier for 8 by Hosoya *et al.*¹³ Several modifications were done to the original procedure to improve the yields, regioselectivity, and ease of handling. The synthesis of probe 8 is outlined in scheme 1. Dimethyl 5-aminoisophthalate (4) was treated with excess LiAlH₄ in THF to give the corresponding amino-diol 5. We replaced the conventional diazotization conditions, NaNO₂/AcOH-H₂O/NaN₃, with *t*-Bu-ONO and TMS-N₃ in CH₃CN¹⁴ for amine to azide transformation. In the former method, the polar nature of the resulting azido-diol 6 made the aqueous workup tedious, resulting in loss of the product $\mathbf{6}$ in the water fractions, particularly during large scale preparations. In our optimized conditions, the azido-diol 6 was obtained with a 90% yield by a direct chromatography purification of the reaction mixture after evaporation of the organic solvent. We also optimized the next step - the selective conversion of the azido-diol $\mathbf{6}$ to diazidoalcohol 7. The reported method for this conversion involves a two-step procedure -aselective bromination using TPP/CBr₄ in DMF followed by a nucleophilic azide displacement reaction. However, we noted that, in our hands, the bromination step reproducibly yielded only 50% or less of the required mono-bromide along with a 10% of a dibromide and some starting material still unreacted after 3 h at 0 °C. Prolonged reaction conditions and/or elevated temperatures led to the formation of the undesired dibromide as the major product. Besides, it was difficult to recover the starting material from the byproduct of the reaction, TPPO, as both appeared with the same R_f on TLC. The newly adopted one step conversion of alcohol to azide using bis(2,4-dichlorophenyl) chlorophosphate, DMAP, and NaN₃ in DMF¹⁵ gave the diazido-alcohol 7 in 70% yield along with 10% of a triazide (based on conversion) and with a 10% starting material recovery. The optimized conditions also allowed us to avoid possible formation of an amine by-product as TPP is known to reduce the azides to amines via phosphazene formation. Treatment of 7 with equivalents of tosyl chloride in the presence of triethylamine in CH_2Cl_2 at 0 °C afforded the required diazido-tosylate 8 in 85% yield in 2 h. It is worth mentioning that an increase in temperature of the reaction from 0 °C to rt resulted in an unwanted byproduct.

The synthesis of the diazido-acid **12** was initiated from a common precursor **4** following a synthetic route similar to that used to prepare diazido-tosylate **8** (scheme 1). After considerable experimentation using different metal hydride reducing agents such as LiAlH₄, NaBH₄, and LiBH₄ the required regioselective reduction of **4** to amino-ester **9** was achieved using LiBH₄ in THF at 50 °C for 48 h. Azido-ester **10** and diazido-ester **11** were prepared in high yields employing the same reaction conditions described for the synthesis of azido-diol **6** and diazido-alcohol **7**, respectively. The subsequent basic hydrolysis of ester group of **11** produced the desired diazido-acid **12**.

Having an efficient access to both the diazide moieties, we moved on to design and synthesis of the isoxazole-based HDAC inhibitors (Scheme 2). The ester 16 was prepared according to the procedure described earlier.⁹ 1,3-Dipolar cycloaddition of ethyl chlorooximidoacetate to N-Boc alkyne 13 in the presence of Na₂CO₃ in THF-H₂O afforded the 5-arylisoxazole-3-carboxylic acid ethylester 14 in 85% yield. This reaction was preferred over triethylamine-mediated cycloaddition reaction because the latter was reported earlier to give a low 40% yield for the same ethylester 14.9 Hydrolysis of 14 followed by an amide coupling reaction of the resulting acid 15 with methyl 7-aminoheptanoate gave the key intermediate ester 16. Compound 16 was treated with TFA in CH₂Cl₂ resulting in formation of an aromatic amine 17 that was transformed to azide 18 using NaNO₂/NaN₃ in AcOH- H_2O . The amide coupling reaction between amine 17 and acid 12 under the standard EDC/ HOBt/DIPEA conditions was slow and gave only low yields (up to 15%) of 19 after prolonged reaction time. An alternative coupling reaction using DCC/HOBt/DMAP in CH₂Cl₂ resulted in **19** with a much better yield of 70%. Further treatment of the esters **18** and 19 with freshly prepared NH₂OH in the presence of KOH in MeOH gave the desired hydroxamates 2a and 2b respectively.

The strategy for the synthesis of pyrazole inhibitors is presented in Scheme 3. It involves an amide coupling reaction between 4-aminopyrazole (21), derived from the corresponding commercially available 4-nitropyrazole (20) by hydrogenolysis, and monomethyl suberate to give the ester 22.¹⁶ Alkylation of 22 with 8 in presence of K_2CO_3 in refluxing acetone afforded ester 23. Compounds 24 and 25 were obtained by alkylating 22 with benzyl bromide or 4-nitrobenzyl bromide in the presence of NaH in DMF, respectively. Reduction of the nitro group of 25 using SnCl₂·2H₂O in refluxing methanol resulted in aniline 26, a key intermediate for compounds 27–29. Diazotization of amino group of aniline 26 followed by an azide displacement reaction with NaN₃ gave the corresponding azido compound 27. Treatment of 26 with Boc anhydride and Et₃N in CH₂Cl₂ furnished the carbamate 28. Ester 29 was obtained by an amide coupling reaction between 26 and diazido-acid 12. Treatment of the methyl esters 23–25 and 27–29 with KOH/NH₂OH in MeOH gave the corresponding hydroxamates 3f and 3a–3e, respectively.

Activity assays

The isoxazoles **2a**–**2b** and pyrazoles **3a**–**3f** were tested for inhibition of HDAC3 and HDAC8 isoforms and the procedure is identical to that published previously.⁵ The inhibition of HDAC8 was measured using the fluorescent acetylated HDAC substrate *Fluor de Lys* and commercially available recombinant human HDAC8, whereas the inhibition of HDAC3 was measured using the fluorescent HDAC substrate Boc-L-Lys(Ac)-AMC and commercial available recombinant human HDAC3/NCoR2. The HDAC3/8 activity profiles are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. All newly synthesized HDAC inhibitors/probes tested had IC₅₀s ranging from 17 nM to 707 nM. As expected, the isoxazole-based probes **2a** and **2b** showed HDAC3 selectivity over HDAC8, with **2b** being the most potent HDAC3 inhibitor with HDAC3 and HDAC8 IC₅₀s of 45 nM and 651 nM, respectively, and an IC₅₀ HDAC8/ HDAC3 ratio above 14. Isoxazole-based probes **2a** inhibited HDAC3 and HDAC8 with IC₅₀s of 73 nM and 707 nM, respectively. Both probes **2a** and **2b** maintained the HDAC3/8 activity and selectivity comparable to that of SAHA.

Among the pyrazole-based inhibitors, the simplest benzyl-substituted compound **3a** inhibited HDAC3 and HDAC8 with IC₅₀s of 44 nM and 76 nM, respectively. Introduction of a nitro group at 4-position of benzyl group of **3a** resulted in compound **3b** that showed slightly lower activity for both isoforms – 59 nM and 82 nM against HDAC3 and HDAC8, respectively, whereas the corresponding azido compound **3c** exhibited a 2.0- and 2.7-fold better potency – 22 nM and 28 nM for HDAC3 and HDAC8, respectively. Overall,

compounds **3a–3c** exhibited an inhibitory activity against HDAC3 comparable to that of SAHA but a better double digit nanomolar activity against HDAC8. Introduction of a bulky Boc-protected amino group in **3d** decreased the HDAC activity by about 10-fold, with an IC₅₀ of 191 nM for HDAC3 and 147 nM for HDAC8 isoform. Replacement of the Boc group with a lipophilic aromatic diazide via a rigid amide bond in **3e** further decreased the activity for both HDAC3 and HDAC8 to 432 nM and 487 nM, respectively. Comparison of the activity data of **3b–3c** with **3d–3e** clearly shows that the presence of the bulky substituent in the *para* position of the terminal phenyl ring of **3a** leads to the lower activities for both HDAC3 and HDAC8 isoforms. This observation led us to the modification of 3- and 5-positions of the phenyl group of **3a** and replacement of the phenyl group with a 3- azido-5-azidomethyl phenyl group resulting in **3f**. To our surprise, compound **3f** was 8-fold more active towards HDAC8 than for HDAC3, with IC₅₀s equal to 17 nM and 128 nM, respectively.

Docking

To gain insights into the possible reasons for activity and selectivity of the two diazide probes 2b and 3f that would also facilitate the further BEProFL experiments, we docked these compounds to HDAC8 crystal structures available in the Protein Databank. Although we also docked these ligands to homology models of HDAC3 we decided not to discuss these results here as they may not represent the true binding poses. Indeed, the lack of any structural information on the HDAC3-NCoR2 complex, which formation is required for histone deacetylase activity of HDAC3, the likely malleability of the protein surface of HDAC3 (based on malleability of the surface of HDAC8), and the relative imprecision of homology models for interpretation of already theoretical docking poses, make these results highly hypothetical and very unreliable. The HDAC8 docking poses and MOE analysis of **2b** and **3f** are shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. The best scoring pose of both compounds are found with receptor structures based on a HDAC8 protein structure with an open second binding pocket. The best docking score for probe 2b was obtained for PDB: 1T64, and in case of 3f - PDB:1VKG. The interactions between probe 2b and the binding site are consistent with those expected by design, i.e. ZBG interacts with the zinc atom, the amide NH between the linker and SBG forms hydrogen bond with the side chain COO⁻ of Asp101, the heterocycle provides the important kink, the heteroatoms in the isoxazole point toward the solvent, whereas its opposite side points toward the protein, the amide group connecting the terminal phenyl ring forms hydrogen bond with Lys145, and the phenyl ring and the diazide portion slide along the surface. The SBG of **2b** is linear and rigid and therefore has limited options in adjusting itself to the landscape of the protein surface and is mostly solvent exposed. Probe 3f, on the other hand, may have its SBG buried in a second binding pocket, leading to extensive non-polar interactions between the ligand and the protein and a π -cation charge transfer interactions between the terminal phenyl ring of **3f** and Arg37. In comparison to probe 2b, probe 3f is much less solvent exposed (Fig. 4). The interpretation of these two poses agrees with the measured activities of the two compounds, as **3f**, which is more active against HDAC8 than **2b**, appears to find more favorable interactions with HDAC8, while the opposite is true for 2b. The binding of the SBG of the compounds in the pyrazole series to the secondary pocket is consistent with the SAR. Ligands with the relatively small substituents in the terminal phenyl ring **3a-3c** and **3f** show activity below 82 nM for HDAC8, with probe 3f peaking at 17 nM. As the size and rigidity of the substituent increases in ligands 3d and 3e their activity drops to 147 nM and 487 nM, respectively. Overall, availability of the second site for the binding of ligands is supported by X-ray crystallographic data, the upside-down pose of the probe 1 detected with the BEProFL approach,⁵ binding of the linkerless HDAC ligands,¹² and the recent modeling studies by Wiest et al.¹⁷

HDACs and MMPs activity profiling

We also conducted a preliminary HDAC profiling of **2b** and **3f** for the remaining class I HDACs 1 and 2, class II HDACs 4–7 and 9–10, and class IV HDAC 11 isoforms and a representative set of matrix metalloproteases (MMPs) 1, 3, 9, 14 (Table 3 and the Supplemental material). The IC₅₀s of **2b** and **3f** were compared to those obtained for TSA for HDAC isoforms 1, 2, 4–7, and 9–11, SAHA – for class I HDACs, and galrdin (GM6001) – for MMPs. Neither of the two compounds exhibits a pronounced level of activity against the MMPs. When compared to activity of SAHA and TSA against class I, II, and IV HDACs, probe **3f** demonstrated superior selectivity for HDAC8 (Table 3). Specifically, IC₅₀s of **3f** for all HDAC isoforms tested was between 3.11- and 119-fold higher than those of SAHA or TSA for the same HDAC isoforms except for HDAC8, for which the ratio of IC₅₀s was 0.0386. A somewhat similar but less pronounced trend was observed for **2b**. When compared to SAHA or TSA, **2b** was relatively less potent against all HDAC isoforms, with only 1.67, 1.48, and 1.88-fold difference for HDAC3, HDAC8, and HDAC9, respectively. Within class I HDACs **2b** and **3f** were clearly more selective for HDAC3 and HDAC8, respectively, compared to SAHA.

Cell-based studies

In the addition to our primary goal – design of potent HDAC3 and HDAC8 BEProFL probes – our secondary objective was to demonstrate that the probes are compatible with cell-based experiments and have no effect on the integrity or the fitness of the cell. Specifically, it was important to show that the probes are cell membrane permeable, not overly cytotoxic, and the treatment of cells with them leads to physiological outcomes expected for HDAC inhibitors. Only compounds that meet those criteria can be used in future experiments as photoaffinity probes to assess the binding poses favored in the intracellular environment.

We explored the antiproliferative activity of the HDAC3 active diazide isoxazole probe 2b and the potent pyrazole inhibitor/probes 3a, 3c and 3f in three carcinoma cell lines of human origin. Our results show that the hepatocarcinoma HepG2 cells, cervical carcinoma Hela cells, and neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells are all sensitive to micromolar concentrations of the isoxazole and pyrazole HDAC inhibitors (Table 4). The antiproliferative action of the most HDAC8 active pyrazole inhibitor **3f** (EC_{50-48 h} = 24–36 μ M) is in the same range compared to that obtained for the most HDAC3 active isoxazole inhibitor **2b** (EC_{50-48 h} = 11–32 μ M) and SAHA (EC_{50–48 h} = 8–26 μ M). Also, the compounds **3a** and **3c** which inhibit HDAC3 and HDAC8 with comparable potencies (HDAC8/HDAC3 = 1.3-1.7, Tables 2–3), exert very comparable antiproliferative activity towards the three cell lines (EC_{50–48 h} = 10–23 μ M), indicating that difference in HDAC3/HDAC8 isoform activity observed *in vitro* has little impact on the value of EC_{508} . Comparison of the antiproliferative activity of azide 3c and diazides 3f and 2b with that of the non-azide inhibitor 3a suggests that the presence of an azide or a diazide group by itself does not enhance cell death and the antiproliferative activity observed is likely due to the inhibition of cellular HDACs of class I.

The inhibitory effect of SAHA and **2b** on cellular HDACs was assessed by monitoring the acetylation status of histone H4 in the HepG2 cell line using Western blot analysis. Both SAHA and **2b** caused a marked increase in acetylation of histone H4 by 6 h with acetylation being sustained throughout 24 h post-treatment at low micromolar concentrations (Figure 5). These results suggest that **2b** efficiently targets nuclear HDACs.

In an effort to delineate pathways responsible for the cellular death observed in the presence of our HDAC inhibitors, we looked at the activation/cleavage of caspase 3. Inhibitor **2b** and the control compound SAHA, induced cleavage of caspase 3 by 14 h with maximum

activation at 24 h (Figure 6). Taken together, our results indicate that in HepG2 cells, the inhibitor **2b** successfully inhibits nuclear HDACs within 6 h of treatment (Figure 5), induces caspase 3 cleavage within 14 h of treatment (Figure 6) and cell death within 24–48 h (Table 4) as efficiently as SAHA does. This is consistent with another study where the apoptotic effect of 10 μ M SAHA appears after a 12–16 h lag-phase in HepG2 cells and reached a maximum at about 36 h.¹⁸

SAHA has been shown to induce programmed cell death in HepG2 cells through the acetylation of p53¹⁸ and the decrease of BCL-2¹⁹ and we sought to investigate whether **2b** was exerting its pro-apoptotic effect via the same mechanism. HepG2 cells were treated with SAHA or **2b** and the acetylation of p53 was monitored (Figure 6). In our conditions, the level of acetylated p53 is already significant in non-treated cells and neither SAHA nor **2b** induced additional time and dose-dependent hyperacetylation of p53 in total cell extracts. Similar results were obtained independently on human lung carcinoma cells.²⁰ The data reported by Carlisi et al.¹⁸ actually show a modest two-fold increase in p53-acetylation and very faint bands for acetylated-Lysine320 p53 and acetylated-Lys373/382 p53 immunoprecipitated from nuclear extracts with the p53 DO1 antibody. Another study performed in neuroblastoma cells also shows a modest acetylation of p53 upon treatment with 3 mM valproic acid, a pan HDAC inhibitor.²¹ We do not exclude that SAHA or **2b** acts directly on the acetylation level of p53 and its function in the nucleus but did not pursue further investigation, as this effect is very modest in our conditions.

The HDAC inhibitor SAHA is FDA approved for treating T-cell lymphoma,²² yet, in cell and mouse research studies, can be protective to stressed neuronal cells.^{23–24} We, thus, next investigated whether the diazide isoxazole **2b** exerted a neuroprotective effect in a retinoic acid differentiated SH-SY5Y human neuroblastoma cell model treated with **30** (LY294002), a widely used inhibitor of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase PI3K/AKT survival pathway.²⁵ The HDAC inhibitors display differential cytotoxic and neuroprotective effects when cells stressed with 100 μ M of **30** (Figure 7). The two isoxazoles **2a** and **2b** and the pyrazole **3f** protect cells from stress induced by compound **30** at low micromolar concentrations but only the isoxazole **2a** is not overly cytotoxic to retinoic acid-differentiated neuroblastoma cells at the highest concentrations tested (Figure 7). The pyrazole **3f** more than doubles the amount of cell survival at 0.5 and 1 μ M concentrations, yet shows subtle toxicity at 20 μ M. The compound **3a**, which does not contain an azide, and the compound **3c**, which contains a monoazide substituent, are both non-neuroprotective, yet both are not toxic to the cells indicating that the single azide has no cytotoxic properties in differentiated neuroblastoma cells.

We sought to ascertain the *in situ* effects of these inhibitors on nuclear HDACs by monitoring the acetylation status of histone H4 in retinoic acid-differentiated SH-SY5Y cells. Our results show that treatment with 1 μ M of probes **2a**, **2b** and **3f** induce hyperacetylation of histone H4 in differentiated SH-SY5Y cells (Figure 8). Furthermore, this effect is also observed in cells stressed with compound **30**.^{26–28} These results indicate that the three probes **2a**, **2b**, and **3f** efficiently target nuclear HDACs in normal and stress conditions in differentiated human neuroblastoma cells.

Conclusions

Two types of scaffolds were explored for design of novel HDAC3 and HDAC8 BEProFL diazide probes. A total of eight inhibitors/probes were synthesized, tested for HDAC3 and HDAC8 activity, and docked to HDAC8. Probes **2b** and **3f** were profiled against class I, II, and IV HDAC isoforms and MMPs 1, 3, 9, and 14 and compared to a set of control inhibitors. Four novel active HDAC3 and HDAC8 probes/inhibitors **2b**, **3a**, **3c**, and **3f** were

tested for their antiproliferative and neuroprotective activity and compared to that of SAHA. Both the isoxazole and pyrazole scaffolds were found to be excellent starting points for the design of potent HDAC3 and HDAC8 BEPRoFL probes, respectively. The synthetic route to the diazide intermediates **8** and **12** was optimized for gram scale, making the final probes/ inhibitors readily accessible in few synthetic steps in a convergent manner. A facile route for the synthesis of the pyrazole-based inhibitors developed in this study can be extended to a wide range of other 4-aminopyrazole-based inhibitors.

Despite the presence of relatively bulky and lipophilic diazide moieties necessary for BEProFL, both the isoxazole- and the pyrazole-based probes exhibit excellent low doubledigit nanomolar inhibitory activity against HDAC3 and HDAC8. Having IC₅₀ of 17 nM against HDAC8, the *meta*-diazide pyrazole-based probe **3f** not only shows 8-fold selectivity for HDAC8 vs HDAC3 but is also one of the most active HDAC8 inhibitors reported to date. The rest of the novel pyrazole-based HDAC inhibitors also displayed excellent low nanomolar activity against HDAC3 and HDAC8, though they were also lacking isoform selectivity. Both probes **2b** and **3f** showed no pronounced activity against MMPs and were generally not HDAC8 compared to TSA and SAHA. Within class I HDACs, **2b** and **3f** were respectively HDAC3 and HDAC8 isoform-selective compared to SAHA. Our docking studies suggest that unlike the isoxazole-based ligands the pyrazole-based ligands may occupy the second binding site of HDAC8. This theoretical observation is consistent with our previous BEPRoFL studies as well as published X-ray data and molecular modeling studies of the HDAC8 linkerless ligands.

Comparison of anti-proliferative and neuroprotective properties and investigation of the pathways typically triggered by HDAC inhibitors showed that the presence of an azide or a diazide group does not interfere with the neuroprotection and does not enhance cellular cytotoxicity exerted through inhibition of intracellular HDACs. Taken together, cell-based studies show that the isoxazole- and pyrazole-based inhibitors and azide and diazide probes are able to freely enter the cell nucleus and trigger mechanisms known to respond to HDAC inhibition such as accumulation of acetylated H4 within 6 h, activation of caspase 3 within 14 h and cell death of otherwise highly proliferative human cancer cell lines within 24-48 h of treatment at low micromolar concentrations. Thus, the toxicity of these HDAC inhibitors/ probes can be managed allowing their use at sub-micromolar concentrations and 0-6 h treatment in BEProFL experiments to identify the binding poses of the inhibitors in living cells. Unlike SAHA, probe 2a, 2b and 3f did not exert cytotoxic effects in neuronal-like cells and even protected these cells from chemically-induced apoptosis, making them interesting candidates for further thorough mechanistic studies in normal and stress conditions. Our future plans include BEProFL experiments with recombinant HDAC3/ NCoR2 and HDAC8 proteins to improve our understanding of the binding poses of the probes and generate more data for further refinement of the docking approaches for HDACs and solvent exposed binding sites in general, extension of the BEPRoFL approach to experiments in cells, completion of the HDAC isoform profiling, and extensive investigation of SAR of the promising pyrazole-based scaffold identified in this study.

Experimental Section

Chemistry materials and methods

All the reagents and solvents were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker spectrometers at 300/400 MHz and 75/100 MHz, respectively. Chemical shifts were reported on δ scale in ppm with solvent indicated as the internal reference. Coupling constants were reported in Hz and the standard abbreviations indicating multiplicity were used as follows: s = singlet, bs =

broad singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, and m = multiplet. Mass spectrometry experiment was carried out on Agilent 1100-MSD instrument. The purity of all the final compounds was confirmed to be 95% as it was analyzed by Shimadzu LCMS-2020 with UV detector at 214 and 254 nm using Kinetex C18 column (100×3.0 mm, 2.6μ) eluting with mixtures of water–acetonitrile. TLC was performed with Merck $60F_{254}$ silica gel plates. Chromatography purification was performed on Biotage-Isolera four instrument using pre-filled KP-Sil (normal phase) and KP-C18-HS (reverse phase) SNAP cartridges with UV detection at 254 and 280 nm. Hexanes–ethyl acetate (normal phase) and H₂O–MeOH (reverse phase) solvent systems were used as eluent for chromatography unless it is mentioned otherwise.

(3-Amino-5-hydroxymethylphenyl)methanol (5)—To a solution of dimethyl 5aminoisophthalate 4 (10 g, 47.8 mmol) in dry THF (200 mL) at 0 °C was added LiAlH₄ (4.9 g, 143 mmol) portionwise. The mixture was stirred for 8 h at rt, cooled to 0 °C, and quenched by slow addition of aqueous KOH solution (20%, 20 mL) followed by ethyl acetate (200 mL). The mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and rinsed with ethyl acetate. The filtrate was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (100:0 \rightarrow 90:10, EtOAc:MeOH) to give 5 as a pale yellow solid (6.6 g, 90%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, methanol-d₄): δ 6.70 (s, 1H), 6.65 (s, 2H), 4.50 (s, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, methanol-d₄): δ 147.0, 141.9 (2C), 114.8, 112.4 (2C), 63.6 (2C).

(3-Azido-5-hydroxymethylphenyl)methanol (6)—To an ice cooled solution of aminodiol 5 (6.5 g, 42.4 mmol) in dry acetonitrile was added *t*-BuONO (5.3 g, 60 mmol) followed by TMS-N₃ (6.2 mL, 50 mmol) dropwise. The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h at rt, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (30:70 \rightarrow 0:100, hexanes:EtOAc) to give azido-diol 6 as orange yellow solid (6.9 g, 91%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, methanol-d₄): δ 7.13 (s, 1H), 6.97 (s, 2H), 4.60 (s, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, methanol-d₄): δ 143.4 (2C), 139.8, 120.9, 115.1 (2C), 62.7 (2C).

(3-Azido-5-azidomethylphenyl)methanol (7)—This reaction was carried out on 1–2 g scale repeatedly as per the requirement of the diazido-alcohol **7**. To a stirred solution of azido-diol **6** (2 g, 11.2 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (20 mL) were added at rt NaN₃ (2.9 g, 45 mmol) and DMAP (1.7 g, 13.4 mmol) followed by bis(2,4-dichlorophenyl)chlorophosphate (5 g, 12.3 mmol) and the stirring was continued for 4 h. Brine (40 mL) was added to the reaction mixture and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 50 mL). The organic fractions were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (70:30 → 50:50, hexanes:EtOAc) to afford the desired diazido-alcohol **7** (1.5 g, 75%) as yellow oil along with triazide (0.22 g, 10%), based on 88% conversion and 12% of starting material recovery. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.08 (s, 1H), 7.02 (s, 1H), 6.89 (s, 1H), 4.70 (s, 2H), 4.35 (s, 2H), 2.15 (bs, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 143.2, 140.6, 137.3, 122.3, 117.3, 116.6, 64.0, 53.9.

Toluene-4-sulfonic acid 3-azido-5-azidomethylbenzyl ester (8)—A solution of 7 (1.5 g, 7.4 mmol) and triethylamine (2.6 mL, 18.4 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ was treated with TsCl (1.6 g, 18.4 mmol) at 0 °C. The reaction was monitored by TLC while maintaining the temperature at 0 °C (otherwise undesired products were obtained). The reaction was quenched with water (20 mL) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residual oil was immediately purified by flash chromatography (90:10 \rightarrow 70:30, hexanes:EtOAc) to give the diazido-tosylate **8** as pale yellow oil (2.25 g, 85%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.80 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H),

6.98 (s, 1H), 6.92 (s, 1H), 6.83 (s, 1H), 5.05 (s, 2H), 4.32 (s, 2H), 2.46 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 145.1, 141.1, 138.1, 136.0, 133.0, 129.9 (2C), 127.9 (2C), 124.0, 118.9, 118.4, 70.6, 53.9, 21.6.

3-Amino-5-hydroxymethylbenzoic acid methyl ester (9)—To a solution of dimethyl 5-aminoisophthalate **4** (5 g, 24.0 mmol) in dry THF at 0 °C was added portionwise LiBH₄ (1.1 g, 50.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at 50 °C for 48 h and quenched by adding MeOH at 0 °C. The solvent was evaporated and the crude solid was purified by flash chromatography (30:70 \rightarrow 0:100, hexanes:EtOAc) to give amino-ester **9** as pale yellow solid (3.05 g, 71%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, methanol-d₄): δ 7.33 (s, 1H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 6.94 (s, 1H), 4.55 (s, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 167.7, 147.9, 142.7, 130.6, 117.8, 117.0, 114.4, 63.5, 51.2.

3-Azido-5-hydroxymethylbenzoic acid methyl ester (10)—To an ice cooled solution of amino-ester **9** (3 g, 16.6 mmol) in dry acetonitrile (40 mL) was added dropwise *t*-BuONO (2.4 g, 23.2 mmol) followed by TMS-N₃ (2.3 g, 20.0 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h, concentrated, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography (70:30 \rightarrow 50:50, hexanes:EtOAc) to give azido-ester **10** as pale yellow solid (3.1 g, 91%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.72 (s, 1H), 7.54 (s, 1H), 7.18 (s, 1H), 4.69 (s, 2H), 3.91 (s,3H), 2.87 (bs, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.2, 143.4, 140.4, 131.8, 124.0, 118.8, 64.0, 52.4.

3-Azido-5-azidomethylbenzoic acid methyl ester (11)—To a stirring solution of azido-alcohol **10** (3 g, 14.5 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (15 mL) were added at rt NaN₃ (4.7 g, 72.4 mmol) and DMAP (2.6 g, 21.3 mmol) followed by bis(2,4-dichlorophenyl)chlorophosphate (7.07 g, 17.4 momol) and the stirring was continued for 4 h. Brine (40 mL) was added to the reaction mixture and extracted with ethyl acetate (3×50 mL). The organic fractions were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (90:10 \rightarrow 70:30, hexanes:EtOAc) to afford diazido-ester **11** as pale yellow solid (3.1 g, 92%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.14 (s, 1H), 4.40 (s, 2H), 3.93 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 165.7, 141.2, 137.9, 132.4, 125.3, 122.6, 119.6, 53.8, 52.5.

3-Azido-5-azidomethylbenzoic acid (12)—An ice cooled solution of **11** (3 g, 12.9 mmol) in THF–MeOH (20 mL, 1:1, v/v) was treated with 2N NaOH (10 mL, 20.0 mmol) solution for 2 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate (30 mL) and treated with 2N HCl solution (20 mL). The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (60:40 \rightarrow 20:80, hexanes:EtOAc) to give diazido-acid **12** as white solid (2.5 g, 89%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.73 (s, 1H), 7.22 (s, 1H), 4.45 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 170.8, 141.5, 138.2, 131.5, 125.8, 123.5, 120.2, 53.8.

Method A

General amide coupling reaction using EDC/HOBt/DIPEA/CH₂Cl₂: To a stirred solution of an acid (1 eq.) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ was added EDC (1.1 eq.) followed by HOBt (1.05 eq.) at 0 °C. After 1 h, a solution of amine/amine hydrochloride (1 eq.) and DIEPA (3 eq.) in CH₂Cl₂ was added to the reaction mixture dropwise at 0 °C. The mixture was allowed to stir at rt for 4–6 h (monitored by TLC), treated with 2N HCl solution and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution followed by brine. The organic extracts were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was further purified by flash chromatography.

5-(4-tert-Butoxycarbonylaminophenyl)isoxazole-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (14)—To a solution of alkyne **13** (3 g, 13.8 mmol) in THF–H₂O (1:1, 60 mL) was added Na₂CO₃ (18 g, 170.0 mmol) in one portion at 0 °C. A solution of 2-chloro-2hydroxyiminoacetic acid ethyl ester (5.3 g, 35.0 mmol) in THF (40 mL) was added slowly over 18 h using a syringe pump. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate (50 mL) and washed with water followed by brine. The organic fractions were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (90:10 \rightarrow 70:30, hexanes:EtOAc) to give the isoxazole **14** as pale yellow solid (3.8 g, 83%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.70 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (s, 1H), 6.81 (s, 1H), 4.44 (q, *J* = 8.0, 16.0 Hz, 2H), 1.54 (s, 9H), 1.45 (t, *J* = 8.0, Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 171.5, 160.1, 156.8, 152.5, 141.0, 126.8 (2C), 121.0, 118.4 (2C), 98.9, 81.0, 62.1, 28.2 (3C), 14.1.

7-{[5-(4-tert-Butoxycarbonylaminophenyl)isoxazole-3-

carbonyl]amino}heptanoic acid methyl ester (16)—An ice cooled solution of **14** (3.0 g, 9.4 mmol) in THF–MeOH (20 mL, 1:1) was treated with 2N NaOH solution (7 mL, 14 mmol) and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at rt for 1 h. The reaction was diluted with ethyl acetate (20 mL) and acidified with 2N HCl (20 mL). The organic layer was separated, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude acid (**15**) obtained as white solid was directly used for coupling reaction with methyl 7-aminoheptanoate hydrochloride following the method A to afford **16** as white solid (3 g, 72%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl): δ 7.72 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.90 (bs, 1H), 6.88 (s, 1H), 6.82 (bs, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.46 (q, *J* = 6.8, 13.6 Hz, 2H), 2.32 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.65 (m, 4H), 1.54 (s, 9H), 1.38 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.1, 171.3, 159.2, 159.0, 152.3, 140.7, 126.8 (2C), 121.3, 118.4 (2C), 98.1, 81.1, 51.5, 39.4, 33.9, 29.2, 28.7, 28.3 (3C), 26.5, 24.7.

7-{[5-(4-Azidophenyl)isoxazole-3-carbonyl]amino}heptanoic acid methyl ester

(18)—A solution of 16 (2 g, 4.5 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) was treated with trifloroacetic acid (1 mL, 13.5 mmol) for 4 h at rt. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was azeotroped with toluene (3 × 10 mL) under reduced pressure. The crude aniline 17 obtained as pale yellow solid was directly used in the next step without any further purification.

To a solution of **17** (0.5 g, 1.5 mml) in AcOH–H₂O (9:1, v/v, 8 mL) at 0 °C was added NaNO₂ (0.12 g, 1.74 mmol) and the mixture was stirred for 10 min. To this orange red colored solution was then added portionwise NaN₃ (0.14 g, 2.17 mmol) at the same temperature and stirring was continued for additional 1 h. The reaction mixture was neutralized by adding saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution and extracted with ethyl acetate (3×25 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (90:10 \rightarrow 70:30, hexanes:EtOAc) to afford **18** (0.38 g, 71%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.60 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.93 (bs, 1H), 6.91 (s, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.45 (q, *J* = 6.6, 13.3 Hz, 2H), 2.30 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.70-1.47 (m, 4H), 1.40–1.30 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.9, 170.9, 159.3, 158.8, 127.47, 123.45, 119.69, 98.96, 51.48, 39.44, 33.92, 29.26, 28.72, 26.52, 24.76. MS (ESI) *m*/*z* 372.2 (M+H)⁺.

7-({5-[4-(3-Azido-5-azidomethylbenzoylamino)phenyl]isoxazole-3-

carbonyl}amino)heptanoic acid methyl ester (19)—To a mixture of aniline **17** (500 mg, 1.45 mmol) and diazido-acid **12** (475 mg, 2.17 mmol) in anhydrous CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) was added HOBt (315 mg, 2.32 mmol). After the solution was cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath, DCC (480 mg, 2.32 mmol) was added followed by DMAP (90 mg, 0.07 mmol). The

reaction solution was stirred at rt for 6 h. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the crude residue obtained was purified by flash chromatography (95:05 → 80:20, hexanes:EtOAc) to afford **19** as white solid (550 mg, 70%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.81 (s, 1H), 7.88 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H) 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.09 (m, 2H), 6.85 (s, 1H), 4.19 (s, 2H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 3.37 (m, 2H), 1.58–1.54 (m, 4H), 1.33 (br s, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 170.32, 169.87, 160.20, 158.79, 141.46, 140.20, 138.15, 137.71, 128.63, 1256.41, 122.83, 120.02, 118.64, 100.50, 53.29, 33.64, 29.84, 28.49, 26.45, 25.33; MS (ESI) *m/z* 546.1 (M+H)⁺.

7-(1*H***-Pyrazol-4-ylcarbamoyl)heptanoic acid methyl ester (22)**—An amide coupling reaction between aminopyrazole **21** (1 g, 12.0 mmol) and monomethylsuberate (2.27 g, 12.0 mmol) following method A resulted in **22** as pale brown solid (2.1 g, 69%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 12.50 (s, 1H), 9.82 (s, 1H), 7.95 (s, 1H), 7.81 (s, 1H), 3.54 (s, 3H), 2.28 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.20 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.55-1.50 (m, 4H), 1.29-1.24 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 173.3, 168.8, 130.5, 121.7, 118.82, 51.6, 35.9, 33.8, 28.8, 25.5, 24.2. MS (ESI) *m/z* 254.2 (M+H)⁺.

7-[1-(3-Azido-5-azidomethylbenzyl)-1*H*-pyrazol-4-ylcarbamoyl]heptanoic acid methyl ester (23)—A mixture of 22 (200 mg, 0.79 mmol), 8 (300 mg, 0.84 mmol) and powdered K₂CO₃ (220 mg, 1.60 mmol) in acetone (15 mL) was refluxed for 6 h. The mixture was cooled to rt and concentrated leaving a residue which was dissolved in ethylacetate and washed with water followed by brine. The organic fractions were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (80:20 \rightarrow 50:50, hexanes:EtOAc) to furnish 23 as pale yellow solid (260 mg, 75%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.99 (s, 1H), 7.43 (s, 1H), 7.42 (s, 1H), 7.27(s, 1H), 6.91 (s, 1H), 6.81 (s, 1H), 5.30 (s, 2H), 4.31 (s, 2H), 2.36–2.30 (m, 4H), 1.72–1.64 (m, 4H), 1.34 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.4, 170.5, 141.2, 139.1, 138.1, 130.6, 123.4, 121.9, 121.4, 118.0, 117.9, 55.5, 53.9, 51.5, 36.3, 33.9, 28.7, 28.6, 25.4, 24.6. MS (ESI) *m/z* 440.2 (M+H)⁺.

7-(1-Benzyl-1*H***-pyrazol-4-ylcarbamoyl)heptanoic acid methyl ester (24)**—To a solution of **22** (200 mg, 0.79 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (5 mL) at 0 °C was added portionwise NaH (22 mg, 0.95 mmol). After 10 min, benzylbromide (150 mg, 0.88 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture at the same temperature. After 4 h stirring at rt, the reaction was quenched with ice water and extracted with ethyl acetate (3×10 mL). Organic layer was further washed with water followed by brine. The combined organic fractions were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (75:25 \rightarrow 50:50, hexanes:EtOAc) to afford **24** (190 mg, 70%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.95 (s, 1H), 7.43 (s, 1H), 7.36–7.30 (m, 3H), 7.27–7.23 (m, 3H), 5.25 (s, 2H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 2.36–2.30 (m, 4H), 1.72–1.68 (m, 4H), 1.83 (m, 4H). MS (ESI) *m/z* 344.2 (M+H)⁺.

7-[1-(4-Nitrobenzyl)-1*H*-pyrazol-4-ylcarbamoyl]heptanoic acid methyl ester (25)

—To a solution of **22** (1.6 g, 6.32 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (15 mL) at 0 °C was added portionwise NaH (175 mg, 7.6 mmol). After 10 min, 4-nitrobenzylbromide (1.5 g, 7.0 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture at the same temperature. After 4 h stirring at rt, the reaction was quenched with ice water and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 30 mL). Organic layer was further washed with water followed by brine. The combined organic fractions were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (70:30 → 40:60, hexanes:EtOAc) to give **25** as pale yellow solid (1.62 g, 66%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 8.17 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H),8.05 (s, 1H), 7.43 (s, 1H), 7.34 (s, 1H), 7.32 (s, 1H), 5.34 (s, 2H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 2.33–

3.29 (m, 4H), 1.71–1.64 (m, 4H), 1.23 (br s, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.3, 170.4, 147.6, 143.7, 130.8, 128.2 (2C), 124.0 (2C), 122.0, 121.7, 55.3, 51.5, 36.4, 33.9, 28.7, 28.6, 25.3, 24.6. MS (ESI) *m/z* 389.2 (M⁺+H).

7-[1-(4-Aminobenzyl)-1H-pyrazol-4-ylcarbamoyl]heptanoic acid methyl ester

(26)—A mixture of 25 (1.6 g, 4.1 mmol) and $SnCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ (2.8 g, 12.4 mmol) in methanol (20 mL) was heated at 70 °C for 2 h. The pH of the reaction mixture was adjusted to 7–8 by addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution. The resulting mixture was extracted with CHCl₃ (3 × 20 mL). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (100:00 \rightarrow 90:10, CH₂Cl₂:MeOH) to give aniline 26 as orange yellow solid (1.4 g, 95%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, methanol-d₄): δ 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.48 (s, 1H), 7.02 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.68 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 5.10 (s, 2H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 2.30 (m, 4H), 1.64 (m, 4H), 1.36 (s, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, methanol-d₄): δ 174.6, 171.7, 147.4, 129.9, 129.3, 128.7, 125.5, 121.7, 120.7, 115.1, 115.0, 55.4, 50.7, 35.7, 33.4, 28.5, 28.4, 25.3, 24.4.

7-[1-(4-Azidobenzyl)-1H-pyrazol-4-ylcarbamoyl]heptanoic acid methyl ester

(27)—To a solution of 26 (300 mg, 0.84 mmol) in AcOH–H₂O (9:1, v/v, 5 mL) at 0 °C was added NaNO₂ (70 mg, 1.01 mmol) in one portion. The resulting orange red colored mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 10 min. To this was then added portionwise NaN₃ (85 mg, 1.3 mmol) and stirring was continued for additional 1 h. The reaction mixture was neutralized by adding saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution and extracted with ethyl acetate (3×20 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (70:30 \rightarrow 50:50, hexanes:EtOAc) to afford 27 (220 mg, 68%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.18 (s, 1H), 8.08 (s, 1H), 7.42 (s, 1H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.95 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.17 (s, 2H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 2.29–2.19(m, 4H), 1.68–1.60 (m, 4H), 1.23 (br s, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.3, 170.6, 139.0, 133.0, 130.3, 129.3, 121.6, 121.3, 119.4, 55.7, 53.5, 36.4, 33.9, 28.7, 28.7, 24.7. MS (ESI) *m/z* 385.2 (M+H)⁺.

7-[1-(4-Azidobenzyl)-1H-pyrazol-4-ylcarbamoyl]heptanoic acid methyl ester

(28)—To a stirred solution of 26 (200 mg, 0.56 mmol) and triethylamine (120 μ L, 0.84 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was added Boc-anhydride (150 mg, 0.69 mmol) at rt. After 4 h, the mixture was concentrated and the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (80:20 \rightarrow 50:50, hexanes:EtOAc) to furnish 28 (210 mg, 82%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.23 (s, 1H), 7.80 (s, 1H), 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.94 (s, 1H), 5.17 (s, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 2.29–2.21 (m, 4H), 1.64–1.56 (m, 4H), 1.47 9 (s, 9H), 1.23 (br s, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.4, 70.6, 152.6, 138.4, 130.4, 130.4, 129.3, 128.0, 121.6, 121.1, 118.9, 55.5, 51.5, 36.4, 33.9, 29.7, 28.8, 28.3, 27.9, 25.4, 24.7; MS (ESI) *m*/z 459.3 (M+H)⁺.

7-{1-[4-(3-Azido-5-azidomethylbenzoylamino)benzyl]-1H-pyrazol-4-

ylcarbamoyl}heptanoic acid methyl ester (29)—Compound **29** (190 mg, 61%) was obtained by a coupling reaction between acid **12** (122 mg, 0.56 mmol) and amine **26** (200 mg, 0.56 mmol) following method A. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.84 (s, 1H), 8.24 (s, 1H), 8.00 (s, 1H), 7.79 (s, 1H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.50 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (s, 1H), 7.34 (s, 1H), 7.06 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 5.30 (s, 2H), 4.35 (s, 2H), 2.30–2.23 (m, 4H), 1.66–1.54 (m, 4H), 1.27 (br s, 4H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ 174.4, 170.7, 164.7, 141.4, 138.1, 137.7, 137.0, 132.6, 130.6, 128.4, 123.2, 121.6, 121.4, 121.1, 121.1, 117.9, 55.8, 53.9, 36.6, 36.4, 33.9, 31.5, 28.8, 28.7, 25.4, 24.6, 65.3, 162.3, 160.7, 125.6. MS (ESI) *m/z* 560.2 (M +H)⁺.

General method for the preparation of hydroxamate

All the following hydroxamates were prepared from their corresponding methyl ester precursors on 100–200 mg scale with ca 60–70% yield.

To a solution of hydroxylamine hydrochloride (200 mmol) in MeOH at 0 °C was added portionwise powdered KOH (205 mmol). The solution was stirred for 1 h at rt after the addition was complete. The precipitate was filtered off and the filtrate containing NH₂OH in MeOH was added dropwise to an ice cooled solution of methyl ester (1 mmol) in MeOH (5 mL). An additional amount of powdered KOH (10 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture and the white solution was stirred for 3 h at rt. MeOH was concentrated at rt under reduced pressure. The residual solid was treated with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution (20 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 25 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified on Biotage using reverse phase C-18 column (90:10 \rightarrow 10:90, H₂O:MeOH). The purity of all hydroxamates was found to be 95% as it was analyzed by reversed-phase LCMS.

5-(4-Azidophenyl)isoxazole-3-carboxylic acid(6-

hydroxycarbamoylhexyl)amide (2a)—¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 10.39 (s, 1H), 8.81 (br s, 1H), 8.66 (s, 1H), 7.92 (m, 2H), 7.56 (s, 1H), 7.36 (m, 2H), 3.24 (q, *J* = 6.5, 13.0 Hz, 2H), 1.94 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.52–1.45 (m, 4H), 1.17 (br s, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 170.7, 169.9, 160.2, 158.9, 144.3, 143.3, 131.3, 129.8, 128.0, 126.8, 123.5, 120.5, 100.0, 32.7, 29.2, 28.6, 26.6, 25.5. MS (ESI) *m/z* 395.1 (M+Na)⁺.

5-[4-(3-Azido-5-azidomethylbenzoylamino)phenyl]isoxazole-3-carboxylic acid (6-hydroxycarbamoylhexyl)amide (2b)—¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 10.62 (s, 1H), 10.19 (s, 1H), 8.77 (m, 1H), 8.64 (s, 1H), 7.92 (m, 4H), 7.73 (s, 1H), 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.25 (s, 1H), 4.59 (s, 2H), 3.25 (q, *J* = 6.9, 13.3 Hz, 2H), 2.94 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.92 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.52–1.45 (m, 4H), 1.19 (br s, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 170.4, 169.3, 160.0, 158.9, 141.6, 140.7, 138.3, 137.1, 129.6, 126.8, 122.4, 120.9, 118.4, 99.5, 53.2, 32.7, 29.2, 28.7, 26.6, 25.5. MS (ESI) *m/z* 547.2 (M+H)⁺.

Octanedioic acid (1-benzyl-1*H***-pyrazol-4-yl)amide hydroxyamide (3a)**⁻¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 10.35 (s, 1H), 10.24 (s, 1H), 9.92 (s, 1H), 7.97 (s. 1H), 7.41 (s, 1H), 7.35–7.28 (m, 3H), 7.23–7.20 (m, 2H), 5.26 (s, 2H), 2.23–2.17 (m, 2H), 1.95–1.91(m, 2H), 1.55–1.47(m, 4H), 1.24 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, methanol-d₄): δ 171.8, 171.5, 136.3, 130.4, 128.6 (2C), 127.9, 127.4 (2C), 121.9, 121.4, 55.9, 35.9, 32.5, 28.4, 28.3, 25.3, 25.1. MS (ESI) *m*/*z* 345.2 (M+H)⁺.

Octanedioic acid hydroxyamide [1-(4-nitrobenzyl)-1*H*-pyrazol-4-yl]amide (3b) $-^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 10.32 (s, 1H), 9.93 (s, 1H), 8.99 (s, 1H), 8.20 (d, J= 8.6 Hz, 2H), 8.06 (s, 1H), 7.45 (s, 1H), 7.40 (d, J= 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.44 (s, 2H), 2.21 (t, J= 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.92 (t, J= 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.53–1.45 (m, 4H), 1.26 (br s, 4H) ppm. 13 C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 170.7, 170.4, 146.7, 144.1, 130.4, 130.3, 127.5, 122.9, 121.5, 120.9, 53.7, 34.5, 31.7, 27.8, 27.7, 24.6, 24.5.MS (ESI) m/z 390.2 (M+H)⁺.

Octanedioic acid [1-(4-azidobenzyl)-1*H*-pyrazol-4-yl]amide hydroxyamide (3c) $-^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 10.31 (s, 1H), 9.87 (s, 1H), 8.64 (s, 1H), 7.95 (s, 1H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.26 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 5.24 (s, 2H), 2.19 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.92 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.52–1.40 (m, 4H), 1.23 (br s, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 170.7, 170.4, 138.9, 133.1, 129.8, 128.5 (2C), 121.4, 120.4, 118.4 (2C), 54.1, 35.0, 31.7, 27.8, 27.7, 24.6, 24.5. MS (ESI) *m*/z 386.2 (M+H)⁺.

{4-[4-(7-Hydroxycarbamoylheptanoylamino)pyrazol-1-

ylmethyl]phenyl}carbamic acid *tert*-butyl ester (3d)—¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 10.31 (s, 1H), 10.06 (s, 1H), 9.86 (s, 1H), 9.29 (s, 1H), 8.63 (s, 1H), 7.88 (s, 1H), 7.30 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.14 (s, 2H), 2.19 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.92 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.50–1.46 (m, 4H), 1.26 (br s, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 169.9, 169.6, 153.2, 139.5, 131.4, 128.7, 122.5, 120.5, 118.6, 79.5, 55.0, 35.9, 32.7, 28.8, 25.6, 25.5. MS (ESI) *m/z* 482.2 (M+Na)⁺.

Octanedioic acid {1-[4-(3-azido-5-azidomethylbenzoylamino)benzyl]-1*H*pyrazol-4-yl}amide hydroxyamide (3e)—¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 10.38 (s, 1H), 10.34 (s, 1H), 9.89 (s, 1H), 7.93 (s, 1H), 7.74 (s, 1H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.40 (s, 1H), 7.34 (s, 1H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 5.22 (s, 2H), 4.58 (s, 2H), 2.21 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.92 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.55–1.45 (m, 4H), 1.23 (br s, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 171.0, 169.5, 164.6, 140.4, 138.6, 133.4, 128.6, 124.4, 122.4, 120.8, 118.3, 53.2, 49.1, 32.7, 28.8, 25.6, 25.5. MS (ESI) *m/z* 560.2 (M+H)⁺.

Octanedioic acid [1-(3-azido-5-azidomethylbenzyl)-1*H*-pyrazol-4-yl]amide hydroxyamide (3f)—¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 10.31 (bs, 1H), 9.89 (bs, 1H), 8.60 (s, 1H), 8.06 (s, 1H), 7.42 (s, 1H), 7.06 (s, 1H), 7.02 (s, 1H), 6.94 (s, 1H), 5.47 (s, 2H), 4.46 (s, 2H), 2.20 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 1.93 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 1.53–1.44 (m, 4H), 1.26 (m, 4H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 170.1, 169.6, 147.3, 146.0, 132.0, 128.9, 124.2, 122.7, 121.4, 54.4, 49.1, 35.9, 32.7, 28.9, 28.8, 25.6, 25.5 ppm. MS (ESI) *m/z* 441.2 (M+H)⁺.

Modeling methods

Using Surflex-dock²⁹ with the -pgeomx flag for more exhaustive docking, and otherwise default parameters, we docked all ligands to multiple crystal structures of HDAC8, choosing the best scoring as the final binding pose. For scoring we used a variation of a fragment based scoring approach that only considers interactions of the SBG and the linker, but not the ZBG. More details about the methodology are given elsewhere.³⁰

Biological materials and methods

HDAC 3 and 8 activity assay—HDAC inhibition assay was performed in 96 well halfarea microplate (Corning). 0.375U HDAC8 (BIOMOL) was diluted with HDAC8 assay buffer KI-311 (BIOMOL) and pre-incubated with 10 μ L of inhibitor for 5 min. The assay mixture was treated with 25 μ L of 10 μ M HDAC substrate KI-178 (BIOMOL) for 25 min at rt. The reaction was quenched with 50 μ L of 50 μ g/mL trypsin and 4 μ M trichostatin A in KI-143 (BIOMOL) for 30 min. The plate was read on a POLARstar OPTIMA (BMG labtech) microplate reader at excitation wavelength 360 nm and emission wavelength 460 nm.

10 ng HDAC3/NCoR2 (BPS Bioscience) was diluted with KI-311 (BIOMOL) and preincubated with 30 μ L of inhibitor for 5 min then 10 μ l, 125 μ M HDAC fluorescent substrate Boc-L-Lys (Ac)-AMC (Chem-Impex) was added and the mixture incubated for 30 min under room temperature. The reaction was quenched with 50 μ L of 1 mg/mL trypsin and 4 μ M trichostatin A in KI-143 (BIOMOL) for 30 min. The plate was read on an OptimaPolar Starmicroplate reader (BMG labtech) at excitation wavelength 360 nm and emission wavelength 460 nm.

The IC_{50} values were determined using the GraphPad Prism 5 software (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA).

The description of assays against HDAC 1, 2, 4–7, 9–11 and MMPs 1, 3, 9, 14 is given in the supporting information.

Cell Culture—HepG2 (ATCC) hepatocarcinoma cells and Hela (ATCC) cervical adenocarcinoma cells were grown in D7 medium containing DMEM supplemented with 7% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 μ g/mL streptomycin and 1 mM sodium pyruvate. SH-SY5Y (ATCC) neuroblastoma cells were grown in SH-SY5Y medium containing equal amounts of MEM/EBSS and Ham's F12 supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 μ g/mL streptomycin, 1% NEAA and 0.1% sodium bicarbonate. Cells were grown in 10 cm² dishes to a density of 80% confluence and were differentiated in the SH-SY5Y media (1% FBS 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 μ g/mL streptomycin, 1% NEAA, 0.1% sodium bicarbonate) supplemented with 10 μ M retinoic acid (RA, Sigma) for 7–8 days. The differentiating medium was replaced every 2–3 days. Cells were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere supplemented with 5% CO₂.

Antiproliferation assays—Hepatocarcinoma HepG2 cells were seeded at 1.2×10^4 cells/ well into 96 well plates in D7 medium. Hela cells were seeded at 0.2×10^4 cells/well into 96 well plates in D7 medium. Neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells were seeded at 0.9×10^4 cells/ well into 96 well plates in 10% FBS SH-SY5Y medium. After 24 h, the medium (D7 prepared with phenol red free DMEM for HepG2 and Hela cells, 10% SH-SY5Y medium containing equal amounts of phenol red free DMEM and Ham's F12 supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, 1% NEAA and 0.1% sodium bicarbonate for the neuroblastoma cells) was changed to a medium containing either vehicle (DMSO) or 0.5–50 µM final concentrations of HDAC inhibitors. After 24, 48 or 72 h incubation, cell viability was measured using the XTT cell proliferation assay (Roche). Data from at least three independent experiments were analyzed using the GraphPad Prism 5.0 software and EC₅₀s deduced from the best fits of the data using the non-linear regression with variable slope equations.

Western blot analysis in HepG2 cells-Hepatocarcinoma HepG2 cells were seeded at 2.7×10^5 cells/well into 6 well plates in D7 media. After 24 h the media was changed to fresh D7 media and the cells were incubated at 37 °C. After 1 h incubation, cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or 1, 5 or 10 μ M **2b** or SAHA. Cells were then incubated in the dark for 6 h, after which the cells were harvested and the cell pellets frozen and stored at -80 °C. Cells were lysed in ice cold 1% CHAPSO lysis buffer (50 mM Hepes pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% CHAPSO, complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail from Roche) for 45 min at 4 °C. Clarified lysates were quantified by the method of Bradford³¹ and 40 μ g of total protein per lysate was loaded on a Tris-glycine 12.5% acrylamide gel and submitted to SDS PAGE and electrophoretically transferred onto PVDF membrane (Millipore) using a Trans-blot® SD semi-dry electrophoretic transfer cell (Biorad). Membranes were blocked in Tris buffered saline solution containing 0.5% Tween 20 and 5% non-fat milk, then probed for total p53 (monoclonal antibody, Millipore), acetylated Lys373-p53 (Upstate), acetylated Lys8-Histone H4 (Upstate), cleaved caspase 3 Asp175 (Cell Signaling Technology) or GAPDH (Chemicon). Membranes were washed and subsequently incubated with the appropriate peroxidase conjugated secondary antibody (anti-Rabbit IgG or anti-Mouse IgG, GE). The detection was done using the SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescence Substrate (Pierce). Blots were analyzed on a G:Box gel documentation system and the images captured using the Gene Snap software (Syngene, Frederick, MD). Band intensities were quantified using the Image J freeware from the NIH web site (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/).

Neuroprotection Assays—Differentiated SH-SY5Y cells were seeded at 2×10^4 cells/ well into 96 well plates in 1% FBS media containing 5 µM retinoic acid. After 24 h, the medium was changed to 1% phenol red free SH-SY5Y media containing phenol red free DMEM and Ham's F12 supplemented with 1% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, 1% NEAA and 0.1% sodium bicarbonate with 5 µM retinoic acid containing either vehicle (DMSO) or 0.5-50 µM final concentrations of HDAC inhibitors, in the absence (Figure 8, dark grey) or presence (Figure 8, light grey) of 100 µM of **30**. After 24 h incubation, cell viability was measured using the XTT cell proliferation kit II (Roche Applied Science). Data are presented as the average percent survival of four replicates + standard deviation, relative to vehicle (100%). Statistical analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's secondary analysis.

Acetylation of H4 in differentiated SH-SY5Y cells—Differentiated SH-SY5Y cells were treated with either vehicle (DMSO) or $1 \mu M$ of probes 2b, 2a or 3f for 1 h followed by the addition of 100 μ M of **30** and incubated for an additional 8 h treatment. Cells were lysed in 1% CHAPSO lysis buffer and analyzed by western blot as described above.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the National Cancer Institute/NIH Grant R01CA131970 (PAP and SYB) and, in part by the INSERM, the University Denis Diderot/Paris 7 and a Chaire of Excellence from the Agence Nationale pour la Recherche, France (SYB). Molecular modeling studies were in part performed with the UCSF Chimera package from the Resource for Biocomputing, Visualization, and Informatics at the University of California, San Francisco (supported by NIH P41 RR-01081), Open Eye Scientific Software, Santa Fe, NM, and Surflex package from Prof. Ajay N. Jain, San Mateo, CA (supported by NIH R01 GM070481). We thank Mr. Aditya Sudheer Vaidya and Mr. Hazem Abdelkarim for conducting HDAC1 and HDAC2 inhibitory assays for SAHA, TSA, 2b, and 3f.

Abbreviations

HDAC	histone deacetylase
BEProFL	(B)inding (E)nsemble (Pro)filing with (F)photoaffinity (L)abeling
SBG	surface binding group
ZBG	Zinc binding group
SAHA	suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid

References

- 1. de Ruijter AJ, van Gennip AH, Caron HN, Kemp S, van Kuilenburg AB. Histone deacetylases (HDACs): characterization of the classical HDAC family. Biochem J. 2003; 370:737-749. [PubMed: 12429021]
- 2. Acharya MR, Sparreboom A, Venitz J, Figg WD. Rational development of histone deacetylase inhibitors as anticancer agents: a review. Mol Pharmacol. 2005; 68:917-932. [PubMed: 15955865]
- 3. Korner M, Tibes U. Histone deacetylase inhibitors: a novel class of anti-cancer agents on its way to the market. Prog Med Chem. 2008; 46:205-280. [PubMed: 18381127]
- 4. Hahnen E, Hauke J, Trankle C, Eyupoglu IY, Wirth B, Blumcke I. Histone deacetylase inhibitors: possible implications for neurodegenerative disorders. Expert Opin Investig Drugs. 2008; 17:169-184.
- 5. He B, Velaparthi S, Pieffet G, Pennington C, Mahesh A, Holzle DL, Brunsteiner M, van Breemen R, Blond SY, Petukhov PA. Binding ensemble profiling with photoaffinity labeling (BEProFL)

approach: mapping the binding poses of HDAC8 inhibitors. J Med Chem. 2009; 52:7003–7013. [PubMed: 19886628]

- 6. Oehme I, Deubzer HE, Lodrini M, Milde T, Witt O. Targeting of HDAC8 and investigational inhibitors in neuroblastoma. Expert Opin Investig Drugs. 2009; 18:1605–1617.
- Oehme I, Deubzer HE, Wegener D, Pickert D, Linke JP, Hero B, Kopp-Schneider A, Westermann F, Ulrich SM, von Deimling A, Fischer M, Witt O. Histone deacetylase 8 in neuroblastoma tumorigenesis. Clin Cancer Res. 2009; 15:91–99. [PubMed: 19118036]
- Witt O, Deubzer HE, Milde T, Oehme I. HDAC family: What are the cancer relevant targets? Cancer Lett. 2009; 277:8–21. [PubMed: 18824292]
- Kozikowski AP, Tapadar S, Luchini DN, Kim KH, Billadeau DD. Use of the Nitrile Oxide Cycloaddition (NOC) Reaction for Molecular Probe Generation: A New Class of Enzyme Selective Histone Deacetylase Inhibitors (HDACIs) Showing Picomolar Activity at HDAC6. J Med Chem. 2008; 51:4370–4373. [PubMed: 18642892]
- Vannini A, Volpari C, Gallinari P, Jones P, Mattu M, Carfi A, De Francesco R, Steinkuhler C, Di Marco S. Substrate binding to histone deacetylases as shown by the crystal structure of the HDAC8-substrate complex. EMBO Rep. 2007; 8:879–884. [PubMed: 17721440]
- Dowling DP, Gantt SL, Gattis SG, Fierke CA, Christianson DW. Structural studies of human histone deacetylase 8 and its site-specific variants complexed with substrate and inhibitors. Biochemistry. 2008; 47:13554–13563. [PubMed: 19053282]
- Balasubramanian S, Ramos J, Luo W, Sirisawad M, Verner E, Buggy JJ. A novel histone deacetylase 8 (HDAC8)-specific inhibitor PCI-34051 induces apoptosis in T-cell lymphomas. Leukemia. 2008; 22:1026–1034. [PubMed: 18256683]
- Hosoya T, Hiramatsu T, Ikemoto T, Nakanishi M, Aoyama H, Hosoya A, Iwata T, Maruyama K, Endo M, Suzuki M. Novel bifunctional probe for radioisotope-free photoaffinity labeling: compact structure comprised of photospecific ligand ligation and detectable tag anchoring units. Org Biomol Chem. 2004; 2:637–641. [PubMed: 14985799]
- Barral K, Moorhouse AD, Moses JE. Efficient conversion of aromatic amines into azides: a onepot synthesis of triazole linkages. Org Lett. 2007; 9:1809–1811. [PubMed: 17391043]
- Yu C, Liu B, Hu L. A Simple One-Pot Procedure for the Direct Conversion of Alcohols to Azides via Phosphate Activation. Org Lett. 2000; 2:1959–1961. [PubMed: 10891201]
- Suzuki T, Nagano Y, Kouketsu A, Matsuura A, Maruyama S, Kurotaki M, Nakagawa H, Miyata N. Novel inhibitors of human histone deacetylases: design, synthesis, enzyme inhibition, and cancer cell growth inhibition of SAHA-based non-hydroxamates. J Med Chem. 2005; 48:1019–1032. [PubMed: 15715470]
- Estiu G, West N, Mazitschek R, Greenberg E, Bradner JE, Wiest O. On the inhibition of histone deacetylase 8. Bioorg Med Chem. 2010; 18:4103–4110. [PubMed: 20472442]
- Carlisi D, Vassallo B, Lauricella M, Emanuele S, D'Anneo A, Di Leonardo E, Di Fazio P, Vento R, Tesoriere G. Histone deacetylase inhibitors induce in human hepatoma HepG2 cells acetylation of p53 and histones in correlation with apoptotic effects. Int J Oncol. 2008; 32:177–184. [PubMed: 18097557]
- Emanuele S, Lauricella M, Carlisi D, Vassallo B, D'Anneo A, Di Fazio P, Vento R, Tesoriere G. SAHA induces apoptosis in hepatoma cells and synergistically interacts with the proteasome inhibitor Bortezomib. Apoptosis. 2007; 12:1327–1338. [PubMed: 17351739]
- 20. Picot CR, Blond SY. unpublished results.
- Condorelli F, Gnemmi I, Vallario A, Genazzani AA, Canonico PL. Inhibitors of histone deacetylase (HDAC) restore the p53 pathway in neuroblastoma cells. Br J Pharmacol. 2008; 153:657–668. [PubMed: 18059320]
- Mann BS, Johnson JR, Cohen MH, Justice R, Pazdur R. FDA Approval Summary: Vorinostat for Treatment of Advanced Primary Cutaneous T-Cell Lymphoma. Oncologist. 2007; 12:1247–1252. [PubMed: 17962618]
- 23. Hockly E, Richon VM, Woodman B, Smith DL, Zhou X, Rosa E, Sathasivam K, Ghazi-Noori S, Mahal A, Lowden PA, Steffan JS, Marsh JL, Thompson LM, Lewis CM, Marks PA, Bates GP. Suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid, a histone deacetylase inhibitor, ameliorates motor deficits in a

mouse model of Huntington's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003; 100:2041–2046. [PubMed: 12576549]

- 24. Ryu H, Lee J, Olofsson BA, Mwidau A, Deodoglu A, Escudero M, Flemington E, Azizkhan-Clifford J, Ferrante RJ, Ratan RR. Histone deacetylase inhibitors prevent oxidative neuronal death independent of expanded polyglutamine repeats via an Sp1-dependent pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003; 100:4281–4286. [PubMed: 12640146]
- Vlahos CJ, Matter WF, Hui KY, Brown RF. A specific inhibitor of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, 2-(4-morpholinyl)-8-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (LY294002). J Biol Chem. 1994; 269:5241– 5248. [PubMed: 8106507]
- 26. Li B, Desai SA, MacCorkle-Chosnek RA, Fan L, Spencer DM. A novel conditional Akt 'survival switch' reversibly protects cells from apoptosis. Gene Ther. 2002; 9:233–244. [PubMed: 11896462]
- Mikami I, Zhang F, Hirata T, Okamoto J, Koizumi K, Shimizu K, Jablons D, He B. Inhibition of activated phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/AKT pathway in malignant pleural mesothelioma leads to G1 cell cycle arrest. Oncol Rep. 2010; 24:1677–1681. [PubMed: 21042767]
- 28. Workman P, Clarke PA, Raynaud FI, van Montfort RL. Drugging the PI3 kinome: from chemical tools to drugs in the clinic. Cancer Res. 2010; 70:2146–2157. [PubMed: 20179189]
- 29. Jain AN. Surflex-Dock 2.1: Robust performance from ligand energetic modeling, ring flexibility, and knowledge-based search. J Comput-Aided Mol Des. 2007; 21:281–306. [PubMed: 17387436]
- 30. Brunsteiner M, Petukhov PA. unpublished results.
- Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem. 1976; 72:248–254. [PubMed: 942051]





Figure 1.

Structure of BEProFL probe 1 and general structure of novel isoxazole-based compounds 2 and pyrazole-based compounds 3.



SBG - surface binding group interacts with the outer surface of the binding site and positions the diazide portion of the probe

Linker - positions SBG at a distance optimal for interaction between SBG and the outer surface of the binding site

ZBG - Zinc binding group

Figure 2. Design of isoxazole- and pyrazole-based diazide probes.



Figure 3.

(A) **2b** docked to HDAC8 PDB:1T64 (B) **3f** docked to HDAC8 PDB:1VKG. Description of the grooves (G1–G3) and ridges (R1–R3) formed by the protein surface of HDAC8 is the same as in Ref. 5.



Figure 4.

MOE analysis of the interactions between HDAC8 receptors and ligands **2b** (A) and **3f** (B): (green) hydrophobic, (light-purple) polar, (blue ring) basic,(red ring) acidic, (purple ring) solvent exposed.



Figure 5.

Western blot detection of the acetylation of Histone H4 following treatment with the diazide probe **2b** in HepG2 cells.



Figure 6.

The isoxazole HDAC inhibitor/probe **2b** induces apoptosis in HepG2 cells independent of p53 acetylation: A. Western blotting to detect the activation of caspase 3 and the acetylation of p53 in the treated HepG2 cells; B. Histograms representing the acetylation of p53 protein in the cell extracts.

Isoxazoles:



Figure 7.

Differential neuroprotective and cytotoxic effects of HDAC inhibitors in unstressed (dark grey) or treated with compound **30** differentiated SH-SY5Y cells (light grey). **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 when compared to vehicle DMSO control (0 μ M HDAC inhibitor).





Small molecule HDAC inhibitors **2a**, **2b**, and **3f** induce accumulation of acetylated histone H4 in a differentiated SH-SY5Y cellular model.





Synthesis of diazide moieties^a

^{*a*} Reagents and conditions: (a) LiAlH₄, THF, 0 °C–rt, 8 h; (b) *t*-Bu-ONO, TMS-N₃, MeCN, 0 °C–rt, 2 h; (c) Bis(2,4-dichlorophenyl) chlorophosphate, DMAP, NaN₃, DMF, rt, 4 h; (d) Ts-Cl, Et₃N, 0 °C, 2 h; (e) LiBH₄, THF, 50 °C, 48 h; (f) 2N NaOH, MeOH–THF (1:1), 1 h.



Scheme 2.

Synthesis of 2a-2b^a

^{*a*}Reagents and conditions:(a) ethyl chlorooximidoacetate, Na₂CO₃, THF–H₂O (1:1), 0 °C–rt, 18 h; (b) 2N NaOH, MeOH–THF (1:1), 0 °C–rt, 1 h; (c) EDC, HOBt, methyl 7aminoheptanoate hydrochloride, DIPEA, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 4 h; (d) TFA, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 4 h; (e) NaNO₂, AcOH–H₂O, 0 °C, 10 min, then NaN₃, 0 °C–rt, 1 h; (f) **12**, DCC, HOBt, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C–rt, 6 h; (g) NH₂OH, KOH, MeOH, 0 °C–rt, 3 h.



Scheme 3.

Synthesis of 3a-3f^a

^{*a*}Reagents and conditions: (a) H₂, Pd/C, MeOH, overnight; (b) monometyl suberate, EDC, HOBt, DIPEA, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C–rt, 6 h; (c) **8**, K₂CO₃, acetone, reflux, 6 h; (d) NH₂OH, KOH, 0 °C–rt, 3 h; (e) BnBr or 4-nitrobenzyl bromide, NaH, DMF, 0 °C–rt, 4 h; (f) SnCl₂·2H₂O, MeOH, reflux, 2 h; (g) NaNO₂, AcOH–H₂O (9:1), 0 °C, 10 min, NaN₃, 0 °C–rt, 1 h; (h) (Boc)₂O, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 4 h; (i) **12**, EDC, HOBt, DIPEA, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C–rt, 6 h.

Table 1

HDAC3 and HDAC8 isoform inhibitory activity (IC $_{50}$, nM) of isoxazole-based compounds 2a and 2b.

				H N _{OH}
Compd	Substituent R	IC ₅₀ ±SI	D (nM)	HDAC8/HDAC3 ^a
		HDAC3 ^a	HDAC8 ^b	
SAHA		27 ± 1.0	440 ± 21	16.3
1		1480 ± 220	7340 ± 22	4.96
2a	N ₃	73 ± 15	707 ± 86	9.68
2b	N ₃ N ₃ N ₃	45 ± 1.3	651 ± 12	14.5

^aratio of IC50 (nM)

Table 2

HDAC3 and HDAC8 isoform inhibitory activity (IC $_{50}$, nM) of pyrazole-based compounds 3a-3f.

	$R_1 \rightarrow R_2 = R_3$	~~~		H N _{OH}
compd	Substituents R ₁ , R ₂ , R ₃	IC50 ± 5	SD (nM)	HDAC8/HDAC3 ^a
		HDAC3	HDAC8	
3a	$R_1, R_2, R_3 = H$	44 ± 5.8	76 ± 5.0	1.7
3b	$\mathbf{R}_2 = \mathbf{NO}_2, \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_3 = \mathbf{H}$	59 ± 1.0	82 ± 9.0	1.4
3c	$R_2 = N_3 R_1, R_3 = H$	22 ± 1.3	28 ± 3.0	1.3
3d	$R_2 = NHBoc R_1, R_3 = H$	191 ± 18	147 ± 15	0.8
3e	$R_2 = N_3 R_1, R_3 = H$	432 ± 52	487 ± 80	1.1
3f	$R_1 = CH_2N_3 R_2 = H R_3 = N_3$	128 ± 9.8	17 ± 3.0	0.13

^aratio of IC50 (nM)

Table 3

A preliminary screening for inhibitory activity (IC₅₀, nM) of **2b** and **3f** against HDAC 1, 2, and 4–7, and 9–11 isoforms^a and a representative set of metalloproteases (MMPs) 1, 3, 9, and 14.

	IC ₅₀ (nM)			IC ₅₀ r	atios
Target	Control inhibitor <i>b</i>	2b	3f	2b/TSA 2b/SAHA	3f/TSA 3f/SAHA
HDAC1 ^C	8.22 ± 1.45 (TSA), 22.37 ± 4.7 (SAHA)	82.2 ± 1.22	99.1 ± 12.5	10.0 3.67	12.1 4.43
HDAC2 ^c	$68 \pm 1.9 \ (TSA), \ 100.0 \pm 0.25 \ (SAHA)$	780 ± 93	1000 ± 180	11.5 7.80	14.7 10.0
HDAC3 ^d				ND^{e} 1.67	ND^{e} 4.74
$HDAC4^{f}$	122	432	379	3.54	3.11
HDAC5 f	8.08	932	892	115	110
$HDAC6^{f}$	2.31	47.0	274	20.4	119
$HDAC7^{f}$	139	2230	4510	16.0	32.5
HDAC8 ^d				ND <i>e</i> 1.48	ND^{e} 0.0386
$HDAC9^{f}$	20.1	37.8	704	1.88	35.0
$HDAC10^{f}$	11.3	776	140	68.7	12.4
$HDAC11^{f}$	13.9	116	476	8.35	34.2
$MMP1^f$	0.20	108000	$\mathrm{NA}\mathcal{B}$	540000	ND
$MMP3^{f}$	7.62	12100	$NA\mathcal{B}$	1590	ND
$MMP9^{f}$	0.05	22900	$NA\mathcal{B}$	458000	ND
$MMP14^{f}$	0.41	9330	$NA^{\mathcal{B}}$	22800	ND

J Med Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 October 08.

 a^{a} see tables 1–2 for inhibitory activity of **2b** and **3f** against HDAC3 and HDAC8, respectively.

b Erist number – TSA was used as a control inhibitor in HDAC 1, 2, 4–7, and 9–11 assays. Galardin (GM6001) was used as a control inhibitor in MMPs 1, 3, 9, and 14 assays. Second number – SAHA was used as an additional control inhibitor for class I isoforms.

 ${}^{\mathcal{C}}\text{IC50}$ values expressed as mean \pm standard deviation of at least two independent experiments.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

 ${}^d\mathrm{C50}$ data are given in tables 1 and 2.

 e^{θ} ND – IC50 for TSA were not determined for HDAC3 and HDAC8.

 $f_{\rm IC50}$ is a single measurement estimate provided by Reaction Biology (see supporting information).

 $^{\mathcal{S}}$ NA – The remaining activity of the MMPs was above 70% at the highest tested concentration (10 mM).

Table 4

Antiproliferative activity (EC₅₀) of **2b**, **3a**, **3c** and **3f** towards HepG2, Hela and SH-SY5Y carcinoma cell lines.

Compd		HepG2 ^a			Hela ^a			SH-SY5Y ^a	
	24 h	48 h	72 h	24 h	48 h	72 h	24 h	48 h	72 h
SAHA	>50 µM	$8.4{\pm}~1.8$	3.8 ± 1.1	43.0 ± 2.3	14.2 ± 1.4	4.7 ± 1.2	$> 50 \ \mu M$	25.6±1.5	17.4± 8.3
2b	>50 µM	11.5 ± 2.5	4.9 ± 1.4	26.5 ± 1.1	10.7 ± 1.2	4.7 ± 1.1	$> 50 \ \mu M$	32.2 ± 1.1	23.0 ± 1.1
За	>50 µM	12.6± 1.6	5.5 ± 1.4	$46.6{\pm}\ 1.2$	10.6 ± 2.3	5.5 ± 1.3	$> 50 \ \mu M$	22.7± 1.1	23.2± 2.2
3с	>50 µM	12.7 ± 1.3	5.9 ± 1.3	38.3 ± 1.1	9.9 ± 1.4	4.6 ± 1.3	$> 50 \ \mu M$	23.6± 1.4	23.1 ± 1.3
3f	>50 µM	24.3± 1.5	13.4 ± 1.4	30.6 ± 3.3	23.7± 1.1	15.0 ± 1.1	$> 50 \ \mu M$	35.9 ± 1.1	20.8 ± 3.1

 a EC50 in μ M concentration