DNA primase activity associated with DNA polymerase α from Xenopus laevis ovaries

(RNA priming/DNA chain elongation/NTP-dependent DNA synthesis/gel electrophoresis)

MASAKI SHIODA, ERIc M. NELSON, MARVIN L. BAYNE, AND ROBERT M. BENBOW

Department of Biology, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218

Communicated by Donald D. Brown, September 7, 1982

ABSTRACT One of the two forms of DNA polymerase α from ovaries of the frog Xenopus laevis catalyzed ribonucleoside triphosphate-dependent DNA synthesis on single-stranded circular fd phage DNA templates. DNA synthesis was dependent on ATP and added template. CTP, GTP, and UTP stimulated DNA synthesis but were not required and could not substitute for ATP. DNA synthesis was not inhibited by α -amanitin. Neither poly(dT) nor double-stranded DNA served as template. Analysis of $\left[^{32}P\right]$ nor double-stranded DNA served as template. Analysis of $[3]$ dTMP-labeled product by neutral and alkaline agarose gel electrophoresis showed that 0.1- to 1-kilobase DNA fragments (average size of \approx 0.25 kilobase) were synthesized. The fragments were not covalently linked to the template. Either $[\alpha^{-32}P]NMP$, $[\gamma$ - $32P$]ATP, or $[\gamma^{32}P]$ GTP were incorporated also into the product. Analysis of the product after hydrolysis by KOH, alkaline phosphatase, or bacteriophage T4 $3' \rightarrow 5'$ exonuclease showed the presence of a small oligoribonucleotide primer at the ⁵' end of the newly synthesized DNA. NTP-dependent DNA-synthesizing activity copurified on six columns and cosedimented during glycerol gradient centrifugation with one form of DNA polymerase α activity but not with the other form. These results suggest that DNA primase activity is associated with one of the two forms of X. laevis DNA polymerase α .

Neither prokaryotic nor eukaryotic DNA polymerases have been reported to catalyze de novo DNA synthesis (1). In prokaryotes an enzyme known as DNA primase has been shown to synthesize small oligoribonucleotide primers that normally are extended by DNA polymerase to form Okazaki fragments (2). Small stretches of primer RNA also have been reported at the ⁵' end of Okazaki fragments in eukaryotes (3-8). DNA primase activity has been detected in nuclei isolated from polyomainfected 3T6 cells (9), but this activity has not been further characterized.

Several very recent reports have suggested that DNA primase activity may be associated with DNA polymerase α activities. Association of an RNA polymerase activity that prefers Mn^{2+} with partially purified DNA polymerase α activity has been reported in the sea urchin (10). A novel RNA polymerase activity that was stimulated by a specific protein factor has been reported to be associated with ^a form of Ehrlich ascites DNA polymerase α that was resolved by DEAE-cellulose column chromatography (11). Conaway and Lehman (12) also have described ^a DNA primase activity that appeared to copurify with a DNA polymerase α activity from *Drosophila* embryos.

Benbow et al. (13) have described an in vitro DNA replication system prepared from unfertilized eggs of the frog Xenopus laevis. This system catalyzed efficient initiation of DNA replication on double-stranded DNA templates. DNA polymerases $\alpha_1, \alpha_2,$ β , and γ have been identified in the active fractions of this sys $tem (14–16)$. During an extensive study of primer template preferences of the two DNA polymerase α activities, we noted that one activity catalyzed ribonucleotide-dependent DNA synthesis on single-stranded circular DNA templates. In this paper we document the unusual finding that DNA primase activity copurifies with only one of the two forms of X . *laevis* ovarian DNA polymerase α activity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials. X. laevis were obtained from the South African Snake Farm (Cape Providence, South Africa). Unlabeled deoxynucleoside triphosphates, ribonucleoside triphosphates, poly(dT), and oligo(rA)₁₀ were purchased from P-L Biochemicals. All radioactive precursors were obtained from ICN. a-Amanitin was from Sigma. Bacterial alkaline phosphatase, restriction endonuclease Taq I, and double-stranded ϕ X174 DNA were from Bethesda Research Laboratories. Homogeneous bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase with an associated $3' \rightarrow 5'$ exonuclease activity (17) was a gift of M. J. Bessman (The Johns Hopkins University). Calf thymus DNA was obtained from Sigma and activated as described (16). fd106 and fdlO7 phage DNA were prepared according to Herrmann et al. (18) and further purified by sucrose gradient centrifugation (19).

Purification of DNA Polymerase α Activities. DNA polymerase α activity was prepared and separated as two forms by DEAE-cellulose column chromatography as described (14, 16). DNA polymerases α_1 and α_2 were further chromatographed by using the columns described by Joenje and Benbow (15), pooling each α peak separately. This procedure will be described in more detail elsewhere (20). Briefly, DNA polymerases α_1 and α_2 were eluted at 0.5 M Tris HCl on carboxymethyl-Sephadex, at 65-A Stokes radius on Sephadex G-200, at ⁶⁰ mM NaCl on single-stranded DNA agarose, at ⁵⁰ mM potassium phosphate on hydroxylapatite, and at ³⁰⁰ mM KCl on phosphocellulose (fraction X). The most purified DNA polymerase α_1 had a specific activity of 50,000 units/mg of protein, and polymerase α_2 had a specific activity of 75,000 units/mg of protein, where 1 unit was defined as ¹ nmol of dAMP incorporated per 60 min at 37°C, with poly(dT)'oligo(rA)₁₀ as template primer, and where protein was measured by the assay of Lowry et al. (21). Specific activities based on Coomassie brilliant blue staining gave considerably higher specific activities. The specific activities of the most highly purified X. laevis DNA polymerase α activities were comparable to the highest specific activities for other eukaryotic DNA polymerase α activities (22-24). Both DNA polymerase α_1 and α_2 migrated as single peaks on nondenaturing gels. DNA primase activity was detected at all stages of DNA polymerase α_1 purification after the initial separation from DNA polymerase α_2 . All DNA primase assays in this paper

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U. S. C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviation: kb, kilobase(s).

were carried out with 2.8 units of fraction X DNA polymerase α_1 unless otherwise stated.

Assay of NTP-Dependent DNA Synthesis. The. reaction mixture contained ²⁵ mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), each dNTP (dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP) at 50 $\mu\bar{M}$, 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.25 mM EDTA, ⁵ mM 2-mercaptoethanol, bovine serum albumin (200 μ g/ml), 20% glycerol, 1.0 μ g of fd107 phage singlestranded circular DNA (unless otherwise stated), 4μ Ci (1 Ci $= 3.7 \times 10^{10}$ becquerels) of $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ dTTP (4,000 cpm/pmol), 1 mM ATP, and CTP, GTP, and UTP each at 500 μ M (unless otherwise stated) in a total volume of 50 μ l. By using these conditions, template single-stranded DNA is in great excess. Equal DNA synthesis was also obtained by using 1/100th the amount of DNA (0.01 μ g) per assay (unpublished data). After incubation at 30°C for 60 min, 25 μ l of 2 mM dTTP/20 mM ATP/ 50 mM EDTA/20 mM N-ethylmaleimide and 200 μ l containing 100 μ g of calf thymus DNA were added, followed by 500 μ l of 10% (wt/vol) trichloroacetic acid containing 1% sodium pyrophosphate. After 10 min at O°C, acid-insoluble radioactivity was determined (16). NTP-independent DNA synthesis was measured by using the above assay condition but omitting ATP, CTP, GTP, and UTP.

Incorporation of [³²P]NTP into Reaction Product. Reactions were incubated as above except that $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ dTTP was replaced by 20 μ Ci of [³H]dTTP (3,000 cpm/pmol) and 1 mM ATP was used with CTP, GTP, and UTP each at 200 µM and 25 µCi of
[a-³²P]ATP, [a-³²P]CTP, [a-³²P]GTP, and [a-³²P]UTP (17,300 cpm/pmol of NTP). In χ^3 PJNTP incorporation, 1 mM ATP, 200 μ M GTP, 100 μ Ci of [γ -³²P]ATP, and 100 μ Ci of [γ -³²P]-GTP (26,400 cpm/mol of ATP plus GTP) were used. After incubation, 10 μ l of 250 mM EDTA, 5 μ l of 200 mM N-ethylmaleimide, 2.5 μ l of 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 10 μ l of 5 mM dTTP, and $5 \mu l$ each of 100 mM ATP, CTP, GTP, and UTP were added, and the reaction product was. dialyzed against ⁵⁰ mM Tris-HCI, pH, 7.5/200 mM NaCl/10 mM EDTA. The dialysate was extracted with phenol, followed by ethanol precipitation. after adding 2 μ g of fdlO7 phage single-stranded DNA. The precipitated DNA was washed three times with ice-cold 70% ethanol. After being dried, the ³²P-containing precipitate was suspended in ²⁵ mM Tris-HCI, pH 8.0/2 mM EDTA for further analysis.

Gel Electrophoresis. Gel electrophoresis was performed on ^a 1.0% agarose gel at neutral pH (25), on ^a 0.8% agarose gel at alkaline pH (26) or on ^a 12% polyacrylamide gel containing ⁷ M urea (27) as described. ³²P-labeled or unlabeled DNA length markers were prepared from Taq I restriction fragments of 4X174 double-stranded DNA (28).

RESULTS

NTP-Dependent DNA Synthesis. When X. laevis ovarian DNA polymerase α_1 was incubated with unprimed singlestranded circular fdlO6 or fdiO7 phage. DNA under the DNA synthesis conditions described in Materials and Methods, DNA synthesis was stimulated >25-fold by the addition of NTPs (Table 1). No synthesis or stimulation was observed with poly(dT) or with double-stranded fdlO6 or fdlO7 DNA. Synthesis on primed DNA templates [poly(dT)-oligo(rA) or activated DNA] was depressed rather than stimulated by the addition of NTPs.

Synthesis with single-stranded circular fdlO7 DNA was dependent upon ATP (Table 2). CTP, GTP, or UTP were unable to substitute for this requirement, either singly or in combination. CTP, GTP, and UTP further stimulated ATP-dependent DNA synthesis. Maximal DNA synthesis was observed with all. four NTPs and was not significantly inhibited by high concen-

Table 1. Template specificity of NTP-dependent DNA synthesis

Template, 1μ g	dNMP incorporated, pmol		
	No NTP	With NTP	
None	0.1	0.1	
fd106 ss DNA	0.3	$9.5*$	
fd107 ss DNA	0.8	21	
fd106 RF DNA -	0.6	0.6	
fd107 RF DNA	0.5	0.9^{\ddagger}	
$Poly(dT)$ -oligo(rA) ₁₀ ⁺	2,800	950	
Poly(dT)	0.4	0.4	
Calf thymus DNA			
Activated	160	120‡	
Native	17	11	

88, Single-stranded; RF, replicative form ^I (supercoiled doublestranded DNA)

* Measured in the presence of 750 μ M ATP; no CTP, GTP, or UTP were present.

present.
† Instead of [³²P]dTTP, [³H]dATP (1,500 cpm/pmol) was used.

* NTP concentration may regulate the choice between NTP-dependent priming of DNA synthesis and extension of preexisting DNA primers.

trations of α -amanitin. The K_m for ATP was \approx 500 μ M (ATP only). The K_m values for CTP, GTP, or UTP were $\approx 100-150$ μ M in the presence of 1 mM ATP. From the results in this section, we conclude that X. laevis ovarian DNA polymerase α_1 catalyzed ribonucleotide-dependent DNA synthesis on unprimed DNA templates.

Analysis of Newly Synthesized DNA by Agarose Gel Electrophoresis. NTP-dependent DNA synthesis was carried out with fdl07 phage single-stranded $[{}^{3}H]DNA$ as template and [³²P]dTTP as precursor. The reaction products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis (Fig. 1). In neutral gels, newly synthesized DNA (either NTP-independent or NTP-dependent synthesis) migrated between single-stranded DNA and nicked double-stranded circular DNA (Fig. ¹ A and B). During longer (120 min) incubations, the 3H-labeled template was shifted toward the position of the double-stranded marker (data not shown). In alkaline gels, $>98\%$ of the input $[3H]$ DNA comigrated with fdlO7 single-stranded DNA markers (Fig. ¹ C and D), suggesting that little if any template degradation occurred during incubation. The ³²P-labeled product of NTP-independent DNA synthesis migrated close to linear single-stranded fd 107 DNA (Fig. 1C). By contrast, 87% of the NTP-dependent reaction product migrated as small fragments of 0.1-1 kilobase (kb) in length with a mean length of ≈ 0.25 kb. Only 9% of the

Table 2. NTP-dependence of DNA synthesis

Conditions	dNMP incorporated, pmol	
Lacking enzyme	$0.2\,$	
No NTP added	1.1	
$ATP(1$ mM $)$.	5.1	
$ATP(2$ mM)	5.0	
$CTP(1$ mM)	1.5	
$GTP(1$ mM)	1.4	
$UTP(1$ mM)	1.6	
ATP (1 mM) , CTP $(500 \mu \text{M})$	8.5	
ATP (1 mM) , GTP $(500 \mu \text{M})$	8.4	
ATP (1 mM), UTP (500 μ M)	9.5	
CTP, GTP, UTP $(500 \mu M \text{ each})$	1.4	
ATP (1 mM) , CTP, GTP, UTP $(500 \mu \text{M} \text{ each})$	18.0	
ATP (1 mM) , CTP, GTP, UTP $(500 \mu \text{M} \text{ each})$		
$+ \alpha$ -amanitin, 240 μ g/ml	15.2	

Assays were done as described in Materials and Methods except that the concentrations of NTPs were as indicated.

FI.1. Analysis of the reaction product by agarose gel electro-phoresis. (A and C) DNA synthesis without NTP. (B and D) DNA synthesis with NTP. DNA polymerase α_1 was incubated as described in the assay of NTP-dependentDNA synthesis except that template DNA was replaced by 1.5 μ g of fd107 single-stranded $[^3H]$ DNA. After incubation and addition of a 10- μ l solution of 6 mM dTTP/20 mM ATP/ 100mM EDTA/60 mMN-ethylmaleimide/2% sodium dodecyl sulfate, samples were dialyzed against ²⁵ mMTris HCl, pH 8.0/10mM EDTA/ 10% glycerol. For neutral agarose gel electrophoresis (A and B), 1 μ l of 2% bromophenol blue (BPB) was added, and the samples were applied onto ^a 1.0% agarose gel containing ⁴⁰ mM Tris HCl (pH 8.0), ⁸⁰ mM sodium acetate, and ² mM EDTA (25) and were electrophoresed at 50 V/14 cm for 12 hr. After being stained with ethidium bromide (5 μ g/ml) and photographed, the gel lanes were sliced to 3.0-mm pieces, which were heated at 80°C for 10 min with 0.5 ml of 3.5% perchloric acid, and the radioactivity was determined by liquid scintillation spectrometry. For alkaline agarose gel electrophoresis (C and D), 1 μ l of 2% bromophenol blue (BPB)/xylene cyanol (XC) and 5 μ l of 4.5 M NaOH were added to samples, which were then applied on ^a 0.8% agarose gel containing ³⁰ mM NaOH and ¹ mM EDTA as described by Ikeda et al. (26) . Electrophoresis was at $42 \text{ V}/14 \text{ cm}$ for 3 hr and 40 min. Radioactivities were measured as described above. RF-II, ss, c, and 1, positions of fdlO7 phage replicative form II (nicked double-stranded circular DNA), single-stranded DNA, single-stranded circular DNA, and single-stranded linear DNA, respectively. a-f, Migration positions of the DNA length markers, which correspond to 2,916, 1,177, 406, 329, 233, and 143 nucleotides. Slice number ¹ corresponds to the origin.

newly synthesized DNA migrated between ¹ and ¹⁰ kb, and \leq 4% migrated near the fd107 single-stranded linear [3H]DNA (Fig. 1D). From the results in this section, we conclude that the newly synthesized DNA was associated (presumably hydrogenbonded) with the template DNA but was not covalently linked to the template DNA.

Incorporation of $[\alpha^{.32}P]$ NMP or $[\gamma^{.32}P]$ NTP into the Reaction Product. To establish the role of the ribonucleotides in the NTP-dependent DNA polymerase α_1 -catalyzed DNA synthesis, the reaction products of NTP-dependent incorporation of either [α -³²P]NTPs or [γ -³²P]NTPs and [³H]dTTP with fd107 single-stranded DNA templates were isolated. By assuming that all four $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ ribonucleotides were incorporated with equal efficiencies, 0.49 pmol of NMPs were incorporated in ^a reaction involving the incorporation of 18 pmol of dNMP. The

 K_m for GTP in the presence of 1 mM ATP was \approx 100 μ M. When $[\gamma^{32}P]$ ATP and $[\gamma^{32}P]$ GTP were used as precursors, 0.12 pmol of NTP was incorporated during the incorporation of 19 pmol of dNMP. Treatment with 0.3 M KOH for ¹⁸ hr degraded 90% of the α -³²P-labeled product and 96% of the γ ⁻³²P-labeled material but <10% of the 3H-labeled material (Table 3). Only 14% of the α -³²P-labeled product was susceptible to degradation by alkaline phosphatase, whereas 87% of the γ -32P-labeled material was released. Digestion of the reaction product with bacteriophage T4 3' \rightarrow 5' exonuclease released only 16% of the α - $32P$ radioactivity but 97% of the $3H$ radioactivity. These results suggest that ribonucleotides were found at the ⁵' end of the product, that most ribonucleotides were joined to other ribonucleotides, and that most of the deoxyribonucleotides were linked in extensive regions at the ³' end of the product.

Analysis of $\left[\alpha^{-32}P\right]NMP-Labeled Product After Digestion$ with Bacteriophage $T4$ 3' \rightarrow 5' Exonuclease. To establish the size of the ribonucleotide region at the ⁵' end of the product, $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ NMP- and $[{}^{3}H]$ dTMP-labeled reaction product was digested with T4 3' \rightarrow 5' exonuclease for 23 hr at 37°C, by which time release of 3H had leveled off (Table 3). The reaction products before and after digestion were subjected to electrophoresis on ⁷ M urea/12% polyacrylamide gels (Fig. 2). Analysis of undigested reaction product showed that ³²P was heterogeneously distributed in large $(>0.1 \text{ kb})$ fragments (Fig. 2, lane A). Mock digestions also were carried out to ensure that the DNA was not degraded during the extended incubations at 37°C. Analysis of the digested product showed that most of the ³²P was localized in a discrete band that migrated to a position calculated (27) to correspond to a fragment of about 10 nucleo-

Table 3. Analysis of $[{}^{32}P]NMP$ - and $[{}^{3}H]dNMP$ -labeled product by hydrolysis

Treatment	Incorporated radioactivity,* %			
	$[\alpha^{32}P]$ NMP product		$[\gamma^{32}P]NTP$ product	
	зH	32 _D	³ H	32 _D
KOH	90	10	90	
Alkaline phosphatase	95 .	86	92	13
T4 3' \rightarrow 5' exonuclease	3	84		

An aliquot of $[\alpha^{-32}P]NMP$ - and $[^{3}H]dTMP$ -labeled product or $[\gamma$ -32P]NTP- and [3H]dTMP-labeled product was treated as indicated. In KOH treatment, a 10- μ l aliquot was treated with 10 μ l of 0.6 M KOH and incubated at 37°C for 18 hr. After incubation, 6 μ l of 1.0 M HCl was added, followed by addition of 30 μ l of carrier solution containing ³⁰ mM EDTA, 0.3 M ammonium formate, ¹⁰ mM sodium pyrophosphate, and ATP, CTP, GTP, UTP, and dTTP each at 2 mM. The sample solution was applied to DE81 filters, and radioactivity was determined after washing as described by Rowen and Kornberg (29). In alkaline phosphatase treatment, the product was incubated at 37°C in a 30- μ l reaction mixture that contained 25 mM tRNA (Sigma), 200 μ M poly(dT), 50 μ M oligo(rA)₁₀, and 100 units of bacterial alkaline phosphatase (1 unit of enzyme hydrolyzes ¹ nmol of ATP in 30 min at 37°C). After 60 min, $5-\mu$ reaction samples were assayed as in the KOH treatment after addition of the carrier solution. The reaction reached equilibrium at 30 min after incubation. In exonuclease treatment, after denaturation at 90°C for 5 min, product was incubated at 37°C in a 30- μ l reaction mixture that contained 25 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.8), 8 mM $\rm MgCl_2, 5\; mM$ 2-mercaptoethanol, bovine serum albumin (160 $\mu\rm g/ml)$, 10% glycerol, 40 μ g of yeast tRNA, and 0.002 unit of T4 3' \rightarrow 5' exonuclease (1 unit of the enzyme produces 40 nmol of dNMP from singlestranded DNA at 37° C for 30 min). After 23 hr, 10- μ l reaction samples were assayed as in the KOH treatment after addition of the carrier solution. Hydrolysis of the reaction product by T4 $3' \rightarrow 5'$ exonuclease was essentially complete at 20 hr after incubation.

* Compared to incorporated radioactivity before treatment as 100%: in α -³²P-labeled product, ³H = 9,700 cpm and ³²P = 1,500 cpm; in γ -³²P-labeled product, ³H = 3,600 cpm and ³²P = 2,000 cpm.

FIG. 2. Analysis of $[^{32}P]$ NMP-labeled product by electrophoresis on ^a ⁷ M urea/12% polyacrylamide gel. Lanes: A, undigested [32p] NMP-labeled product; B, T4 phage $3' \rightarrow 5'$ exonuclease-digested $[{}^{32}P]$ NMP-labeled product. $[{}^{32}P]$ NMP- and ${}^{3}H$ -labeled product was prepared and digested with T4 exonuclease as described in Table 3. After addition of EDTA (20 mM), aliquots (3 μ l) of the undigested and digested product, which contained 300 and 500 cpm of ^{32}P , respectively, were concentrated by lyophilization and added to 25μ of formamide with 2μ l each of 0.5% bromophenol blue (BPB) and xylene cyanol (XC). After heating at 65° C for 5 min, samples were applied to a 12% polyacrylamide gel containing 7 M urea as described by Maniatis et al. (27). Electrophoresis was at 140 V/14 cm for 2 hr. ³²P-Labeled *Taq* I-di-
gested øX174 DNA and [³²P]ATP, [³²P]CTP, [³²P]GTP, and [³²P]UTP (NTPs) were run as markers. After electrophoresis, the gel was subjected to autoradiography by using an intensifying screen. DNA size markers are shown in a parallel lane in nucleotides.

tides in length. We conclude that oligoribonucleotides of about ¹⁰ nucleotides in length served as primers for DNA polymerase α_1 -catalyzed DNA synthesis.

Copurification of NTP-Dependent DNA-Synthesizing Activity with DNA Polymerase α_1 . During early steps in the purification of the two X. laevis DNA polymerases (15, 16), high levels of NTP-independent DNA synthesis (presumably resulting from contaminating nucleases) interfered with precise measurement of NTP-dependent DNA-synthesizing activity. Nevertheless, NTP-dependent stimulation of DNA synthesis was observed coincident only with DNA polymerase α_1 activity after DEAE-cellulose column chromatography (14-16). NTPdependent synthesis was not observed with α_2 activity or in other fractions through subsequent purification steps. As shown by others (12), NTP-dependent stimulation of DNA synthesis increased with progressive purification (from 1.5-fold in the α_1 fraction after the first DEAE-cellulose chromatography to 25 fold in fraction X). During glycerol gradient centrifugation, NTP-dependent DNA-synthesizing activity precisely cosedimented with highly purified DNA polymerase α_1 (6.5 S), but

FIG. 3. NTP-dependent DNA synthesis associated with DNA polymerase α_1 . (A) DNA polymerase α_2 . (B) DNA polymerase α_1 . \Box , DNA polymerase α activity; \circ and \bullet , NTP-dependent DNA synthesis with (c) and without (\bullet) NTP. DNA polymerase α_1 and α_2 (0.5 ml) was applied on an 11.5 ml of 10-30% glycerol gradient containing ⁵⁰ mM Tris HCl (pH 7.5), ²⁰⁰ mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, ² mM 2-mercaptoethanol, and 0.1 mM benzamidine HCl and was centrifuged at 38,000 rpm for ⁴⁰ hr in ^a SW ⁴¹ rotor. After centrifugation and fractionation (0.33 ml each; 35 fractions), $5-\mu l$ aliquots were assayed for NTP-dependent DNA synthesis. DNA polymerase α activity was measured as in NTPdependent DNA synthesis without NTP except that DNA and [³²P]dTTP were replaced by 1.0 μ g of poly(dT)-oligo(rA)₁₀ and [³H]dATP (1,500 cpm/pmol), respectively. Arrows a and b, positions of rabbit muscle aldolase (7.4 S) and bovine serum albumin (4.4 S), respectively, which were centrifuged in parallel gradients. Sedimentation was from right to left.

no NTP-dependent DNA-synthesizing activity was detected with DNA polymerase α_2 (Fig. 3). To further confirm copurification, the assay for NTP-dependent DNA synthesis was carried out after addition of 2.8 units of DNA polymerase α_2 activity to small aliquots of gradient fractions in DNA polymerase α_1 (which lacked sufficient DNA polymerase α_1 activity to catalyze detectable NTP-dependent DNA synthesis in the 10-min assay period). NTP-dependent DNA-synthesizing activity was again observed coincident with the peak of DNA polymerase α_1 activity. This suggests that the primers formed by DNA polymerase α_1 can be utilized by exogenously added DNA polymerase α_2 .

DISCUSSION

Only one of the two forms of X. laevis ovarian DNA polymerase α that have been resolved by chromatography on DEAE-cellulose (16) catalyzed NTP-dependent DNA synthesis on circular single-stranded DNA templates in the presence of ATP (Tables ¹ and 2; Figs. ¹ and 3). Ribonucleotides also were incorporated into the reaction product (Table 3). The K_m for NTP (100-150 μ M) in NTP-dependent DNA synthesis was the same as the K_m for GTP (100 $\mu\bar{M}$) in ribonucleotide incorporation, suggesting ^a possible relationship between the DNA and RNA synthesis. Digestion with T4 3' \rightarrow 5' exonuclease of the reaction product synthesized in the presence of $[{}^{32}P]NTP$ and $[{}^{3}H]dTTP$ generated a discrete oligoribonucleotide after nearly complete hydrolysis of the 3H-labeled material (Table 3 and Fig. 2). This suggested that ^a small piece of RNA was synthesized on the unprimed template and that DNA polymerase α subsequently used the RNA as a primer. γ -³²P label was also incorporated into the product, consistent with this interpretation.

The synthesis of RNA accompanying DNA synthesis does not

Biochemistry: Shioda et al.

seem to be due to contamination by RNA polymerases I, II, or III (30), mitochondrial RNA polymerase (31), or terminal transferase (32) . The evidence for this is (i) the template specificities (Table 1) were inconsistent with the known specificities of these enzymes, (ii) K_m values for NTPs were an order of magnitude higher than has been reported for these enzymes, (*iii*) the synthesis was α -amanitin-resistant (ruling out RNA polymerase II or III), and (iv) the NTP-dependent synthesizing activity sedimented as ^a peak of about 6.5 ^S (ruling out RNA polymerases I, II, and III).

NTP-dependent DNA-synthesizing activity copurified with DNA polymerase α_1 activity during all stages of purification (data not shown) and cosedimented coincident with highly purified DNA polymerase α_1 activity during glycerol gradient centrifugation (Fig. 3). No NTP-dependent DNA-synthesizing activity was detected at any stage of purification of DNA polymerase α_2 . Therefore, we also postulate the X. laevis DNA primase copurified and is associated with DNA polymerase α_1 but not with X. laevis DNA polymerase α_2 . This is consistent with the results of Conaway and Lehman (12), if one assumes that X. laevis DNA polymerase α_2 corresponds to the Drosophila melanogaster DNA polymerase α activity lacking DNA primase activity (cf. figure 4 in ref. 12).

All of the findings in this paper are most simply explained by the hypothesis that DNA primase activity is specifically associated with one form of X. *laevis* ovarian DNA polymerase α activity.

We are extremely grateful to Dr. Maurice J. Bessman for the gift of homogeneous bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase. We thank Deborah Stowers for excellent technical assistance, Linda Hall for preparing the manuscript, and Vincent Pigiet, Roger McMacken, and Leroy Liu for comments on the manuscript. A preliminary version of these results was presented at the June 1980 NATO-Cargese New Approaches in Eukaryotic DNA Replication meeting. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grants GM 23813, 25970, 29490, and 00366.

- 1. Kornberg, A. (1980) DNA Replication (Freeman, San Francisco).
2. Ogawa, T. & Okazaki, T. (1980) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 49, 421-
- 2. Ogawa, T. & Okazaki, T. (1980) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 49, 421- 457.
- 3. Reichard, P., Eliasson, R. & Soderman, G. (1974) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 71, 4901-4905.
- 4. Pigiet, V., Eliasson, R. & Reichard, P. (1974) J. Mol Biol. 84, 197-216.
- 5. Tseng, B. Y. & Goulian, M. (1977) Cell 12, 483-489.
- 6. Tseng, B. Y., Graftstrom, R. H., Revie, R. H., Oertel, W. & Goulian, M. (1978) Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol 43, 263-270.
- 7. Tseng, B. Y., Erikson, J. M. & Goulian, M. (1979) J. Mol. Biol. 129, 531-545. 8. Kowalski, J. & Denhardt, D. T. (1979) Nature (London) 281,
- 704-706. 9. Eliasson, R. & Reichard, P. (1978) Nature (London) 272, 184-
- 185. 10. Morris, P. W. & Racine, F. M. (1978) Nucleic Acids Res. 5, 3959-
- 3973. 11. Yagura, T., Kozu, T. & Seno, T. (1982) J. Biochem. (Tokyo) 91, 607-618.
- 12. Conaway, R. C. & Lehman, I. R. (1982) Proc. Nati Acad. Sci. USA 79, 2523-2527.
- 13. Benbow, R. M., Krauss, M. R. & Reeder, R. H. (1978) Cell 13, 307-318.
- 14. Fox, A. M., Breaux, C. B. & Benbow, R. M. (1980) Dev. Biol 80, 79-95.
- 15. Joenje, H. & Benbow, R. M. (1978) J. Biol. Chem. 253, 2640- 2649.
- 16. Benbow, R. M., Pestell, R. Q. W. & Ford, C. C. (1975) Dev. Biol 43, 159-174.
- 17. Muzyczka, N., Poland, R. L. & Bessman, M. J. (1972) J. Biol. Chem. 247, 7116-7122.
- 18. Herrmann, R., Neugebauer, K., Pirkl, E., Zentgraf, H. & Schaller, H. (1980) Mol Gen. Genet. 177, 231-242.
- 19. Bayne, M. L. & Dumas, L. B. (1978) Anal. Biochem. 91, 432- 440.
- 20. Nelson, E. M., Stowers, D. J., Bayne, M. L. & Benbow, R. M., Dev. Biol., in press.
- 21. Lowry, 0. H., Rosebrough, N. J., Farr, A. L. & Randall, R. J. (1951) J. Biol. Chem. 193, 265-275.
- 22. Banks, G. R., Boezi, J. A. & Lehman, I. R. (1979) J. Biol. Chem. 254, 9886-9892.
- 23. Chen, Y. C., Bohn, E. W., Planck, S. R. & Wilson, S. H. (1979) J. Biol Chem. 254, 11678-11687.
- 24. Mechali, M., Abadiedebat, J. & deRecondo, A. M. (1980) J. Biol. Chem. 255, 2114-2122.
- 25. Sugden, B., DeTroy, B., Roberts, R. J. & Sambrook, J. (1975) AnaL Biochem. 68, 36-46.
- 26. Ikeda, J., Longiaru, M., Horwitz, M. S. & Hurwitz, J. (1980) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77, 5827-5831.
- 27. Maniatis, T., Jeffrey, A. & Van deSande, H. (1975) Biochemistry 14, 3787-3794.
- 28. Sato, S., Hutchison, C. A., III, & Harris, J. (1977) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74, 542-546.
- 29. Rowen, L. & Kornberg, A. (1977) J. Biol. Chem. 253, 758-764.
30. Roeder, R. G. (1974) J. Biol. Chem. 249. 241-248.
- 30. Roeder, R. G. (1974) J. Biol Chem. 249, 241-248.
- 31. Wu, G. & Dawid, I. B. (1972) Biochemistry 11, 3589-3595.
- Longacre, S. S. & Rutter, W. (1977) J. Biol. Chem. 252, 273-283.