

Novel insights on diagnosis, cause and treatment of diabetic neuropathy: focus on painful diabetic neuropathy

Mitra Tavakoli, Omar Asghar, Uazman Alam, Ioannis N. Petropoulos, Hassan Fadavi and Rayaz A. Malik

Abstract: Diabetic neuropathy is common, under or misdiagnosed, and causes substantial morbidity with increased mortality. Defining and developing sensitive diagnostic tests for diabetic neuropathy is not only key to implementing earlier interventions but also to ensure that the most appropriate endpoints are employed in clinical intervention trials. This is critical as many potentially effective therapies may never progress to the clinic, not due to a lack of therapeutic effect, but because the endpoints were not sufficiently sensitive or robust to identify benefit. Apart from improving glycaemic control, there is no licensed treatment for diabetic neuropathy, however, a number of pathogenetic pathways remain under active study. Painful diabetic neuropathy is a cause of considerable morbidity and whilst many pharmacological and nonpharmacological interventions are currently used, only two are approved by the US Food and Drug Administration. We address the important issue of the 'placebo effect' and also consider potential new pharmacological therapies as well as nonpharmacological interventions in the treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy.

Keywords: diabetic neuropathy, diagnosis, painful diabetic neuropathy, nonpharmacological treatment, pharmacological

Introduction

Diabetic neuropathy is extremely distressing and significantly reduces the patients' quality of life [Zelman et al. 2006; Mojaddidi et al. 2005]. Hyperglycaemia is clearly important in the genesis of nerve damage and recent studies suggest that even minimal perturbations in blood glucose in those with impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) may lead to the development of both small [Green et al. 2010; Tavakoli et al. 2010] and large [Sahin et al. 2009] nerve fibre damage and neuropathic pain [Smith and Singleton, 2008].

Diagnosis of diabetic neuropathy

Several different approaches have been employed to diagnose and evaluate the severity of neuropathic deficits in diabetic neuropathy. The neuropathy disability score and 10 g monofilament have been recommended as screening tools in general practice to detect those at risk of foot ulceration [Abbott *et al.* 2002]. However, data to suggest that the 10 g monofilament may not

be reliable [Booth and Young, 2000] or optimal for identifying those at risk of foot ulcers [Miranda-Palma et al. 2005] have been conveniently ignored. A more important point relates to the inappropriate use of the 10 g monofilament to diagnose 'neuropathy' as it will only detect advanced large fibre neuropathy. Hence, a 'normal test' may falsely reassure practitioners when in fact the patient may have mild neuropathy or indeed involvement of the small fibres. Furthermore, because effective intervention must be aimed at a stage when there is a capacity for the nerve to repair, i.e. in the subclinical or mild neuropathy, it is important to reliably quantify small fibre damage. Quantitative sensory testing (QST) including a thermal threshold assessment for cold sensation (A-δ fibres) and warm sensation (c fibres) assesses small fibre dysfunction and therefore can detect early neuropathy, but are highly subjective with low reproducibility [Boulton et al. 2004] and hence have shortcomings when employed to define

Ther Adv Endocrinol Metab

(2010) 1(2) 69-88

DOI: 10.1177/ 2042018810370954

© The Author(s), 2010. Reprints and permissions: http://www.sagepub.co.uk/ journalsPermissions.nav

Correspondence to: Rayaz A. Malik, FRCP, PhD

Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9NT, UK

rayaz.a.malik@ manchester.ac.uk

Mitra Tavakoli, PhD Omar Asghar, MRCP Uazman Alam, MRCP Ioannis N. Petropoulos, MSc

Hassan Fadavi, MD
Division of Cardiovascular
Medicine, University of
Manchester, Manchester,
M13 9NT LIK

therapeutic efficacy in clinical intervention trials [Mojaddidi et al. 2005]. Indeed small fibre abnormalities as assessed by intraepidermal nerve fibre (IENF) density and the Quantitative Sudomotor Axon Reflex Test (OSART) and not neurophysiology or QST, improved after lifestyle intervention in patients with IGT neuropathy [Smith et al. 2006]. Diabetic patients with minimal neuropathy (normal electrophysiology and quantitative sensory tests) show significant unmyelinated fibre [Malik et al. 2005] and IENF damage [Loseth et al. 2008; Quattrini et al. 2007; Umapathi et al. 2007]. Direct examination of these fibres can be undertaken in sural nerve [Malik et al. 2005, 2001] or skin-punch [Smith et al. 2005; Sumner et al. 2003] biopsies, however, both are invasive procedures. Recently, we have shown that corneal confocal microscopy (CCM), a novel noninvasive technique can detect small fibre neuropathy in diabetic patients by visualizing the subbasal nerve plexus in Bowman's layer of the cornea [Quattrini et al. 2007; Hossain et al. 2005]. CCM may also be more sensitive than IENF density (IENFD) in detecting early damage [Quattrini et al. 2007] and repair after pancreas transplantation [Mehra et al. 2007; Boucek et al. 2005]. We have also demonstrated a progressive loss of corneal sensation with increasing severity of neuropathy, providing a functional correlate of corneal nerve fibre loss [Tavakoli et al. 2007]. With regard to painful neuropathy, more severe IENF loss [Sorensen et al. 2006b] and reduction in both IENF and corneal nerve fibre length [Quattrini et al. 2007] has been related to symptoms, suggestive of a pathological basis for painful diabetic neuropathy (PDN). As CCM is noninvasive it may be an ideal technique to assess alterations in small nerve fibre pathology in relation to PDN and progression of neuropathic deficits. In our recent study of patients with idiopathic small fibre neuropathy (ISFN) and IGT we have demonstrated significant corneal nerve damage [Tavakoli et al. 2010]. We have also shown that CCM as opposed to thermal thresholds can be used to demonstrate small nerve fibre damage in patients with Fabry disease, a condition characterized by painful neuropathy [Tavakoli et al. 2009].

A summary of the advantages and limitations of the present techniques to quantify nerve fibre damage in diabetic neuropathy is presented in Table 1.

Treatment of diabetic neuropathy

The ideal therapy should prevent or arrest the progressive loss of nerve function and improve symptoms with minimal side effects. However, current treatment options do not address the underlying cause of nerve damage. Furthermore, recent data highlight the main challenge with future clinical trials assessing improvement in diabetic neuropathy to be the lack of significant worsening of neuropathy in the placebo group [Dyck et al. 2007]. At present, apart from improving glycaemic control there is no licensed treatment for diabetic neuropathy. We have not provided a detailed review of all treatments but we have focused on three areas which are still being actively pursued.

Aldose reductase inhibitors

The aldose reductase theory remains viable in experimental diabetic neuropathy 2008], however, its translation to man has been disappointing [Schemmel et al. 2009; Hamada and Nakamura, 2004]. Some of the aldose reductase inhibitors (ARIs) were withdrawn due to toxicity (Tolrestat, Sorbinil, Zenerastat), others due to a lack of efficacy [Schemmel et al. 2009; Chalk et al. 2007]. However, it also appears that reliance on nerve sorbitol as a means to assess aldose reductase inhibition may well have lead to an underestimation of the doses needed for clinical efficacy and an overestimation of drug safety margins [Oates, 2008]. Furthermore, the choice of clinical endpoints and the magnitude of response required to prove efficacy has been questioned recently [Dyck et al. 2007].

Epalrestat, the only ARI in use, is currently only licensed for use in Japan [Ramirez and Borja, 2008; Hotta et al. 1996]. In a small study of 39 type 2 diabetic patients Epalrestat prevented progression of peripheral neuropathy, but surprisingly this was related to a reduction in the production of advanced glycation endproducts (AGEs) [Kawai et al. 2009], suggesting cross talk between two important pathogenetic pathways of diabetic neuropathy. In a recent study from India, Epalrestat improved motor and sensory nerve conduction velocities (NCVs) and the vibration perception threshold (VPT) [Sharma and Sharma, 2008]. Longer-term benefits have also been demonstrated with Epalrestat in a 3-year trial, reporting improvements in motor NCV, F-wave latency and VPT as well as neuropathic symptoms, particularly in patients with better glycaemic control and less overt microvascular

Table 1. Advantages and disadvantages for different tests of neuropathy.

| Method | Advantage | Disadvantage |
|--|--|--|
| Clinical/neurological examination | Simple, easy to use | Not sensitive or reproducible |
| Electrophysiology | Sensitive, objective | Assesses only large fibres |
| | | Requires special equipment |
| Quantitative sensory tests (QST) | Evaluates both large and small nerve fibres | Subjective, low reproducibility, requires special equipment |
| Sympathetic skin response (SSR) | Simple, fast, objective | Semi quantitative, low sensitivity |
| Quantitative sudomotor axon reflex test (QSART) | Sensitive, objective, reproducible | Requires special equipment, time-consuming |
| Autonomic testing | Objective, quantitative | Moderate sensitivity, requires special equipment |
| Nerve/skin biopsy | Quantitative, sensitive | Invasive, problems with wound healing, specialist histological technique to quantify intraepidermal nerve fibre. |
| Corneal confocal microscopy/Corneal aesthesiometry | Rapid, noninvasive, reiterative and quantitative | Requires special equipment and expertise |

complications [Hotta et al. 2006]. In 30 diabetic patients with mild to moderate neuropathy, median, tibial and sural NCV and wrist and ankle F-waves improved over 6 months and were associated with increased nodal Na+ [Misawa et al. 2006]. The only other ARI that remains in clinical trials is Ranirestat (AS-3201), which has been shown to prevent sural nerve accumulation of sorbitol and fructose with an improvement in sural NCV [Bril and Buchanan, 2004]. In a double-blind, placebo-controlled biopsy trial, sensory nerve function improved at 12 weeks, whilst motor NCV and VPT improved at 60 weeks [Bril and Buchanan, 2006]. In one of the largest ARI trials to date, 549 patients randomized to a 52-week, multiple-dose, placebo-controlled, double-blind study demonstrated a significant improvement in summed motor NCV (peroneal, tibial and median) at 12, 24 and 36 weeks and in peroneal NCV at 36 and 52 weeks, but with no improvement in neurological examination, QST or symptoms of neuropathy [Bril et al. 2009].

Antioxidants

Oxidative stress and impaired antioxidant defence mechanisms have been implicated as major pathogenic components of diabetic polyneuropathy [Shay et al. 2009]. Intravenous alpha-lipoic acid (ALA), an antioxidant and a free-radical scavenger, has been show to improve symptomatic diabetic neuropathy [Ziegler et al. 2004; Evans et al. 2002]. The SYmptomatic Diabetic NEuropathY (SYDNEY) trial [Ametov et al. 2003] demonstrated an improvement in neuropathic symptoms in patients treated with ALA. A very short (4-week) study in 14 type 2 diabetic patients demonstrated that 400 mg daily ALA over 4 weeks improved

reactive oxygen metabolites (ROMs) and high density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) [Gianturco et al. 2009]. In a small study of nine type 1 diabetic patients, ALA (600 mg/day twice) in combination with benfotiamine (300 mg/day twice) down regulated markers of ROM, reduced hexosamine activity by 40%, prostacyclin synthase activity by 70% and normalized AGE formation [Du et al. 2008]. However, in a double-blind, randomized, placebocontrolled study of an oral controlled-release formulation of ALA in 40 type 1 diabetic adolescents, no significant effects were observed on markers of oxidative damage [Huang and Gitelman, 2008]. Tankova and coworkers investigated the effect of 600 mg/day intravenous ALA for 10 days followed by 60 days of oral ALA in 23 patients and showed improvements in clinical signs of oculomotor, trochlear and abducent nerve mononeuropathies (double vision, ptosis) with an improvement in the Total Symptom Score (TSS) and an effect on peripheral and autonomic neuropathy [Tankova et al. 2005]. The SYDNEY 2 trial assessed the effects of 600, 1200 and 1800 mg oral ALA versus placebo in 181 diabetic patients over 5 weeks and showed an improvement in the TSS, neuropathy symptoms and change score (NSC), neuropathy impairment score (NIS) and patients' global assessment of efficacy [Ziegler et al. 2006].

Vascular endothelial growth factor

Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is regarded as a potent stimulator of angiogenesis and vasculogenesis in health and disease [Carmeliet, 2003] and acts through binding to the tyrosine kinase receptors VEGFR-1 and VEGFR-2 [Storkebaum *et al.* 2004]. Numerous studies have demonstrated the central role of

VEGF in the pathogenesis of diabetic retinopathy (DR) and diabetic macular oedema (DMO). Increased VEGF levels and VEGFR-2 expression have been found in models of experimental diabetes [Gilbert *et al.* 1998]. Tissue hypoxia is known to trigger an increase in VEGF content [Shweiki *et al.* 1992] and increased VEGF activity causes angiogenesis in DR [Aiello *et al.* 1994].

To date, there are two US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved anti-VEGF agents, pegaptanib (Macugen, OSI/Eyetech, Melville, NY, USA) and ranibizumab (Lucentis, Genentech, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, USA), for use in DR but also DMO. Pegaptanib targets the VEGF165 isoform and has been found to inhibit VEGF's actions on endothelial mitogen activity and vascular permeability [Ishida et al. 2003]. Ranibizumab is a recombinant, humanized, antibody fragment that binds all VEGF isoforms [Nicholson and Schachat, 2010]. Bevacizumab (Avastin, Genentech, Inc.) is currently being evaluated in clinical trials to prove its safety and efficacy for intraocular use [Nicholson and Schachat, 2010]. In 40 type 2 diabetic patients with proliferative retinopathy, a single intravitreal injection of Bevacizumab in addition to standard laser treatment resulted in complete regression of proliferative change in 87.5% compared with only 25% in the sham group at week 6, however, by week 16 recurrence of Proliferative Diabetic Retinopathy (PDR) meant that the complete regression rate was identical in the two groups [Mirshahi et al. 2008]. Similarly in DMO, the benefits of triamcinolone and bevacizumab versus bevacizumab compared with standard macular laser photocoagulation have been shown to be short lived with no correlation between reduction in macular thickness and visual acuity [Faghihi et al. 2008].

In the nervous system, VEGF also appears to have a beneficial effect as it has been shown to be neuroprotective promoting elongation of neurites and proliferation of nonneural cells [Storkebaum et al. 2004]. Experimental studies report upregulation of VEGF in response to ischaemia [Samii et al. 1999] and a key role of VEGF in maintaining neuronal integrity and preventing hypoxic death of Schwann cells [Schratzberger et al. 2000]. Intramuscular administration of an engineered zinc finger protein activator of VEGF-A has been shown to prevent sensory and motor nerve conduction velocity deficits [Price et al. 2006]. More recently it has been shown to correct endogenous VEGF-A protein levels in L4/5 dorsal root ganglia and protect against mechanical allodynia [Pawson *et al.* 2009]. Topical application of VEGF has also been previously shown to accelerate diabetic wound healing in experimental models of diabetes [Galiano *et al.* 2004].

The role of VEGF in human diabetic neuropathy has not been explored fully and data remains somewhat confusing. Thus, Quattrini and colleagues demonstrated a significant reduction in VEGF expression in skin biopsies from the dorsum of the foot which was related to the severity of neuropathy in diabetic patients [Quattrini et al. 2008]. However, serum VEGF level have been found to be increased in diabetic patients with symptomatic neuropathy [Deguchi et al. 2009]. Bevilacqua and coworkers assessed 10 diabetic and 10 nondiabetic subjects and showed an increase in plasma VEGF during frequency modulated electromagnetic simulation (FREMS), providing a mechanistic basis for the beneficial effect of FREMS on NCV [Bevilacqua et al. 2007], but also highlighting the potential for variability when assessing serum VEGF levels. In a randomized, double-blinded study intramuscular gene transfer at eight standardized sites adjacent to the sciatic, peroneal, and tibial nerves was undertaken in 39 diabetic patients who received plasmid VEGF (VEGF-1/VEGF-A or VEGF-2/VEGF-C) whilst 11 received placebo over 6 months. An improvement in neuropathic symptoms was observed but without an effect on nerve conduction or quantitative sensory examination [Ropper et al. 2009]. Although an increased incidence of side effects was observed this was not characterized by increased oedema or haemorrhage, as might have been predicted recently with the demonstration of increased capillary leakage following VEGF treatment [de Leeuw et al. 2008]. Thus, the demonstration of a clinical benefit for diabetic neuropathy after VEGF treatment is at best, limited.

Painful diabetic neuropathy

Assessment of severity of neuropathic pain

The accurate assessment for the presence and severity of painful symptoms in patients with diabetic neuropathy is very important, not just to ensure a correct diagnosis but also to assess the benefits of treatment, especially with the potential for a large placebo effect as discussed elsewhere in this review. Many different questionnaires and scores have been developed or adopted to quantify neuropathic pain. The McGill Pain Questionnaire is the most frequently used questionnaire, but it

was not developed originally for diabetic neuropathic pain. Recently, more specific scores have been developed for diabetic painful neuropathy and include the Brief Pain Inventory short form for peripheral diabetic neuropathy (BPI-PDN) [Sorensen et al. 2006b]. The BPI is a patient-completed numeric rating scale that assesses the severity of pain and its impact on daily functioning on a 7-item pain interference scale. The Neuropathic Pain Questionnaire (NPQ) was developed to provide a general assessment of neuropathic pain and discriminate between neuropathic and nonneuropathic pain [Gore et al. 2007]. An additional diagnostic tool, the pain diagnostic questionnaire (DN4), has been shown to distinguish neuropathic from nociceptive pain [Calcutt and Backonja, 2007]. Follow-up assessment of pain in PDN can be undertaken using either the NPQ or the other recently developed tool, the Neuropathic Pain Symptom Inventory (NPSI), which is a self-questionnaire designed to evaluate different symptoms of neuropathic pain [Kelly et al. 2005]. The NPSI includes 10 descriptors that allow for the discrimination and quantification of clinically relevant aspects of neuropathic pain. It has been suggested that this pain questionnaire may be able to characterize subgroups of patients with neuropathic pain, and verify differential responses to pharmacologic or other treatment interventions. Finally, the Neuropathic Pain Scale has been designed specifically to monitor effects of therapy on neuropathic pain [Malik et al. 2005].

Treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy

Small fibre damage is an essential prerequisite for the development of PDN. However, additional alterations which include both peripheral and central sensitization make the treatment of this condition difficult. Hence, whilst many approaches have been advocated for the treatment of PDN, achieving >50% relief is rare and side effects limit dose titration. Thus, an improvement in the understanding of the pathogenesis of pain in diabetic neuropathy may lead to new more targeted treatments, with better efficacy and less side effects. Whilst the traditional approach has been to change or substitute treatments, owing to a lack of efficacy or side effects, a growing body of recent data suggests that combining lower doses of agents which act on different pain pathways may achieve better efficacy with fewer side effects [Baron et al. 2009; Zin et al. 2009; Hanna et al. 2008]. This establishes a new paradigm for future clinical trials in PDN

[Backonja et al. 2006]. The goal of this review is not to exhaustively detail all of the studies in PDN as several recent excellent reviews and analyses provide this [Noble et al. 2010; Wiffen et al. 2010; Moore et al. 2009; Ziegler, 2008a, 2008b]. Tables 2, 3 and 4 provide a summary of available treatments.

Placebo effect in double-blind trials of painful diabetic neuropathy

A recognized but unaddressed issue in trials of PDN is the 'placebo effect' and merits consideration [Wymer et al. 2009; Katz et al. 2008; Quessy and Rowbotham, 2008; Turner et al. 1994]. Quessy and Rowbotham have suggested that the true mean pain score reduction in trials of PDN is around 26–27% [Quessy and Rowbotham, 2008]. Several recent studies in PDN have shown a placebo effect which has approached and even surpassed that of the active therapy, obscuring the precise treatment effect of the active treatment (Table 5).

Pregabalin has been studied extensively in parallel cohort designed studies. In a meta-analysis of pregabalin in acute and chronic pain [Moore et al. 2009], a subgroup analysis in PDN trials showed that those achieving at least 50% pain reduction in the placebo arm (PA) was 23%, 26% and 25% and discontinuation due to a lack of efficacy was 7%, 8% and 14% in combined studies of 150 mg (n=2studies), 300 mg (n = 4 studies) and 600 mg (n = 6studies), respectively. Although discontinuation was greater than in the treatment arm (TA) it still suggests that subjects gain a sufficient benefit to continue with the sham treatment. In a study of patients with postherpetic neuralgia and PDN comparing oxycodone against placebo with a dose titration of Pregabalin, a greater response rate (\sim 50% pain reduction) was observed in the PA in three of the four groups with pregabalin dose titration [Zin et al. 2009]. Furthermore, prior to the initiation of Pregabalin, 34.5% of patients in the PA compared with 23.2% in the Oxycodone arm obtained at least 50% pain reduction.

Duloxetine, a dual reuptake inhibitor of serotonin and noradrenaline [Schuessler, 2006], has been placed as a first-line therapy for PDN in the 2010 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidance on pharmacological management of painful neuropathy. However, three key studies have demonstrated the efficacy of Duloxetine in PDN with a mean percentage change from baseline in the PA of 33%, 29% and 24%, respectively [Raskin *et al.* 2006; Wernicke *et al.*

Table 2. Pathogenetic treatment options for diabetic neuropathy.

| Mechanism of effect | Class of drug | Drug | Dose per day (mg) | Side effects | Comment |
|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| Glycaemic control | Insulin | - | - | Hypoglycaemia | Proven in type 1 but not type 2 diabetes mellitus |
| Glycaemic control | Pancreas transplantation [Mehra <i>et al.</i> 2007] | _ | _ | Immunosuppression | Data limited |
| Oxidative stress | Alpha-lipoic acid [Ziegler <i>et al.</i> 2004] | Alpha-lipoic acid | 600 mg IV 1200—1800 mg orally | | No long-term data |
| Aldose reductase (AR) inhibition | Aldose reductase inhibitors [Oates, 2008] | Epalrestat | · | | Only licensed in Japan |
| Improved blood flow | Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors [Malik <i>et al.</i> 1998; Reja <i>et al.</i> 1995] | Trandalopril Lisinopril | | | More studies needed |

Table 3. Symptomatic treatment options for diabetic neuropathy.

| Mechanism of effect | Class of drug | Drug | Dose per day (mg) | Side effects | Comment |
|---------------------|---|---|----------------------|-----------------|---|
| Pharmacotherapy | Tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) | Amitriptyline [Max <i>et al.</i> 1992] | 20—150 | +++ | Sedation and anticholinergic side effects |
| | (10,10) | Imipramine | 25—150 | +++ | Sedation and anticholinergic side effects |
| | Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) | Duloxetine [Raskin <i>et al.</i> 2006] | 60—120 | | Approved by FDA Metabolic side effects |
| | Anticonvulsants | Gabapentin [Wiffen et al. 2010] | 900-3600 | + | Somnolence |
| | | Lamotrigine [Wiffen <i>et al.</i> 2010] | 200-400 | + | Nausea, headache |
| | | Carbamazepine [Wiffen <i>et al.</i> 2010] | 200–600 | ++ | Agranulocytosis |
| | | Pregabalin [Freeman <i>et al.</i> 2008] | 300–600 | | FDA approved, pedal oedema |
| | Antiarrhythmics | Mexilitene [Carroll <i>et al.</i> 2008] | Up to 900 | +++ | Nausea, Tremor, increased arrhythmia risk |
| | Opioids | Tramadol [Freeman <i>et al.</i> 2007] | 50–400 | ++ | Sedation, constipation |
| | | Oxycodone [Zin <i>et al.</i> 2009] | 40–60 | +++ | Sedation, constipation |
| | Topical agents | Capsaicin [Tandan <i>et al.</i> 1992] | Topical | | Intraepidermal nerve fibre loss |
| | | Glyceryl trinitrate (GTN) | Topical | | Headache, |
| | | [Agrawal <i>et al.</i> 2009] | spray | | tolerance |

2006; Goldstein et al. 2005]. The Duloxetine studies have also shown variability in the PA with the percentage demonstrating 50% pain response ranging from 26% to 30%. Lacosamide, a new investigational drug in epilepsy and neuropathic pain, slowly inactivates voltage-gated sodium channels and interacts with a collapsin response mediator

protein-2 [Errington *et al.* 2008; Sheets *et al.* 2008; Bretin *et al.* 2006]. In a phase 2 double-blind randomized controlled trial the Lacosamide arm achieved an average Likert pain scale score (last observation carried forward [LOCF]) of 3.7 ± 2.6 compared with a baseline of 6.6 ± 1.6 [Rauck *et al.* 2007]. However, the PA achieved a Likert pain scale

| Table 4. Placebo response | of trials of duloxetine | venlafaxine glycery | Ltrinitrate/sodium | valproate and cannabinoids. |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | |

| Trial | Drug | Number in placebo group | Length of trial(weeks) | Percentage change from baseline of pain scores | Percentage of placebo responders |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Goldstein et al. [2005] Raskin et al. [2006] Wernicke et al. [2006] Rowbotham et al. [2004] Selvarajah et al. [2009] Agrawal et al. [2009] | Duloxetine Duloxetine Venlafaxine Sativex Sodium valproate + GTN | 115 116 108 81 14 GTN + placebo = 20 Placebo + Sodium valproate = 20 Placebo + placebo = 21 | 12 12 12 6 12 12 | 33% 29% 24% 27% 37% 36% 23% | 26% (50% pain improvement) 30% (50% pain improvement) 27% (50% pain improvement) 34% (50% pain improvement) 64% (30% pain improvement) n/a |

Table 5. Nonpharmacological symptomatic treatment options for diabetic neuropathy.

| Mechanism of effect | Type of treatment | Comment |
|---------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| Physical therapy | Electrical spinal cord stimulation | Highly invasive Limited data |
| | Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) | Limited data |
| | Percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (PENS) | Limited data |
| | Magnetic field therapy | Limited data |
| | Low-intensity laser therapy (LILT) | Limited data |
| | Monochromatic near-infrared treatment (MIRE) | Limited data |
| | Dressings | Limited data |
| | Acupuncture | Limited data |
| | Yoga | Limited data |
| Others | Psychological support | Limited data |

score (LOCF) of 4.5 ± 2.6 compared with a baseline of 6.5 ± 1.7 . Despite this marked placebo effect Lacosamide was superior to the placebo, but it raised questions regarding the repeatability of such an intervention, given the extensive placebo effect. Thus, in a recent study of three fixed-dose regimens, 68% of participants in the PA reported 'feeling better' on the patient global impression change (PGIC) evaluation compared with 69%, 81% and 83% on Lacosamide 200, 400 and 600 mg, respectively [Wymer *et al.* 2009]. Similarly, on the Likert pain scale the PA demonstrated a least-squares mean change of -1.6 from baseline and the only group which was significantly different from placebo was Lacosamide 400 mg.

In the only published trial of a medicinal cannabisbased product in the treatment of PDN, thirty subjects were randomised to either Sativex (tetrahydrocannabinol and cannabidiol) or placebo [Selvarajah *et al.* 2009]. The placebo effect was actually greater than with Sativex, with a reduction in all modalities of the pain diary score; indeed the mean reduction in the total pain score in the PA was 37% compared with 20% with active treatment.

Such a significant placebo effect is worthy of further investigation, particularly when planning future trials of agents for PDN. The possible predictors of the placebo response have been assessed in three trials of Lamotrigine using pooled data of 252 placebo subjects (222 had PDN) [Irizarry et al. 2009]. A higher baseline pain score and a faster rate of recruitment were both identified as independent predictors of the placebo response. In an analysis of the Sativex trial, Selvarajah and colleagues suggested that depression may potentially be an important confounding factor as subjects with depression have a higher baseline pain score and consequently by entering a trial respond better to both the placebo and active drug [Selvarajah et al. 2009]. With depression being so common in PDN this confounding factor should clearly be accounted for, however, exclusion by assessing depression scores may not suffice [Vileikyte et al. 2009]. Indeed the placebo response is a well-known entity in trials of depression [Dworkin et al. 2005]. Also centres that recruit at a faster rate may introduce bias as they may represent those centres where more of the subjects have intractable PDN and are therefore more eager to participate in novel treatments [Irizarry et al. 2009].

The placebo response is also thought to vary along the time course of a trial [Quessy and Rowbotham, 2008], hence the FDA and other regulatory agencies require studies of at least 12 weeks for chronic pain [Rappaport, 2007]. The premise being that a placebo effect is thought to stabilize after an initial period of several weeks [Katz et al. 2008; Dworkin et al. 2005] with longer-term trials producing a plateau. However, an analysis of the placebo response variability in trials of PDN did not show such a plateau and variability may exist beyond 19 weeks [Quessy and Rowbotham, 2008].

A number of approaches have been suggested to separate the drug from the placebo response [Dworkin et al. 2005] and include use of a placebo run-in period (in order to cull placebo responders), flexible dosing and the exclusion of subjects with mild pain [Katz et al. 2008; Quessy and Rowbotham, 2008]. However, the incorporation of these designs into clinical trials of pain has shown little benefit. Indeed McQuay conducted a review of the placebo response and concluded that the greatest determinants of the placebo response are in fact random factors [McQuay, 2008]. Thus, the placebo effect is certainly one that should be considered prior to the initiation of any new study in PDN. Perhaps the current double-blind parallel randomised controlled designs in trials of PDN require modification, to minimise the placebo effect and limit obscuration of the true treatment effect.

Novel approaches for the treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy

Given the limitations of current treatments of PDN in relation to limited therapeutic effect, significant side effects and the placebo effect, we briefly review novel mechanisms and agents currently in development which may have therapeutic potential in PDN.

Transient receptor potential vanilloid receptor 1 (TRPV1). TRPV1 is a nonselective cation channel abundantly expressed in C-fibres [Wong and Gavva, 2009] and several observations suggest a potentially important role in the modulation of TRPV1 as a therapeutic for pain. Capsaicin can cause desensitization of TRPV1 channels and relieves pain in humans [Knotkova et al. 2008] and pain behaviour in animals [Szallasi and Blumberg, 1999, 1993]. Similarly, antagonists at the TRPV1 receptor relieve pain behaviour in rodent models of inflammation [Joshi et al. 2009], osteoarthritis and cancer.

Furthermore, TRPV1 knockout mice display reduced sensitivity to noxious stimuli [Christoph et al. 2008]. Of the TRPV1 agonists, capsaicin is the most widely studied in PDN. Its use has been limited to topical administration, due to its very narrow therapeutic index and undesirable side effects following systemic administration. Topical administration however is not without its drawbacks; unlike its more potent analogue Resiniferatoxin (RTX), Capsaicin evokes an excitatory response prior to desensitization and this has been postulated as one of the reasons for noncompliance during clinical trials. Twenty two patients with chronic severe PDN were randomized to 0.075% topical Capsaicin or vehicle for 8 weeks and reported a significant improvement in pain intensity (16% versus 4.1%) and pain relief (44.6% versus 23.2%) in the Capsaicin group [Tandan et al. 1992]. A follow-up open-label study by the same group noted improvement or complete cure of pain in 50% of patients. A similar study reported a 90% improvement in symptoms in those treated with Capsaicin [Scheffler et al. 1991]. A larger study found topical Capsaicin to be equivalent in efficacy to Amitriptyline, but with a better safety profile [Biesbroeck et al. 1995]. The Capsaicin Study Group carried out a multicentre, double-blind, vehicle-controlled trial of topical capsaicin in 252 patients with painful DPN and reported a significant reduction in pain scores versus vehicle [The Capsaicin Study Group, 1991]. In each of these trials, capsaicin was well tolerated, the most common side effect being that of localized burning which improved over the duration of the trial. A systematic review of Capsaicin trials of six randomised controlled trials in neuropathic pain and the relative benefit of topical Capsaicin compared to placebo produced a number needed to treat (NNT) of 5.7 [Mason et al. 2004]. A higher concentration dermal patch has been tried recently in patients with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)related painful neuropathy and showed efficacy, tolerability and safety for at least 12 weeks [Simpson et al. 2008a, 2008b]. However, topical Capsaicin produces a uniform epidermal nerve fibre injury with a marked reduction in IENFD which takes \sim 50 days to recover [Polydefkis *et al.*] 2004]. Thus, it is not surprising that it works for 12 weeks. However, given that IENFD loss is already considerable in diabetic patients, may be related to the genesis of pain [Sorensen et al. 2006a] and demonstrates slower rates of regeneration [Polydefkis et al. 2004], the authors would not recommend this treatment for patients with PDN.

This is particularly relevant in diabetes as small fibres regulate skin vasomotor responses and sweating, disturbance of which may predispose to ulceration [Demiot et al. 2006; Fromy et al. 2002]. There are several ongoing phase 2 and 3 trials investigating the use of injectable preparations of capsaicin in a variety of pain syndromes and an excellent review of trials using Capsaicin in other pain syndromes can be found elsewhere [Knotkova et al. 2008]. The results of clinical trials with topical RTX in patients with PDN have never been published. Although several different molecules selectively antagonize the TRPV1 receptor and many have been tested in vitro and in rodents, there are very limited data in humans [Chizh et al. 2007]. Some clinical trials were terminated early due to hyperthermia whilst [Gavva et al. 2008] the results of other phase 1 trials are yet to be published [Madej et al. 2009]. Thus, the clinical potential for TRPV1-related treatment remains to be explored in DPN.

Tumour necrosis factor a. Tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α is one of a group of pro-inflammatory cytokines which mediate hyperalgesia in a diverse range of inflammatory and neuropathic conditions [Uceyler and Sommer, 2008]. TNF-α production is upregulated following nerve injury and linked to hyperalgesia, whilst cytokine inhibitors and anti-inflammatory cytokines have an analgesic effect. TNF- α and Interleukin-2 (IL2) levels are increased in painful neuropathies compared with nonpainful neuropathies [Uceyler et al. 2007]. TNF- α may also be involved in the pathogenesis of experimental diabetic neuropathy [Satoh et al. 2003]. Although the precise mechanism is not clear, TNF-α level is higher in the serum of diabetic patients compared with normal individuals and it has been implicated in the development of diabetic microangiopathy and macroangiopathy [Katsuki et al. 1998]. In a recent study of gluteal fat biopsies from obese patients, adiponectin was shown to be a modulator of local vascular tone by increasing nitric oxide bioavailability, but this capacity was lost in obesity by the development of adipocyte hypertrophy, leading to hypoxia, inflammation (increased TNF receptor 1) and oxidative stress [Greenstein et al. 2009]. Hypertriglyceridaemia has recently been associated with the development of diabetic [Vincent et al. 2009; Wiggin et al. 2009] and idiopathic small fibre [Tavakoli et al. 2010; Smith and 2008] neuropathy and can Singleton, course induce TNF-\alpha production [Liu et al. 2008]. In experimental diabetes a reduction in

NCV occurs following the administration of TNF-α [Satoh et al. 2003] which improves following the administration of the antioxidant N-acetylcysteine [Sagara et al. 1996]. The administration of insulin and antioxidant therapy results in a reduction of TNF-α and an improvement in neuropathy [Sharma et al. 2007]. Furthermore, experiments using antibodies to TNF-α and other cytokines have shown a marked reduction and mechanical allodynia hyperalgesia [Schafers et al. 2001]. TNF- α also induces the upregulation of cycloxygenase-2 (COX-2) and immuno-inflammatory substances associated including prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), IL6 and calcitonin gene related peptide (CGRP) [Ma and Quirion, 2006] and appears to be involved in the regulation of nerve growth factor (NGF) [Takei and Laskey, 2008].

Several commonly prescribed drugs in diabetes such as angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and beta blockers have been shown to have anti-TNF properties in vivo and in vitro [Madej et al. 2009]. And indeed both Lisinopril [Reja et al. 1995] and Trandalopril [Malik et al. 1998], have been shown to ameliorate diabetic peripheral neuropathy. In animal studies, Gliclazide [Qiang et al. 1998a], and Troglitazone [Qiang et al. 1998b] have been shown to inhibit TNF- α and ameliorate neuropathy. A large questionnaire-based retrospective study of 33,000 Japanese patients with diabetes found a reduction in reported symptoms of neuropathy in those treated with Troglitazone [Satoh et al. 2003]. Although Troglitazone has been withdrawn both Pioglitazone and Rosiglitazone are currently prescribed for improving glycaemic control. Anticytokine treatment such as Infliximab, thalidomide and lenalidomide have been successfully used in the treatment of complex regional pain syndromes [Bernateck et al. 2007; Schwartzman et al. 2003]. However, there are case reports of infliximab-induced acute sensory motor neuropathy, which merits caution [Faivre et al. 2010]. Hence, the potential for these therapies in the treatment of PDN, especially if they only need to be administered intermittently, is worthy of exploration.

Protein kinase-C inhibitors. The link between protein kinase C (PKC)- β activation, endoneurial ischaemia and neuropathy is well established and prompted the now-aborted Ruboxistaurin (PKC- β inhibitor) clinical trial programme in diabetic neuropathy. A randomized control trial

of Ruboxistaurin versus placebo showed no overall differences between the groups in terms of the primary endpoint (vibration detection threshold) but there was a significant reduction in symptom scores in the Ruboxistaurin treated group [Vinik et al. 2005]. In a more recent but relatively small study Ruboxistaurin improved skin blood flow and improved the neuropathy symptom score [Casellini et al. 2007]. However, PKC comprises a group of key regulatory enzymes which modulate neuronal function, the synthesis and release of neurotransmitters and the regulation of receptors. Experimental studies have implicated the role of PKC activation in the development of neuropathic pain and PDN [Kamei et al. 2001]. postchemotherapy painful neuropathy, increased phosphorylation of other PKC isoforms (gamma/epsilon) has been demonstrated in the thalamus and periaqueductal gray which can be inhibited and is associated with pain relief after supraspinal administration of the PKC specific inhibitor calphostin C [Norcini et al. 2009]. In diabetic mice activation of Ca²⁺-dependent PKC in the spinal cord has been shown to contribute to the development of mechanical allodynia [Honda et al. 2007]. Furthermore, a recent study has shown the fascinating interaction between several different pain pathways with PKC as a central mediator, as enkephalin-mediated activation of the presynaptic delta-opioid receptor prevents increased neuronal Na (v) 1.7 in dorsal root ganglion (DRG) via inhibition of PKC [Chattopadhyay et al. 2008]. Hence, the future role of PKC in PDN needs to be explored.

Sodium channel antagonists. The important role of voltage-gated sodium channels has been established primarily in experimental studies which have causally linked changes in sodium channel expression and modulation to channel gating properties or current density in nociceptor neurones and different pain states [Dib-Hajj et al. 2009a, 2009b]. The sodium channel isoforms Na (v) 1.3, Na (v) 1.7, Na (v) 1.8, and Na (v) 1.9 are particularly important in the pathophysiology of pain. Thus, gain-of-function mutations in SCN9A, the gene encoding Na (v) 1.7, has been linked to a twofold increase in firing frequency following depolarization of DRG neurones [Estacion et al. 2009] providing a basis for the association with inherited erythromelalgia [Fischer et al. 2009; Han et al. 2009] and paroxysmal extreme pain disorder, while loss-of-function mutations in SCN9A has been linked to complete insensitivity to pain.

Nonspecific sodium channel blockers such as Mexilitene, a class Ib sodium channel antagonist, are used for the treatment of neuropathic pain and cardiac arrhythmias. As a general rule, most treatment guidelines recommend Mexilitene as a third-line agent [Dworkin et al. 2007] owing to its limited efficacy and side effects. A meta-analysis of 19 trials using either lidocaine or Mexilitene found that both of these drugs were superior to placebo and equal to morphine, gabapentin, Amitriptyline, and amantadine for neuropathic pain [Tremont-Lukats et al. 2005]. No major adverse events were reported in the clinical trials and the most common side effects were drowsiness, fatigue, nausea and dizziness. A Cochrane database review also reached the same conclusions [Challapalli et al. 2005]. European guidelines however do not recommend the use of Mexilitene for DPN [Attal et al. 2006]. In order to overcome the problems associated with the use of Mexilitene, a group in Stanford has identified factors which may help to identify those patients who may tolerate chronic Mexilitene therapy [Carroll et al. 2008]. A fascinating study in a girl with inherited erythromelalgia suggests that some patients with this condition may show a favourable response to Mexilitene due to a use-dependent effect on mutant Na (v) 1.7 channels [Choi et al. 2009].

These data suggest that future strategies which may prove to be more efficacious must involve isoform-specific blockers of these channels, facilitated by studies of ion-channel pathophysiology to define specific abnormalities in ionic conductance and allow tailored pharmacologic blockade or modulation [Kuwabara and Misawa, 2008].

Nonpharmacological treatment of diabetic neuropathy

Whilst pharmacotherapy is the mainstay of therapy for the relief of PDN [Max et al. 1992]. Alternative nonpharmacological treatments such as acupuncture [Abuaisha et al. 1998], transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) [Kumar and Marshall, 1997], spinal cord stimulation [Tesfaye et al. 1996], percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (PENS) [Hamza et al. 2000], low-intensity laser therapy (LILT) [Zinman et al. 2004] and monochromatic infrared light [Leonard et al. 2004] are used in patients who are unresponsive or cannot tolerate

pharmacotherapy, however the evidence for these approaches is limited and needs to be carefully reviewed.

Acupuncture. Acupuncture, developed in Chinese medicine in the fifth century BC was first brought into Europe in the 17th century [Hsu, 1996]. Its major attraction is that it is relatively inexpensive, painless and free from side effects. Its efficacy in diabetic painful neuropathy is supported by a small number of clinical trials which has facilitated its acceptance in pain clinics in most countries [Andersson and Lundeberg, 1995]. The mechanism of action of acupuncture remains unclear [Eshkevari and Heath, 2005; Eshkevari, 2003], however it has been proposed that acupuncture stimulates A- δ and c afferent fibres in muscles which activates the spinal cord, midbrain and hypothalamus leading to the release of endorphins in the peripheral circulation and CSF and inducing analgesia via enkephalins which block neuropathic pain [Eshkevari, 2003; Abuaisha et al. 1998]. A small study in diabetic patients with painful neuropathy demonstrated a significant improvement in pain relief and the ability to sleep at night [Abuaisha et al. 1998]. Another study claimed multiple benefits using wrist ankle acupuncture, but also claimed to improve blood sugars and lipids, lower blood viscosity and restore the 'function of peripheral nerve cells' [Jiang et al. 2006]. Electroacupuncture (EA) has been shown to be efficacious via the release of a number of neuropeptides depending on the frequency of stimulation, with 2 Hz releasing enkephalin, b-endorphin endomorphin, whilst 100 Hz releases dynorphin [Han, 2004]. EA has also been shown to decrease substance P and increase beta endorphin levels [Lee et al. 2009]. Beyond simple symptom relief the potential for this therapy has also been explored in a small study of diabetic patients with diabetic gastroparesis and demonstrated an improvement in gastric emptying time as well as the Gastroparesis Cardinal Symptom Index (GCSI) [Wang et al. 2008].

Electrical spinal cord stimulation. Spinal cord stimulation has been used over the last 40 years [Shrivastav and Musley, 2009] and the original idea was pioneered in the late 1960s by Dr Norman Dhealy, a neurosurgeon, who implanted the first dorsal column stimulator in a patient suffering from terminal metastatic cancer. Currently, it is widely used for the management of different types of chronic neuropathic or intractable pain [Shrivastav and Musley, 2009].

A simplistic explanation for the mode of action of electrical spinal cord stimulation (ESCS) is that of the production of an electrical field on the dorsal horns of the spinal cord. However, recent data generated in an experimental mononeuropathy model using tactile thresholds has demonstrated that ESCS may activate the descending serotonergic pathways, thus inhibiting spinal nociceptive processing [Song et al. 2009]. Two small studies have shown ESCS to be effective in 6/10 patients with chronic intractable PDN, to achieve relief within 3 months of implantation followed by continued relief for a mean of 3.3 years in the six patients who achieved an initial response. The expense and invasive nature precludes recommendation as a routine option for treatment [Chong and Hester, 2007; Daousi et al. 2005], however a cost-effectiveness analysis of ESCS has shown that whilst the cost was greater than for conventional pain therapy in the first 2.5 years, it became less after this period especially as 15% of patients were able to return to work [Kumar et al. 2002].

Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. In a study of diabetic patients with mild to moderate neuropathic pain, TENS showed an improvement in pain, numbness and allodynia [Forst et al. 2004]. Another study showed cyclic doses of electrical stimulation through a contact stocking electrode may be effective in alleviating neuropathic pain. However, pain relief was maintained after discontinuation of therapy [Armstrong et al. 1997; Kumar and Marshall, 1997], questioning the direct efficacy of this treatment and invoking the 'placebo effect'. In a recent analysis from the American Academy of Neurology the effectiveness of TENS was assessed from two Class II studies and given a Level B recommendation for the treatment of PDN [Dubinsky and Miyasaki, 2010].

Percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. Three weeks of treatment with PENS showed a significant improvement in neuropathic pain for more than 6 months, questioning the direct benefit of this treatment and again raising the intriguing role of the 'placebo effect'. In addition to decreasing extremity pain, PENS therapy improved physical activity, sense of well-being and quality of sleep while reducing the requirement of oral nonopioid analgesic medication [Hamza et al. 2000].

Magnetic field therapy. Magnetic field therapy has been employed in a range of medical problems including arthritis, chronic pain, wound healing, insomnia and headaches [Colbert et al. 2009]. A placebo-controlled trial has shown that transcranial magnetic stimulation is effective for the treatment of postherpetic neuralgia and central poststroke pain [Khedr et al. 2005]. Static magnetic field therapy delivered by wearing a constant multipolar sole in the shoe significantly reduced neuropathic pain in a multicentre parallel group study [Weintraub et al. 2003]. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation at the prefrontal [Borckardt et al. 2007], motor [Andre-Obadia et al. 2008] and somatosensory cortex [Topper et al. 2003] has been shown to provide relief for disabling and refractory neuropathic pain. However, pulsed low-frequency electromagnetic fields delivered in a repetitive and cumulative manner failed to show a benefit in neuropathic pain [Weintraub et al. 2009]. Frequency-modulated electromagnetic neural stimulation (FREMS), however, has been shown to increase microvascular blood flow and provide pain relief, but needs to be confirmed in larger study [Conti et al. 2009].

Low-intensity laser therapy. LILT has been shown to be beneficial in several pain models including patients with neuropathic pain [Chow et al. 2009]. The exact mechanism of pain relief is not established but increased release of serotonin and endorphin as well as anti-inflammatory effects have been suggested. There is only one clinical trial in which the administration of biweekly therapy over 4 weeks in 50 diabetic patients showed a reduction in weekly mean pain scores [Zinman et al. 2004].

Monochromatic near-infrared treatment. Several studies have shown that temporary application of monochromatic near infrared photo energy (MIRE; Anodyne Therapy System) increases foot sensitivity and reduces neuropathic pain [Harkless et al. 2006; DeLellis et al. 2005; Leonard et al. 2004; Prendergast et al. 2004] and this has been attributed to the release of nitric oxide [Goldberg, 2005].

Nerve decompression. Decompression of nerves in the lower extremity has been claimed to improve sensation and provide pain relief and has therefore been proposed for use in patients with neuropathy who have failed conventional medical treatment [Valdivia et al. 2005].

However, a systematic review showed that only class IV studies supported the utility of this therapy, hence the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology has concluded that this treatment is unproven (Level U) until prospective randomized controlled trials with standard definitions and outcome measures of neuropathy are undertaken [Chaudhry et al. 2006].

Dressings. Whilst a number of dressings including OpSite, Fixomull and Lycra® have been used to treat patients with PDN [Troy, 2002], the evidence for their efficacy is limited. In a small study of 33 patients with PDN OpSite was applied to one and then the other painful leg for 4 weeks each. Pain as assessed by visual analogue scale was significantly reduced in the OpSite-treated limbs which was associated and a significant improvement in contact discomfort, sleep, mood, appetite and mobility with a reduction in paracetamol intake [Foster et al. 1994]. Given the limited evidence base and the fact that OpSite film can result in an increased risk of fungal and bacterial infection [Strickland, 1997], this treatment is not recommended.

Exercise. Specific exercise such as tai chi chuan has been shown to improve fasting blood glucose and peripheral NVCs in patients with type 2 diabetes [Hung et al. 2009; Orr et al. 2006]. Patients with mild to moderate neuropathic pain have demonstrated an improvement in symptoms after 30–40 minutes of yoga for 40 days [Malhotra et al. 2002]. In a study of 149 patients with type 2 diabetes, 40 days of yoga therapy improved blood glucose in 104 patients, particularly those with a short duration of diabetes and good glycaemic control [Nayak and Shankar, 2004; Jain et al. 1993].

Psychological therapy. Depression among diabetic patients is reported to be almost double compared with nondiabetic patients [Yoshida et al. 2009; Egede et al. 2002; Anderson et al. 2001]. In a recent longitudinal study, neuropathy itself has been shown to be a risk factor for depressive symptoms by generating pain and unsteadiness, with the latter being particularly related to a perception of diminished self-worth due to an inability to perform normal social roles [Vileikyte et al. 2009]. Thus, psychological treatment may be another nonpharmacological treatment for this group of patients and has been shown to have a positive effect on the quality of

life and emotional well-being in diabetic patients [Yalcin et al. 2008].

Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

References

Abbott, C.A., Carrington, A.L., Ashe, H., Bath, S., Every, L.C., Griffiths, J. *et al.* (2002) The North-West Diabetes Foot Care Study: incidence of, and risk factors for, new diabetic foot ulceration in a community-based patient cohort. *Diabet Med* 19: 377–384.

Abuaisha, B.B., Costanzi, J.B. and Boulton, A.J. (1998) Acupuncture for the treatment of chronic painful peripheral diabetic neuropathy: a long-term study. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 39: 115–121.

Agrawal, R.P., Goswami, J., Jain, S. and Kochar, D.K. (2009) Management of diabetic neuropathy by sodium valproate and glyceryl trinitrate spray: A prospective double-blind randomized placebo-controlled study. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 83: 371–378.

Aiello, L.P., Avery, R.L., Arrigg, P.G., Keyt, B.A., Jampel, H.D., Shah, S.T. *et al.* (1994) Vascular endothelial growth factor in ocular fluid of patients with diabetic retinopathy and other retinal disorders. *N Engl J Med* 331: 1480–1487.

Ametov, A.S., Barinov, A., Dyck, P.J., Hermann, R., Kozlova, N., Litchy, W.J. *et al.* (2003) The sensory symptoms of diabetic polyneuropathy are improved with α -lipoic acid. *Diabetes Care* 26: 770–776.

Anderson, R.J., Freedland, K.E., Clouse, R.E. and Lustman, P.J. (2001) The prevalence of comorbid depression in adults with diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care* 24: 1069–1078.

Andersson, S. and Lundeberg, T. (1995) Acupuncture – from empiricism to science: functional background to acupuncture effects in pain and disease. *Med Hypotheses* 45: 271–281.

Andre-Obadia, N., Mertens, P., Gueguen, A., Peyron, R. and Garcia-Larrea, L. (2008) Pain relief by rTMS: differential effect of current flow but no specific action on pain subtypes. *Neurology* 71: 833–840.

Armstrong, D.G., Lavery, L.A., Fleischli, J.G. and Gilham, K.A. (1997) Is electrical stimulation effective in reducing neuropathic pain in patients with diabetes? *J Foot Ankle Surg* 36: 260–263.

Attal, N., Cruccu, G., Haanpaa, M., Hansson, P., Jensen, T.S., Nurmikko, T. *et al.* (2006) EFNS guidelines on pharmacological treatment of neuropathic pain. *Eur J Neurol* 13: 1153–1169.

Backonja, M.M., Irving, G. and Argoff, C. (2006) Rational multidrug therapy in the treatment of neuropathic pain. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 10: 34–38.

Baron, R., Mayoral, V., Leijon, G., Binder, A., Steigerwald, I. and Serpell, M. (2009) Efficacy and safety of combination therapy with 5% lidocaine medicated plaster and pregabalin in post-herpetic neuralgia and diabetic polyneuropathy. *Curr Med Res Opin* 25: 1677–1687.

Bernateck, M., Rolke, R., Birklein, F., Treede, R.D., Fink, M. and Karst, M. (2007) Successful intravenous regional block with low-dose tumor necrosis factoralpha antibody infliximab for treatment of complex regional pain syndrome 1. *Anesth Analg* 105: 1148–1151, table of contents.

Bevilacqua, M., Dominquez, L.J., Barrella, M. and Barbagallo, M. (2007) Induction of vascular endothelial growth factor release by transcutaneous frequency modulated neural stimulation in diabetic polyneuropathy. *F Endocrinol Invest* 30: 944–947.

Biesbroeck, R., Bril, V., Hollander, P., Kabadi, U., Schwartz, S., Singh, S.P. *et al.* (1995) A double-blind comparison of topical capsaicin and oral amitriptyline in painful diabetic neuropathy. *Adv Ther* 12: 111–120.

Booth, J. and Young, M.J. (2000) Differences in the performance of commercially available 10-g monofilaments. *Diabetes Care* 23: 984–988.

Borckardt, J.J., Smith, A.R., Reeves, S.T., Weinstein, M., Kozel, F.A., Nahas, Z. et al. (2007) Fifteen minutes of left prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation acutely increases thermal pain thresholds in healthy adults. *Pain Res Manag* 12: 287–290.

Boucek, P., Havrdova, T., Voska, L., Lodererova, A., Saudek, F., Lipar, K. *et al.* (2005) Severe depletion of intraepidermal nerve fibers in skin biopsies of pancreas transplant recipients. *Transplant Proc* 37: 3574–3575.

Boulton, A.J., Malik, R.A., Arezzo, J.C. and Sosenko, J.M. (2004) Diabetic somatic neuropathies. *Diabetes Care* 27: 1458–1486.

Bretin, S., Rogemond, V., Marin, P., Maus, M., Torrens, Y., Honnorat, J. et al. (2006) Calpain product of WT-CRMP2 reduces the amount of surface NR2B NMDA receptor subunit. J Neurochem 98: 1252–1265.

Bril, V. and Buchanan, R. (2004) Aldose reductase inhibition by AS-3201 in sural nerve from patients with diabetic sensorimotor polyneuropathy. *Diabetes Care* 27: 2369–2375.

Bril, V. and Buchanan, R.A. (2006) Long-term effects of ranirestat (AS-3201) on peripheral nerve function in patients with diabetic sensorimotor polyneuropathy. *Diabetes Care* 29: 68–72.

Bril, V., Toshiyuki, H., Tomioka, S. and Buchanan, R. (2009) Ranirestat for the management of diabetic sensorimotor polyneuropathy. *Diabetes Care* 32: 1256–1260.

Calcutt, N.A. and Backonja, M.M. (2007) Pathogenesis of pain in peripheral diabetic neuropathy. *Curr Diab Rep* 7: 429–434.

Carmeliet, P. (2003) Angiogenesis in health and disease. *Nature Med* 9: 653–660.

Carroll, I.R., Kaplan, K.M. and Mackey, S.C. (2008) Mexiletine therapy for chronic pain: survival analysis

identifies factors predicting clinical success. J Pain Symptom Manage 35: 321–326.

Casellini, C.M., Barlow, P.M., Rice, A.L., Casey, M., Simmons, K., Pittenger, G. et al. (2007) A 6-month, randomized, double-masked, placebo-controlled study evaluating the effects of the protein kinase C-beta inhibitor ruboxistaurin on skin microvascular blood flow and other measures of diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Diabetes Care 30: 896–902.

Chalk, C., Benstead, T. and Moore, F. (2007) Aldose reductase inhibitors for the treatment of diabetic polyneuropathy (Review). *The Cochrane Library* 4: 1–46.

Challapalli, V., Tremont-Lukats, I.W., McNicol, E.D., Lau, J. and Carr, D.B. (2005) Systemic administration of local anesthetic agents to relieve neuropathic pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 4: CD003345.

Chattopadhyay, M., Mata, M. and Fink, D.J. (2008) Continuous delta-opioid receptor activation reduces neuronal voltage-gated sodium channel (NaV1.7) levels through activation of protein kinase C in painful diabetic neuropathy. *J Neurosci* 28: 6652–6658.

Chaudhry, V., Stevens, J.C., Kincaid, J. and So, Y.T. (2006) Practice Advisory: utility of surgical decompression for treatment of diabetic neuropathy: report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology* 66: 1805–1808.

Chizh, B.A., O'Donnell, M.B., Napolitano, A., Wang, J., Brooke, A.C., Aylott, M.C. *et al.* (2007) The effects of the TRPV1 antagonist SB-705498 on TRPV1 receptormediated activity and inflammatory hyperalgesia in humans. *Pain* 132: 132–141.

Choi, J.S., Zhang, L., Dib-Hajj, S.D., Han, C., Tyrrell, L., Lin, Z. *et al.* (2009) Mexiletine-responsive erythromelalgia due to a new Na(v)1.7 mutation showing use-dependent current fall-off. *Exp Neurol* 216: 383–389.

Chong, M.S. and Hester, J. (2007) Diabetic painful neuropathy: current and future treatment options. *Drugs* 67: 569–585.

Chow, R.T., Johnson, M.I., Lopes-Martins, R.A. and Bjordal, J.M. (2009) Efficacy of low-level laser therapy in the management of neck pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised placebo or active-treatment controlled trials. *Lancet* 374: 1897–1908.

Christoph, T., Bahrenberg, G., De Vry, J., Englberger, W., Erdmann, V.A., Frech, M. et al. (2008) Investigation of TRPV1 loss-of-function phenotypes in transgenic shRNA expressing and knockout mice. *Mol Cell Neurosci* 37: 579–589.

Colbert, A.P., Wahbeh, H., Harling, N., Connelly, E., Schiffke, H.C., Forsten, C. *et al.* (2009) Static magnetic field therapy: a critical review of treatment parameters. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med* 6: 133–139.

Conti, M., Peretti, E., Cazzetta, G., Galimberti, G., Vermigli, C., Pola, R. *et al.* (2009) Frequency-modulated electromagnetic neural stimulation enhances cutaneous

microvascular flow in patients with diabetic neuropathy. *J Diabetes Complications* 23: 46–48.

Daousi, C., Benbow, S.J. and MacFarlane, I.A. (2005) Electrical spinal cord stimulation in the long-term treatment of chronic painful diabetic neuropathy. *Diabet Med* 22: 393–398.

de Leeuw, K., Kusumanto, Y., Smit, A.J., Oomen, P., van der Hoeven, J.H., Mulder, N.H. *et al.* (2008) Skin capillary permeability in the diabetic foot with critical limb ischaemia: the effects of a phVEGF165 gene product. *Diabet Med* 25: 1241–1244.

Deguchi, T., Hashiguchi, T., Horinouchi, S., Uto, T., Oku, H., Kimura, K. *et al.* (2009) Serum VEGF increases in diabetic polyneuropathy, particularly in the neurologically active symptomatic stage. *Diabet Med* 26: 247–252.

DeLellis, S.L., Carnegie, D.H. and Burke, T.J. (2005) Improved sensitivity in patients with peripheral neuropathy: effects of monochromatic infrared photo energy. *J Am Podiatr Med Assoc* 95: 143–147.

Demiot, C., Fromy, B., Saumet, J.L. and Sigaudo-Roussel, D. (2006) Preservation of pressure-induced cutaneous vasodilation by limiting oxidative stress in short-term diabetic mice. *Cardiovasc Res* 69: 245–252.

Dib-Hajj, S.D., Binshtok, A.M., Cummins, T.R., Jarvis, M.F., Samad, T. and Zimmermann, K. (2009a) Voltage-gated sodium channels in pain states: role in pathophysiology and targets for treatment. *Brain Res Rev* 60: 65–83.

Dib-Hajj, S.D., Black, J.A. and Waxman, S.G. (2009b) Voltage-gated sodium channels: therapeutic targets for pain. *Pain Med* 10: 1260–1269.

Du, X., Edelstein, D. and Brownlee, M. (2008) Oral benfotiamine plus α -lipoic acid normalises complication-causing pathways in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetologia* 51: 1930–1932.

Dubinsky, R.M. and Miyasaki, J. (2010) Assessment: efficacy of transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation in the treatment of pain in neurologic disorders (an evidence-based review): report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology* 74: 173–176.

Dworkin, R.H., Katz, J. and Gitlin, M.J. (2005) Placebo response in clinical trials of depression and its implications for research on chronic neuropathic pain. *Neurology* 65(12 Suppl 4): S7–S19.

Dworkin, R.H., O'Connor, A.B., Backonja, M., Farrar, J.T., Finnerup, N.B., Jensen, T.S. *et al.* (2007) Pharmacologic management of neuropathic pain: evidence-based recommendations. *Pain* 132: 237–251.

Dyck, P.J., Norell, J.E., Tritschler, H., Schuette, K., Samigullin, R., Ziegler, D. *et al.* (2007) Challenges in design of multicenter trials: end points assessed longitudinally for change and monotonicity. *Diabetes Care* 30: 2619–2625.

Egede, L.E., Zheng, D. and Simpson, K. (2002) Comorbid depression is associated with increased

- health care use and expenditures in individuals with diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 25: 464–470.
- Errington, A.C., Stohr, T., Heers, C. and Lees, G. (2008) The investigational anticonvulsant lacosamide selectively enhances slow inactivation of voltage-gated sodium channels. *Mol Pharmacol* 73: 157–169.
- Eshkevari, L. (2003) Acupuncture and pain: a review of the literature. *AANA* § 71: 361–370.
- Eshkevari, L. and Heath, J. (2005) Use of acupuncture for chronic pain: optimizing clinical practice. *Holist Nurs Pract* 19: 217–221.
- Estacion, M., Harty, T.P., Choi, J.S., Tyrrell, L., Dib-Hajj, S.D. and Waxman, S.G. (2009) A sodium channel gene SCN9A polymorphism that increases nociceptor excitability. *Ann Neurol* 66: 862–866.
- Evans, J.L., Heymann, C.J., Ira, D. and Gavin, L.A. (2002) Pharmacokinetics, tolerability, and fructosamine-lowering effect of a novel, controlled-release formulation of alpha-lipoic acid. *Endocrine Pract* 8: 29–35.
- Faghihi, H., Roohipoor, R., Mohammadi, S.F., Hojat-Jalali, K., Mirshahi, A., Lashay, A. *et al.* (2008) Intravitreal bevacizumab versus combined bevacizumab-triamcinolone versus macular laser photocoagulation in diabetic macular edema. *Eur J Ophthalmol* 18: 941–948.
- Faivre, A., Franques, J., De Paula, A.M., Gutierrez, M., Bret, S., Aubert, S. *et al.* (2010) Acute motor and sensory axonal neuropathy and concomitant encephalopathy during tumor necrosis factor-alpha antagonist therapy. *J Neurol Sci* 291(1–2): 103–106.
- Fischer, T.Z., Gilmore, E.S., Estacion, M., Eastman, E., Taylor, S., Melanson, M. *et al.* (2009) A novel Nav1.7 mutation producing carbamazepine-responsive erythromelalgia. *Ann Neurol* 65: 733–741.
- Forst, T., Nguyen, M., Forst, S., Disselhoff, B., Pohlmann, T. and Pfutzner, A. (2004) Impact of low frequency transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on symptomatic diabetic neuropathy using the new Salutaris device. *Diabetes Nutr Metab* 17: 163–168.
- Foster, A.V., Eaton, C., McConville, D.O. and Edmonds, M.E. (1994) Application of OpSite film: a new and effective treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy. *Diabet Med* 11: 768–772.
- Freeman, R., Durso-Decruz, E. and Emir, B. (2008) Efficacy, safety, and tolerability of pregabalin treatment for painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy: findings from seven randomized, controlled trials across a range of doses. *Diabetes Care* 31: 1448–1454.
- Freeman, R., Raskin, P., Hewitt, D.J., Vorsanger, G.J., Jordan, D.M., Xiang, J. *et al.* (2007) Randomized study of tramadol/acetaminophen versus placebo in painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *Curr Med Res Opin* 23: 147–161.
- Fromy, B., Abraham, P., Bouvet, C., Bouhanick, B., Fressinaud, P. and Saumet, J.L. (2002) Early decrease

- of skin blood flow in response to locally applied pressure in diabetic subjects. *Diabetes* 51: 1214–1217.
- Galiano, R.D., Tepper, O.M., Pelo, C.R., Bhatt, K.A., Callaghan, M., Bastidas, N. *et al.* (2004) Topical vascular endothelial growth factor accelerates diabetic wound healing through increased angiogenesis and by mobilizing and recruiting bone marrow-derived cells. *Am 7 Pathol* 164: 1935–1947.
- Gavva, N.R., Treanor, J.J., Garami, A., Fang, L., Surapaneni, S., Akrami, A. *et al.* (2008) Pharmacological blockade of the vanilloid receptor TRPV1 elicits marked hyperthermia in humans. *Pain* 136: 202–210.
- Gianturco, V., Bellomo, A., D'Ottavio, E., Formosa, V., Iori, A., Mancinella, M. *et al.* (2009) Impact of therapy with alpha-lipoic acid (ALA) on the oxidative stress in the controlled NIDDM: a possible preventive way against the organ dysfunction? *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 49: 129–133.
- Gilbert, R.E., Vranes, D., Berka, J.L., Kelly, D.J., Cox, A., Wu, L.L. *et al.* (1998) Vascular endothelial growth factor and its receptors in control and diabetic rat eyes. *Lab Invest* 78: 1017–1027.
- Goldberg, N. (2005) Monochromatic infrared photo energy and DPN. *Diabetic Microvasc Complications Today* March/April: 30–32.
- Goldstein, D.J., Lu, Y., Detke, M.J., Lee, T.C. and Iyengar, S. (2005) Duloxetine vs. placebo in patients with painful diabetic neuropathy. *Pain* 116: 109–118.
- Gore, M., Dukes, E., Rowbotham, D.J., Tai, K.S. and Leslie, D. (2007) Clinical characteristics and pain management among patients with painful peripheral neuropathic disorders in general practice settings. *Eur J Pain* 11: 652–664.
- Green, A.Q., Krishnan, S., Finucane, F.M. and Rayman, G. (2010) Altered C-fiber function as an indicator of early peripheral neuropathy in individuals with impaired glucose tolerance. *Diabetes Care* 33: 174–176.
- Greenstein, A.S., Khavandi, K., Withers, S.B., Sonoyama, K., Clancy, O., Jeziorska, M. *et al.* (2009) Local inflammation and hypoxia abolish the protective anticontractile properties of perivascular fat in obese patients. *Circulation* 119: 1661–1670.
- Hamada, Y. and Nakamura, J. (2004) Clinical potential of aldose reductase inhibitors in diabetic neuropathy. *Treat Endocrinol* 3: 245–255.
- Hamza, M.A., White, P.F., Craig, W.F., Ghoname, E.S., Ahmed, H.E., Proctor, T.J. *et al.* (2000) Percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation: a novel analgesic therapy for diabetic neuropathic pain. *Diabetes Care* 23: 365–370.
- Han, C., Dib-Hajj, S.D., Lin, Z., Li, Y., Eastman, E.M., Tyrrell, L. *et al.* (2009) Early- and late-onset inherited erythromelalgia: genotype-phenotype correlation. *Brain* 132(Pt 7): 1711–1722.
- Han, J.S. (2004) Acupuncture and endorphins. *Neurosci Lett* 361: 258–261.

- Hanna, M., O'Brien, C. and Wilson, M.C. (2008) Prolonged-release oxycodone enhances the effects of existing gabapentin therapy in painful diabetic neuropathy patients. *Eur J Pain* 12: 804–813.
- Harkless, L.B., DeLellis, S., Carnegie, D.H. and Burke, T.J. (2006) Improved foot sensitivity and pain reduction in patients with peripheral neuropathy after treatment with monochromatic infrared photo energy MIRE. *J Diabetes Complications* 20: 81–87.
- Honda, K., Koguchi, M., Koga, K., Nakajima, K., Kobayashi, F., Migita, K. *et al.* (2007) Contribution of Ca(2+) -dependent protein kinase C in the spinal cord to the development of mechanical allodynia in diabetic mice. *Biol Pharm Bull* 30: 990–993.
- Hossain, P., Sachdev, A. and Malik, R.A. (2005) Early detection of diabetic peripheral neuropathy with corneal confocal microscopy. *Lancet* 366: 1340–1343.
- Hotta, N., Akanuma, Y., Kawamori, R., Matsuoka, K., Oka, Y., Shichiri, M. et al. (2006) Long-term clinical effects of epalrestat, an aldose reductase inhibitor, on diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *Diabetes Care* 29: 1538–1544.
- Hotta, N., Sakamoto, N., Shigeta, Y., Kikkawa, R. and Goto, Y. (1996) Clinical investigation of epalrestat, an aldose reductase inhibitor, on diabetic neuropathy in Japan: Multicenter study. *J Diabetes Complications* 10: 168–172.
- Hsu, D.T. (1996) Acupuncture. *A review*. Reg Anesth 21: 361–370.
- Huang, E.A. and Gitelman, S.E. (2008) The effect of oral alpha-lipoic acid on oxidative stress in adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Pediatric Diabetes* 9: 69–73.
- Hung, J.W., Liou, C.W., Wang, P.W., Yeh, S.H., Lin, L.W., Lo, S.K. *et al.* (2009) Effect of 12-week tai chi chuan exercise on peripheral nerve modulation in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Rehabil Med* 41: 924–929.
- Irizarry, M.C.M., Webb, D.J.M., Ali, Z.P., Chizh, B.A.M., Gold, M.M.S.M.D., Kinrade, F.J.B. et al. (2009) Predictors of placebo response in pooled lamotrigine neuropathic pain clinical trials. Clin J Pain 25: 469–476.
- Ishida, S., Usui, T., Yamashiro, K., Kaji, Y., Ahmed, E., Carrasquillo, K.G. *et al.* (2003) VEGF164 is proinflammatory in the diabetic retina. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 44: 2155–2162.
- Jain, S.C., Uppal, A., Bhatnagar, S.O. and Talukdar, B. (1993) A study of response pattern of non-insulin dependent diabetics to yoga therapy. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 19: 69–74.
- Jiang, H., Shi, K., Li, X., Zhou, W. and Cao, Y. (2006) Clinical study on the wrist-ankle acupuncture treatment for 30 cases of diabetic peripheral neuritis. *J Tradit Chin Med* 26: 8–12.
- Joshi, S.K., Honore, P., Hernandez, G., Schmidt, R., Gomtsyan, A., Scanio, M. et al. (2009) Additive

- antinociceptive effects of the selective Nav1.8 blocker A-803467 and selective TRPV1 antagonists in rat inflammatory and neuropathic pain models. *J Pain* 10: 306–315.
- Kamei, J., Mizoguchi, H., Narita, M. and Tseng, L.F. (2001) Therapeutic potential of PKC inhibitors in painful diabetic neuropathy. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs* 10: 1653–1664.
- Katsuki, A., Sumida, Y., Murashima, S., Murata, K., Takarada, Y., Ito, K. *et al.* (1998) Serum levels of tumor necrosis factor-alpha are increased in obese patients with noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *F Clin Endocrinol Metab* 83: 859–862.
- Katz, J., Finnerup, N.B. and Dworkin, R.H. (2008) Clinical trial outcome in neuropathic pain: Relationship to study characteristics. *Neurology* 70: 263–272.
- Kawai, T., Takei, I., Tokui, M., Funae, O., Miyamoto, K., Tabata, M. et al. (2009) Effects of epalrestat, an aldose reductase inhibitor, on diabetic peripheral neuropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes, in relation to suppression of N[var epsilon]-carboxymethyl lysine. J Diabetes Complications, in press.
- Kelly, K.G., Cook, T. and Backonja, M.M. (2005) Pain ratings at the thresholds are necessary for interpretation of quantitative sensory testing. *Muscle Nerve* 32: 179–184.
- Khedr, E.M., Kotb, H., Kamel, N.F., Ahmed, M.A., Sadek, R. and Rothwell, J.C. (2005) Longlasting antalgic effects of daily sessions of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in central and peripheral neuropathic pain. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 76: 833–838.
- Knotkova, H., Pappagallo, M. and Szallasi, A. (2008) Capsaicin (TRPV1 Agonist) therapy for pain relief: farewell or revival? *Clin J Pain* 24: 142–154.
- Kumar, D. and Marshall, H.J. (1997) Diabetic peripheral neuropathy: amelioration of pain with transcutaneous electrostimulation. *Diabetes Care* 20: 1702–1705.
- Kumar, K., Malik, S. and Demeria, D. (2002) Treatment of chronic pain with spinal cord stimulation versus alternative therapies: cost-effectiveness analysis. *Neurosurgery* 51: 106–115, discussion 115–106.
- Kuwabara, S. and Misawa, S. (2008) Pharmacologic intervention in axonal excitability: in vivo assessment of nodal persistent sodium currents in human neuropathies. *Curr Mol Pharmacol* 1: 61–67.
- Lee, H.J., Lee, J.H., Lee, E.O., Kim, K.H., Lee, K.S., Lee, C.H. *et al.* (2009) Substance P and beta endorphin mediate electroacupuncture induced analgesic activity in mouse cancer pain model. *Acupunct Electrother Res* 34: 27–40.
- Leonard, D.R., Farooqi, M.H. and Myers, S. (2004) Restoration of sensation, reduced pain, and improved balance in subjects with diabetic peripheral neuropathy: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled

study with monochromatic near-infrared treatment. *Diabetes Care* 27: 168–172.

Liu, L., Zhao, S.P., Wen, T., Zhou, H.N., Hu, M. and Li, J.X. (2008) Postprandial hypertriglyceridemia associated with inflammatory response and procoagulant state after a high-fat meal in hypertensive patients. *Coron Artery Dis* 19: 145–151.

Loseth, S., Stalberg, E., Jorde, R. and Mellgren, S.I. (2008) Early diabetic neuropathy: thermal thresholds and intraepidermal nerve fibre density in patients with normal nerve conduction studies. *J Neurol* 255: 1197–1202.

Ma, W. and Quirion, R. (2006) Targeting invading macrophage-derived PGE2, IL-6 and calcitonin generelated peptide in injured nerve to treat neuropathic pain. *Expert Opin Ther Targets* 10: 533–546.

Madej, A., Buldak, L., Basiak, M., Szkrobka, W., Dulawa, A. and Okopien, B. (2009) The effects of 1 month antihypertensive treatment with perindopril, bisoprolol or both on the ex vivo ability of monocytes to secrete inflammatory cytokines. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther* 47: 686–694.

Malhotra, V., Singh, S., Tandon, O.P., Madhu, S.V., Prasad, A. and Sharma, S.B. (2002) Effect of Yoga asanas on nerve conduction in type 2 diabetes. *Indian J Physiol Pharmacol* 46: 298–306.

Malik, R.A., Tesfaye, S., Newrick, P.G., Walker, D., Rajbhandari, S.M., Siddique, I. *et al.* (2005) Sural nerve pathology in diabetic patients with minimal but progressive neuropathy. *Diabetologia* 48: 578–585.

Malik, R.A., Veves, A., Walker, D., Siddique, I., Lye, R.H., Schady, W. *et al.* (2001) Sural nerve fibre pathology in diabetic patients with mild neuropathy: relationship to pain, quantitative sensory testing and peripheral nerve electrophysiology. *Acta Neuropathol* 101: 367–374.

Malik, R.A., Williamson, S., Abbott, C., Carrington, A.L., Iqbal, J., Schady, W. *et al.* (1998) Effect of angiotensin-converting-enzyme (ACE) inhibitor trandolapril on human diabetic neuropathy: randomised double-blind controlled trial. *Lancet* 352: 1978–1981.

Mason, L., Moore, R.A., Derry, S., Edwards, J.E. and McQuay, H.J. (2004) Systematic review of topical capsaicin for the treatment of chronic pain. *BMJ* 328: 991.

Max, M.B., Lynch, S.A., Muir, J., Shoaf, S.E., Smoller, B. and Dubner, R. (1992) Effects of desipramine, amitriptyline, and fluoxetine on pain in diabetic neuropathy. *N Engl J Med* 326: 1250–1256.

McQuay, H.J. (2008) Placebo and control event rate, In: McQuay, H.J. *et al.* (eds). Systematic Reviews in Pain Research: Methodology Redefined, IASP Press: Seattle, WA, pp. 51–56.

Mehra, S., Tavakoli, M., Kallinikos, P.A., Efron, N., Boulton, A.J., Augustine, T. *et al.* (2007) Corneal confocal microscopy detects early nerve regeneration

after pancreas transplantation in patients with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 30: 2608–2612.

Miranda-Palma, B., Sosenko, J.M., Bowker, J.H., Mizel, M.S. and Boulton, A.J. (2005) A comparison of the monofilament with other testing modalities for foot ulcer susceptibility. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 70: 8–12.

Mirshahi, A., Roohipoor, R., Lashay, A., Mohammadi, S.F., Abdoallahi, A. and Faghihi, H. (2008) Bevacizumab-augmented retinal laser photocoagulation in proliferative diabetic retinopathy: a randomized double-masked clinical trial. *Eur J Ophthalmol* 18: 263–269.

Misawa, S., Kuwabara, S., Kanai, K., Tamura, N., Nakata, M., Sawai, S. *et al.* (2006) Aldose reductase inhibition alters nodal Na⁺ currents and nerve conduction in human diabetics. *Neurology* 66: 1545–1549.

Mojaddidi, M., Quattrini, C., Tavakoli, M. and Malik, R.A. (2005) Recent developments in the assessment of efficacy in clinical trials of diabetic neuropathy. *Curr Diab Rep* 5: 417–422.

Moore, R.A., Straube, S., Wiffen, P.J., Derry, S. and McQuay, H.J. (2009) Pregabalin for acute and chronic pain in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* CD007076.

Nayak, N.N. and Shankar, K. (2004) Yoga: a therapeutic approach. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am* 15: 783–798, vi.

Nicholson, B.P. and Schachat, A.P. (2010) A review of clinical trials of anti-VEGF agents for diabetic retinopathy. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol*.

Noble, M., Treadwell, J.R., Tregear, S.J., Coates, V.H., Wiffen, P.J., Akafomo, C. *et al.* (2010) Long-term opioid management for chronic noncancer pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 1: CD006605.

Norcini, M., Vivoli, E., Galeotti, N., Bianchi, E., Bartolini, A. and Ghelardini, C. (2009) Supraspinal role of protein kinase C in oxaliplatin-induced neuropathy in rat. *Pain* 146: 141–147.

Oates, P.J. (2008) Aldose reductase, still a compelling target for diabetic neuropathy. *Curr Drug Targets* 9: 14–36.

Orr, R., Tsang, T., Lam, P., Comino, E. and Singh, M.F. (2006) Mobility impairment in type 2 diabetes: association with muscle power and effect of Tai Chi intervention. *Diabetes Care* 29: 2120–2122.

Pawson, E.J., Duran-Jimenez, B., Surosky, R., Brooke, H.E., Spratt, S.K., Tomlinson, D.R. et al. (2009) Engineered zinc finger protein mediated VEGF-A activation restores deficient VEGF-A in sensory neurons in experimental diabetes. *Diabetes*.

Polydefkis, M., Hauer, P., Sheth, S., Sirdofsky, M., Griffin, J.W. and McArthur, J.C. (2004) The time course of epidermal nerve fibre regeneration: studies in normal controls and in people with diabetes, with and without neuropathy. *Brain* 127: 1606–1615.

Prendergast, J.J., Miranda, G. and Sanchez, M. (2004) Improvement of sensory impairment in patients with peripheral neuropathy. *Endocr Pract* 10: 24–30.

Price, S.A., Dent, C., Duran-Jimenez, B., Liang, Y., Zhang, L., Rebar, E.J. *et al.* (2006) Gene transfer of an engineered transcription factor promoting expression of VEGF-A protects against experimental diabetic neuropathy. *Diabetes* 55: 1847–1854.

Qiang, X., Satoh, J., Sagara, M., Fukuzawa, M., Masuda, T., Miyaguchi, S. *et al.* (1998a) Gliclazide inhibits diabetic neuropathy irrespective of blood glucose levels in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *Metabolism* 47: 977–981.

Qiang, X., Satoh, J., Sagara, M., Fukuzawa, M., Masuda, T., Sakata, Y. *et al.* (1998b) Inhibitory effect of troglitazone on diabetic neuropathy in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *Diabetologia* 41: 1321–1326.

Quattrini, C., Jeziorska, M., Boulton, A.J. and Malik, R.A. (2008) Reduced vascular endothelial growth factor expression and intra-epidermal nerve fiber loss in human diabetic neuropathy. *Diabetes Care* 31: 140–145.

Quattrini, C., Tavakoli, M., Jeziorska, M., Kallinikos, P., Tesfaye, S., Finnigan, J. *et al.* (2007) Surrogate markers of small fiber damage in human diabetic neuropathy. *Diabetes* 56: 2148–2154.

Quessy, S.N. and Rowbotham, M.C. (2008) Placebo response in neuropathic pain trials. *Pain* 138: 479–483.

Ramirez, M.A. and Borja, N.L. (2008) Epalrestat: an aldose reductase inhibitor for the treatment of diabetic neuropathy. *Pharmacotherapy* 28: 646–655.

Rappaport, B.A. (2007) Current FDA policy on analgesic drug and biologic products development. In: 10th International Conference on the Mechanisms and Treatment of Neuropathic Pain.

Raskin, J., Wang, F., Pritchett, Y.L. and Goldstein, D.J. (2006) Duloxetine for patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain: a 6-month open-label safety study. *Pain Medicine* 7: 373–385.

Rauck, R.L.M., Shaibani, A.M.D., Biton, V.M.D., Simpson, J.P. and Koch, B.P. (2007) Lacosamide in painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy: a phase 2 double-blind placebo-controlled study. *Clin J Pain* 23: 150–158.

Reja, A., Tesfaye, S., Harris, N.D. and Ward, J.D. (1995) Is ACE inhibition with lisinopril helpful in diabetic neuropathy? *Diabet Med* 12: 307–309.

Ropper, A.H., Gorson, K.C., Gooch, C.L., Weinberg, D.H., Pieczek, A., Ware, J.H. *et al.* (2009) Vascular endothelial growth factor gene transfer for diabetic polyneuropathy: a randomized, double-blinded trial. *Ann Neurol* 65: 386–393.

Rowbotham, M.C., Goli, V., Kunz, N.R. and Lei, D. (2004) Venlafaxine extended release in the treatment

of painful diabetic neuropathy: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Pain* 110: 697–706.

Sagara, M., Satoh, J., Wada, R., Yagihashi, S., Takahashi, K., Fukuzawa, M. et al. (1996) Inhibition of development of peripheral neuropathy in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats with N-acetylcysteine. *Diabetologia* 39: 263–269.

Sahin, S., Karsidag, S., Ayalp, S., Sengul, A., Us, O. and Karsidag, K. (2009) Determination of nerve conduction abnormalities in patients with impaired glucose tolerance. *Neurol Sci.*

Samii, A., Unger, J. and Lange, W. (1999) Vascular endothelial growth factor expression in peripheral nerves and dorsal root ganglia in diabetic neuropathy in rats. *Neurosci Lett* 262: 159–162.

Satoh, J., Yagihashi, S. and Toyota, T. (2003) The possible role of tumor necrosis factor-alpha in diabetic polyneuropathy. *Exp Diabesity Res* 4: 65–71.

Schafers, M., Brinkhoff, J., Neukirchen, S., Marziniak, M. and Sommer, C. (2001) Combined epineurial therapy with neutralizing antibodies to tumor necrosis factor-alpha and interleukin-1 receptor has an additive effect in reducing neuropathic pain in mice. *Neurosci Lett* 310: 113–116.

Scheffler, N.M., Sheitel, P.L. and Lipton, M.N. (1991) Treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy with capsaicin 0.075%. J Am Podiatr Med Assoc 81: 288–293.

Schemmel, K.E., Padiyara, R.S. and D'Souza, J.J. (2009) Aldose reductase inhibitors in the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathy: a review. *J Diabetes Complications*.

Schratzberger, P., Schratzberger, G., Silver, M., Curry, C., Kearney, M., Magner, M. *et al.* (2000) Favorable effect of VEGF gene transfer on ischemic peripheral neuropathy. *Nature Med* 6: 405–413.

Schuessler, B. (2006) What do we know about duloxetine's mode of action? Evidence from animals to humans. *BFOG* 113: 5–9.

Schwartzman, R.J., Chevlen, E. and Bengtson, K. (2003) Thalidomide has activity in treating complex regional pain syndrome. *Arch Intern Med* 163: 1487–1488; author reply 1488.

Selvarajah, D., Gandhi, R., Emery, C.J. and Tesfaye, S. (2010) A randomised placebo controlled double blind clinical trial of cannabis based medicinal product (Sativex) in painful diabetic neuropathy: depression is a major confounding factor. *Diabetes Care* 33(1): 128–130.

Sharma, S., Chopra, K. and Kulkarni, S.K. (2007) Effect of insulin and its combination with resveratrol or curcumin in attenuation of diabetic neuropathic pain: participation of nitric oxide and TNF-alpha. *Phytother Res* 21: 278–283.

Sharma, S. and Sharma, N. (2008) Epalrestat, an aldose reductase inhibitor, in diabetic neuropathy: An Indian perspective. *Ann Indian Acad Neurol* 11: 231–235.

- Shay, K., Moreau, R., Smith, E., Smith, A. and Hagen, T. (2009) Alpha-lipoic acid as a dietary supplement: molecular mechanisms and therapeutic potential. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1790: 1149–1160.
- Sheets, P.L., Heers, C., Stoehr, T. and Cummins, T.R. (2008) Differential block of sensory neuronal voltage-gated sodium channels by lacosamide, lidocaine and carbamazepine. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 326: 89–99.
- Shrivastav, M. and Musley, S. (2009) Spinal cord stimulation for complex regional pain syndrome. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* 1: 2033–2036.
- Shweiki, D., Itin, A., Soffer, D. and Keshet, E. (1992) Vascular endothelial growth factor induced by hypoxia may mediate hypoxia-initiated angiogenesis. *Nature* 359: 843–845.
- Simpson, D.M., Brown, S. and Tobias, J. (2008) Controlled trial of high-concentration capsaicin patch for treatment of painful HIV neuropathy. *Neurology* 70: 2305–2313.
- Simpson, D.M., Estanislao, L., Brown, S.J. and Sampson, J. (2008) An open-label pilot study of high-concentration capsaicin patch in painful HIV neuropathy. J. Pain Symptom Manage 35: 299–306.
- Smith, A.G., Howard, J.R., Kroll, R., Ramachandran, P., Hauer, P., Singleton, J.R. *et al.* (2005) The reliability of skin biopsy with measurement of intraepidermal nerve fiber density. *J Neurol Sci* 228: 65–69.
- Smith, A.G., Russell, J., Feldman, E.L., Goldstein, J., Peltier, A., Smith, S. *et al.* (2006) Lifestyle intervention for pre-diabetic neuropathy. *Diabetes Care* 29: 1294–1299.
- Smith, A.G. and Singleton, J.R. (2008) Impaired glucose tolerance and neuropathy. *Neurologist* 14: 23–29.
- Song, Z., Ultenius, C., Meyerson, B.A. and Linderoth, B. (2009) Pain relief by spinal cord stimulation involves serotonergic mechanisms: an experimental study in a rat model of mononeuropathy. *Pain* 147: 241–248.
- Sorensen, L., Molyneaux, L. and Yue, D.K. (2006a) The level of small nerve fiber dysfunction does not predict pain in diabetic Neuropathy: a study using quantitative sensory testing. *Clin J Pain* 22: 261–265.
- Sorensen, L., Molyneaux, L. and Yue, D.K. (2006b) The relationship among pain, sensory loss, and small nerve fibers in diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 29: 883–887.
- Storkebaum, E., Diether, L. and Peter, C. (2004) VEGF: once regarded as a specific angiogenic factor, now implicated in neuroprotection. *BioEssays* 26: 943–954.
- Strickland, M.E. (1997) Evaluation of bacterial growth with occlusive dressing use on excoriated skin in the premature infant. *Neonatal Netw* 16: 29–35.

- Sumner, C.J., Sheth, S., Griffin, J.W., Cornblath, D.R. and Polydefkis, M. (2003) The spectrum of neuropathy in diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance. *Neurology* 60: 108–111.
- Szallasi, A. and Blumberg, P.M. (1993) [3H]resiniferatoxin binding by the vanilloid receptor: species-related differences, effects of temperature and sulfhydryl reagents. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol* 347: 84–91.
- Szallasi, A. and Blumberg, P.M. (1999) Vanilloid (Capsaicin) receptors and mechanisms. *Pharmacol Rev* 51: 159–212.
- Takei, Y. and Laskey, R. (2008) Interpreting crosstalk between TNF-alpha and NGF: potential implications for disease. *Trends Mol Med* 14: 381–388.
- Tandan, R., Lewis, G.A., Krusinski, P.B., Badger, G.B. and Fries, T.J. (1992) Topical capsaicin in painful diabetic neuropathy. Controlled study with long-term follow-up. *Diabetes Care* 15: 8–14.
- Tankova, T., Cherninkova, S. and Koev, D. (2005) Treatment for diabetic mononeuropathy with α -lipoic acid. *Int J Clin Pract* 59: 645–650.
- Tavakoli, M., Kallinikos, P.A., Efron, N., Boulton, A.J. and Malik, R.A. (2007) Corneal sensitivity is reduced and relates to the severity of neuropathy in patients with diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 30: 1895–1897.
- Tavakoli, M., Marshall, A., Pitceathly, R., Fadavi, H., Gow, D., Roberts, M.E. *et al.* (2010) Corneal confocal microscopy: A novel means to detect nerve fibre damage in idiopathic small fibre neuropathy. *Exp Neurol* 223(1): 245–250.
- Tavakoli, M., Marshall, A., Thompson, L., Kenny, M., Waldek, S., Efron, N. *et al.* (2009) Corneal confocal microscopy: a novel noninvasive means to diagnose neuropathy in patients with Fabry disease. *Muscle Nerve* 40: 976–984.
- Tesfaye, S., Watt, J., Benbow, S.J., Pang, K.A., Miles, J. and MacFarlane, I.A. (1996) Electrical spinal-cord stimulation for painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *Lancet* 348: 1698–1701.
- The Capsaicin Study Group (1991) Treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy with topical capsaicin. A multicenter, double-blind, vehicle-controlled study. *Arch Intern Med* 151: 2225–2229.
- Topper, R., Foltys, H., Meister, I.G., Sparing, R. and Boroojerdi, B. (2003) Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of the parietal cortex transiently ameliorates phantom limb pain-like syndrome. *Clin Neurophysiol* 114: 1521–1530.
- Tremont-Lukats, I.W., Challapalli, V., McNicol, E.D., Lau, J. and Carr, D.B. (2005) Systemic administration of local anesthetics to relieve neuropathic pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Anesth Analg* 101: 1738–1749.
- Troy, T. (2002) The use of Opsite, Fixomull and Lycra [®] in the management of diabetic neuropathic pain of the foot. *Primary Intention* 10: 162–164,166–170.

Turner, J.A., Deyo, R.A., Loeser, J.D., Von Korff, M. and Fordyce, W.E. (1994) The importance of placebo effects in pain treatment and research. *JAMA* 271: 1609–1614.

Uceyler, N., Rogausch, J.P., Toyka, K.V. and Sommer, C. (2007) Differential expression of cytokines in painful and painless neuropathies. *Neurology* 69: 42–49.

Uceyler, N. and Sommer, C. (2008) Cytokine regulation in animal models of neuropathic pain and in human diseases. *Neurosci Lett* 437: 194–198.

Umapathi, T., Tan, W.L., Loke, S.C., Soon, P.C., Tavintharan, S. and Chan, Y.H. (2007) Intraepidermal nerve fiber density as a marker of early diabetic neuropathy. *Muscle Nerve* 35: 591–598.

Valdivia, J.M., Dellon, A.L., Weinand, M.E. and Maloney Jr, C.T. (2005) Surgical treatment of peripheral neuropathy: outcomes from 100 consecutive decompressions. *J Am Podiatr Med Assoc* 95: 451–454.

Vileikyte, L., Peyrot, M., Gonzalez, J.S., Rubin, R.R., Garrow, A.P., Stickings, D. *et al.* (2009) Predictors of depressive symptoms in persons with diabetic peripheral neuropathy: a longitudinal study. *Diabetologia* 52: 1265–1273.

Vincent, A.M., Hayes, J.M., McLean, L.L., Vivekanandan-Giri, A., Pennathur, S. and Feldman, E.L. (2009) Dyslipidemia-induced neuropathy in mice: the role of oxLDL/LOX-1. *Diabetes* 58: 2376–2385.

Vinik, A.I., Bril, V., Kempler, P., Litchy, W.J., Tesfaye, S., Price, K.L. *et al.* (2005) Treatment of symptomatic diabetic peripheral neuropathy with the protein kinase C beta-inhibitor ruboxistaurin mesylate during a 1-year, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind clinical trial. *Clin Ther* 27: 1164–1180.

Wang, C.P., Kao, C.H., Chen, W.K., Lo, W.Y. and Hsieh, C.L. (2008) A single-blinded, randomized pilot study evaluating effects of electroacupuncture in diabetic patients with symptoms suggestive of gastroparesis. *J Altern Complement Med* 14: 833–839.

Weintraub, M.I., Herrmann, D.N., Smith, A.G., Backonja, M.M. and Cole, S.P. (2009) Pulsed electromagnetic fields to reduce diabetic neuropathic pain and stimulate neuronal repair: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 90: 1102–1109.

Weintraub, M.I., Wolfe, G.I., Barohn, R.A., Cole, S.P., Parry, G.J., Hayat, G. et al. (2003) Static magnetic field therapy for symptomatic diabetic neuropathy: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 84: 736–746.

Wernicke, J.F., Pritchett, Y.L., D'Souza, D.N., Waninger, A., Tran, P., Iyengar, S. *et al.* (2006) A randomized controlled trial of duloxetine in diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain. *Neurology* 67: 1411–1420.

Wiffen, P.J., Collins, S., McQuay, H.J., Carroll, D., Jadad, A. and Moore, R.A. (2010) Anticonvulsant

drugs for acute and chronic pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 1: CD001133.

Wiggin, T.D., Sullivan, K.A., Pop-Busui, R., Amato, A., Sima, A.A. and Feldman, E.L. (2009) Elevated triglycerides correlate with progression of diabetic neuropathy. *Diabetes* 58: 1634–1640.

Wong, G.Y. and Gavva, N.R. (2009) Therapeutic potential of vanilloid receptor TRPV1 agonists and antagonists as analgesics: recent advances and setbacks. *Brain Res Rev* 60: 267–277.

Wymer, J.P.M., Simpson, J.P., Sen, D.P., Bongardt, S.M.S. and on behalf of the Lacosamide, S.P. (2009) Efficacy and safety of lacosamide in diabetic neuropathic pain: an 18-week double-blind placebo-controlled trial of fixed-dose regimens. *Clin J Pain* 25: 376–385.

Yalcin, B.M., Karahan, T.F., Ozcelik, M. and Igde, F.A. (2008) The effects of an emotional intelligence program on the quality of life and well-being of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Educ* 34: 1013–1024.

Yoshida, S., Hirai, M., Suzuki, S., Awata, S. and Oka, Y. (2009) Neuropathy is associated with depression independently of health-related quality of life in Japanese patients with diabetes. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 63: 65–72.

Zelman, D.C., Brandenburg, N.A. and Gore, M. (2006) Sleep impairment in patients with painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *Clin J Pain* 22: 681–685.

Ziegler, D. (2008a) Painful diabetic neuropathy: treatment and future aspects. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 24(Suppl 1): S52–S57.

Ziegler, D. (2008b) Treatment of diabetic neuropathy and neuropathic pain: how far have we come? *Diabetes Care* 31(Suppl 2): S255–S261.

Ziegler, D., Ametov, A., Barinov, A., Dyck, P., Gurieva, I., Low, P. *et al.* (2006) Oral treatment with alpha-lipoic acid improves symptomatic diabetic polyneuropathy: the SYDNEY 2 trial. *Diabetes Care* 29: 2365–2370.

Ziegler, D., Nowak, H. and Low, P.A. (2004) Treatment of symptomatic diabetic polyneuropathy with the antioxidant α -lipoic acid: a meta-analysis. *Diabet Med* 21: 114–121.

Zin, C.S., Nissen, L.M., O'Callaghan, J.P., Duffull, S.B., Smith, M.T. and Moore, B.J. (2009) A randomized controlled trial of oxycodone vs placebo in patients with postherpetic neuralgia and painful diabetic neuropathy treated with pregabalin. *J Pain*, in press.

Zinman, L.H., Ngo, M., Ng, E.T., Nwe, K.T., Gogov, S. and Bril, V. (2004) Low-intensity laser therapy for painful symptoms of diabetic sensorimotor polyneuropathy: a controlled trial. *Diabetes Care* 27: 921–924.

Visit SAGE journals online http://tae.sagepub.com

