

The diagnostic role of galactomannan during antifungal prophylaxis. Comment on: "The role of antifungal treatment in hematology". Haematologica 2012;97(3):325-7

In a recent Editorial on the role of antifungal treatment in hematology, Maertens *et al.* analyzed the diagnostic and therapeutic antifungal strategies which are used in particular in neutropenic patients.¹ Among the various topics covered, some observations concerned antifungal prophylaxis. They underlined some critical issues of antifungal prophylaxis including the lack of evidence of its impact on overall survival, the need to redefine clinical end points for future studies on antifungal prophylaxis, and the problem of the reduced incidence of invasive *Aspergillus* disease in patients receiving a mold-active antifungal which may be partially explained by the lower performance of the galactomannan (GM) enzyme immunoassay.

A retrospective evaluation of the diagnostic performance of the GM assay in patients with invasive aspergillosis was carried out in three trials of prophylaxis and treatment of invasive fungal diseases in stem cell transplant patients.² Here, for the first time, it was shown that the diagnostic usefulness of this test while receiving prophylactic treatment or treatment with antifungal drugs is compromised.

Administration of a mold-active antifungal drug on the day of testing decreased the sensitivity of the GM assay from 89% to 52% using a 0.5 cut off. However, in this study it was not specified how many samples had been collected for GM assay while patients were under antifungal prophylaxis or under intravenous antifungal therapy. Furthermore, GM detection tests and radiological exams were performed in absence of a pre-specified diagnostic strategy.

Recent controlled studies demonstrating the efficacy of posaconazole antifungal prophylaxis do not seem to confirm these findings.³⁻⁶ GM assay sensitivity was not

reduced by the mold active prophylaxis: 89% in patients receiving posaconazole or itraconazole *versus* 68% in patients receiving fluconazole or topical polyene (Table 1). In particular, in our center we recently applied the same pre-defined intensive diagnostic workup in patients with persistent or recurrent febrile neutropenia regardless of the type of antifungal prophylaxis. It included GM serum detection over three consecutive days, chest computed tomography and other examinations as indicated.^{5,7} There was no reduction in the rate of *Aspergillus* infections documented with the GM assay or in the median value of the serum GM peak in patients receiving posaconazole, and we did not observe any increase in purely clinically documented (possible) pulmonary fungal infections in this group. This would have happened if there had been a lower performance of the GM assay.

A well known cause of failure of antifungal prophylaxis with posaconazole is represented by the erratic gastrointestinal absorption of the drug.⁸ Assuming that reduced absorption of posaconazole, leading to sub-therapeutic serum concentrations, could explain the occurrence of breakthrough *Aspergillus* infections, normal production and spread of GM by the fungal pathogen in these cases seems to be likely, as confirmed by the above studies.

In conclusion, GM assay continues to be the gold standard of microbiological diagnosis of invasive *Aspergillus* infections in hematologic patients regardless of the antifungal prophylaxis used.

Corrado Girmenia and Salvatore Perrone

Dipartimento di Ematologia, Oncologia, Anatomia Patologica e Medicina Rigenerativa, Azienda Policlinico Umberto I, Rome, Italy

Correspondence: Corrado Girmenia, Dipartimento di Ematologia, Oncologia, Anatomia Patologica e Medicina Rigenerativa, Azienda Policlinico Umberto I, Via Benevento 6, 00161 Rome, Italy.

Phone: international + 3906857951; Fax: international + 390644241984; E-mail: girmenia@bce.uniroma1.it

Key words: galactomannan, antifungal prophylaxis, Aspergillus.

Citation: Girmenia C and Perrone S. The diagnostic role of galactomannan during antifungal prophylaxis. Comment on: "The role of

Table 1. Microbiological diagnosis in patients with invasive aspergillosis in recent controlled trials of antifungal prophylaxis according to anti-mold activity of the antifungal drug.

Author (reference), type of study	Antifungal drugs; population	Mold active prophylaxis			No mould active prophylaxis		
		Total cases of IA	N (%) with only positive GM assay	N. (%) with <i>Aspergillus</i> spp. isolation	Total cases of IA	N. (%) with only positive GM assay	N. (%) with <i>Aspergillus</i> spp. isolation
Cornely, ³ randomized	Posaconazole <i>vs.</i> fluconazole or itraconazole; acute myelogenous leukemia or myelodysplastic syndrome*	7	6 (86)	1 (14)	15	12 (80)	3 (20)
Ullmann, ⁴ randomized	Posaconazole <i>vs.</i> fluconazole; allogeneic stem cell transplant with severe graft- <i>versus</i> -host disease	3	3 (100)	0	17	4 (24)	13 (76)
Girmenia, ⁵ retrospective, historical control	Posaconazole <i>vs.</i> topical polyene; acute myelogenous leukemia	15	13 (87)	2 (13)	25	19 (76)	6 (24)
Vehreschild ⁶ retrospective, historical control	Posaconazole <i>vs.</i> topical polyene; acute myelogenous leukemia	2	2(100)	0	11	11(100)	0
Total		27	24 (89)	3 (11)	68	46 (68)	22 (32)

*itraconazole was included in the group of mold active prophylaxis.

antifungal treatment in hematology". *Haematologica* 2012;97(3):325-7. *Haematologica* 2012;97(10):e41-42. doi:10.3324/haematol.2012.069922

The information provided by the authors about contributions from persons listed as authors and in acknowledgments is available with the full text of this paper at www.haematologica.org.

Financial and other disclosures provided by the authors using the ICMJE (www.icmje.org) Uniform Format for Disclosure of Competing Interests are also available at www.haematologica.org.

References

1. Maertens JA, Nucci M, Donnelly JP. The role of antifungal treatment in hematology. *Haematologica*. 2012;97(3):325-7
2. Marr KA, Laverdiere M, Gugel A, Leisenring W. Antifungal therapy decreases sensitivity of the *Aspergillus* galactomannan enzyme immunoassay. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2005;40(12):1762-9.
3. Comely OA, Maertens J, Winston DJ, Perfect J, Ullmann AJ, Walsh TJ, et al. Posaconazole vs. fluconazole or itraconazole prophylaxis in patients with neutropenia. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;356(4):348-59.
4. Ullmann AJ, Lipton JH, Vesole DH, Chandrasekar P, Langston A, Tarantolo SR, et al. Posaconazole or fluconazole for prophylaxis in severe graft-versus-host disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;356(4):335-47.
5. Girmenia C, Frustaci AM, Gentile G, Minotti C, Cartoni C, Capria S, et al. Posaconazole prophylaxis during front-line chemotherapy of acute myeloid leukemia: a single-center, real-life experience. *Haematologica*. 2012;97(4):560-7.
6. Vehreschild JJ, Rüping MJ, Wisplinghoff H, Farowski F, Steinbach A, Sims R, et al. Clinical effectiveness of posaconazole prophylaxis in patients with acute myelogenous leukaemia (AML): a 6 year experience of the Cologne AML cohort. *J Antimicrob Chemoth*. 2010;65(7):1466-71.
7. Girmenia C, Micozzi A, Gentile G, Santilli S, Arleo E, Cardarelli L, et al. Clinically driven diagnostic antifungal approach in neutropenic patients: a prospective feasibility study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010;28(4):667-74.
8. Girmenia C. New generation azole antifungals in clinical investigation. *Expert Opin Inv Drugs*. 2009;18(9):1279-95.