

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Dev Behav Pediatr. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 November 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2012 November ; 33(9): 721-727. doi:10.1097/DBP.0b013e31826bac0d.

Associations Between Temperament at Age 1.5 Years and Obesogenic Diet at Ages 3 and 7 Years

Margarete E. Vollrath, PhD^{1,2}, Kim Stene-Larsen, PhD¹, Serena Tonstad, MD^{3,4}, Mary K. Rothbart, PhD⁵, and Sarah E. Hampson, PhD⁶

¹Department of Psychosomatics and Health Behavior, Division of Mental Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

²Psychological Institute, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

³Ullevål Department of Preventive Cardiology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

⁴School of Public Health, Loma Linda University, California

⁵Department of Psychology, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR

⁶Oregon Research Institute, Eugene, OR

Abstract

Objective—To investigate whether temperament in 1.5-year-olds predicts their consumption of potentially obesogenic foods and drinks at ages 3 and 7 years.

Methods—Participants were 6 997 mothers and infants from the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study. Questionnaires were collected during pregnancy, at birth, and at child ages 6 months and 1.5, 3, and 7 years. Predictor variables: children's temperament at age 1.5 (internalizing, externalizing, surgent) and mothers' negative affectivity. Outcome variables: children's consumption of sweet foods, sweet drinks, and fruits/vegetables at ages 3 and 7 (dichotomized at the 85th percentile).

Results—Controlling for covariates, internalizing 1.5-year-olds (anxious, dependent) were 77% and 63% more likely to consume sweet drinks daily at ages 3 and 7, respectively; they were 55% and 43% more likely to consume sweet foods daily at ages 3 and 7, respectively. Externalizing 1.5-year-olds (hyperactive, aggressive) were 34% more likely to consume sweet drinks daily at age 7, 39% and 44% more likely to consume sweet foods daily at ages 3 and 7, respectively, and they were 47% and 33% less likely to consume fruits/vegetables daily at ages 3 and 7, respectively. Surgent 1.5-year-olds (active, sociable) were 197% and 78% more likely to consume two portions of fruits/vegetables daily at ages 3 and 7, respectively. The association of maternal negative affectivity was limited to the child's consumption of sweet foods at 3 and 7 years.

Conclusion—Early child temperament is a risk factor for obesogenic diet in later childhood. Mechanisms explaining this association need to be explored.

Conflict of interest:

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Financial disclosure:

Correspondence: Dr. Margarete E. Vollrath, Department of Psychosomatics and Health Behavior, Division of Mental Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, POB 4404 Nydalen, 0403 Oslo, Norway, Tel.: ++47 2107 8331, Fax:++47 22353605, margarete.vollrath@fhi.no.

The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study is supported by the Norwegian Ministry of Health, by the NIH/NIEHS (grant no. N01-ES-85433), NIH/NINDS (grant no.1 UO1 NS 047537-01), and the Norwegian Research Council/FUGE (grant no. 151918/S10). S.E. Hampson's contribution was supported in part by grant AG20048 from the National Institute on Aging of the U.S. National Institutes of Health.

Keywords

child; temperament; obesity; diet; eating

INTRODUCTION

Obesity is the fastest growing health problem in children and adolescents, reaching epidemic proportions across most developed countries.¹ In Norway, 13%–18% of 4-to 15-year-olds are overweight or obese.² There is growing evidence that consuming sweet foods and drinks at the expense of foods rich in dietary fiber is associated with body fat accumulation.^{3–7} These "obesogenic" food consumption patterns in children are in turn associated with low parental education and socioeconomic status.⁸

Recent studies have suggested that temperamental characteristics of mothers and children are associated with obesogenic diets in children. Temperament refers to biologically based, relatively stable patterns of emotional behavior and regulation that can be observed from birth.⁹ In adults the temperament dimensions of negative emotionality/affectivity, positive emotionality, and control have been distinguished.¹⁰ Corresponding broad dimensions of infant and child temperament include internalized negative emotionality (e.g., being sad, fearful, anxious), positive emotionality or surgency (e.g., being social, active), and externalized negative emotionality (e.g., having low self-control, being defiant, aggressive).9 Several recent studies on temperament and feeding found that both maternal and infant negative emotionality traits are associated with mothers' obesogenic feeding practices. For example, mothers high in negative emotionality wean their child earlier from breast milk, introduce solid foods prematurely, and feed the infant high-sugar and low-fiber foods and drinks.^{11–14} In a similar vein, the infants' own temperament trait of negative emotionality (both internalizing and externalizing) is associated with these feeding practices.^{11,12,15,16} It has recently emerged that in kindergarteners and primary schoolchildren, a third temperament trait, surgency or extraversion, is a correlate of eating fruits and vegetables.17,18

Given a lack of longitudinal studies, it is unknown whether the associations of maternal and child temperament with diet observed in infants are transient or persist over time. On the one hand, as children grow, they gain increasing control over their environment and the foods that they consume, which potentially strengthens the influence of their own preferences. On the other hand, as they get older, children are exposed to new environments like kindergarten and school offering different kinds of foods and drinks, which may weaken the associations between their early temperament and later eating. Hence, it is not sure that associations of early child and maternal temperament with the child's diet observed in infancy survive into childhood.

This study extends a previous cross-sectional study, in which we found associations of negative emotionality traits in 1.5-year-olds and their mothers' negative affectivity with the infants' greater intake of sweet foods and drinks.¹¹ Here, we examine the associations of these temperament traits and the infant trait of surgency with the children's intake of obesogenic foods (sweet drinks, sweet foods, and fruits and vegetables) 1.5 years and 5 years later. We expected to find similar, albeit weaker associations of the infants' negative emotionality traits and their mothers' negative affectivity with their later consumption of sweet foods and sweet drinks. We also examine associations of these temperament traits with the intake of fruits and vegetables. Given the associations between surgency and eating fruit and vegetables found in kindergarteners and schoolchildren, we expect that 1.5-year-olds low in surgency will consume fewer fruits and vegetables.

METHODS

Study design and participants

The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study, conducted by the Norwegian Institute of Public Health, is an ongoing longitudinal investigation of health determinants in mothers and children, including more than 108000 pregnancies. Participants were recruited from all over Norway from 1999 to 2008 at routine ultrasound scans in week 17 to 18 of gestation. The participation rate was 38.5%.¹⁹ Follow-up is conducted by questionnaires at regular intervals. This study was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics in South-Eastern Norway. The present analyses base on the quality-controlled Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study data files released for research in August 2011 (version 6). We included the questionnaires at gestation weeks 17 and 30, and at child age 6 months and 1.5, 3, and 7 years. Participation rates in women who gave *informed consent* were 95% at 17 weeks, 92% at 30 weeks, 87% at 6 months, 79% at 1.5 years, 62.5% at 3 years, and 61.0% at 7 years. Information on the child's sex and birth weight and on maternal parity and age was retrieved from the Norwegian Medical Birth Registry.²⁰ The dataset included mothers of singletons (n = 9 384) that had received and returned the questionnaires at gestation weeks 17 and 30 and at child age 6 months, 1.5, 3, and 7 years. Among these, 6 997 participants had complete or substitutable information on maternal temperament, education, age, parity, body mass index (BMI), and breastfeeding at child age 6 months, as well as information on the child's temperament, sex, birth weight, and weight and height at 1.5 and 3 years.

Page 3

Dependent variables

Mothers reported how often their children consumed sweet foods and drinks as well as fruits and vegetables at ages 1.5, 3, and 7 years. Across the three time points, *sweet drinks* were assessed with the following items: fruit juice; fruit nectar (not at age 1.5 years); soft drinks; artificially sweetened soft drinks; syrup mixed with water; artificially sweetened syrup mixed with water. Sweet foods were assessed with the following items: cakes, waffles, and cookies; biscuits, deserts, ice cream; water ice (ice made of lemonade [assessed at 3 years only]); chocolate; other sweets, jelly beans, candies. Fruits and vegetables were assessed as follows at 1.5 and 3 years: fruits; raw vegetables and salads; cooked vegetables. At 7 years, the items were: carrots; cabbage, cauliflower, broccoli; salads; other vegetables; oranges, tangerines; apples, pears, grapes; bananas; other fresh fruits or berries.

In accordance with changing patterns of consumption response categories varied across ages. At child age 1.5, response categories for drinks varied between 1 (never) and 7 (5 or more times a day), and response categories for foods varied between 1 (never) and 6 (3 or more times a day). * At age 3, response categories for drinks and discretionary solid foods, including fruits, ranged from 1 (rarely, less than once a week) to 7 (4 or more times a day). Response categories for solid foods that form part of a meal, including salad and vegetables, however, ranged from 1 (once a month or less frequently) to 7 (5 or more times a week).^{\dagger} To make responses commensurate across fruits and vegetables, we transformed them into 3 categories, ranging from 1 (rarely/less than once a week) to 3 (3 or more times daily). [‡] At

[†]Fruits: 1 (rarely. less than once a week), 2 (1–3 times a week), 3 (4–6 times a week), 4 (once a day), 5 (2 times a day), 6 (3 times a day), and 7 (4 or more times a day). Vegetables: 1 (once a month or less frequently), 2 (2-3 times a month), 3 (once a week), 4 (2 times a week), 5 (3 times a week), 6 (4 times a week), and 7 (5 or more times a week). ⁴The recoding scheme for fruits was: 1 = 1, 2, 3 = 2, 4-7 = 3. The recoding scheme for vegetables was: 1, 2 was coded 1; 3–6 was

Swatermark-text

^{*}Drinks: 1 (never), 2 (less than once a week), 3 (1-3 times a week), 4 (4-6 times a week), 5 (1-2 times a day), 6 (3-4 times a day), and 7 (5 or more times a day). Foods: 1 (never), 2 (less than once a week), 3 (1-3 times a week), 4 (4-6 times a week), 5 (1-2 times a day), 6 (3–4 times a day).

coded 2; 7 was coded 3.

age 7, response categories ranged from 1 (never/rarely) to 6 (1 or more times daily).[§] At each assessment, we calculated separate sum scores for the three food groups. To capture clinically relevant dietary habits, the scores were dichotomized at the 85th percentile. For all three foods and drinks groups, the sum scores at the 85th percentile represented daily consumption. The longitudinal correlations among the non-dichotomized scales were highly significantly different from zero and ranged from r = 0.39 to r = 0.45 for sweet drinks, from r = 0.26 to r = 0.43 for sweet foods, and from r = 0.37 to r = 0.51 for fruits and vegetables, indicating moderate stability of consumption habits over time—in spite of differences in items assessed and great variation in the response categories.

Independent variables

When the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study was initiated, 17 items from the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL/1.5-5)²¹ were selected by consensus among clinical child psychologists to assess the domains of externalizing and internalizing problems at child age 1.5 years. In addition, a validated 11-item version of the Emotionality, Activity, and Sociability Questionnaire was included in the questionnaire.²² After excluding items related to eating problems, 25 items describing child emotions and behavior were available. In view of the high associations between temperament scales and behavior scales in children, we pooled these items and factor-analyzed them. The resulting factors represented infant internalizing temperament (e.g., sad, fearful, anxious), infant externalizing temperament (e.g., defiant, aggressive, impulsive), and infant surgent temperament (e.g., sociable, active) (for details see¹¹). Alpha reliabilities were 0.51 for internalizing temperament (7 items), 0.66for externalizing temperament (8 items), and 0.67 for surgent temperament (8 items). The alpha for the internalizing temperament scale was low, but the mean inter-item correlation was 0.15, which is considered acceptable in the literature.²³ To assess the stable aspects of maternal negative affectivity, we averaged scores on the 5-item version of the Hopkins Symptom Checklist (SCL-5) across four assessments: at gestation weeks 17 and 30, and at 6 and 18 months postpartum. The SCL-5 is a short form of the SCL-25, measuring the anxiety and depression dimensions of the full checklist.²⁴ Bivariate correlations of the SCL-5 across assessments were in the range 0.44 to 0.56, and Cronbach's a for the averaged scale was 0.89.

Covariates

Covariates were maternal age, parity, educational attainment, maternal BMI at child age 1.5 years, breastfeeding at child age 6 months (recorded on a scale ranging from 1 (never) to 6 (daily), the child's sex, birth weight, and the child's BMI at ages 1.5 and 3 years. Educational attainment was assessed at gestation week 17 by means of 6 categories, ranging from 9 to 17 or more years of completed education. The child's sex and birth weight were registered in the Medical Birth Registry of Norway.²⁰ The child's height and weight at age 1.5 and 3 years was copied by the mother from the child's health chart to the questionnaire. These charts are issued by community health stations, where all Norwegian preschoolers are examined regularly. We adjusted for the child's BMI at age 1.5 years in the age 3 analyses and for the child's BMI at age 3 in the age7 analyses, because mothers may restrict a child's diet in response to his or her overweight.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were conducted using SPSS version 17.²⁵ Incorrect or missing values for the independent and control variables were substituted by means of a maximum likelihood imputation algorithm, using information from all correlated variables across the

^{§1 (}never, rarely), 2 (1–3 times a month), 3 (1–2 times a week), 4 (3–4 times a week), 5 (5–6 times a week), 6 (once a day or more).

J Dev Behav Pediatr. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 November 01.

questionnaires.²⁶ Missing diet variables were not substituted. Two logistic regression models were calculated. In Model 1, we examined the adjusted effects of the independent variables (maternal and child temperament) and covariates on the child's diet at ages 3 and 7 years. In Model 2, we also adjusted for the consumption of the corresponding foods or drinks at the previous assessment. Thus, we could examine the *net additional* effect of temperament on the child's diet at the following point in time.

RESULTS

Study participants were similar to the cohort that had not reached 7 years in 2011—both at birth and at age 1.5 years (Table 1). Participating children resembled the cohort with respect to two of three temperament traits but were slightly more externalizing at 1.5 years. They were similar with respect to the sex distribution and weight at 1.5 years, but they weighed 50 grams less at birth on average. Their mothers had lower negative affectivity (0.01 points), were 0.12 years older when giving birth, had a marginally shorter education (0.34 years), and were similar to the cohort with respect to their BMI and how long they breastfed the child. The main difference was that participating mothers were less often primiparous when they gave birth to the child. Taken together, the effect sizes for these differences, measured in Cohen's d or H-statistics, varied between 0.01 and 0.12 (parity), showing that the sample of participants that had reached age 7 was representative for the cohort of the Norwegian Mother and Child Study for all practical purposes. The children's mean weight and height at ages 1.5, 3, and 7 years were within the middle percentile range of Cole's international growth charts.²⁷ Insert Table 2

Sweet drinks

The adjusted odds ratios for Model 1 showed that every unit increase in internalizing temperament at 1.5 years increased the children's odds for daily consumption of sweet drinks at ages 3 and 7 years by 77% and 62%, even after controlling for the child's other temperament traits, the mother's negative affectivity, and the covariates (Table 2). Adjusting for the child's previous consumption habits (Model 2) reduced the children's odds to 31% (non-significant) and 38%, respectively. Externalizing temperament only increased the odds for drinking sweet drinks at age 7 (Model 2; 34%). Neither child surgent temperament nor maternal negative affectivity was associated with the child's consumption of sweet drinks.

Sweet foods

Internalizing temperament at 1.5 years also increased the children's odds for eating sweet foods daily, by 55% at 3 years and 43% at 7 years (Model 1). Adjusting for earlier consumption of sweet foods (Model 2) reduced these associations to non-significant levels. *Externalizing temperament* at 1.5 years augmented the children's odds for eating sweet foods daily by 39% at age 3 and 44% at age 7. Adjusting for consumption of sweet foods at the previous assessment rendered the association of externalizing with sweet foods non-significant at age 3; but at age 7, the association remained (40%). Surgent temperament was not associated with the child's consumption of sweet foods at ages 3 and 7 years by 30% and 26%, respectively.

Fruits and vegetables

Internalizing temperament did not change the children's odds for eating fruits and vegetables at either age 3 or age 7. However, every unit increase in externalizing temperament lowered the children's odds for eating fruits and vegetables at age 3 by 47% (1/0.68) and 33% (1/0.75) at 7 years. Surgency was the strongest predictor of eating fruits and vegetables daily. Every unit increase in surgency nearly doubled the children's odds for

eating fruits and vegetables at age 3 (197%) and augmented them by 78% at age 7. Associations were only slightly reduced when adjusting for the child's previous habits of consuming fruits and vegetables. Surprisingly, maternal negative affectivity was not associated with children eating fruits and vegetables at either age.

DISCUSSION

Our study showed appreciable associations between infant temperament and the child's potentially obesogenic diet 1.5 and 5.5 years later, after controlling for most of the well-established predictors of diet. Maternal temperament, in contrast, showed only restricted associations with the child's diet. For internalizing temperament, the associations with later consumption of sweet drinks and foods were explained by the association established in infancy. For externalizing temperament and surgency, the associations were cumulative, i.e., the effects of temperament added up over time and were not merely a consequence of the association established in infancy.

These findings are novel and remarkable, because they demonstrate that the associations between early negative emotionality and a high-sugar, low-fiber diet observed in infants between 4 and 18 months cross-sectionally^{11,12,15} is not only stable but even increases across early childhood. This is in spite of the rapid development that infants undergo during their early childhood and the changing and expanding food environments that they are exposed to during this time. With respect to surgency and the intake of fruits and vegetables, similar associations have been reported from cross-sectional studies in kindergarteners, primary school children, and adults.^{17,18,28,29} As child temperament traits are moderately stable and precede adult personality traits,¹⁰ we can speculate that the relation between temperament and eating habits persists into adolescence and adulthood.

Mothers' negative affectivity was associated with the children's intake of sweets but not with the child's intake of fruit and vegetables. This is in keeping with an earlier study on 18-month-olds showing that mothers' negative affectivity was associated with an "unhealthy dietary factor" but not with a "wholesome" dietary factor.¹³ Yet, this finding does not disprove the influence of maternal temperament on child diet in general. It is possible that other maternal traits, such as control or conscientiousness, would have been associated with the obesogenic diet examined here.

An array of mechanisms can explain our findings. Children can reward or punish their mothers to obtain the foods and drinks they desire and to be spared from eating the foods they do not like. Sulking, crying, and throwing temper tantrums are highly effective strategies in the battles conducted between children and their parents about food. These strategies may be preferably deployed by children with higher internalizing and externalizing temperaments in distressing situations.³⁰ Consistent with this explanation, a recent study showed that mothers of young children used sweet foods to calm their child in stressful situations; they were more likely to do so when the child was high in negative emotionality.³¹ This may ultimately lead to early learned preferences for sweet taste, which paves the way for lifelong preferences.³² Children high in externalizing traits may not be sufficiently encouraged to eat fruits and vegetables, which are often rejected by young children because of their sour and bitter tastes.³³ Consistent with this explanation, children high in externalizing temperament tend to show vehement negative reactions such as temper tantrums when coaxed to eat new foods in general.^{17,34} Children high in surgent temperament (i.e., extraverted) have high approach motivation,⁹ whereby they may learn more quickly to accept and enjoy fruits and vegetables.³⁵ In line with this, a recent study showed that surgent children exhibit greater enjoyment of eating compared to non-surgent children.34

There are also plausible psychobiological explanations. For instance, individual differences with respect to sensitivity to rewards have been postulated.³⁶ Children with internalizing and externalizing temperaments may be more sensitive to rewards and therefore have stronger constitutional preferences for sweet foods. The finding that adults' high impulsiveness and neuroticism—traits emanating from childhood externalizing and internalizing emotionality —are associated with sweet taste preference is consistent with this suggestion.^{29,37}

Alternatively, the link between temperament and sweet taste preference may reflect shared genes, as genes influence temperament, self-regulation, eating behavior, and preference for sweets.^{38,39} Moreover, temperament may be genetically linked to hormones regulating appetite and satiety. For example, leptin levels appear to be associated with depression, which in turn may emanate from child internalizing temperament.⁴⁰ Still other mechanisms may mediate the association between surgent temperament and consumption of fruits and vegetables.

This study has limitations. All information except for child's sex and birth weight was obtained from the mother, which introduces shared method bias. The food frequency questionnaires were short and used different food categories and changed the response categories at the different ages. This weakens the observable stability of consumption of specific food groups. Complete and comprehensive measures of maternal and child temperament were lacking. This limited the range of dimensions that we were able to examine. Temperament measures in children typically assess six to 10 traits,⁴¹ and in adults, five dimensions are the norm today.⁴² In the children, for instance, a measure distinguishing between aggression and self-control would have been preferable; in the mothers, we missed a measure of conscientiousness, which is associated with prudent health behaviors and competent parenting.^{43,44} Complete temperament measures instead of short scales would have enhanced the scales' reliability and made it easier to find associations with the diet variables. Taken together, these limitations suggest that our findings rather underestimate than overestimate the range and magnitude of the associations between maternal and early child temperament and the child's later eating.

The positive prospective association that we found in spite of these limitations is all the more interesting and may be used to derive some avenues for intervention and future research. Health authorities and health professionals could educate parents to avoid using sweet foods and drinks to regulate children's behavior, as this may familiarize children with using food as a means of emotion regulation. Moreover, parental awareness concerning the patience and persistence needed to habituate introverted (low surgency), and reluctant/acting out children to eating fruits and vegetables should be raised.

CONCLUSION

Early temperament appears to be an important and lasting risk (or protective) factor in child obesogenic diet. Future studies could investigate associations of child and maternal temperament with diet in different populations, using more complete instruments and following the participants over longer periods of time. Moreover, there is a need for research on the mechanisms mediating the association between temperament and diet in children.

Acknowledgments

The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study is supported by the Norwegian Ministry of Health, by the NIH/ NIEHS (grant no. N01-ES-85433), NIH/NINDS (grant no.1 UO1 NS 047537-01), and the Norwegian Research Council/FUGE (grant no. 151918/S10). Sarah E. Hampson's contribution was supported in part by grant AG20048 from the National Institute on Aging of the U.S. National Institutes of Health. The authors thank Ellen Russon (ellenrusson@ellenrusson.com) for the competent editing of the manuscript.

References

- Adair LS. Child and adolescent obesity: Epidemiology and developmental perspectives. Physiol Behav. 2008; 94:8–16. [PubMed: 18191968]
- Juliusson PB, Roelants M, Eide GE, Hauspie R, Waaler PE, Bjerknes R. Overweight and obesity in Norwegian children: secular trends in weight-for-height and skin folds. Acta Paediatr. 2007; 96:1333–1337. [PubMed: 17718787]
- Patro B, Szajewska H. Meal patterns and childhood obesity. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Met Care. 2010; 13:300–304.
- 4. Sanigorski AM, Bell AC, Swinburn BA. Association of key foods and beverages with obesity in Australian schoolchildren. Pub Health Nutr. 2007; 10:152–157. [PubMed: 17261224]
- Johnson L, Mander AP, Jones LR, Emmett PM, Jebb SA. Energy-dense, low-fiber, high-fat dietary pattern is associated with increased fatness in childhood. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008; 87:846–854. [PubMed: 18400706]
- Fiorito LM, Marini M, Francis LA, Smiciklas-Wright H, Birch LL. Beverage intake of girls at age 5 y predicts adiposity and weight status in childhood and adolescence. Am J Clin Nutr. 2009; 90:935– 942. [PubMed: 19692492]
- Malik VS, Schulze MB, Hu FB. Intake of sugar-sweetened beverages and weight gain: a systematic review. Am J Clin Nutr. 2006; 84:274–288. [PubMed: 16895873]
- Patrick H, Nicklas TA. A review of family and social determinants of children's eating patterns and diet quality. J Am Coll Nutr. 2005; 24:83–92. [PubMed: 15798074]
- 9. Rothbart, MK. Becoming Who We Are: Temperament and Personality in Development. New York, NY: Guilford; 2011.
- Clark LA. Temperament as a unifying basis for personality and psychopathology. J Abnorm Psychol. 2005; 114:505–521. [PubMed: 16351374]
- 11. Infant temperament is associated with potentially obesogenic diet at 18 months. Intl J Pediatr Obes. 2011; 6:e408–e414.
- 12. Hampson SE, Tonstad S, Irgens LM, Meltzer HM, Vollrath ME. Mothers negative affectivity during pregnancy and food choices for their infants. Int J Obes. 2010; 34:327–331.
- Ystrom E, Niegel S, Vollrath ME. The impact of maternal negative affectivity on dietary patterns of 18-month-old children in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study. Matern Child Nutr. 2009; 5:234–242. [PubMed: 20572926]
- Ystrom E, Niegel S, Klepp KI, Vollrath ME. The impact of maternal negative affectivity and general self-efficacy on breastfeeding: the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study. J Pediatr. 2008; 152:68–72. [PubMed: 18154903]
- 15. Wasser H, Bentley M, Borja J, et al. Infants perceived as "fussy" are more likely to receive complementary foods before 4 months. Pediatrics. 2011; 127:229–237. [PubMed: 21220398]
- Niegel S, Ystrom E, Hagtvet KA, Vollrath ME. Difficult temperament, breastfeeding, and their mutual prospective effects: the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study. J Dev Behav Pediat. 2008; 29:458–462.
- Vereecken C, Rovner A, Maes L. Associations of parenting styles, parental feeding practices and child characteristics with young children's fruit and vegetable consumption. Appetite. 2010; 55:589–596. [PubMed: 20849895]
- Vollrath ME, Hampson SE, Juliusson PB. Children and eating: personality and gender are associated with obesogenic food consumption and overweight in 6- to 12-year-olds. Appetite. 2012; 58:1113–1117. [PubMed: 22425617]
- Magnus P, Irgens LM, Haug K, Nystad W, Skjaerven R, Stoltenberg C. Cohort profile: the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa). Int J Epidemiol. 2006; 35:1146–1150. [PubMed: 16926217]
- 20. Irgens LM. The Medical Birth Registry of Norway: epidemiological research and surveillance throughout 30 years. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2000; 79:435–439. [PubMed: 10857866]
- 21. Achenbach, TM.; Rescorla, LA. Manual for the ASEBA preschool forms & profiles. Burlington, VT: University of Vermont; 2000.

- 22. Mathiesen KS, Tambs K. The EAS Temperament questionnaire: factor structure, age trends, reliability, and stability in a Norwegian sample. J Child Psychol Psychiartry. 1999; 40:431–439.
- 23. Clark LA, Watson D. Constructing validity: basic issues in objective scale development. Psychol Assess. 1995; 7:309–319.
- Strand BH, Dalgard OS, Tambs K, Rognerud M. Measuring the mental health status of the Norwegian population: a comparison of the instruments SCL-25, SCL-10, SCL-5 and MHI-5 (SF-36). Nord J Psychiatry. 2003; 57:113–118. [PubMed: 12745773]
- 25. SPSS Inc. PASW Statistics Version 17.0 for Windows. Chicago, IL: SPSS, Inc; 2009.
- 26. Schafer JL, Graham JW. Missing data: our view of the state of the art. Psychol Methods. 2002; 7:147–177. [PubMed: 12090408]
- Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, Dietz WH. Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. BMJ. 2000; 320:1240–1245. [PubMed: 10797032]
- de Bruijn GJ, Brug J, Van Lenthe FJ. Neuroticism, conscientiousness and fruit consumption: exploring mediator and moderator effects in the theory of planned behaviour. Psychology & Health. 2009; 24:1051–1069. [PubMed: 20205045]
- 29. Kikuchi Y, Watanabe S. Personality and dietary habits. J Epidemiol. 2000; 10:191–198. [PubMed: 10860305]
- Gibson EL. Emotional influences on food choice: sensory, physiological and psychological pathways. Physiol Behav. 2006; 89:53–61. [PubMed: 16545403]
- Stifter CA, Anzman-Frasca S, Birch LL, Voegtline K. Parent use of food to soothe infant/toddler distress and child weight status: an exploratory study. Appetite. 2011; 57:693–699. [PubMed: 21896298]
- Beauchamp GK, Mennella JA. Early flavor learning and its impact on later feeding behavior. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2009; 48(suppl 1):S25–30. [PubMed: 19214055]
- Birch LL, Fisher JO. Development of eating behaviors among children and adolescents. Pediatrics. 1998; 101(3 II suppl):539–549. [PubMed: 12224660]
- 34. Haycraft E, Farrow C, Meyer C, Powell F, Blissett J. Relationships between temperament and eating behaviours in young children. Appetite. 2011; 56:689–692. [PubMed: 21316412]
- Cooke LJ, Wardle J, Gibson EL, Sapochnick M, Sheiham A, Lawson M. Demographic, familial and trait predictors of fruit and vegetable consumption by pre-school children. Pub Health Nutr. 2003; 7:295–302. [PubMed: 15003137]
- 36. Davis C, Strachan S, Berkson M. Sensitivity to reward: implications for overeating and overweight. Appetite. 2004; 42:131–138. [PubMed: 15010176]
- 37. Saliba AJ, Wragg K, Richardson P. Sweet taste preference and personality traits using a white wine. Food Quality and Preference. 2009; 20:572–575.
- Bachmanov AA, Bosak NP, Floriano WB, et al. Genetics of sweet taste preferences. Flavour and Fragrance Journal. 2011; 26:286–294. [PubMed: 21743773]
- 39. Kendler KS, Aggen SH, Knudsen GP, Røysamb E, Neale MC, Reichborn-Kjennerud T. The structure of genetic and environmental risk factors for syndromal and subsyndromal common DSM-IV axis I and all axis II disorders. Am J Psychiatr. 2011; 168:29–39. [PubMed: 20952461]
- Lawson EA, Miller KK, Blum JI, et al. Leptin levels are associated with decreased depressive symptoms in women across the weight spectrum, independent of body fat. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf). 2012; 76:520–525. [PubMed: 21781144]
- 41. Shiner RL. How shall we speak of children's personalities in middle childhood? A preliminary taxonomy. Psychological Bulletin. 1998; 124:308–332. [PubMed: 9849111]
- 42. McCrae, RR.; Costa, PT, Jr. Personality in Adulthood. New York, NY: Guilford Press; 1990.
- Bogg T, Roberts BW. Conscientiousness and health-related behaviours: a meta-analysis of the leading behavioral contributors to mortality. Psychol Bull. 2004; 2004:887–919. [PubMed: 15535742]
- Prinzie P, Stams GJJM, Dekovi M, Reijntjes AHA, Belsky J. The relations between parents' Big Five personality factors and parenting: a meta-analytic review. J Pers Soc Psychol. 2009; 97:351– 362. [PubMed: 19634980]

\$watermark-text

Vollrath et al.

Table 1

Characteristics of the 7-Year-Olds and their Mothers (n = 6997) Included in the Analysis, Compared with the Cohort (n = 32745)

-Year-Olds (N = 6997)N=32745Child and Maternal CharacteristicsBirth1.5 YearsBirth1.5 YearsTanperament variablesBirth1.5 YearsBirth1.5 YearsTanperament variables0.025 \pm 0.230.0530.053Tanperament variables0.025 \pm 0.230.0530.053Tanperament variables0.025 \pm 0.230.0530.053Externalizing (0-2)0.025 \pm 0.230.0530.053Surgent (0-2)0.025 \pm 0.230.0230.053Maternal negative affectivity (0-3)3.024 \pm 4.330.022 \pm 0.230.023Maternal negative affectivity (0-3)3.024 \pm 4.330.022 \pm 0.240.023Age (M \pm SD)14.51 \pm 2.4414.85 \pm 2.460.023Delor mass index (BMI)14.51 \pm 2.4414.85 \pm 2.4614.73%Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6)14.51 \pm 2.4414.85 \pm 2.4614.73%Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6)14.51 \pm 2.4414.85 \pm 2.4616.71Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6)14.51 \pm 2.4414.85 \pm 2.4616.71Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6)14.51 \pm 2.4414.85 \pm 2.4616.71Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6)14.51 \pm 2.4724.71 \pm 4.2816.71 \pm 1.46Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6)14.51 \pm 2.4714.85 \pm 2.46Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6)14.51 \pm 2.4714.85 \pm 2.46Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6)3.10%3.10%3.67 \pm 0.57Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6)3.62 \pm 0.583.67 \pm 0.57Breastfeeding 6 months (1-						
Child and Matemal CharacteristicsBirth 1.5 YearsBirth 1.5 YearsTemperament variables 1.5 Years 1.5 Years 1.5 Years 1.5 Years 1.5 YearsInternalizing $(0-2)$ 0.25 ± 0.23 0.25 0.25 Externalizing $(0-2)$ 1.49 ± 0.22 0.23 0.23 Surgent $(0-2)$ 1.49 ± 0.22 1.49 ± 0.22 0.23 Maternal negative affectivity $(0-3)$ 0.22 ± 0.27 0.23 Surgent $(0-2)$ 1.49 ± 0.23 0.22 ± 0.27 0.23 Maternal negative affectivity $(0-3)$ 3.24 ± 4.33 $3.0.38 \pm 4.40$ 0.22 ± 0.24 Age (M±SD) 3.024 ± 4.33 $3.0.38 \pm 4.40$ 0.23 Primiparous (%) 40.5 % 40.5 % 47.3 % $0.24.5$ Body mass index (BMI) $1.4.51 \pm 2.44$ $1.4.85 \pm 2.46$ $2.4.51$ Breastfreding 6 months $(1-6)$ $[3.48 \pm 1.11]$ $[3.46 \pm 1.14]$ $[3.46 \pm 1.14]$ Covariates Child 51.0 % 51.1 % $[3.46 \pm 1.13]$ Sex (% boys) 51.0 % 51.0 % 51.1 % $[15.91 \pm 1.95]$ BMI 1.5 Years (M±SD) $ [16.09 \pm 1.37]$ $[16.01 \pm 1.95]$ $[16.71 \pm 1.95]$ BMI 1.5 Years (M±SD) 3.62 ± 0.58 3.67 ± 0.57 $[16.71 \pm 1.95]$ BMI 3 Years (M±SD) 3.62 ± 0.58 3.67 ± 0.57 $[16.71 \pm 1.95]$ BMI 3 Years (M±SD) 3.62 ± 0.58 3.67 ± 0.57 $[15.91 \pm 1.95]$ BMI 3 Years (M±SD) 3.62 ± 0.58 3.67 ± 0.57 $[16.00 \pm 1.37]$ P 2.61 ± 0.51 $3.62 $		7-Year-Old	ls (N = 6997)	Color N = 3	10rt 12 745	ANOVA Chi ²
Temperament variables 0.25±023 0.25±023 0.053 Internalizing (0-2) 0.65±029 0.65 Externalizing (0-2) 0.65±029 0.65 Surgent (0-2) 1.49±0.22 0.23 Maternal negative affectivity (0-3) 0.22±0.27 0.23 Surgent (0-2) 0.22±0.27 0.23 Age (M±SD) 30.24±4.33 0.22±0.27 0.23 Age (M±SD) 30.24±4.33 30.38±4.40 0.23 Primiparous (%) 40.5% 47.3% 0.23 Body mass index (BMI) 14.51±2.44 14.85±2.46 0.246±1.43 Body mass index (BMI) 14.51±2.44 14.85±2.46 24.85 Body mass index (BMI) 14.51±2.44 14.85±2.46 24.85 Body mass index (BMI) 14.51±2.24 14.85±2.46 24.85 Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6) 13.48±1.11 24.71±4.28 24.85 Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6) 14.51±2.24 14.85±2.46 16.73 Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6) 14.51±2.24 14.85±2.46 16.73 Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6) 14.51±2.24 14.73% 14.85±2.46	Child and Maternal Characteristics	Birth	1.5 Years	Birth	1.5 Years	F/χ^2
Internalizing (0-2) 0.25 ± 0.23 0.25 Externalizing (0-2) 0.65 ± 0.29 0.63 Surgent (0-2) 1.49 ± 0.22 1.48 Maternal negative affectivity (0-3) 0.22 ± 0.27 0.23 Covariates Mother 0.22 ± 0.27 0.23 Age (M±SD) 30.24 ± 4.33 0.22 ± 0.27 0.23 Primiparous (%) 40.5% 47.3% 0.23 Primiparous (%) 40.5% 47.3% 24.82 Body mass index (BMI) 14.51 ± 2.44 14.85 ± 2.46 24.73% Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6) $[3.48\pm1.11]$ 24.71 ± 4.28 $[1.4.85\pm2.46]$ Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6) $[3.48\pm1.11]$ 24.71 ± 4.28 51.1% Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6) $[3.48\pm1.11]$ $[1.6.09\pm1.37]$ $[1.6.71]$ BMI 1.5 Years (M±SD) 51.0% 51.1% 51.1% 51.1% BMI 7 Years (M±SD) $5.1.0\%$ $[16.09\pm1.37]$ $[16.09\pm1.37]$ $[16.09\pm1.37]$ Birth weight, kg (M±SD) 3.62 ± 0.58 3.67 ± 0.57 3.67 ± 0.57 $5.1.0\%$ $\%^{*}$ $9.001;$ $5.000;$ $5.000;$ $5.000;$ $5.000;$	Temperament variables					
Externalizing (0-2) 0.65 ± 0.29 0.65 ± 0.29 0.63 Surgent (0-2) 1.49 ± 0.22 1.49 ± 0.22 1.48 Maternal negative affectivity (0-3) 0.22 ± 0.27 0.23 <i>Corariates Mother</i> 30.24 ± 4.33 0.22 ± 0.27 0.23 <i>Corariates Mother</i> 30.24 ± 4.33 30.38 ± 4.40 0.23 Age (M±SD) 30.24 ± 4.33 30.38 ± 4.40 0.23 Primiparous (%) 40.5% 47.3% 24.83 Body mass index (BMI) 14.51 ± 2.44 14.85 ± 2.46 24.82 Body mass index (BMI) 14.51 ± 2.44 14.85 ± 2.46 24.82 Breatfeeding 6 months (1-6) $[3.48\pm1.11]$ 24.71 ± 4.28 24.82 Breatfeeding 6 months (1-6) $[3.48\pm1.11]$ 24.71 ± 4.28 24.82 Breatfeeding 6 months (1-6) $[3.48\pm1.11]$ 24.71 ± 4.28 $[46\pm1.14]$ Sex (% boys) 51.0% 51.0% 51.1% BMI 1.5 Years (M±SD) $ [6.09\pm1.37]$ $[6.71]$ BMI 1.5 Years (M±SD) $ [16.09\pm1.37]$ $[16.09\pm1.37]$ BMI 1.5 Years (M±SD) $ [16.09\pm1.37]$ $[16.09\pm1.37]$ BMI 7 Years (M±SD) 3.62 ± 0.58 3.67 ± 0.57 3.67 ± 0.57 $*^{*}$ $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ $*^{*}$ $*$	Internalizing (0–2)		0.25 ± 0.23		0.25 ± 0.22	1.72
Surgent (0-2) 1.49±0.22 1.48. Maternal negative affectivity (0-3) 0.22±0.27 0.23. Covariates Mother 0.22±0.27 0.23. Age (M±SD) 30.24±4.33 30.38±4.40 0.23. Age (M±SD) 30.24±4.33 30.38±4.40 0.23. Primiparous (%) 40.5% 47.3% 0.23. Body mass index (BMI) 14.51 ± 2.44 14.85 ± 2.46 24.82 Body mass index (BMI) 24.71 ± 4.28 14.85 ± 2.46 24.82 Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6) $[3.48\pm1.11]$ 24.71 ± 4.28 24.82 Sex (% boys) 51.0% 51.14 $54.61.14$ $54.61.14$ BMI 1.5 Years (M±SD) $ 16.69\pm1.37$ 16.71 16.71 BMI 1.5 Years (M±SD) $ 16.69\pm1.37$ 16.71 16.71 BMI 1.5 Years (M±SD) $ 16.69\pm1.37$ 16.71 <td>Externalizing (0–2)</td> <td></td> <td>0.65 ± 0.29</td> <td></td> <td>0.63 ± 0.28</td> <td>17.78 ***</td>	Externalizing (0–2)		0.65 ± 0.29		0.63 ± 0.28	17.78 ***
Maternal negative affectivity (0-3) 0.22 ± 0.27 0.23 Covariates Mother 0.22 ± 0.27 0.23 Age (M±SD) 30.24 ± 4.33 30.38 ± 4.40 Primiparous (%) 40.5% 47.3% Primiparous (%) 40.5% 47.3% Body mass index (BMI) 14.51 ± 2.44 14.85 ± 2.46 Body mass index (BMI) 14.51 ± 2.44 14.85 ± 2.46 Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6) $[3.48\pm1.11]$ 24.82 Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6) $[3.48\pm1.11]$ 24.71 ± 4.28 Sex (% boys) 51.0% 51.1% BMI 1.5 Years (M±SD) 51.0% 51.1% BMI 1.5 Years (M±SD) $ 16.68\pm1.25$ BMI 7 Years (M±SD) $ 3.67\pm0.57$ Brith weight, kg (M±SD) 3.62 ± 0.58 3.67 ± 0.57 $*^{*}$ $ 16.68\pm1.25$ Brith weight, kg (M±SD) $ 16.68\pm1.25$ $*$ 3.62 ± 0.58	Surgent (0–2)		1.49 ± 0.22		1.48 ± 0.21	0.10
Covariates Mother 30.24 ± 4.33 30.38 ± 4.40 Age (M±SD) 30.24 ± 4.33 30.38 ± 4.40 Primiparous (%) 40.5% 47.3% Primiparous (%) 40.5% 47.3% Body mass index (BMI) 14.51 ± 2.44 47.3% Body mass index (BMI) 14.51 ± 2.44 24.71 ± 4.28 Body mass index (BMI) 24.71 ± 4.28 24.82 Body mass index (BMI) 24.71 ± 4.28 24.82 Body mass index (BMI) 24.71 ± 4.28 24.82 Brastfeeding 6 months (1-6) $[3.48\pm1.11]$ 24.71 ± 4.28 24.82 Brastfeeding 6 months (1-6) $[3.48\pm1.11]$ 24.71 ± 4.28 24.82 Sex (% boys) 51.0% 51.0% 51.1% 51.1% BMI 1.5 Years (M±SD) $ 16.68\pm1.25$ 16.71 BMI 7 Years (M±SD) $ 16.69\pm1.371$ 16.71 $p < 0.05;$ $5.005;$ 3.62 ± 0.58 3.67 ± 0.57 $p < 0.01;$	Maternal negative affectivity (0-3)		0.22 ± 0.27		0.23 ± 0.29	6.25 ^{**}
Age (M±SD) 30.24 ± 4.33 30.38 ± 4.40 Primiparous (%) 40.5% 47.3% Education in years (9–18 years) (M±SD) 14.51 ± 2.44 40.5% Education in years (9–18 years) (M±SD) 14.51 ± 2.44 14.85 ± 2.46 Body mass index (BMI) 24.71 ± 4.28 14.85 ± 2.46 Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6) $[3.48\pm1.11]$ 24.71 ± 4.28 Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6) $[3.48\pm1.11]$ 24.51 Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6) $[3.48\pm1.11]$ 24.51 Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6) $[3.48\pm1.11]$ $[16.42]$ Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6) $[3.48\pm1.11]$ $[16.42]$ Breastfeeding 7 $[16.09\pm1.25]$ 51.1% BMI 1.5 Years (M±SD) $ 16.68\pm1.25$ BMI 1.5 Years (M±SD) $ 16.68\pm1.25$ BMI 7 Years] (M±SD) $ 16.68\pm1.25$ BMI 7 Years] (M±SD) 3.62 ± 0.58 3.67 ± 0.57 $p < 0.05;$ $ p < 0.05;$ $ p < 0.01;$ $ p < 0.01;$ $ p < 0.01;$ $-$	Covariates Mother					
Primiparous (%)40.5%47.3%Education in years (9-18 years) (M±SD) 14.51 ± 2.44 14.85 ± 2.46 Body mass index (BMI) 14.51 ± 2.44 14.85 ± 2.46 Body mass index (BMI) 24.71 ± 4.28 14.85 ± 2.46 Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6) $[3.48\pm1.11]$ 24.71 ± 4.28 Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6) $[3.48\pm1.11]$ 24.71 ± 4.28 Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6) $[3.48\pm1.11]$ 24.82 Breastfeeding 7 works 51.0% 51.1% Sex (% boys) 51.0% 51.0% BMI 1.5 Years (M±SD) $ 16.68\pm1.25$ BMI 7 Years] (M±SD) $ 16.68\pm1.25$ BMI 7 Years] (M±SD) 3.62 ± 0.58 3.67 ± 0.57 $p < 0.05;$ 3.62 ± 0.58 3.67 ± 0.57	Age (M±SD)	30.24 ± 4.33		30.38 ± 4.40		6.07 **
Education in years (9–18 years) (M±SD)14.51±2.4414.85±2.46Body mass index (BMI)224.71±4.2824.85Breastfeeding 6 months (1–6)[3.48 ± 1.11]24.71±4.2824.85Breastfeeding 6 months (1–6)[3.48 ± 1.11]24.51±4.2824.85Covariates Child $[3.48\pm1.11]$ $[3.46\pm1.14]$ 24.51Sex (% boys) 51.0% 51.1% 51.1% BMI 1.5 Years (M±SD) $ 16.68\pm1.25$ 16.71 BMI 3 Years] (M±SD) $ 16.68\pm1.25$ 16.71 BMI 7 Years] (M±SD) $ 16.68\pm1.25$ 16.71 BMI 7 Years] (M±SD) $ 16.68\pm1.25$ 16.71 BMI 7 Years] (M±SD) $ 16.68\pm1.25$ 3.67 ± 0.57 Brith weight, kg (M±SD) 3.62 ± 0.58 3.67 ± 0.57 $p < 0.05;$ $ p < 0.01;$ $ **$ $ **$ $ **$ $ **$ $ **$ $ **$ $ **$ $ **$ $ **$ $ **$ $ **$ $ **$ $ **$ $ **$ $ **$ $ **$ $ **$ $ -$ <	Primiparous (%)	40.5%		47.3%		107.67 ***
Body mass index (BMI) 24.71 ± 4.28 24.82 Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6) $[3.48\pm1.11]$ 24.31 ± 4.28 24.82 Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6) $[3.48\pm1.11]$ $[3.46\pm1.14]$ 24.82 Covariates Child 51.0% 51.1% 51.1% 16.71 Sex (% boys) 51.0% 51.0% 51.1% 16.71 BMI 1.5 Years (M±SD) $ 16.68\pm1.25$ 16.71 BMI 7 Years (M±SD) $ 16.69\pm1.371$ 16.71 BMI 7 Years (M±SD) 3.62 ± 0.58 3.67 ± 0.57 3.67 ± 0.57 $p < 0.05;$ $**$ $**$ $**$	Education in years (9–18 years) (M±SD)	14.51 ± 2.44		14.85 ± 2.46		108.88^{***}
Breastfeeding 6 months (1-6)[3.48 ± 1.11][3.46 ± 1.14]Covariates Child 51.0% 51.1% Sex ($\%$ boys) 51.0% 51.1% BMI 1.5 Years (M±SD) $ 16.68\pm1.25$ BMI 1.5 Years (M±SD) $ 16.68\pm1.25$ BMI 3 Years (M±SD) $ 16.68\pm1.25$ BMI 3 Years (M±SD) $ 16.69\pm1.37$]BMI 7 Years (M±SD) 3.62 ± 0.58 3.67 ± 0.57 BMI 7 Years (M±SD) 3.62 ± 0.58 3.67 ± 0.57 $*^{*}$ $e^{0.01}$; $*^{*}$ $p < 0.01$; $*^{*}$	Body mass index (BMI)		24.71±4.28		24.82±4.39	3.38
	Breastfeeding 6 months (1–6)	$[3.48\pm 1.11]$		$[3.46\pm1.14]$		3.01
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Covariates Child					
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} BMI \ 1.5 \ Years \ (M\pm SD) & - & 16.68\pm 1.25 & 16.71 \\ [BMI \ 3 \ Years] \ (M\pm SD) & [16.09\pm 1.37] \\ [BMI \ 7 \ Years] \ (M\pm SD) & [15.91\pm 1.95] \\ Birth \ weight, \ kg \ (M\pm SD) & 3.62\pm 0.58 & 3.67\pm 0.57 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	Sex (% boys)	51.0%		51.1%		0.44
	BMI 1.5 Years (M±SD)		16.68 ± 1.25		16.71 ± 1.24	3.37
	[BMI 3 Years] (M±SD)		$[16.09\pm1.37]$			
$\begin{array}{ccc} Birth \mbox{ weight, kg (M\pm SD)} & 3.62\pm 0.58 & 3.67\pm 0.57 \\ & p < 0.05; & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	[BMI 7 Years] (M±SD)		$[15.91\pm1.95]$			
* p < 0.05; p < 0.01; ***	Birth weight, kg (M \pm SD)	3.62 ± 0.58		3.67 ± 0.57		9.64 **
** p<0.01; ***	* p < 0.05;					
**** ***	p < 0.01; p < 0.01;					
m < 0.001	*** * ~ ^ 0.001					

-	7
-	7
-	
~	
~	
2	
-	
0	
÷,	
H	
R	
-	
2	
H	
· • • •	ł,
\sim	
+	
0	
N.	
1	
-	

\$watermark-text

Associations of Child Temperament (at 1.5 Years) and Maternal Negative Affectivity (NA) with Child Diet at 3 and 7 Years (Logistic Regression)

		Child Ag	e 3 Years			Child Ag	e 7 Years	
	Model	11	Model	12	Model	11	Mode	12
	Adjusted OR	95% CI	Adjusted OR	95% CI	Adjusted OR	95% CI	Adjusted OR	95% CI
Temperament		Sweet	drinks			Sweet	drinks	
Internalizing	1.77^{***}	1.32–2.37	1.31	0.96–1.79	1.62 **	1.20–2.19	1.38 *	1.00-1.91
Externalizing	1.03	0.81 - 1.30	0.99	0.74-1.26	1.23	0.97-1.56	1.34	1.04-1.73
Surgency	0.99	0.74 - 1.34	0.84	0.61 - 1.15	1.14	0.83 - 1.56	1.12	0.80 - 1.57
Maternal NA	1.13	0.90-1.42	1.12	0.88 - 1.44	1.14	0.90 - 1.44	1.05	0.81-1.35
Temperament		Sweet	spool .			Sweet	foods	
Internalizing	1.55^{**}	1.15-2.08	1.10	0.80 - 1.50	1.43	1.08 - 1.89	1.26	0.97–1.69
Externalizing	1.39^{**}	1.11-1.76	1.19	0.93-1.51	1.44^{***}	1.15-1.79	1.40^{**}	1.11 - 1.77
Surgency	1.05	0.78-1.42	0.92	0.67 - 1.26	1.30	0.98 - 1.74	1.31	0.97–1.77
Maternal NA	1.25	0.99–1.56	1.30^{*}	1.02-1.66	1.26	1.01-1.57	1.26	1.00-1.59
Temperament		Fruits and	vegetables			Fruits and	vegetables	
Internalizing	1.17	0.79–1.73	1.07	0.72 - 1.60	1.20	0.88 - 1.64	1.24	0.90-1.71
Externalizing	0.68	0.50-0.93	0.72 **	0.53-0.98	0.75 *	0.59 - 0.96	0.75*	0.58–0.96
Surgency	1.97	1.33-2.09	$1.73 ^{**}$	1.15-2.56	1.78^{***}	1.30-2.43	1.68^{***}	1.22–2.32
Maternal NA	1.08	0.79 - 1.47	1.05	0.76 - 1.44	1.10	0.86 - 1.41	1.10	0.85 - 1.41

J Dev Behav Pediatr. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 November 01.

OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; NA, negative affectivity.

Model 1: Temperament variables are adjusted to each other and for child sex, child BMI, maternal education level at childbirth, maternal age, maternal BMI, and breastfeeding at 6 months postpartum.

Model 2: Same adjustment as in Model 1 plus the child's previous intake of the same food/drink group.

P values of odds ratios:

 $^{*}_{P}$ 0.05;

 $\begin{array}{cccc} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ &$

Vollrath et al.