

NIH Public Access **Author Manuscript**

Chem Commun (Camb). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 May 16.

Published in final edited form as:

Chem Commun (Camb). 2012 May 16; 48(39): 4755–4757. doi:10.1039/c2cc30819c.

Photocaged permeability: a new strategy for controlled drug release†

M. Michael Dconaa,b, **Deboleena Mitra**a,b, **Rachel W. Goehe**b,c , **David A. Gewirtz**b,c , **Deborah A. Lebman**b,d, and **Matthew C. T. Hartman**a,b

Matthew C. T. Hartman: mchartman@vcu.edu

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Virginia Commonwealth University (VCU), 1001 West Main Street, P. O. Box 842006, Richmond, VA 23284, USA

^bMassey Cancer Center, Virginia Commonwealth University, 401 College Street, Richmond, VA 23298

^cDepartment of Pharmacology and Toxicology, VCU

^dDepartment of Microbiology and Immunology, VCU

Abstract

Light is used to release a drug from a cell impermeable small molecule, uncloaking its cytotoxic effect on cancer cells.

> Off-target toxicity plagues conventional cancer chemotherapy. One strategy to enhance selectivity of anti-cancer drugs involves unmasking the cytotoxicity of a molecule in the vicinity of the tumor¹. This type of activation can be mediated by enzymes^{2, 3}, changes in pH⁴, or exogenous factors such as temperature⁵ or light^{6–8}.

Light is an ideal external stimulus since it provides a broad range of adjustable parameters⁹ that can be optimized for biological compliance. Several approaches that use light for biomolecular activation have been reported 10^{-15} . One established method to enable selectivity of drug action using light is photodynamic therapy (PDT). In PDT, $^{16, 17}$ light activation of a photosensitizer generates cytotoxic singlet oxygen killing only illuminated cells. PDT is currently used in several types of malignancies¹⁶ including skin, lung, esophageal, bladder, head and neck, and prostate cancer. A related strategy, photochemical internalization^{18, 19}, also uses photosensitizers. In this case, the photosensitizers are used to release macromolecular cytotoxins from endosomes, enabling their entry into the cytosol. However, these approaches suffer from disadvantages²⁰, including unpredictable drug uptake rates, the limited diffusion and lifetime of ${}^{1}O_{2}$, and the requirement for moderate levels of O_2 which may not always be available in the tumor environment.

In this communication, we report a new light-targeted drug delivery system, which operates independently of the creation of ${}^{1}O_{2}$. The basis of the system is the attachment of a cell impermeable small molecule to a drug via a linker that can be removed in presence of light, allowing cellular entry (Fig. 1). We call this new strategy photocaged permeability (PCP) 21 .

Correspondence to: Matthew C. T. Hartman, mchartman@vcu.edu.

[†]Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Details of synthesis and characterization of the photocaged drug conjugate, photolytic drug release studies, cytotoxicity studies, confocal and flow cytometry data.

More specifically, we report the controlled release of the anticancer drug, doxorubicin (Dox), upon illumination (Fig. 2). To prevent entry of Dox in the dark we attached Dox to EDANS, a small fluorophore, chosen because it contains a sulfonic acid moiety known to hinder cellular entry²². To connect Dox to EDANS we utilized a light-cleavable nitroveratryl²³ linker. The nitroveratryl moiety has been used previously as a photocaging group for a wide variety of biomolecules²⁴.

The synthesis (Scheme 1) began with commercially available nitroveratryl carboxylic acid **(1).** The N-hydroxy succinimide ester was prepared followed by coupling with propargylamine to give amide **(2)**. This compound was converted to the p-nitrophenyl carbonate which was then treated with doxorubicin $³$ to generate photocaged doxorubicin</sup> carbamate **(3)**. EDANS was coupled with azido benzoic acid (**5)** and was finally attached to the photocage using click chemistry to generate the final Dox-EDANS conjugate **(6)**.

The photolytic release of doxorubicin from the drug conjugate was analyzed using HPLC. The drug conjugate was dissolved in PBS buffer and was exposed to UV light at 365 nm (9.0 mW/cm^2) . Aliquots of the reaction mixture were collected at various times and were analyzed on RP-HPLC. During the course of the reaction, the peaks corresponding to the Dox-EDANS conjugate disappeared with concomitant increase in the intensity of a peak with the same retention time as Dox (See ESI Fig. S3), confirming time-depended drug release in the presence of light.

We then investigated whether the attachment of EDANS to Dox via the veratryl linker would enable drug delivery. JH-EsoAd1 cells, a Barrett's esophagus associated adenocarcinoma cell line25, were incubated with Dox-EDANS in the dark or with illumination. Cell permeability was measured with flow cytometry; upon illumination a significant enhancement of cellular Dox fluorescence was observed (Fig. 3A–B). This enhancement was mirrored in confocal studies with the same cell line (Fig. 3C)—only lighttreated cells show significant Dox fluorescence in the nucleus, where it is known to accumulate²⁶.

With permeability enhancement established, we proceeded to investigate the extent to which the release of Dox with light would lead to enhanced cellular toxicity. Indeed, increased illumination lead to decreased survival as measured by an MTT assay (Fig. 4). Survival of cells treated with EDANS-Dox in the dark was equivalent to controls with no drug added. Moreover, treatment with light alone at the same dose was not cytotoxic (See ESI, Fig. S5).

Finally, we sought to compare the concentration dependence for our method vs. Dox alone. We first measured the IC₅₀ of Dox alone with the JH-EsoAd1 cells and found it to be 1.0 \pm 0.4μ M (see ESI Fig S6). As expected by PCP, EDANS-Dox was not toxic to the cells in the dark at the highest value tested (16 μ M). However, illumination of EDANS-Dox (365 nm, 9.0 mW/cm²) leads to cytotoxicity with an IC₅₀ of 1.6 \pm 1.0 μ M (Fig. 5), comparable to that of Dox alone. Based on our FACS study (Fig. 3), we deduce that this enhanced cytotoxicity is caused by efficient light-stimulated release of free Dox from the impermeable EDANS.

In conclusion, we have developed a new and efficient strategy for drug release based on photocaged permeability (PCP). In this first report, we have focused on applying PCP to the light-stimulated delivery of Dox into esophageal adenocarcinoma cells. In principle, the PCP approach could be applied to any small, cell permeable molecule that has a free amine, hydroxyl, or carboxylic acid group for attachment of the veratryl-EDANS molecule. Further experiments will focus on use of other light-scissile linkers that can operate at longer wavelengths that are able to penetrate farther into tissues, as well as the use of other molecules that block permeability.

Chem Commun (Camb). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 May 16.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge financial support from the American Cancer Society (IRG-73-001-34) and the Massey Cancer Center. Flow cytometry and confocal microscopy were supported in part by grants P30CA16059 and 5P30NS047463. We thank Dr. M. Bertino (VCU) and M. Foussekis (VCU) for help in measuring light intensity, Dr. J. Eshleman (Johns Hopkins) for the JH-EsoAd1 cells, and Dr. A. Cropp (VCU) for helpful comments.

Notes and References

- 1. Kratz F, Muller IA, Ryppa C, Warnecke A. ChemMedChem. 2008; 3:20–53. [PubMed: 17963208]
- 2. Fischel-Ghodsian F, Brown L, Mathiowitz E, Brandenburg D, Langer R. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1988; 85:2403–2406. [PubMed: 3281165]
- 3. Dubowchik GM, Firestone RA, Padilla L, Willner D, Hofstead SJ, Mosure K, Knipe JO, Lasch SJ, Trail PA. Bioconjug Chem. 2002; 13:855–869. [PubMed: 12121142]
- 4. Langer M, Kratz F, Rothen-Rutishauser B, Wunderli-Allenspach H, Beck-Sickinger AG. J Med Chem. 2001; 44:1341–1348. [PubMed: 11311056]
- 5. Choi SW, Zhang Y, Xia Y. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2010; 49:7904–7908. [PubMed: 20839209]
- 6. McCoy CP, Rooney C, Edwards CR, Jones DS, Gorman SP. J Am Chem Soc. 2007; 129:9572– 9573. [PubMed: 17636919]
- 7. Agasti SS, Chompoosor A, You CC, Ghosh P, Kim CK, Rotello VM. J Am Chem Soc. 2009; 131:5728–5729. [PubMed: 19351115]
- 8. Choi SK, Thomas T, Li MH, Kotlyar A, Desai A, Baker JR Jr. Chem Commun (Camb). 2010; 46:2632–2634. [PubMed: 20449327]
- 9. Gerasimov OV, Boomer JA, Qualls MM, Thompson DH. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 1999; 38:317–338. [PubMed: 10837763]
- 10. Lee HM, Larson DR, Lawrence DS. ACS Chem Biol. 2009; 4:409–427. [PubMed: 19298086]
- 11. Deiters A, Groff D, Ryu Y, Xie J, Schultz PG. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2006; 45:2728–2731. [PubMed: 16548032]
- 12. Deiters A. Chem Bio Chem. 2010; 11:47–53.
- 13. Ellis-Davies GC. Nat Methods. 2007; 4:619–628. [PubMed: 17664946]
- 14. Goguen BN, Hoffman BD, Sellers JR, Schwartz MA, Imperiali B. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2011; 50:5667–5670. [PubMed: 21542072]
- 15. Riggsbee CW, Deiters A. Trends Biotechnol. 2010; 28:468–475. [PubMed: 20667607]
- 16. Agostinis P, Berg K, Cengel KA, Foster TH, Girotti AW, Gollnick SO, Hahn SM, Hamblin MR, Juzeniene A, Kessel D, Korbelik M, Moan J, Mroz P, Nowis D, Piette J, Wilson BC, Golab J. CA Cancer J Clin. 2011; 61:250–281. [PubMed: 21617154]
- 17. Dolmans DE, Fukumura D, Jain RK. Nat Rev Cancer. 2003; 3:380–387. [PubMed: 12724736]
- 18. Berg K, Weyergang A, Prasmickaite L, Bonsted A, Hogset A, Strand MT, Wagner E, Selbo PK. Methods Mol Biol. 2010; 635:133–145. [PubMed: 20552345]
- 19. Berg K, Folini M, Prasmickaite L, Selbo PK, Bonsted A, Engesaeter BO, Zaffaroni N, Weyergang A, Dietze A, Maelandsmo GM, Wagner E, Norum OJ, Hogset A. Curr Pharm Biotechnol. 2007; 8:362–372. [PubMed: 18289045]
- 20. Verhille M, Couleaud P, Vanderesse R, Brault D, Barberi-Heyob M, Frochot C. Curr Med Chem. 2010; 17:3925–3943. [PubMed: 20858211]
- 21. Note: Drug delivery via light-stimulated release of cytotoxins from cell impermeable polymers or nanoparticles has recently been described (e.g see refs 6–8). Our PCP approach is distinct because permeability is blocked by a cell impermeable small molecule.
- 22. Fichert T, Yazdanian M, Proudfoot JR. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2003; 13:719–722. [PubMed: 12639566]

Chem Commun (Camb). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 May 16.

- 23. Holmes CP. J Org Chem. 1997; 62:2370–2380. [PubMed: 11671569]
- 24. Marriott G. Biochemistry. 1994; 33:9092–9097. [PubMed: 8049211] Iwase R, Kitani A, Yamaoka T, Murakami A. Nucleic Acids Res Suppl. 2003; (3):61–62. [PubMed: 14510380] Baumann L, Beck-Sickinger AG. Biopolymers. 2010; 94:771–778. [PubMed: 20564039] Berrade L, Kwon Y, Camarero JA. Chembiochem. 2010; 11:1368–1372. [PubMed: 20512791] Yang R, Pasunooti KK, Li F, Liu XW, Liu CF. Chem Commun (Camb). 2010; 46:7199–7201. [PubMed: 20737108] Pellois JP, Hahn ME, Muir TW. J Am Chem Soc. 2004; 126:7170–7171. [PubMed: 15186142] Sanchez MI, Vazquez O, Vazquez ME, Mascarenas JL. Chem Commun (Camb). 2011; 47:11107– 11109. [PubMed: 21909542] Cho S, Lee SH, Chung WJ, Kim YK, Lee YS, Kim BG. Electrophoresis. 2004; 25:3730–3739. [PubMed: 15565696] Gu Z, Biswas A, Joo KI, Hu B, Wang P, Tang Y. Chem Commun (Camb). 2010; 46:6467–6469. [PubMed: 20657917] Luo Y, Eldho NV, Sintim HO, Dayie TK. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011; 39:8559–8571. [PubMed: 21742763] Biggins JB, Hashimoto A, Koh JT. Chembiochem. 2007; 8:799–803. [PubMed: 17393546] Mayer G, Heckel A. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2006; 45:4900–4921. [PubMed: 16826610]
- 25. Hector A, Koorstra JM, Hong S, Boonstra JJ, Dinjens WN, Foratiere AA, Wu T, Mongomery EA, Eshleman JR, Maitra A. Cancer Biol Ther. 2008; 7:1753–1755. [PubMed: 18787394]
- 26. Speelmans G, Staffhorst RW, Steenbergen HG, de Kruijff B. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1996; 1284:240–246. [PubMed: 8914590]

Dcona et al. Page 5

Fig. 1. Photocaged permeability strategy for drug delivery

Fig. 2. a) The anticancer drug doxorubicin b) Cell impermeable EDANS

Fig. 3.

A). The flow cytometry histogram displays the relative fluorescence intensity of untreated controls in the dark (blue) or light (red) or JH-EsoAd1 cells treated with EDANS-Dox in the dark (orange) or light (green). **B)** Quantification of Dox fluorescence for the indicated treatment conditions. Data are representative of two independent experiments, N=9. **C)** Representative confocal images of JHEsoAd1 cells treated with EDANS-Dox in the dark or light. The red channel shows Dox fluorescence, the gray channel is a DIC image, and the overlay represents the cellular localization of Dox fluorescence.

Dcona et al. Page 8

Fig 4. Light-dependent cytotoxicity of Dox-EDANS

Cells were treated with $10 \mu M$ of Dox-EDANS with (solid diamonds) or without (open squares) light for the specified times. After 2 h, the cells were washed with fresh media and allowed to grow for 72 h. The fraction of surviving cells was evaluated using the absorbance of the formazan product of MTT reduction. Error bars denote one standard deviation from the mean.

Fig. 5. Concentration dependent Light-stimulated cytotoxicity

Cells were treated with EDANS-Dox at various concentrations in the dark (open squares) or with UV light for 20 minutes (black diamonds). After 2 h, the cells were washed with fresh media and allowed to grow for 72 h. The fraction of surviving cells relative to no drug controls was evaluated using the absorbance of the formazan product of MTT reduction. Error bars denote one standard deviation from the mean.

Scheme 1.

Synthesis of photocaged-cell impermeable drug conjugate. Reagents and conditions: (a) NHS, EDC. HCl; (b) propargylamine, Et₃N; (c) bis *p*-nitrophenylcarbonate, Et₃N; (d) doxorubicin HCl., Et₃N; (e) NHS, EDC. HCl (f) EDANS, Et₂N(iPr)₂; (g) CuSO₄. 5H₂O, sodium ascorbate, tris-(benzyltriazolylmethyl)amine, (1:1) (DMSO: water).