

# NIH Public Access **Author Manuscript**

Trends Endocrinol Metab. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 December 01.

#### Published in final edited form as:

Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2012 December ; 23(12): 619–627. doi:10.1016/j.tem.2012.05.012.

# **Action of RORs and Their Ligands in (Patho)physiology**

#### **Laura A. Solt** and **Thomas P. Burris**

The Scripps Research Institute, Jupiter, FL 33458

## **Abstract**

The retinoic-acid-receptor-related orphan receptors (RORs) are members of the nuclear receptor (NR) superfamily whose activity has been implicated in a number of physiological and pathological processes. The RORs, specifically RORα and RORγ, are considered master regulators of  $T_H$ 17 cells, a recently described subset of CD4<sup>+</sup> T helper cells that have been demonstrated to have a pathological role in autoimmune disease. As with most members of the NR superfamily, RORs are ligand regulated, suggesting that their activity can be modulated by synthetic ligands. Recent advances in the field have established that selective inhibition of the RORs is a viable therapeutic approach for not only the treatment of autoimmune disorders, but ROR-mediated metabolic disorders as well.

#### **Keywords**

Nuclear receptor; steroid receptor; lipid; oxysterol; autoimmunity

## **Introduction**

The human nuclear receptor (NR) superfamily is a highly conserved family of transcription factors comprised of 48 members. NRs function as ligand-dependent transcription factors and share considerable amino-acid sequence homology<sup>1</sup>. General structural characteristics of NRs are a variable amino-terminal A/B domain, a central, highly conserved DNA binding domain (DBD), also termed a C region, a hinge region (D), and a carboxy-terminal ligand binding domain (LBD, or E region). The LBD is responsible for the recognition and binding of the receptor's ligand as well as ligand-dependent transcriptional activity. Some receptors contain an additional C-terminal region, or F domain, of which the function is poorly understood.

Approximately half of the NR superfamily have well characterized natural ligands whereas the remaining receptors are considered "orphan" receptors and remain the focus of intense research<sup>2</sup>. The majority of NRs with identified natural ligands are also validated targets for clinical purposes and are a rich source of therapeutics aimed at the treatment of a great number of diseases, including inflammation, cancer, and metabolic disorders. Orphan NRs are an active area of research due to the potential for identification of ligands that may be used to modulate these receptors with the goal of developing targeted therapeutics for various diseases<sup>3</sup>. Over the past few years, there have been significant breakthroughs in the

<sup>© 2012</sup> Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Correspondence: Thomas P. Burris, Ph.D. The Scripps Research Institute, Jupiter, FL 33458, tburris@scripps.edu, Phone: 561-228-2217.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

identification of novel ligands, both natural and synthetic, for several orphan NRs. This review examines the progress made in the identification of ligands for the retinoic acid receptor-related orphan receptors (RORs) and their roles in immune and metabolic processes.

## **RORs: the basics**

Comprised of three members [RORα (NR1F1), RORβ (NR1F2), and RORγ (NR1F3], the RORs are considered "orphan" receptors as their endogenous ligands have yet to be definitively agreed upon. Due to their known roles in metabolic and immune processes, there is significant interest in the identification of ligands that regulate the RORs due to their potential for clinical utilization. Unlike most family members, the RORs recognize and bind as monomers to specific sequences of DNA, termed ROR response elements (ROREs), typically consisting of an AGGTCA "half site" with a 5′ AT-rich extension, in the regulatory region of the target gene $4-6$ . When bound to this element within the promoter of their target genes, the RORs constitutively recruit co-activators resulting in continual activation of transcription of their target genes7,8. Another group of NRs, the REV-ERBs, recognize the same response elements as the RORs and are co-expressed in many tissues $9-11$ . The REV-ERBs are ligand-dependent transcriptional repressors, and in many cases, functionally antagonize the action of the  $RORs^{12-14}$ .

The three RORs display significant sequence similarity and conservation between species. Each ROR generates multiple isoforms based on alternative promoter usage andexon splicing, with all of the isoforms varying only in the amino-terminal region of the receptor<sup>7</sup>. The RORs display distinct patterns of tissue expression and are involved in the regulation of various physiological processes. RORα is widely expressed and is found in liver, skeletal muscle, skin, lungs, adipose tissue, kidney, thymus, and brain<sup>15,16</sup>. The expression of  $ROR\beta$ is extremely restricted and is limited to the central nervous system<sup>17,18</sup>. ROR $\gamma$ t has been the focus of considerable attention due to its role in T helper 17 cells  $(T_H17)$  cell development and autoimmune disease pathology. ROR $\gamma$ , specifically ROR $\gamma$ 2 (also called ROR $\gamma$ t), is highly expressed in immune tissues, including the thymus, but there is significant expression of ROR $\gamma$  in the liver, skeletal muscle, adipose tissue, and kidney<sup>7</sup>. Due to significant sequence and functional similarities, ROR subtypes co-expressed in cells may exhibit functional overlap<sup>7</sup>. However, the physiological relevance and responsiveness of all of the different isoforms of each ROR has yet to be clarified.

# **ROR regulation in circadian rhythms**

Circadian rhythms are daily cycles of biochemical, behavioral, and physiological processes controlled by endogenous "clocks" that play essential roles in the regulation of an organism's physiology, including metabolism (Figure  $1$ )<sup>19</sup>. In mammals, the master circadian clock is located in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) of the hypothalamus. Aberrant circadian rhythms are associated with numerous disorders in humans, including sleep and mood disorders. The circadian rhythm is generated by a feedback loop where heterodimers of BMAL1 and CLOCK (the positive arm) activate the expression of the cryptochrome (Cry) and period (Per) genes (the negative arm). ROR $\alpha$  is a core part of the clock machinery that positively regulates the expression of  $BMALI<sup>20,21</sup>$ . RORa competes with REV-ERBα for binding to their shared DNA response element in the BMAL1 promoter resulting in REV-ERBα mediated repression or RORα mediated activation of BMAL1 expression<sup>21–23</sup>. This oscillating expression of RORa and REV-ERBa in the SCN leads to the circadian pattern of **BMAL1** expression thus interconnecting the positive and negative arms of the core circadian clock. (Figure 1). Therefore, RORα influences the period length and stability of the clock<sup>20</sup>.

Genetic models in which the RORs are either modified or have been deleted, have been instrumental in identifying their roles in the circadian rhythm. The *staggerer* mouse  $(RORa<sup>sg/sg</sup>)$  is a natural mouse mutant that carries an intragenic insertion within the RORa gene, which results in a frameshift and premature stop codon, rendering RORa inactive<sup>15</sup>. Staggerer mice exhibit severe cerebellar ataxia as well as a shortened period length when placed under constant dark conditions<sup>20</sup>. ROR $\beta^{-/-}$  mice also exhibit aberrant circadian rhythm, such that under constant dark conditions,  $\mathsf{ROR}\beta^{-/-}$  mice have a longer period length than wild type (wt) mice $17$ . While no overt circadian abnormalities were apparent in ROR $\gamma^{-/-}$  mice, recent work has demonstrated that ROR $\gamma$  directly regulates neuronal PAS domain protein 2 (Npas2) in vivo suggesting a regulatory role for this receptor in Npas2dependent physiological processs<sup>7,24</sup>. Likewise, several lines of evidence suggest a link between cardiovascular disease, metabolic disturbances and mood disorders with disrupted circadian rhythms<sup>25</sup>. Given its extensive role in the regulation of the circadian rhythm, targeted modulation of RORα appears a feasible means by which to regulate these disorders.

### **RORs in metabolism and metabolic disease**

The aforementioned genetic models have also been invaluable in identifying the roles of the RORs in physiological processes. On a normal diet, Staggerer mice display hypo-αlipoproteinemia, have lower total plasma cholesterol levels, lower high density lipoprotein (HDL), apolipoprotein AI (Apoa1, the major constituent of HDL), lower apolipoprotein CIII levels ( $Apoc3$ ),  $Apoa2$ , and triglycerides, compared to wild type mice<sup>26–28</sup>. Staggerer mice have decreased expression of the reverse cholesterol transporters *Abca1* and *Abca8/g1* in their liver and intestine, and are much less susceptible to hepatic steatosis and weight gain, compared to wt mice<sup>29</sup>. Sterol regulatory element-binding protein 1, isoform c (Srebp-1c) is reduced in the liver and muscle of *staggerer* mice as is the enzyme fatty acid synthase (Fas)29,30. Expression of the co-activators Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ coactivator (PGC)-1α and β, proteins involved in the regulation of oxidative metabolism and gluconeogenesis are increased in *staggerer* mice<sup>31</sup>. Furthermore, expression of the  $p450$ enzyme  $Cyp7b1$  is reduced in *staggerer* mice. RORa directly regulates  $Cyp7b1$  expression by binding to a functional RORE in the promoter regulatory region of the  $Cyp7b1$  gene<sup>30,32</sup>. These observations suggest that RORa functions as a positive regulator of Cyp7b1 function<sup>30,32</sup>. Staggerer mice also have smaller brown and white adipose cells than wt mice and when fed a high fat diet, staggerer mice are resistant to weight gain and hepatic steatosis<sup>29</sup>.

Evidence supporting RORα's role in glucose metabolism is derived from studies in steroid receptor coactivator-2 (SRC-2) knockout mice. These mice display symptoms similar to von Gierke's disease, which is associated with severe hypoglycemia and abnormal accumulation of glucose in the liver. SRC-2 controls the expression of hepatic Glucose-6-Phosphatase (G6Pase), an enzyme that is critical for maintaining fasting blood sugar levels by increasing hepatic glucose production and co-activates RORα bound to the RORE on theG6Pase promoter 33. Finally, it was recently demonstrated that RORα controls the expression and secretion of Fibroblast Growth Factor 21 (FGF21), a hepatic hormone that regulates peripheral glucose tolerance and hepatic lipid metabolism<sup>34</sup>. Since ROR $\alpha$  is critical in regulating the expression of key enzymes in the gluconeogenic pathway, suppression of RORα activity may lead to a decrease in the elevated hepatic glucose output levels observed in type 2 diabetes.

Initial characterization of ROR $\gamma^{-/-}$  mice revealed that they display normal cholesterol and triglyceride levels, with slightly lower blood glucose levels than their wt counterparts<sup>30</sup>. However, recent evidence suggests that  $ROR\gamma$  may indeed have a role in metabolism through regulation of adipogenesis and insulin sensitivity. Meissburger et al. demonstrate

that RORγ is a negative regulator of adipocyte differentiation in vitro. When overexpressed during adipocyte differentiation, RORγ decreases the amount of differentiated adipocytes. However, in vivo differentiation of adipocyte precursors in  $ROR\gamma^{-/-}$  mice was enhanced but showed decreased size. The smaller adipocytes were insulin sensitive and protected the mice from obesity induced hyperglycemia and insulin resistance <sup>35</sup>. Moreover, analysis of adipose stromal-vascular fractions from obese human subjects demonstrated a positive correlation between RORγ expression and adipocyte size that was negatively correlated with adipogenesis and insulin sensitivity. These findings suggest that  $ROR<sub>Y</sub>$  may be a novel target for the treatment of obesity-associated insulin resistance  $35$ .

The deletion of both RORα and RORγ exhibit similar changes in cholesterol, triglyceride, and blood glucose levels as the single knock out mice. Gene expression analysis from livers of double knock out (DKO) mice suggests a degree of functional redundancy between RORa and ROR $\gamma$  which is most likely due to the similarities in RORE binding affinities<sup>30</sup>. However, the recent evidence regarding obesity and insulin resistance in the  $ROR\gamma^{-/-}$  mice highlights the differences between the two NRs in metabolic processes.

# **RORs and (auto)immunity**

Host defense against invading pathogens is largely dependent upon distinct adaptive immune responses facilitated by the differentiation of  $CD4^+$  T cells into specific lineages of effector T helper cells (T<sub>H</sub>1, T<sub>H</sub>2, and T<sub>H</sub>17 cells)<sup>36</sup>. Both RORa and ROR $\gamma$ , specifically ROR $\gamma$ t, have generated a significant amount of attention over the past few years due to their essential role in the development of  $T_H17$  cells. Until recently, it was generally thought that there were only two T helper subsets within the CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell repertoire,  $T_H1$  and  $T_H2$ .  $T_H1$ cells mediated cellular immunity against intracellular bacteria and viruses whereas  $T_H2$  cells were thought to be involved in the humoral response to parasitic pathogens<sup>36</sup>. However,  $T_H1$ cells that responded to self-antigen can lead to autoimmune diseases whereas dysregulation of  $T_H2$  responses to allergens and parasites can cause specific allergic and parasitic pathology.  $T_H1$  cells had long been thought to be the mediators of tissue damage in autoimmune disease<sup>36</sup>. Key experiments using two established mouse models of autoimmunity, experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) and type II collageninduced arthritis (CIA), largely led to the discovery of another T helper subset known as  $T_H$ 17 cells (Figure 2). In this setting,  $T_H$ 17 cells were critical mediators of much of the pathology associated with EAE and CIA.  $T_H17$  cells are defined by a specific cytokine profile and secrete IL-17, IL-9, IL-21, IL-22, IL-26, and  $CCL20^{37}$ . These mediators are responsible for a number of different effector functions in host defense as well as autoimmune diseases.

Despite the negative implications for  $T_H17$  cells, this cell type plays a significant role in host defense against extracellular pathogens, specifically gram-negative bacteria at mucosal surfaces, as well as obligates intracellular pathogens, including intracellular bacteria and fungi<sup>38</sup>. In addition,  $T_H17$  cells have been shown to exhibit general tissue protective functions<sup>37</sup>.

Key factors in the development of  $T_H17$  cells involve the RORs, specifically RORa and RORγt, one isoform of RORγ that is exclusively detected in a few distinct types of cells in the immune system<sup>39</sup>. Overexpression of ROR $\gamma$ t in naïve CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells was demonstrated to drive the induction and development of T<sub>H</sub>17 cells<sup>40</sup>. Furthermore, ROR $\gamma t^{-/-}$  mice display impaired  $T_H$ 17 cell development<sup>40</sup>. Mice deficient in both RORa and RORγ completely lack  $T_H$ 17 cells and are resistant to the development of several autoimmune diseases, including EAE41,42. Collectively, these data suggested that targeted inhibition of RORα and

RORγ with specific, synthetic ligands could be one mechanism to potentially reduce autoimmune pathology.

# **Regulation of RORs by endogenous ligands**

The ligand binding domains of NRs are multifunctional. Typically, ligand binding induces a conformational change in the receptor resulting in dissociation of corepressors and recruitment of co-activators<sup>1</sup>. However, RORs are constitutively active meaning that they are in an active conformation in the absence of ligand and that ligand binding might actually repress receptor activity (inverse agonist, see box 1). While identification of the endogenous ligands for RORs has been controversial, recent evidence suggests that, similar to the liver X receptor's (LXRs), oxygenated sterols may function as high affinity ligands. Indeed, 7 oxygenated sterols (7α-OHC, 7β-OHC, and 7-ketocholesterol) function as inverse agonists to both RORs. The 7-oxygenated sterols bind to both RORa and ROR $\gamma$  isoforms with an affinity significantly greater than the affinity for cholesterol and cholesterol sulfate, and suppress their transactivation properties. It was also shown that both ROR $\alpha$  and ROR $\gamma$  are constitutively active in the absence of ligand, able to bind co-activator peptides, and activate transcription. Furthermore, the 7-oxygenated sterols modulated the expression of RORα/γdependent target genes in a receptor dependent manner<sup>8</sup> and possess the ability to induce the conformational change necessary to alter cofactor binding and transcriptional activity, a core requirement for a *bona fide* ligand (Figure 3).

#### **Box 1**

#### **Definition of a NR ligand**

NRs are generally characterized as ligand-dependent transcription factors. Typically, NR ligands are small, hydrophobic molecules including steroid hormones, fatty acids, and lipophilic vitamin derivatives. True ligands bind in the LBD of NRs inducing a conformational change within the receptor thus providing an interface for cofactor binding. Co-factors can be either co-activators or co-repressors. Ligands are classified according to their ability to regulate a specific NRs transcriptional activity.

#### **Agonist**

An agonist binds to the LBD and induces a conformational change resulting in increased recruitment of co-activator proteins. This results in maximal alterations in target gene transcription.

#### **Antagonist**

An antagonist does not provoke a response from the receptor. Rather, an antagonist binds the LBD and blocks the ability of an agonist to bind and activate the receptor.

#### **Inverse agonist**

An inverse agonist binds within the LBD of a given receptor, but inhibits the basal constitutive activity of the receptor. This generally describes a ligand to a particular NR that in its basal conformation is not bound by any ligand but allows for interaction with a cofactor protein (either coactivator or corepressor) leading to transcriptional activity. An inverse agonist induces a conformational change within the receptor that decreases the affinity of the receptor for a cofactor protein.

#### **Partial agonists**

Partial agonists bind and activate a receptor, but only with partial efficacy relative to a ligand that elicits a maximal response.

receptor.

Several other endogenous RORα and RORγ ligands have been described recently. 24Shydroxycholesterol (24S-OHC) is a high affinity ligand for RORα and RORγ, and similar to the 7-oxygentated sterols, 24S-OHC acts as an inverse agonist and dose dependently reduces the ROR $\alpha$  and ROR $\gamma$  constitutive activity<sup>43</sup>. As a consequence, expression of BMAL1 and REV-ERBa mRNAs are also reduced. In a similar manner, 24S,25epoxycholesterol (24,25-epoC) and 24R-cholesterol (24R-OHC) also selectively bind to and regulate the activity of  $ROR\gamma^{43}$ .

20a-hydroxycholesterol (20a-OHC), 22R-hydroxycholesterol (22R-OHC), and 25hydroxycholesterol (25-OHC) were also shown to be putative endogenous ligands for  $ROR\gamma^{44}$  as all three ligands dose dependently increased the recruitment of coactivator peptides to ROR $\gamma$ , *in vitro*<sup>44</sup>. In addition, elucidation of the ROR $\gamma$  crystal structure revealed that these three ligands bind to  $ROR\gamma$  in a similar manner. The  $ROR\gamma$  crystal structures also demonstrated that the AF-2 domain at the C- terminus of the receptor, together with helices H3, H4, and H5 form a charge clamp pocket, the area that facilitates binding of co-activator proteins to NRs. Mutational studies of this region revealed that an intact charge clamp pocket was required for these hydroxycholesterols to affect  $ROR\gamma$  activity<sup>44</sup> (Table 1).

Despite the identification of these putative ligands for the RORs, their physiological significance and whether they are regulatory or structural is not clear. For instance, several studies using RORα LBD purified from insect cells identified and characterized cholesterol, cholesterol sulfate, and several other cholesterol derivatives as endogenous putative ligands<sup>45,46</sup>. While subsequent studies have since established that several of these ligands are fortuitous, they suggest a requirement for ligand-bound LBD for receptor stability $47$ . Mutations in several key amino acids known to be involved in ligand binding abolishes the constitutive activity of the receptor, which could be attributed to the instability of the receptor in the absence of ligand<sup>48</sup>. Furthermore, much of the work describing ROR ligands has occurred using artificial systems *in vitro* with luciferase reporter systems. To date, only the 7-oxygenated sterols, 24S-OHC, 24,25-epoC, and 24R-OHC were shown to affect ROR target gene expression *in vitro*<sup>8,43</sup>. It is also difficult to envisage how many of these putative ligands could function as regulatory ligands due to their circulating levels within tissues. Whether these ligand associate with the RORs in vivo has yet to be determined. These questions need to be answered in order to determine whether the described ROR ligands are deemed "endogenous" and regulatory. With this in mind, using these ligands as "tools" to understand the biology of the RORs should be met with caution. Despite the relative discrepancy, these data suggests that the RORs may function as lipid sensors and thus play a major role in the regulation of lipid metabolism.

## **Modulation of ROR activity with synthetic ligands**

The identification of endogenous ligands to ROR $\alpha$  and ROR $\gamma$  intensified the search for synthetic ligands that could modulate ROR activity (Table 1). The synthetic LXR agonist T0901317 was the first synthetic inverse agonist identified for both RORα and RORγ. Despite its potency at activating RORα and RORγ, T0901317 displays promiscuity and binds to several NRs including LXR, farnesoid X receptor (FXR), and pregnane X receptor (PXR), thus limiting its use as a chemical tool to explore the activity of the RORs in physiological settings<sup>49,50</sup>.

first ROR $\alpha/\gamma$ -specific synthetic ligand characterized was the amide SR1078. (Figure 3). SR1078 was initially identified as an inverse agonist as it repressed the constitutive activity of RORα and RORγ and inhibited the recruitment of co-activators to RORγ in a dose dependent manner<sup>51</sup>. However, further examination revealed that SR1078 acts as an agonist and stimulated expression of two ROR target genes, G6Pase and FGF21, in the liver. Pharmacokinetic studies revealed that SR1078 displays reasonable plasma exposure, thus enabling its use as a chemical tool to probe the function of RORα and RORγ both in vitro and *in vivo*<sup>52</sup>.

RORα expression is induced in response to some types of cellular stress and is downregulated in several breast, prostate, and ovarian cancer cell lines  $53$ . Interestingly, activation of RORα by SR1078 in this setting results in an increase in p53 levels and apoptosis suggesting that RORα represents a novel target for the development of cancer therapeutics $54$ .

The inverse agonist, SR3335 (Table 1) was initially identified based on its ability to inhibit the constitutive activity of RORα. Furthermore, SR3335 directly bound to the LBD of RORα, with little effect at RORγ, and suppressed expression of RORα target genes involved in hepatic gluconeogenesis including G6Pase and Phosphoenolpyruvate Carboxykinase (PEPCK). Pharmacokinetic studies revealed that SR3335 had reasonable plasma exposure and administration of this ligand to diet induced obese mice (DIO) led to reduced plasma glucose levels following a pyruvate tolerance test (PTT), an indicator of gluconeogenesis55. Given that elevated glucose output is observed in type 2 diabetes (T2D), suppression of RORα activity with novel ligands like SR3335 may hold utility in the treatment of metabolic disorders, including T2D.

With the accumulating evidence surrounding RORa and ROR $\gamma t$ 's role in T<sub>H</sub>17 cell development and autoimmune pathology, identification of a dual and highly selective ROR $\alpha/\gamma$  inverse agonist that inhibits T<sub>H</sub>17-mediated pathology is extremely enticing and such efforts led to the identification and characterization of SR1001 (Table 1), a first-inclass RORα/γ-specific inverse agonist. (Figure 3). SR1001 directly binds to the LBD of both RORα and RORγ resulting in a conformational change that decreases affinity for coactivators and increased affinity for corepressors<sup>56</sup>. When screened against all 48 human nuclear receptors, SR1001 displayed activity only at RORα and RORγ. In vitro, SR1001 inhibited IL-17 expression and  $T_H17$  cell development without affecting the differentiation and function of any of the other T helper cell lineages<sup>56</sup>. More importantly, in vivo administration of SR1001 delayed the onset and severity of EAE, through inhibition of  $T_H$ 17 cell development and function. These data demonstrate that small molecule inhibitors of ROR activity are effective at suppressing  $T_H$ 17-mediated autoimmune diseases<sup>56</sup>.

Huh et al. identified the well-known cardiac glycoside digoxin (Table 1), a small molecule inhibitor of ROR $\gamma$  activity. Currently, digoxin is used clinically in the treatment for various heart conditions. Digoxin normally competes with  $K^+$  ions for the same binding site on the  $Na^{+}/K^{+}$  ATPase pump, thereby altering electrical conduction in the heart. Digoxin suppressed RORγ-mediated activity only, and displayed no activity for RORα, Drosophila hormone receptor 3 (DHR3), the *C. elegans* nuclear hormone receptor Dauer formation-12 (DAF-12), or the androgen receptor<sup>57</sup>. Digoxin inhibited  $T_H$ 17 cell differentiation and function and delayed the onset and severity of EAE<sup>57</sup>. Despite its efficacy in this model, major drawbacks with digoxin are its toxicity, occurrence of adverse drug reactions associated with use of this drug, and a narrow therapeutic window. Given these issues, less toxic digoxin analogs have been derived that are able to inhibit ROR $\gamma$  activity and T<sub>H</sub>17 cell

differentiation and function, in vitro<sup>57</sup>. The crystal structure of the ROR $\gamma$  LBD bound to digoxin was recently resolved demonstrating the mechanism by which digoxin inhibited RORγ activity. Similar to SR1001, when bound to RORγ, digoxin inhibited co-activator binding<sup>58</sup>. These findings demonstrate the feasibility of targeting ROR $\gamma$  or both RORa and ROR $\gamma$  with small molecules for the treatment of T<sub>H</sub>17-mediated autoimmune disorders.

Ursolic acid has also been demonstrated to target  $ROR\gamma$  and thus inhibit T<sub>H</sub>17 cell differentiation. When administered in vivo, mice treated with ursolic acid exhibited a delay of onset with decreased severity of symptoms of EAE. Biochemical assays indicate that ursolic acid effectively binds the LBD of  $ROR\gamma$  leading to displacement of co-activator binding, whereas it had little effect at ROR $\alpha^{59}$ . Ursolic acid, which is present in many plants, including apples, was originally described asa potential anti- cancer therapeutic able to inhibit various types of cancer cells by inhibiting STAT3 activation<sup>60</sup>. Further examination suggested that ursolic acid reduced the expression of matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) by potentially acting through the glucocorticoid receptor  $(GR)^{61}$ . Given the steroidal-like structures of both ursolic acid and digoxin, the possibility that both compounds exhibit activity at GR complicates the in vivo interpretations. Glucocorticoids are very effective at inhibiting symptoms of EAE and are in fact routinely prescribed by neurologists to reduce the severity and duration of relapses in MS patients.

While SR1001 was effective at delaying the onset and reducing the severity of EAE, there was some concern that this compound, which modified the activity of RORa, would induce a phenotype similar to that of the *staggerer* mouse, including ataxia and a disrupted circadian rhythm<sup>15,56</sup>. Furthermore, while several ROR $\gamma$  selective modulators had already been described, their utility as candidates for further drug development was limited. Therefore, further development of RORγ modulator was warranted. SR2211 is a selective RORγ modulator that binds to the LBD of  $ROR\gamma$  and functions as an inverse agonist suppressing receptor activity <sup>62</sup>. Therefore, SR2211 is a potent and efficacious RORγ modulator with potential utility in the treatment of  $T_H17$ -mediated autoimmune disorders.

Despite their high profile roles in T<sub>H</sub>17-mediated autoimmunity, the expression of ROR $\gamma t$ and RORa are not restricted to this cell type, nor are all  $T_H$ 17 cells pathogenic. Recent evidence links RORa to the maintenance of IgA<sup>+</sup> memory B cells<sup>63</sup>. Certain types of innate lymphoid cells, including lymphoid tissue inducer cells (LTi), γδ T cells, and intestinal epithelial cells (IEPs), express  $ROR\gamma t^{6465}$ . Innate lympoid cells play important roles in tissue surveillance and can be the first lines of defense against a number of invading pathogens<sup>65</sup>. Similarly, T<sub>H</sub>17 cells have proven to be essential for host defense against some gram-negative bacteria and fungal infections at mucosal surfaces<sup>66</sup>. Given the increasing number of immune cells expressing the RORs, inhibiting their activity during particular immune system assaults may be detrimental. Therefore, careful assessment of the infection and invading pathogen(s) may be warranted prior to administration. Alternatively, some infections due to specific gram-negative bacteria or fungi may require the use of ROR agonists to amplify the immune response from these ROR-restricted cell types.

# **Concluding remarks**

To date, several groups have developed or described numerous small molecule ligands to RORα and RORγ. Collectively, these data demonstrate that these orphan NRs are not only valid drug targets, but have efficacy at suppressing  $T_H17$  cell development and function both in vitro and in vivo. While further optimization of the small molecules is still needed, it is obvious that targeting the RORs for the treatment of  $T_H17$ -mediated autoimmune disorders represents a promising endeavor as novel treatments of autoimmune disorders. Current treatments for known  $T_H$ 17-mediated autoimmune diseases, including multiple sclerosis, use

agents that are general immunosuppressants and thus the side-effect profile is significant. The targeting of the RORs present a significant advantage over the current therapies as they specifically target the one arm of the immune system mediating disease, rather that the immune system as a whole. Finally, extensive analysis of the use of these ligands in vivo has yet to occur. While genetic studies are valuable tools for elucidating the roles these receptors play in physiology, the roles of the RORs during metabolic and autoimmune disease progression can be extensively studies through in vivo use of specific synthetic ligands.

# **Glossary**



disease such as multiple sclerosis, psoriasis, juvenile diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, and Crohn's disease

**Type II collageninduced arthritis(CIA)** an animal model of polyarthritis that is induced by immunization with type II collagen of susceptible mice and rats

## **References**

- 1. Mangelsdorf DJ, et al. The nuclear receptor superfamily: the second decade. Cell. 1995; 83:835–9. [PubMed: 8521507]
- 2. Hummasti S, Tontonoz P. Adopting new orphans into the family of metabolic regulators. Mol Endocrinol. 2008; 22:1743–53. [PubMed: 18258684]
- 3. Mohan R, Heyman RA. Orphan nuclear receptor modulators. Curr Top Med Chem. 2003; 3:1637– 47. [PubMed: 14683519]
- 4. Giguere V, et al. Isoform-specific amino-terminal domains dictate DNA-binding properties of ROR alpha, a novel family of orphan hormone nuclear receptors. Genes Dev. 1994; 8:538–53. [PubMed: 7926749]
- 5. Carlberg C, Hooft van Huijsduijnen R, Staple JK, DeLamarter JF, Becker-Andre M. RZRs, a new family of retinoid-related orphan receptors that function as both monomers and homodimers. Mol Endocrinol. 1994; 8:757–70. [PubMed: 7935491]
- 6. Hirose T, Smith RJ, Jetten AM. ROR gamma: the third member of ROR/RZR orphan receptor subfamily that is highly expressed in skeletal muscle. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1994; 205:1976–83. [PubMed: 7811290]
- 7. Jetten AM. Retinoid-related orphan receptors (RORs): critical roles in development, immunity, circadian rhythm, and cellular metabolism. Nucl Recept Signal. 2009; 7:e003. [PubMed: 19381306]
- 8. Wang Y, et al. Modulation of ROR{alpha} and ROR{gamma} activity by 7-oxygenated sterol ligands. J Biol Chem. 2009; 285:5013–25. [PubMed: 19965867]
- 9. Duez H, Staels B. Rev-erb-alpha: an integrator of circadian rhythms and metabolism. J Appl Physiol. 2009; 107:1972–80. [PubMed: 19696364]
- 10. Solt LA, Kojetin DJ, Burris TP. The REV-ERBs and RORs: molecular links between circadian rhythms and lipid homeostasis. Future Med Chem. 3:623–38. [PubMed: 21526899]
- 11. Yin L, Wu N, Lazar MA. Nuclear receptor Rev-erbalpha: a heme receptor that coordinates circadian rhythm and metabolism. Nucl Recept Signal. 8:e001. [PubMed: 20414452]
- 12. Burris TP. Nuclear hormone receptors for heme: REV-ERBalpha and REV-ERBbeta are ligandregulated components of the mammalian clock. Mol Endocrinol. 2008; 22:1509–20. [PubMed: 18218725]
- 13. Raghuram S, et al. Identification of heme as the ligand for the orphan nuclear receptors REV-ERBalpha and REV-ERBbeta. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2007; 14:1207–13. [PubMed: 18037887]
- 14. Yin L, et al. Rev-erbalpha, a heme sensor that coordinates metabolic and circadian pathways. Science. 2007; 318:1786–9. [PubMed: 18006707]
- 15. Hamilton BA, et al. Disruption of the nuclear hormone receptor RORalpha in staggerer mice. Nature. 1996; 379:736–9. [PubMed: 8602221]
- 16. Steinmayr M, et al. staggerer phenotype in retinoid-related orphan receptor alpha-deficient mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1998; 95:3960–5. [PubMed: 9520475]
- 17. Andre E, et al. Disruption of retinoid-related orphan receptor beta changes circadian behavior, causes retinal degeneration and leads to vacillans phenotype in mice. EMBO J. 1998; 17:3867–77. [PubMed: 9670004]
- 18. Andre E, Gawlas K, Becker-Andre M. A novel isoform of the orphan nuclear receptor RORbeta is specifically expressed in pineal gland and retina. Gene. 1998; 216:277–83. [PubMed: 9729429]
- 19. Huang W, Ramsey KM, Marcheva B, Bass J. Circadian rhythms, sleep, and metabolism. J Clin Invest. 2011; 121:2133–41. [PubMed: 21633182]
- 20. Akashi M, Takumi T. The orphan nuclear receptor RORalpha regulates circadian transcription of the mammalian core-clock Bmal1. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2005; 12:441–8. [PubMed: 15821743]

- 21. Guillaumond F, Dardente H, Giguere V, Cermakian N. Differential control of Bmal1 circadian transcription by REV-ERB and ROR nuclear receptors. J Biol Rhythms. 2005; 20:391–403. [PubMed: 16267379]
- 22. Forman BM, et al. Cross-talk among ROR alpha 1 and the Rev-erb family of orphan nuclear receptors. Mol Endocrinol. 1994; 8:1253–61. [PubMed: 7838158]
- 23. Retnakaran R, Flock G, Giguere V. Identification of RVR, a novel orphan nuclear receptor that acts as a negative transcriptional regulator. Mol Endocrinol. 1994; 8:1234–44. [PubMed: 7838156]
- 24. Takeda Y, Kang HS, Angers M, Jetten AM. Retinoic acid-related orphan receptor gamma directly regulates neuronal PAS domain protein 2 transcription in vivo. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011; 39:4769– 82. [PubMed: 21317191]
- 25. Knutsson A, Hallquist J, Reuterwall C, Theorell T, Akerstedt T. Shiftwork and myocardial infarction: a case-control study. Occup Environ Med. 1999; 56:46–50. [PubMed: 10341746]
- 26. Raspe E, et al. Transcriptional regulation of apolipoprotein C-III gene expression by the orphan nuclear receptor RORalpha. J Biol Chem. 2001; 276:2865–71. [PubMed: 11053433]
- 27. Mamontova A, et al. Severe atherosclerosis and hypoalphalipoproteinemia in the staggerer mouse, a mutant of the nuclear receptor RORalpha. Circulation. 1998; 98:2738–43. [PubMed: 9851961]
- 28. Vu-Dac N, et al. Transcriptional regulation of apolipoprotein A-I gene expression by the nuclear receptor RORalpha. J Biol Chem. 1997; 272:22401–4. [PubMed: 9278389]
- 29. Lau P, et al. The orphan nuclear receptor, RORalpha, regulates gene expression that controls lipid metabolism: staggerer (SG/SG) mice are resistant to diet-induced obesity. J Biol Chem. 2008; 283:18411–21. [PubMed: 18441015]
- 30. Kang HS, et al. Gene expression profiling reveals a regulatory role for ROR alpha and ROR gamma in phase I and phase II metabolism. Physiol Genomics. 2007; 31:281–94. [PubMed: 17666523]
- 31. Liu C, Li S, Liu T, Borjigin J, Lin JD. Transcriptional coactivator PGC-1alpha integrates the mammalian clock and energy metabolism. Nature. 2007; 447:477–81. [PubMed: 17476214]
- 32. Wada T, et al. Identification of oxysterol 7alpha-hydroxylase (Cyp7b1) as a novel retinoid-related orphan receptor alpha (RORalpha) (NR1F1) target gene and a functional cross-talk between RORalpha and liver X receptor (NR1H3). Mol Pharmacol. 2008; 73:891–9. [PubMed: 18055760]
- 33. Chopra AR, et al. Absence of the SRC-2 coactivator results in a glycogenopathy resembling Von Gierke's disease. Science. 2008; 322:1395–9. [PubMed: 19039140]
- 34. Wang Y, Solt LA, Burris TP. Regulation of FGF21 expression and secretion by retinoic acid receptor-related orphan receptor alpha. J Biol Chem. 285:15668–73. [PubMed: 20332535]
- 35. Meissburger B, et al. Adipogenesis and insulin sensitivity in obesity are regulated by retinoidrelated orphan receptor gamma. EMBO Mol Med. 3:637–51. [PubMed: 21853531]
- 36. Littman DR, Rudensky AY. Th17 and regulatory T cells in mediating and restraining inflammation. Cell. 2010; 140:845–58. [PubMed: 20303875]
- 37. Graeber KE, Olsen NJ. Th17 cell cytokine secretion profile in host defense and autoimmunity. Inflamm Res. 61:87–96. [PubMed: 22197935]
- 38. Peck A, Mellins ED. Precarious balance: Th17 cells in host defense. Infect Immun. 78:32–8. [PubMed: 19901061]
- 39. Korn T, Petermann F. Development and function of interleukin 17-producing gammadelta T cells. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2012; 1247:34–45. [PubMed: 22239719]
- 40. Ivanov, et al. The orphan nuclear receptor RORgammat directs the differentiation program of proinflammatory IL-17+ T helper cells. Cell. 2006; 126:1121–33. [PubMed: 16990136]
- 41. Yang XO, et al. T helper 17 lineage differentiation is programmed by orphan nuclear receptors ROR alpha and ROR gamma. Immunity. 2008; 28:29–39. [PubMed: 18164222]
- 42. Dang EV, et al. Control of T(H)17/T(reg) balance by hypoxia-inducible factor 1. Cell. 146:772–84. [PubMed: 21871655]
- 43. Wang Y, Kumar N, Crumbley C, Griffin PR, Burris TP. A second class of nuclear receptors for oxysterols: Regulation of RORalpha and RORgamma activity by 24S-hydroxycholesterol (cerebrosterol). Biochim Biophys Acta. 2010; 1801:917–23. [PubMed: 20211758]

- 44. Jin L, et al. Structural basis for hydroxycholesterols as natural ligands of orphan nuclear receptor RORgamma. Mol Endocrinol. 2010; 24:923–9. [PubMed: 20203100]
- 45. Kallen JA, et al. X-ray structure of the hRORalpha LBD at 1.63 A: structural and functional data that cholesterol or a cholesterol derivative is the natural ligand of RORalpha. Structure. 2002; 10:1697–707. [PubMed: 12467577]
- 46. Kallen J, Schlaeppi JM, Bitsch F, Delhon I, Fournier B. Crystal structure of the human RORalpha Ligand binding domain in complex with cholesterol sulfate at 2.2 A. J Biol Chem. 2004; 279:14033–8. [PubMed: 14722075]
- 47. Volkman JK. Sterols in microorganisms. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2003; 60:495–506. [PubMed: 12536248]
- 48. Moraitis AN, Giguere V, Thompson CC. Novel mechanism of nuclear receptor corepressor interaction dictated by activation function 2 helix determinants. Mol Cell Biol. 2002; 22:6831–41. [PubMed: 12215540]
- 49. Houck KA, et al. T0901317 is a dual LXR/FXR agonist. Mol Genet Metab. 2004; 83:184–7. [PubMed: 15464433]
- 50. Mitro N, Vargas L, Romeo R, Koder A, Saez E. T0901317 is a potent PXR ligand: implications for the biology ascribed to LXR. FEBS Lett. 2007; 581:1721–6. [PubMed: 17418145]
- 51. Wang Y, et al. Identification of SR1078, a Synthetic Agonist for the Orphan Nuclear Receptors RORalpha and RORgamma. ACS Chem Biol. 2010; 5:1029–34. [PubMed: 20735016]
- 52. Wang Y, et al. Identification of SR1078, a synthetic agonist for the orphan nuclear receptors RORalpha and RORgamma. ACS Chem Biol. 5:1029–34. [PubMed: 20735016]
- 53. Zhu Y, McAvoy S, Kuhn R, Smith DI. RORA, a large common fragile site gene, is involved in cellular stress response. Oncogene. 2006; 25:2901–8. [PubMed: 16462772]
- 54. Wang Y, Solt LA, Kojetin DJ, Burris TP. Regulation of p53 Stability and Apoptosis by a ROR Agonist. PLoS One. 2012; 7:e34921. [PubMed: 22509368]
- 55. Kumar N, et al. Identification of SR3335 (ML-176): A Synthetic RORalpha Selective Inverse Agonist. ACS Chem Biol. 6:218–22. [PubMed: 21090593]
- 56. Solt LA, et al. Suppression of TH17 differentiation and autoimmunity by a synthetic ROR ligand. Nature. 472:491–4. [PubMed: 21499262]
- 57. Huh JR, et al. Digoxin and its derivatives suppress TH17 cell differentiation by antagonizing RORgammat activity. Nature. 472:486–90. [PubMed: 21441909]
- 58. Fujita-Sato S, et al. Structural basis of digoxin that antagonizes RORgamma t receptor activity and suppresses Th17 cell differentiation and interleukin (IL)-17 production. J Biol Chem. 286:31409– 17. [PubMed: 21733845]
- 59. Xu T, et al. Ursolic acid suppresses interleukin-17 (IL-17) production by selectively antagonizing the function of RORgamma t protein. J Biol Chem. 286:22707–10. [PubMed: 21566134]
- 60. Pathak AK, et al. Ursolic acid inhibits STAT3 activation pathway leading to suppression of proliferation and chemosensitization of human multiple myeloma cells. Mol Cancer Res. 2007; 5:943–55. [PubMed: 17855663]
- 61. Wang X, et al. Ursolic acid inhibits proliferation and induces apoptosis of cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. J Biomed Biotechnol. 2011:419343. [PubMed: 21716649]
- 62. Kumar N, et al. Identification of SR2211: A Potent Synthetic RORgamma-Selective Modulator. ACS Chem Biol.
- 63. Wang NS, et al. Divergent transcriptional programming of class-specific B cell memory by T-bet and RORalpha. Nat Immunol. 2012
- 64. Vonarbourg C, et al. Regulated expression of nuclear receptor RORgammat confers distinct functional fates to NK cell receptor-expressing RORgammat(+) innate lymphocytes. Immunity. 2010; 33:736–51. [PubMed: 21093318]
- 65. Cua DJ, Tato CM. Innate IL-17-producing cells: the sentinels of the immune system. Nat Rev Immunol. 2010; 10:479–89. [PubMed: 20559326]
- 66. Oukka M. Th17 cells in immunity and autoimmunity. Ann Rheum Dis. 2008; 67(Suppl 3):iii26–9. [PubMed: 19022809]
- 67. Kumar N, et al. The benzenesulfoamide T0901317 [N-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)-N-[4-[2,2,2 trifluoro-1-hydroxy-1-(trifluorometh yl)ethyl]phenyl]-benzenesulfonamide] is a novel retinoic acid receptor-related orphan receptor-(alpha)/(gamma) inverse agonist. Mol Pharmacol. 2010; 77:228– 36. [PubMed: 19887649]
- 68. Schultz JR, et al. Role of LXRs in control of lipogenesis. Genes Dev. 2000; 14:2831–8. [PubMed: 11090131]



#### **Figure 1. ROR Regulation of the Circadian Rhythm**

Circadian rhythms are biological processes that display endogenous oscillations of approximately 24 hours and are regulated by a core circadian clock. The master circadian clock is located in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) of the hypothalamus. There are several interconnected transcriptional auto-regulatory feedback loops controlling the circadian cycle. Heterodimers of BMAL1 and CLOCK activate the expression of CRY and PER genes. Once the CRY/PER heterodimers reaches a critical threshold, they enter the nucleus and repress BMAL/CLOCK transactivation. RORα and REV-ERBα have been demonstrated to positively or negatively regulate the expression of BMAL1, respectively. RORα competes with REV-ERBa for binding of their shared DNA response element in the BMAL1 promoter. The oscillating pattern of RORα and REVERBα in the SCN dictates the circadian pattern of of BMAL1 expression. This RORα/REV-ERBα feedback loops interconnects the positive and negative arms of the core circadian clock.



#### **Figure 2. ROR**α **and ROR**γ **in TH17 Cell Differentiation**

In the presence of several exogenous factors, including TGFβ, IL-6, and IL-1, naïve CD4+ T cells differentiate into  $T_H17$  cells. Exogenous IL-23 is necessary for the propagation of pathogenic T<sub>H</sub>17 cells. The expression of RORa and particularly ROR $\gamma$ t is necessary for  $T_H$ 17 cell differentiation and for the expression of IL-17A and IL-17F, among other cytokines. T $H17$  cells play a significant role in host defense against extracellular pathogens at mucosal surfaces. However, aberrant  $T_H17$  cell activity has been associated with the pathology of several autoimmune diseases, including multiple sclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis, and psoriasis.



#### **Figure 3. Regulation of ROR activity with synthetic ligands**

The RORs are considered to have intrinsic transcriptional activity, meaning that they are constitutively active and bind coactivators in the absence of ligand. However, due to the ubiquitous expression of putative ROR ligands, it remains to be determined whether the RORs are ever in an unbound state or require ligand for receptor stability. Treatment with an agonist(SR1078) would result in the recruitment of more coactivator proteins, thereby enhancing transcriptional activity. Inverse agonists, when bound to the RORs LBD, induce a conformational change in the receptor resulting in dissociation of coactivator proteins and recruitment of corepressor proteins. Inverse agonists repress the activity of the receptors.



\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

**Table 1**

Both natural and synthetic ligands are displayed. Both natural and synthetic ligands are displayed.





Trends Endocrinol Metab. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 December 01.

Solt and Burris Page 18



Τ

Τ

Trends Endocrinol Metab. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 December 01.

#### Solt and Burris Page 19

Г ┱