

Correction. In the article "Recognition of chemical carcinogen-modified DNA by a DNA-binding protein" by Francesco Moranelli and Michael W. Lieberman, which appeared in the June 1980 issue of *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* (77, 3201–3205), a printer's error occurred in Table 1 on p. 3203. In the first line of the $2 \times \text{ss}^3\text{H}$ DNA column, the number in parentheses should be 2.3 rather than 32.3.

Correction. In the article "Cloning and mapping of *Bam*HI endonuclease fragments of DNA from the transforming B95-8 strain of Epstein-Barr virus" by J. Skare and J. L. Strominger, which appeared in the July 1980 issue of *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* (77, 3860–3864), one small fragment of Epstein-Barr virus was not present in the collection of clones presented. The missing clone has now been found. It contains *Bam*HI a, a 1.0-megadalton fragment, and it hybridizes to the *Hind*III J fragment between *Bam*HI O and M. This means that the fragments originally designated a, b, and c are really b, c, and d. We also have now succeeded in obtaining normal yields of the *Bam*HI C clone by inoculating liquid cultures with larger numbers of plasmid-containing bacteria from ampicillin plates and growing them without chloramphenicol.

Correction. In the article "Elongation of primed DNA templates by eukaryotic DNA polymerases" by Joh-E Ikeda, Mathew Longiaru, Marshall S. Horwitz, and Jerard Hurwitz, which appeared in the October 1980 issue of *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* (77, 5827–5831), two entries in Table 2 are in error. Under "Additions" the last two lines should read "Cytosol + Pol β^* (0.046 unit)" and "Cytosol + Pol γ (0.041 unit)."

Correction. In the article "Inheritance of chloroplast DNA in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*" by David M. Grant, Nicholas W. Gillham, and John E. Boynton, which appeared in the October 1980 issue of *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* (77, 6067–6071), Figs. 1 and 3 were reproduced poorly. They are printed again here. Fig. 3 is below, and Fig. 1 is on the following page.




FIG. 3. Electrophoretic patterns of purified chloroplast DNAs digested with *Msp* I. Cross 1: *ac-u-g-2-3 d-u-1 d-u-2 mt⁺ × er-u-37 mt⁻*. Cross 2: *sr-u-2-23 mt⁺ × ac-u-g-2-3 d-u-1 d-u-2 mt⁻*. Cross 3: *ac-u-g-2-3 d-u-1 d-u-2 mt⁺ × sr-u-2-23 mt⁻*. For all three crosses: M, maternal parent; P, paternal parent; Tetrad (or Octet), meiotic progeny. Only bands 13–21 of each gel are shown.