## Carbon dioxide reduction to methane and coupling with acetylene to form propylene catalyzed by remodeled nitrogenase

Zhi-Yong Yang<sup>a</sup>, Vivian R. Moure<sup>a,b</sup>, Dennis R. Dean<sup>c</sup>, and Lance C. Seefeldt<sup>a, 1</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Utah State University, Logan, UT 84322; <sup>b</sup>Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia da Fixação Biológica de Nitrogênio, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba Parana 81531-980, Brazil; and <sup>c</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061

Edited by JoAnne Stubbe, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, and approved October 19, 2012 (received for review July 30, 2012)

A doubly substituted form of the nitrogenase MoFe protein  $(\alpha$ -70<sup>Val→Ala</sup>, α-195<sup>His→Gln</sup>) has the capacity to catalyze the reduction of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) to yield methane (CH<sub>4</sub>). Under optimized conditions, 1 nmol of the substituted MoFe protein catalyzes the formation of 21 nmol of  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  within 20 min. The catalytic rate depends on the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> (or concentration of HCO<sub>3</sub> $^{\rm -}$ ) and the electron flux through nitrogenase. The doubly substituted MoFe protein also has the capacity to catalyze the unprecedented formation of propylene (H<sub>2</sub>C = CH-CH<sub>3</sub>) through the reductive coupling of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and acetylene (HC≡CH). In light of these observations, we suggest that an emerging understanding of the mechanistic features of nitrogenase could be relevant to the design of synthetic catalysts for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sequestration and formation of olefins.

metalloenyzme | multi-electron reduction | hydrocarbon

NAS.

Carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  is an abundant and stable form of carbon that is the product of respiration and burning of fossil fuels. As a result of these activities, the atmospheric concentration of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , a greenhouse gas, has been rising over the last century and contributing to global warming (1). There is strong interest in developing methods for sequestering  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  either by capturing it or by chemically converting it to valuable chemicals (2–5). Of particular interest are possible routes to reduction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  by multiple electrons to yield methanol (CH<sub>3</sub>OH) and methane  $(CH<sub>4</sub>)$ , which are renewable fuels  $(2)$ . The reduction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is difficult, with a limited number of reports of metal-based compounds able to catalyze these reactions (6–13). In biology, only a few enzymes are known to reduce  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (14–18), and none of these can catalyze the eight electron reduction to CH4.

The bacterial Mo-dependent nitrogenase enzyme catalyzes the multielectron/proton reduction of dinitrogen  $(N_2)$  to two ammonia (NH3) at a metal cluster designated FeMo-cofactor [7Fe-9S-1Mo-1C-R-homocitrate] (Fig. 1) in a reaction that requires ATP hydrolysis and evolution of H<sub>2</sub>, with a minimal reaction stoichiometry shown in Eq. 1 (19–22).

$$
N_2 + 8H^+ + 16ATP + 8e^- \rightarrow 2NH_3 + H_2 + 16ADP + 16Pi. \quad [1]
$$

Given that nitrogenase is effective at catalyzing the difficult multielectron reduction of  $N_2$ , it was of interest to determine whether this enzyme might also catalyze the reduction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to the level of CH4. Nitrogenase is known to have the capacity to reduce a variety of other small, relatively inert, doubly or triply bonded compounds, such as acetylene (HC≡CH) (19, 23). It has been shown that an alternative form of nitrogenase, which contains V in place of Mo in the active site cofactor, has the remarkable capacity to reduce CO and couple multiple CO molecules, yielding short chain alkenes and alkanes such as ethylene  $(C_2H_4)$ , ethane  $(C_2H_6)$ , propylene  $(C_3H_6)$ , and propane  $(C_3H_8)$  (24, 25). In contrast, the Mo-nitrogenase is only able to reduce CO at exceedingly low rates (24). However, we have found that the MoFe protein can be remodeled by substitution of

amino acid residues that provide the first shell of noncovalent interactions with the active site FeMo cofactor such that CO becomes a much more robust substrate with catalytic formation of methane and short chain alkenes and alkanes (26). Related to these observations, we have also reported that the native nitrogenase has the capacity to reduce  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  at relatively low rates to yield CO (27). Here, we report that a remodeled nitrogenase MoFe protein can achieve the eight-electron reduction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to  $CH<sub>4</sub>$ . Further, it is shown that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction can be coupled to the reduction of other substrates (e.g., acetylene,  $C_2H_2$ ) to form longer chain, high value hydrocarbons (e.g., propylene).

## Results

CO2 Reduction to CH4 by Remodeled Nitrogenase. When the wildtype nitrogenase was tested for reduction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to yield  $CH<sub>4</sub>$ , no CH4 above background could be detected over the course of 20 min (Fig. 2). Earlier work has demonstrated that several amino acids having side chains that approach FeMo cofactor play an important role in controlling substrate binding and reduction (20, 28). Among these residues are  $\alpha$ -70<sup>Val</sup>,  $\alpha$ -195<sup>His</sup>, and α-191<sup>Gln</sup> (Fig. 1). Variant forms of the MoFe protein having α-70 substituted by Ala,  $\alpha$ -195 substituted by Gln, or  $\alpha$ -70 and  $\alpha$ -191 both substituted by Ala showed no appreciable capacity for reduction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to yield CH<sub>4</sub>. In contrast, a doubly substituted MoFe protein,  $\alpha$ -70<sup>Ala</sup>/ $\alpha$ -195<sup>Gln</sup>, was found to catalyze the formation of  $CH_4$  from  $CO_2$ , forming up to 16 nmol  $CH_4$ /nmol MoFe protein over 20 min (Fig. 2). The formation of  $CH<sub>4</sub>$ depended on the presence of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , Fe protein, MoFe protein, and MgATP . The rate of  $CH_4$  production was found to increase with increasing partial pressure of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  up to 0.45 atm [\(Fig. S1\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1213159109/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201213159SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1). A fit of these data to the Michaelis–Menten equation gave a  $K_m$ for  $CO_2$  of 0.23 atm and a  $V_{\text{max}}$  of 21 nmol CH<sub>4</sub>/nmol MoFe protein over 20 min. In a Bis-Tris buffer at pH 6.7, sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO<sub>3</sub>) could also serve as a substrate for  $CH_4$ formation, with a determined  $K<sub>m</sub>$  of 16 mM for NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and  $V_{max}$  of 14 nmol CH<sub>4</sub>/nmol MoFe protein over 20 min [\(Fig. S2\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1213159109/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201213159SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2).

The rate of electron flow through nitrogenase (called electron flux) can be regulated by altering the ratio of Fe protein to MoFe protein (Fe protein:MoFe protein), with a low ratio corresponding to low electron flux and a high ratio corresponding to high electron flux. Under all conditions, the majority of electrons passing through nitrogenase in the presence of saturating  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ were found to reduce protons to make  $H_2$  with relatively low rates of associated CH4 formation (Fig. 3). However, as the electron

Author contributions: D.R.D. and L.C.S. designed research; Z.-Y.Y. and V.R.M. performed research; and L.C.S. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: [lance.seefeldt@usu.edu](mailto:lance.seefeldt@usu.edu).

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1213159109/-/DCSupplemental) [1073/pnas.1213159109/-/DCSupplemental.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1213159109/-/DCSupplemental)



Fig. 1. The FeMo cofactor with some key amino acid residues. Colors are Mo in magenta, Fe in rust, S in yellow, C in gray, O in red, and N in blue. The central atom is C (gray), and the structure and numbering of Fe atoms are based on the protein database file PDB: ID code 1M1N.

flux increased, the proportion of electrons passing to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction increased, reaching a maximum at a molar ratio of approximately 50 Fe protein per MoFe protein. At this highest flux, the molar ratio of H<sub>2</sub> formed per CH<sub>4</sub> formed was ∼250:1. Given that proton reduction is a two-electron reduction and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction to  $CH_4$  is an eight-electron reduction, up to 2% of the total electron flux passing through nitrogenase goes to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction to methane under these conditions.

The use of <sup>12</sup>C- or <sup>13</sup>C-enriched bicarbonate  $(HCO_3^-)$  as substrate and product analysis by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) confirmed that  $CH_4$  formation was derived from added  $CO_2$ . When  $H^{12}CO_3$ <sup>-</sup> was the added substrate, a peak having the same retention time as methane showed a mass over charge  $(m/z)$  peak of 16, whereas no peak with  $m/z$  of



Fig. 2.  $CH_4$  formation as a function of time for different MoFe proteins.  $CO_2$ reduction to CH<sub>4</sub> is shown as a function of time for the wild-type ( $\odot$ ),  $\alpha$ -70<sup>Ala</sup> ( $\diamondsuit$ ), α-195<sup>Gln</sup> ( $\triangle$ ), α-70<sup>Ala</sup>/α-191<sup>Ala</sup> ( $\Box$ ), and α-70<sup>Ala</sup>/α-195<sup>Gln</sup> ( $\Box$ ) MoFe proteins. The partial pressure of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  was 0.45 atm, the concentration of MoFe protein was 0.5 mg/mL, and Fe protein was 3 mg/mL The reaction temperature was 30 °C. The complete assay for  $\alpha$ -70<sup>Ala</sup>/ $\alpha$ -195<sup>Gln</sup> MoFe protein was done in triplicate, with SE bars shown.

17 was observed [\(Fig. S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1213159109/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201213159SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3)). When  $H^{13}CO_3$ <sup>-</sup> was the substrate, a peak having the same retention time was found to have a  $m/z$ of 17, which can be ascribed to the molecular mass of  $^{13}CH_4$ . This result demonstrates that  $HCO_3^-$  or  $CO_2$  is the substrate for CH4 formation rather than some other component in the reaction mixture.

When the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction reaction catalyzed by the remodeled nitrogenase was performed in the presence of 0.30 mg/mL deoxyhemoglobin, the amount of CH<sub>4</sub> formed was lowered by  $\sim$ 25% [\(Fig. S4](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1213159109/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201213159SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF4)). Deoxyhemoglobin binds CO very rapidly (rate constant  $k \sim 2 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ ) and with a high affinity (dissociation constant  $K_d \sim 50$  nM) and would, therefore, bind any CO released into solution during  $CO_2$  reduction by nitrogenase (27). Although CO is expected to be an intermediate along the reaction pathway from  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to  $CH<sub>4</sub>$ , a relatively small lowering of the rate of formation of  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  from  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  when deoxyhemoglobin is included in reaction mixture indicates that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction follows primarily a nondissociative mechanism.

Several earlier studies revealed multiple inhibitor and substrate binding sites on FeMo-cofactor, including at least two binding sites for CO (29–33) and acetylene (31, 34, 35). Two adjacent binding sites can explain the earlier reports that two or three CO molecules can be reduced and coupled to form C2 and C3 hydrocarbon products (24, 26). It was therefore of interest to test whether the doubly substituted MoFe protein could couple two or more  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  molecules to yield short chain hydrocarbons. Under the assay conditions examined, no C2 or C3 hydrocarbon products were detected above the background when  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  was the sole C substrate. However, when a small amount of acetylene was added when  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  was used as substrate, the C3 hydrocarbon propylene  $(H_2C = CH-CH_3)$  was detected as the major product and propane  $(H_3C-CH_2-CH_3)$  as a minor product. Propylene formation only occurred in a reaction with all components for a complete nitrogenase assay, revealing that propylene is formed by nitrogenase turnover. Interestingly, it was found that propylene formation was favored under relatively low electron flux conditions (4 Fe protein:1 MoFe protein), with higher electron flux favoring CH<sub>4</sub> formation at the expense of propylene formation under  $0.45$  atm of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $0.014$  atm of acetylene (Fig. 4). Under the optimal electron flux condition, the amount of propylene formed increased with increasing acetylene partial pressure up to 0.027 atm and then decreased rapidly at higher acetylene concentrations (Fig. 5) likely due to inhibition of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ reduction by acetylene. The results indicate there is an optimal concentration ratio between  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and acetylene to achieve reductive coupling of the two molecules at a given electron flux. All possible combinations of electron flux and acetylene and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ concentration have not been examined.

Formation of propylene from the reductive coupling of one  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and one  $C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>$  was confirmed by GC-MS analysis. When  $H^{12}CO_3^-$  and  $^{12}C_2H_2$  were used as substrates, the propylene elution peak displayed a molecular ion peak with  $m/z$  of 42, which is ascribed to  ${}^{12}C_3H_6$ . Trace amounts of a fragment with a  $m/z$  of 43 was observed because of natural abundance of  $^{13}$ C and <sup>2</sup>H. When  $H^{13}CO_3$ <sup>-</sup> was used together with <sup>12</sup>C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, a molecular ion peak with  $m/z$  of 43 was detected at the same retention time as propylene, consistent with the coupling of one  ${}^{13}CO_2$  with one  ${}^{12}C_2H_2$  to form  ${}^{13}CH_3$ - ${}^{12}CH_2{}^{12}CH_2$  [\(Fig. S5](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1213159109/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201213159SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF5)).

## Discussion

The discovery reported here that remodeled nitrogenase is able to reduce  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  by eight electrons to  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  (Eq. 2) makes it unique among known enzyme catalyzed reactions. Further, the ability to reduce  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and couple it to acetylene to form propylene (Eq. 3) makes nitrogenase unique among all reported catalysts (5).



Fig. 3. Electron flux dependence for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction to CH<sub>4</sub>. The ratio CH<sub>4</sub> formed to  $H_2$  formed is shown as a function of the electron flux through the α-70<sup>Ala</sup>/α-195<sup>Gln</sup> MoFe protein for assays quenched after 20 min at 30 °C. The partial pressure of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  was 0.45 atm, the concentration of MoFe protein was 0.5 mg/mL, and Fe protein was varied from 0.5 to 6 mg/mL

$$
CO2 + 8H+ + 8e- \rightarrow CH4 + 2H2O
$$
 [2]

$$
CO_2 + HC \equiv CH + 8e^- + 8H^+ \rightarrow H_2C = CH - CH_3 + 2H_2O
$$
 [3]

Propylene is an especially important hydrocarbon, being the starting point for the synthesis of a variety of polymers (36). A limited number of metal-based catalysts have been shown to



Fig. 4. Propylene formation from  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and acetylene as a function of electron flux. The amount of propylene formed as a function of electron flux is shown for the  $\alpha$ -70<sup>Ala</sup>/ $\alpha$ -195<sup>Gln</sup> MoFe protein. The partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> was 0.45 atm and  $C_2H_2$  was 0.014 atm. The concentration of MoFe protein was 0.5 mg/mL, and Fe protein was varied from 0.25 to 3 mg/mL. The reactions were incubated at 30 °C for 60 min. Inset shows the CH<sub>4</sub> production as a function of electron flux.

reduce  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to yield different reduction products including formate (HCOO<sup>−</sup>), carbon monoxide (CO), formaldehyde (CH<sub>2</sub>O), methanol (CH<sub>3</sub>OH), and methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) (2, 6-13). Common features of homogeneous catalysts for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction to  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  are low reaction rates (e.g., turnover frequencies) and limited number of turnovers (e.g., turnover number) before inactivation of the catalyst (37, 38). Further, for electrochemical reductions, a high overpotential is required, with production of  $H_2$  as a waste of electron flux (39, 40). The nitrogenase catalyzed reduction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  reported here is comparable in turnover frequency (approximately 1 min−<sup>1</sup> ) and turnover number, with notable slowing of the reaction beyond 20 min. Nitrogenase also diverts most of its electron flux to  $H_2$  formation, with only a small percentage going to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction. In contrast to the electrochemical catalysts, nitrogenase catalyzes these reactions at modest electrochemical potentials (dithionite is the reductant used in these experiments), however, it does require considerable energy input from the obligate hydrolysis of ATP.

No other known single enzyme can catalyze  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction to  $CH_4$ . Methanogenic bacteria convert  $CO_2$  to  $CH_4$ , but this is accomplished by the action of a consortium of enzymes functioning as part of a metabolic pathway (16). In acetogenic bacteria,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is converted to acetate by the action of several enzymes including CO dehydrogenase, which catalyzes the reversible interconversion of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $CO$  (41). Like nitrogenase, CO dehydrogenase also uses a complex metal cluster to achieve this reaction. Other enzymes have been shown to reduce  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to formate or methanol (17, 18), but none to  $CH_4$  as reported here for nitrogenase.

The reduction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  catalyzed by nitrogenase can be considered in the context of our current understanding of the mechanism for the reduction of the physiological substrate  $N_2$  by six electrons to two ammonia molecules with two additional electrons being used to evolve  $H<sub>2</sub>$  (20, 28, 42). Several recent studies have added to earlier work in building a probable mechanism for how  $N_2$  might be reduced at the active site FeMo cofactor. One important insight relevant to the current discussion is the observation of metal-bound hydrides (determined as two hydrides bridging between Fe atoms) as an integral part of the FeMo-cofactor reactivity toward  $N_2$  (43–45). These metal bound hydrides (M-H−) have been proposed to participate in the initial reduction of  $N_2$  to the proposed intermediate diazene (HN = NH). Further reduction of the metal bound diazene to two ammonia molecules is proposed to involve successive addition of electrons and protons. An important observation regarding this mechanistic feature is that during  $N_2$  reduction, the proposed reaction intermediates (diazene HN = NH or hydrazine  $H_2N-NH_2$ ) are not detected in appreciable quantities, indicating that intermediates remain bound to the active site until the final products are released. This phenomenon is likely explained by stabilization of key intermediates along the reaction pathway through appropriate functional groups, thereby minimizing kinetic barriers in going from  $N_2$  to two ammonias. The observation reported here that nitrogenase can achieve the multielectron reduction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  suggests that nitrogenase can also stabilize key intermediates along this reaction pathway through appropriate functional groups. Metal hydrides have been suggested to be involved in the initial steps of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction catalyzed by metal complexes (39), suggesting that nitrogenase might also achieve the two electron reduction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ by hydride insertion, in a process parallel to the one proposed for the initial steps in  $N_2$  reduction. Whether partial reduction intermediates (e.g., formate or formaldehyde) are leaked from nitrogenase during  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction is technically challenging to determine because accurate measurement of formate or formaldehyde in solutions containing dithionite is complicated by interference from dithionite.



Fig. 5. Propylene formation from  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and acetylene. The dependence of propylene formation on the partial pressure of acetylene at a partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> of 0.40–0.45 atm is shown for the  $\alpha$ -70<sup>Ala</sup>/ $\alpha$ -195<sup>Gln</sup> MoFe protein. The concentration of MoFe protein was 0.5 mg/mL, and Fe protein was 0.5 mg/mL. The reactions were incubated at 30 °C for 60 min.

Another key finding reported here is the need to remodel the protein environment around FeMo cofactor to activate the reduction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to  $CH<sub>4</sub>$ . Earlier studies have illustrated that the protein environment immediately surrounding FeMo cofactor control both the size of compounds that can be substrates and the reactivity of FeMo cofactor toward those compounds (46). We earlier found that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  could be reduced to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  by the wildtype MoFe protein (27), but very little further reduction products are detected, suggesting that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  had limited access to the active part of FeMo cofactor in the wild-type enzyme and that the reaction cannot go forward beyond CO. The inability to go beyond CO could be due to steric constraints imposed by the active site on subsequent intermediates in the reaction pathway or the lack of functional groups to stabilize reaction intermediates. Such possibilities are supported by the requirement for amino acid substitutions to achieve  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction beyond CO all of the way to CH4 and the fact that the unsubstituted MoFe protein has an exceedingly poor capacity to reduce CO (24, 26).

A final notable observation from the current work is the capacity for reductive coupling of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  with acetylene to yield the 3C olefin propylene by the remodeled MoFe protein. Several earlier studies have suggested two binding sites on FeMo cofactor. For example, it has been proposed that two CO molecules bind to FeMo cofactor in the high CO concentration inhibited state (29–33). Likewise, two acetylene binding sites have been implicated from studies combining kinetics and amino acid substitutions (31, 34, 35). Finally, the finding that CO can be reduced and coupled to make C2 and C3 hydrocarbons is consistent with two adjacent binding sites (24, 26). Here, we report that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  can be reduced to the level of  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  and coupled to acetylene, yielding predominately propylene. Up to 8% of the C3 product during  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and acetylene reduction catalyzed by nitrogenase is the C3 product propane. Addition of ethylene to a reaction with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  did not yield propane. This result clearly suggests that both  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and acetylene are binding to the active site and both are being activated within the active site during the coupling reaction. This observation is best explained by two adjacent substrate activation sites, which can be populated to varying extents by changing the electron flux through nitrogenase and the partial pressures of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and acetylene. Reductive

coupling of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to alkynes yielding oxygenated hydrocarbons (e.g., carboxylic acids) has been reported for metal catalysts (10, 47) but, to our knowledge, the production of olefins is unique to the reactions reported here.

In summary, the findings presented here initiate the understanding of how nitrogenase can reduce and couple  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ by multiple electrons to the industrially interesting  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  and propylene. The findings presented here begin to shed light on these reactions and provide insights into the broader context of how  $N_2$  is reduced to ammonia. Future studies should look toward understanding how reaction barriers are lowered through stabilization of key reaction intermediates, which should provide guiding insights that can be used in the design of more robust catalysts for the reduction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to various hydrocarbons.

## Materials and Methods

Reagents and Protein Purification. All reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich or Fisher Scientific and were used without further purification, unless specified otherwise. Sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO<sub>3</sub>) was from Avantor Performance Materials. Sodium dithionite was purified to approximately 99% purity according to a published procedure (48). Gases were purchased from Air Liquide:  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and acetylene. Methane gas was obtained from household natural gas line with an estimated purity of 97%. Propane gas was obtained from a propane fuel tank with an estimated purity of 86%. All other gases were purchased from Air Liquide. Azotobacter vinelandii strains DJ1260 (wild-type, WT, or  $\alpha$ -70<sup>Val</sup>), DJ997 ( $\alpha$ -195<sup>Gln</sup>), DJ1310 ( $\alpha$ -70<sup>Ala</sup>), DJ1316 ( $\alpha$ -70<sup>Ala</sup>/ $\alpha$ -195<sup>Gln</sup>), and DJ1495 ( $\alpha$ -70<sup>Ala</sup>/ $\alpha$ -191<sup>Ala</sup>) were grown, and the corresponding nitrogenase MoFe proteins were expressed and purified as described (49). All MoFe proteins in this study contain a seven-His tag addition near the carboxyl-terminal end of the  $\alpha$ -subunit. The purification of these proteins was accomplished according to a published purification protocol (50). Protein concentrations were determined by the Biuret assay using BSA as standard. The purities of these proteins were >95% based on SDS/PAGE analysis with Coomassie staining. Manipulation of proteins and buffers was done in septum-sealed serum vials under an argon atmosphere or on a Schlenk vacuum line. All gases and liquid transfers used gas-tight syringes.

Carbon Dioxide Reduction Assays. Using  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  as substrate, assays were conducted in 9.4-mL serum vials containing 2 mL of an assay buffer consisting of approximately 100 mM sodium dithionite, a MgATP regenerating system (13.4 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 mM ATP, 60 mM phosphocreatine, 0.6 mg/mL BSA, and 0.4 mg/mL creatine phosphokinase) in a combination buffer of 33.3 mM Mops, 33.3 mM Mes, and 33.3 mM TAPS at pH 8.0 except for the CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure dependence study, which was done in 100 mM Bis-Tris buffer, pH 6.7. After making the solution anaerobic, addition of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and equilibration between the gas phase and liquid phase for approximately 20 min, the MoFe protein were added. Then the assay vials were ventilated to atmospheric pressure. Reactions were initiated by the addition of Fe protein and incubated at 30 °C. Reactions were quenched by the addition of 400 μL of 400 mM EDTA at pH 8.0 solution. When using NaHCO<sub>3</sub> as the substrate for reduction or coupling assays, the reaction mixture was made by mixing a 100 mM Bis-Tris buffer (pH 6.7) containing all components as described above and a stock solution of  $NAHCO<sub>3</sub>$  dissolved in 100 mM Bis-Tris buffer (pH 6.7). For coupling reactions between  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>$ , the three-component buffer system (33.3 mM Mops, 33.3 mM Mes, and 33.3 mM TAPS at pH 8.0) was used. All pH values in this work were nominal values before mixing and/or equilibration with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  gas or NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution. Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and propylene  $(C_3H_6)$  were quantified by gas chromatography by injection of 500 μL of the gas phase of the reaction vial into a Shimadzu GC-8A equipped with a flame ionization detector fitted with a 30 cm  $\times$  0.3 cm Porapak N column with nitrogen as the carrier gas. The injection/detection temperature was set to 180 °C, and the column temperature was set to 110 °C. The standard curves with high linearity were created by using methane, and propane gases diluted with argon in 9.4-mL serum vials.

**GC-MS Analysis.** The production of CH<sub>4</sub> from CO<sub>2</sub> reduction and C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> from the reductive coupling between  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>$  was confirmed on a Shimadzu GC-2010 gas chromatograph equipped with a programmed temperature vaporization (PTV) injector and a Shimadzu GCMS-QP2010S mass spectrometer by using  $12^{713}$ C-enriched NaHCO<sub>3</sub> as CO<sub>2</sub> source. Separation of methane was achieved with a GC-CARBONPLOT column [30 m, 0.32 mm inner diameter (ID), and 3.0-μm film thickness] (Agilent Technologies), and separation of propylene and propane was achieved with a Rt-Alumina BOND/KCl column (30 m, 0.32 mm ID, and 5.0 μm film thickness) (Restek). The injector and column temperatures were set to 35 °C. Ultrapure helium was used as the carrier gas set at a linear velocity of 50 cm/s for methane separation and 60 cm/s for propylene separation. For separation of methane and propylene, 25 μL and 500 μL of headspace gases were directly injected

- 1. Mann ME (2012) The Hockey Stick and the Climate Wars: Dispatches from the Front Lines (Columbia Univ Press, New York).
- 2. Olah GA, Prakash GKS, Goeppert A (2011) Anthropogenic chemical carbon cycle for a sustainable future. J Am Chem Soc 133(33):12881–12898.
- 3. Lackner KS (2003) Climate change. A guide to CO2 sequestration. Science 300(5626): 1677–1678.
- 4. Szulczewski ML, MacMinn CW, Herzog HJ, Juanes R (2012) Lifetime of carbon capture and storage as a climate-change mitigation technology. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109 (14):5185–5189.
- 5. Omae I (2012) Recent developments in carbon dioxide utilization for the production of organic chemicals. Coord Chem Rev 256(13-14):1384–1405.
- 6. Rakowski DuBois M, DuBois DL (2009) Development of molecular electrocatalysts for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction and H<sub>2</sub> production/oxidation. Acc Chem Res 42(12):1974-1982.
- 7. Morris AJ, Meyer GJ, Fujita E (2009) Molecular approaches to the photocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide for solar fuels. Acc Chem Res 42(12):1983–1994.
- 8. Olah GA (2005) Beyond oil and gas: The methanol economy. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 44(18):2636–2639.
- 9. Cokoja M, Bruckmeier C, Rieger B, Herrmann WA, Kühn FE (2011) Transformation of carbon dioxide with homogeneous transition-metal catalysts: A molecular solution to a global challenge? Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 50(37):8510–8537.
- 10. Schaub T, Paciello RA (2011) A process for the synthesis of formic acid by  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  hydrogenation: Thermodynamic aspects and the role of CO. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 50 (32):7278–7282.
- 11. Sakakura T, Choi J-C, Yasuda H (2007) Transformation of carbon dioxide. Chem Rev 107(6):2365–2387.
- 12. Wang W, Wang S, Ma X, Gong J (2011) Recent advances in catalytic hydrogenation of carbon dioxide. Chem Soc Rev 40(7):3703–3727.
- 13. Darensbourg DJ (2010) Chemistry of carbon dioxide relevant to its utilization: A personal perspective. Inorg Chem 49(23):10765–10780.
- 14. Reda T, Plugge CM, Abram NJ, Hirst J (2008) Reversible interconversion of carbon dioxide and formate by an electroactive enzyme. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105(31): 10654–10658.
- 15. Ragsdale SW, Pierce E (2008) Acetogenesis and the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway of CO(2) fixation. Biochim Biophys Acta 1784(12):1873–1898.
- 16. Thauer RK, Kaster AK, Seedorf H, Buckel W, Hedderich R (2008) Methanogenic archaea: Ecologically relevant differences in energy conservation. Nat Rev Microbiol 6 (8):579–591.
- 17. Heo J, Skjeldal L, Staples CR, Ludden PW (2002) Carbon monoxide dehydrogenase from Rhodospirillum rubrum produces formate. J Biol Inorg Chem 7(7-8):810–814.
- 18. Obert R, Dave BC (1999) Enzymatic conversion of carbon dioxide to methanol: Enhanced methanol production in silica sol−gel matrices. J Am Chem Soc 121(51): 12192–12193.
- 19. Burgess BK, Lowe DJ (1996) Mechanism of molybdenum nitrogenase. Chem Rev 96(7): 2983–3012.
- 20. Seefeldt LC, Hoffman BM, Dean DR (2009) Mechanism of Mo-dependent nitrogenase. Annu Rev Biochem 78:701–722.
- 21. Spatzal T, et al. (2011) Evidence for interstitial carbon in nitrogenase FeMo cofactor. Science 334(6058):940.
- 22. Lancaster KM, et al. (2011) X-ray emission spectroscopy evidences a central carbon in the nitrogenase iron-molybdenum cofactor. Science 334(6058):974–977.
- 23. Rivera-Ortiz JM, Burris RH (1975) Interactions among substrates and inhibitors of nitrogenase. J Bacteriol 123(2):537–545.
- 24. Lee CC, Hu Y, Ribbe MW (2010) Vanadium nitrogenase reduces CO. Science 329(5992): 642.
- 25. Hu Y, Lee CC, Ribbe MW (2011) Extending the carbon chain: Hydrocarbon formation catalyzed by vanadium/molybdenum nitrogenases. Science 333(6043):753–755.
- 26. Yang Z-Y, Dean DR, Seefeldt LC (2011) Molybdenum nitrogenase catalyzes the reduction and coupling of CO to form hydrocarbons. J Biol Chem 286(22):19417–19421.

into the PTV injector, respectively. The mass spectrometer was operated in electron ionization and selected ion monitoring mode.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. This work has been supported by National Institutes of Health Grant GM 59087 (to D.R.D. and L.C.S.). V.R.M was the recipient of Brazilian Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nivel Superior (CAPES) Mobility Fellowship 0388/11-4.

- 27. Seefeldt LC, Rasche ME, Ensign SA (1995) Carbonyl sulfide and carbon dioxide as new substrates, and carbon disulfide as a new inhibitor, of nitrogenase. Biochemistry 34 (16):5382–5389.
- 28. Seefeldt LC, Hoffman BM, Dean DR (2012) Electron transfer in nitrogenase catalysis. Curr Opin Chem Biol 16(1-2):19–25.
- 29. Lee H-I, Cameron LM, Hales BJ, Hoffman BM (1997) CO binding to the FeMo cofactor of CO-inhibited nitrogenase: <sup>13</sup>CO and <sup>1</sup>H Q-band ENDOR investigation. J Am Chem Soc 119(42):10121–10126.
- 30. Pollock RC, et al. (1995) Investigation of CO bound to inhibited forms of nitrogenase MoFe protein by <sup>13</sup>C ENDOR. J Am Chem Soc 117(33):8686-8687
- 31. Davis LC, Henzl MT, Burris RH, Orme-Johnson WH (1979) Iron-sulfur clusters in the molybdenum-iron protein component of nitrogenase. Electron paramagnetic resonance of the carbon monoxide inhibited state. Biochemistry 18(22):4860-4869.
- 32. Maskos Z, Fisher K, Sørlie M, Newton WE, Hales BJ (2005) Variant MoFe proteins of Azotobacter vinelandii: Effects of carbon monoxide on electron paramagnetic resonance spectra generated during enzyme turnover. J Biol Inorg Chem 10(4):394–406.
- 33. Christie PD, et al. (1996) Identification of the CO-binding cluster in nitrogenase MoFe protein by ENDOR of <sup>57</sup>Fe isotopomers. J Am Chem Soc 118(36):8707-8709.
- 34. Shen J, Dean DR, Newton WE (1997) Evidence for multiple substrate-reduction sites and distinct inhibitor-binding sites from an altered Azotobacter vinelandii nitrogenase MoFe protein. Biochemistry 36(16):4884–4894.
- 35. Hwang JC, Chen CH, Burris RH (1973) Inhibition of nitrogenase-catalyzed reductions. Biochim Biophys Acta 292(1):256–270.
- 36. Olah GA, Molnár Á (2003) Hydrocarbon Chemistry (Wiley, Hoboken, NJ), 2nd Ed.
- 37. Matsuo T, Kawaguchi H (2006) From carbon dioxide to methane: Homogeneous reduction of carbon dioxide with hydrosilanes catalyzed by zirconium-borane complexes. J Am Chem Soc 128(38):12362–12363.
- 38. Khandelwal M, Wehmschulte RJ (2012) Deoxygenative reduction of carbon dioxide to methane, toluene, and diphenylmethane with [Et<sub>2</sub>Al]<sup>+</sup> as catalyst. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 51(29):7323–7326.
- 39. Benson EE, Kubiak CP, Sathrum AJ, Smieja JM (2009) Electrocatalytic and homogeneous approaches to conversion of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to liquid fuels. Chem Soc Rev 38(1):89-99.
- 40. Cook TR, et al. (2010) Solar energy supply and storage for the legacy and nonlegacy worlds. Chem Rev 110(11):6474–6502.
- 41. Ragsdale SW (2008) Enzymology of the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway of acetogenesis. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1125:129–136.
- 42. Hoffman BM, Dean DR, Seefeldt LC (2009) Climbing nitrogenase: Toward a mechanism of enzymatic nitrogen fixation. Acc Chem Res 42(5):609–619.
- 43. Lukoyanov D, et al. (2012) Unification of reaction pathway and kinetic scheme for  $N_2$ reduction catalyzed by nitrogenase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109(15):5583–5587.
- 44. Lukoyanov D, Yang Z-Y, Dean DR, Seefeldt LC, Hoffman BM (2010) Is Mo involved in hydride binding by the four-electron reduced (E<sub>4</sub>) intermediate of the nitrogenase MoFe protein? J Am Chem Soc 132(8):2526–2527.
- 45. Igarashi RY, et al. (2005) Trapping H- bound to the nitrogenase FeMo-cofactor active site during H<sub>2</sub> evolution: Characterization by ENDOR spectroscopy. J Am Chem Soc 127(17):6231–6241.
- 46. Dos Santos PC, et al. (2005) Substrate interactions with the nitrogenase active site. Acc Chem Res 38(3):208–214.
- 47. Zhang Y, Riduan SN (2011) Catalytic hydrocarboxylation of alkenes and alkynes with CO2. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 50(28):6210–6212.
- 48. McKenna CE, Gutheil WG, Song W (1991) A method for preparing analytically pure sodium dithionite. Dithionite quality and observed nitrogenase-specific activities. Biochim Biophys Acta 1075(1):109–117.
- 49. Barney BM, et al. (2007) Diazene (HN=NH) is a substrate for nitrogenase: Insights into the pathway of  $N_2$  reduction. Biochemistry 46(23):6784-6794.
- 50. Christiansen J, Goodwin PJ, Lanzilotta WN, Seefeldt LC, Dean DR (1998) Catalytic and biophysical properties of a nitrogenase Apo-MoFe protein produced by a nifB-deletion mutant of Azotobacter vinelandii. Biochemistry 37(36):12611–12623.