Published in final edited form as:
Eur J Nutr. 2013 April ; 52(3): 1039–1048. doi:10.1007/s00394-012-0410-9.

Tea consumption is inversely associated with weight status and other markers for Metabolic Syndrome in U.S. adults[1]

Jacqueline A. Vernarelli[1,2] and **Joshua D. Lambert**[2]

[1]Department of Nutritional Sciences, 110 Chandlee Laboratory, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802

[2]Department of Food Science, 332 Food Science Building, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802

Abstract

Purpose—Tea (*Camellia sinensis*) is a widely consumed beverage, and laboratory and some intervention studies have indicated the potential health benefits of hot tea. The present study examines the association between tea consumption (evaluating hot and iced tea independently) and markers for MetS adults in a sample of 6,472 who participated in the 2003–2006 National Health and Nutrition Examination (NHANES) surveys.

Methods—Tea consumption was evaluated using food frequency questionnaires and 24-hour dietary recalls. Seventy percent of the sample reported any consumption of iced tea, and 16% were daily consumers; whereas approximately 56% of this sample reported hot tea consumption and 9% were daily consumers.

Results—Hot tea consumption was inversely associated with obesity: tea consumers had lower mean waist circumference and lower BMI (25 vs. 28 kg/m^2 in men; 26 vs. 29 kg/m^2 in women; both P<0.001), than non-consumers after controlling for age, physical activity, total energy intake, and other confounders. For iced tea consumption, the association was reversed: increased iced tea consumption was associated with higher BMI, greater waist circumference, and greater subcutaneous skinfold thickness after controlling for age, physical activity, energy intake, sugar intake, and other confounders. Hot tea consumption was associated with beneficial biomarkers of cardiovascular disease risk and inflammation (increased high density lipoprotein-associated cholesterol and decreased C-reactive protein in both sexes, and reduced triglycerides in women), whereas the association with iced tea consumption was again reversed.

Conclusions—These cross-sectional results support growing laboratory data which demonstrate the negative association of hot tea intake with markers of MetS.

Keywords

NHANES; tea; obesity; waist circumference; BMI; Metabolic Syndrome

[1]The project has been funded in part by NIH grant AT 004678 (Lambert JD)

Corresponding Author: Joshua D. Lambert, Phone: 814-865-5233, Fax 814-865-5870, jdl134@psu.edu.

Author Disclosure: Jacqueline A Vernarelli: no COI. Joshua D. Lambert: No COI.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST
JAV and JDL have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

INTRODUCTION

Tea is the most widely consumed beverage in the world aside from water [1]. Derived from steeping the leaves of *Camellia sinensis* in water, tea contains high concentrations of polyphenolic compounds [2]. The three major types of tea, black, oolong, and green, differ in terms of processing, chemical composition and consumption patterns. Green tea is consumed primarily in Japan, China, and a small subset of Middle Eastern and North African countries [1]. During the processing of green tea, fresh leaves are pan-fried to inactive endogenous polyphenol oxidase which preserves the characteristic monomeric flavan-3-ols known as catechins. (−)-Epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG) is the most abundant and widely studied green tea catechin [3]. By contrast, during the processing of black tea, fresh leaves are crushed and allowed to undergo oxidation resulting in the formation of polyphenolic oligomers and polymers known as theaflavins and thearubigins. Black tea is commonly consumed primarily in Europe, India, and the United States. Oolong tea is a product that undergoes an intermediate level of oxidation and is consumed most widely in China. In addition to their respective polyphenols, these three types of tea contain comparable amounts of caffeine.

Tea and tea polyphenols have been extensively studied for their potential as preventive agents for cancer, heart disease, neurodegenerative disease, and other chronic conditions [4–6]. A growing number of laboratory and human intervention studies have suggested that tea and tea polyphenols may be useful for the prevention of obesity and metabolic syndrome (MetS) [7–12] [13,14].

A limited number of epidemiological studies have been conducted to determine the association between tea consumption and body weight [15–17]. Wu and colleagues (ref) found that habitual tea consumption (primarily green and oolong) was associated with lower waist: hip ratio in Taiwanese adults; Hughes and colleagues [16] found that black tea consumption was associated with lower BMI in the Netherlands. Most recently, a cross-sectional study between tea consumption and body weight status in US adults was reported by Bouchard and colleagues. [17] The authors found that tea was inversely related to waist circumference, however, the method for evaluating tea intake was relatively weak; the authors used only a single cycle of NHANES, which was not adequately weighted for generalizable analysis. In addition to obesity, a number of epidemiological studies have examined the relationship between tea consumption and various glycemic measures (fasting glucose, fasting insulin, insulin sensitivity) but the results are mixed and are very population-specific [18–20], warranting further investigation.

To our knowledge, no studies have been conducted to determine the association between tea consumption and multiple markers for MetS in US adults. Although the current literature derived from weight loss trials are useful in assessing the utility of tea supplementation for improving body weight status in individuals actively trying to lose weight, larger data-sets are needed to evaluate whether regular tea consumption is associated with lower body weight in persons *regardless* of intentions for weight loss. Further, more studies are needed to assess the potential effects of black tea on MetS, given that it accounts for almost 80% of worldwide tea production [2].

The objective of this study was to determine the relationship between tea consumption (including black, green and oolong, both hot and iced) and markers for MetS in a representative sample of 5,948 adults (54% female) from the 2003–2006 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) data. Using these NHANES data, the association of tea consumption with multiple markers for MetS including: body mass index

(BMI), waist circumference (WC), fasting glucose, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, serum triglycerides and C-reactive protein; was explored.

SUBJECTS & METHODS

The NHANES

The NHANES is a large, cross-sectional survey conducted by National Center for Health Statistics. NHANES is designed to monitor the health and nutritional status of non-institutionalized civilians in the US; data is collected on a continual basis and released in two-year increments. Complete details regarding the NHANES sampling methodology, data collection, and interview process are available on the NHANES website ((http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm). Data from the 2003–2004 and 2005–2006 NHANES survey cycles were combined for this study to maximize power. These cycles were chosen due to the use of both Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) and 24-hour recall data as methods of dietary assessment.. Specific status codes were used to indicate the quality, reliability, and completeness of the dietary data. One day of dietary recall data was collected by trained interviewers from participants during their visit to the Mobile Examination Unit; a second day of dietary data was collected using an interviewer-administered phone survey. A status code was used in the dataset following each recall to indicate the completeness of the data.

Subjects

For this analysis, all adults 18 years of age or older were initially included (n=11,183). Adults who did not have 2 had 2 days of reliable 24-hour recall data in addition to FFQ data (n=3,505) were excluded, as well as pregnant and lactating women (n=364) were excluded from this analysis since WC and weight status were primary outcome measures. Participants with abnormal or implausible dietary recall (>7500 kcal/d or <300 kcal/day; n=149), or who reported currently following a weight-loss diet (n=557) were dropped from the analysis in order to maximize accuracy. Participants with missing outcome measures (n=140, i.e.: BMI, WC) were also eliminated, resulting in a final analytical sample of 6,472 (due to overlap of missing components) adults (53% female) for analysis regarding tea consumption and anthropometric measures. Of these adults, a small subset also underwent additional procedures to collect fasting (3,118) blood samples. Age at the time of exam, education level, smoking status (current, former, non-smoker), physical activity (measured in MET units), race and socioeconomic status were all provided in the NHANES data set. Socioeconomic status was quantified as a continuous variable using poverty-income ratio (PIR), or the ratio of family income to family-size specific poverty threshold. Participants were coded into one of four categories of tea consumption for both hot and iced tea: non-consumers (rarely or never drink tea), infrequent consumers (1c/week or fewer), weekly consumers (2–6 c/week), daily consumers (1 c/day), or multiple cups/day. Participants were also queried about the amount of herbal or decaffeinated tea that was consumed.

Markers for Metabolic Syndrome

Weight status was assessed using BMI (kg/m^2). In both cycles of NHANES, height and weight were measured by trained examiners using standardized protocols and calibrated equipment. Weight status in adults was defined using CDC cut points (http://www.cdc.gov/obesity/defining.html). Adults were classified as lean (BMI ≤24.9), overweight (BMI of 25.0–29.9) or obese (BMI≥30). Body fatness was evaluated using two measures: WC and skin fold thickness. Complete details of anthropometric measurements can be found on the NHANES website (www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes). Briefly, tricep and subscapular skinfold thickness were assessed on using calibrated skinfold calipers on the right side of the body;

waist circumference was measured by using a soft tape measure placed horizontally just above the iliac crest.

Blood samples were collected on a smaller subset of the population to asses other markers for MetS. As with the anthropometric data, full details regarding specimen handling, and analytical quantification of biomarkers are available on the NHANES website. Non-fasting samples were collected on afternoon participants, and included HDL-cholesterol (direct measure; mmol/L), total cholesterol (mmol/L) and C-reative protein (CRP). All blood samples were collected in the CLIA-certified NHAMES Mobile Examination Center (MEC) unit and sent out for analysis. Fasting blood samples were collected on participants who were examined in the morning session and included: serum insulin (pmol/L), serum glucose (mmol/L), serum triglycerides (mmol/L), and LDL-cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol (direct measure; mmol/L), and total cholesterol (mmol/L). Total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol and triglycerides were sent to the Johns Hopkins University Lipoprotein Analytical Laboratory for analysis. Total cholesterol was measured enzymatically in serum using Roche Hitachi equipment; LDL-cholesterol was calculated according to the Friedewald calculation only in participants with triglycerides less than or equal to 400 mg/dL; specific status codes were assigned to identify these participants. Serum glucose was assessed using the hexokinase method; insulin was assessed with ELISA. In the present analysis, each marker for MetS was evaluated separately.

Dietary Assessment

In order to accurately categorize regular intake behavior, participant FFQ responses pertaining to tea consumption were analyzed against both days of 24-h recall data. The USDA Food and Nutrition Database, versions 2.0 and 3.0 were used to process NHANES dietary data intake and evaluate tea consumption. Iced tea consumption included prepared bottled iced tea as well as iced tea made from powder or tea bags. These categories were based on the combined responses to FFQ questions regarding tea intake along with 24-h dietary recall data. Chemically, herbal tea is not synonymous to *C. sinensis*-based tea. Therefore, for the purposes of this study, any subject that reported that they "always or almost always" consume herbal or decaffeinated tea were not considered to be tea consumers. If participants reported occasionally consuming herbal or decaffeinated tea, they were identified as an herbal tea consumer, and herbal tea consumption was used as a covariate in all regression models. Other dietary variables (such as total energy intake, total caffeine intake) were obtained from the 24-h recall data.

Statistical Analysis

All data was analyzed using SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Specific survey procedures were used in the analysis to account for sample weights, unequal selection probability, and clustered design. Analysis of the subset of fasting subjects required use of different survey weights in order to account for the specific characteristics of this subpopulation. Multivariate regression was used to evaluate the association of tea consumption with health outcomes related to obesity (e.g body mass index, waist circumference, skinfold thickness, serum lipid levels, fasting glucose and insulin, etc.). Sex-specific analysis was conducted to take into account the natural differences in body composition and caloric needs between men and women. Endpoints are adjusted for age, race, family income, education, smoking status, physical activity, total energy intake, total sugar intake, herbal tea consumption, and self-reported disease status. Self-reported disease status was collected during subject interview. Subjects reported if they had a history of a variety of conditions (i.e.: diabetes), and each condition that is specific to the outcome measure was included in that model. For example, self-reported diabetic (i.e.: subject reported diabetic status, but did not have a medical history of diabetes) was a covariate for models assessing fasting blood glucose; self-reported high cholesterol without a medical history of high cholesterol was included for serum lipids). Medically diagnosed conditions were excluded for corresponding variables (i.e.: an individual with a medical history of diabetes was excluded from analysis of fasting glucose and insulin, but not BMI or waist circumference). Results are presented as least-squared means and standard errors, with significance determined at P <0.05. The procedures of this secondary data analysis were approved by the Institutional Review Board at The Pennsylvania State University

RESULTS

Subject Demographics

Demographic characteristics are presented in Table 1. The majority of this sample of US adults were white (76%), and racial breakdown was similar to the US population. Approximately two-thirds of the population was overweight or obese. In this sample of US adults, 57% (n=3,506) reported some sort of hot tea consumption; 9% (n=603) consumed hot tea daily (Table 1). In contrast, 70% (n=4,244) reported consumption of iced tea. In order to evaluate the relationship of tea and body weight independently of other dietary factors, macronutrient intake, energy intake, caffeine intake and percentage of dietary fat were also examined. No significant differences in caffeine intake or macronutrient intake were observed between any of the categories of hot tea or iced tea consumption. Women who consumed multiple cups of hot tea daily had significantly higher total energy intake than non-consumers; men who consumed hot tea daily had significantly lower total energy intake, and lower sugar intake than non-consumers; as such, total energy and total sugar intake were included as covariates in all regression models. Additionally, men who consumed multiple cups of hot tea daily had significantly lower alcohol intake than non-consumers, inversely, women who were infrequent hot tea had significantly higher alcohol intake than non consumers; as such, alcohol was also included in all regression models.

Markers of Body Weight and Body Fatness

When examining the association between hot tea and various anthropometric measures, tea consumption was inversely associated with BMI in both men and women after controlling for age, race, income, education, smoking status, total energy intake, sugar intake, alcohol intake, herbal tea consumption and physical activity (Table 2). A linear decrease in BMI was observed in both sexes: women in the highest category of tea consumption had the lowest BMI (26.2 vs. 28.5 for non-consumers); the same relationship was observed in men (25.4 vs. 27.9). A decrease in waist circumference was observed in both men and women; however, a stronger association was found among men.

In contrast, iced tea consumption was associated with an significant increase in waist circumference and BMI in women, even after controlling for age, race, income, education, smoking status, total energy intake, sugar intake, alcohol intake, herbal tea consumption and physical activity. In men, non-consumers had significantly lower BMI and WC than men who consumed multiple cups of iced tea daily, but no other relationships existed. Additionally, subscapular skinfold thickness increased as iced tea consumption increased in both sexes (Table 2). Neither hot tea nor iced tea was not correlated with total energy intake (r^2= 0.11 and 0.27, respectively)

Markers of Glycemic Status, Dyslipidemia and Inflammation

Hot tea consumption was inversely related to fasting glucose in women (Table 3), but not in men. No relationship between fasting glucose and iced tea consumption was observed in either sex. No relationship between fasting insulin and consumption of either type of tea was observed in men.

Regarding tea consumption and lipid profiles, different associations were observed in men and women. There was no association in any markers of dyslipidemia (HDL, LDL cholesterol or triglycerides) and hot tea consumption in women. In contrast, there was a significant decrease in serum HDL-cholesterol levels and serum triglycerides in women as iced tea consumption increased.

Men who reported consuming multiple cups of hot tea a day had significantly higher serum HDL-cholesterol levels and significantly lower triglycerides than non-consumers (Table 3). No significant associations were observed in any of the lipid markers and iced tea consumption in men.

There was a significant negative association between hot tea consumption and C-reactive protein in both men and women (*P*-trend, <0.001 for men and 0.01 for women). By contrast, there was no association between iced tea consumption and serum C-reactive protein in either men or women.

DISCUSSION

In this cross-sectional analysis of a nationally representative sample of US adults, hot tea and iced tea consumption were associated with various markers for obesity and Metabolic Syndrome, however, the association differed by tea type (hot *vs.* iced). Perhaps one of the most interesting findings in this paper was the opposing relationships between health outcomes and hot and iced tea consumption. In this sample of adults, approximately 70% consumed iced tea, while 56% consumed hot tea. Typically, hot tea has higher antioxidant content, and often lower sugar content than iced tea; hot tea is typically consumed in a smaller portion than iced tea, therefore exacerbating the sugar: antioxidant relationship [21]. As with other studies, the present study found that adults (men and women) who regularly consumed hot tea were leaner than non-consumers, having a lower BMI and waist circumference. This relationship was observed after controlling for several factors.

One possible theory for the opposite relationship between hot tea consumption and obesity may be that tea consumers have higher intake of caffeine than non-consumers, although non-consumers may be coffee drinkers. Previous studies have indicated caffeine intake affects metabolic rate and energy expenditure, and therefore may influence weight status [22], and some studies suggest that the beneficial effects of tea consumption are derived from the caffeine content. In a Dutch weight loss study, no difference in weight loss was observed between women on a hypocaloric diet supplemented with either green tea or caffeine, suggesting that caffeine may play a critical role in the weight loss effects of tea [23]. Other intervention studies, however, have demonstrated that decaffeinated green tea and pure green tea catechins exert weight loss effects [12,14]. In our sample, tea consumption was not related to total caffeine intake (*P*-trend = 0.27) a finding which may support the notion that the polyphenolic compounds in tea have effects on body weight. A recent review indicated that the benefits of green tea may come from both caffeine and the catechins found in green tea [24]. Future observational and interventional studies in a longitudinal setting are needed to determine the interactions between caffeine and the other components in tea. Skinfold thickness was also lower in men who consumed multiple cups of hot tea daily compared to non-consumers. This is important, since emerging evidence suggests that elevated body fatness, particularly visceral adipose, may be a more significant risk factor for MetS than BMI or WC [25]. Further, these results are in agreement with laboratory studies showing that tea polyphenols may affect both dietary fat absorption (decrease) and fat utilization (increase) in animal models [9].

In contrast to hot tea consumption, iced tea consumption was associated with higher BMI, and greater markers of body fatness (WC, skinfold thickness), especially in women. This relationship was observed even after adjusting for energy intake and controlling for total sugar intake, since Americans typically consumed sweetened iced tea. Several studies have suggested a relationship between sugar sweetened beverage consumption, obesity and MetS. [26,27] In the present study, the relationship between iced tea consumption and obesity may be related to other dietary factors. Iced tea is most commonly consumed in the southern United States, where obesity rates are higher than the national average according to recent survey statistics (http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/). The association between iced tea consumption and markers for obesity may therefore be due in part to this phenomenon.

In addition to body weight, hot tea consumption was found to be inversely associated with various glycemic biomarkers, representing a decreased in MetS risk. Although previous intervention studies aimed at improving glycemic parameters have generally seen affects on fasting blood glucose, less dramatic (or no) effects on fasting plasma insulin have been reported (reviewed in [28]). Daily tea consumption was associated with lower fasting plasma insulin levels in both men and women. Interestingly, although men who were daily tea consumers exhibited improved fasting blood glucose levels, this association was not seen in women. The lack of association may be due in part to the healthy levels of fasting glucose in women. The mean glucose level for women in all categories of tea consumption fell within the healthy range, thus any statistically significant difference would not have been clinically meaningful.

The relationship between hot tea consumption and lipid profiles were mixed. There was no association with hot tea consumption and any lipid markers in women. In men, hot tea consumption was not associated with serum LDL-cholesterol or total cholesterol; however, men who reported consuming multiple cups of hot tea daily had significantly higher serum HDL-cholesterol. Increased HDL-cholesterol levels are associated with decreased risk of cardiovascular events [29]. These results are not consistent with the conclusions of a recent meta-analysis of human intervention studies with green tea [30]. An analysis of 14 randomized controlled trials found that green tea treatment was associated with decreased plasma triglycerides and LDL-cholesterol, whereas there was no significant effect on plasma HDL-cholesterol. In the meta-analysis, green tea treatment was used exclusively; however, in the current study, adults consumed a variety of types of hot tea (green, black, oolong), and the relationship between plasma lipid levels was derived from cross-sectional self-reported observations, not treatment observation. In the present study, iced tea consumption was not related to any lipid measures in men, however, increased iced tea consumption was associated with higher triglycerides and lower HDL-cholesterol in women. This suggests that iced tea consumption may be a marker for a different dietary pattern that may be associated with poorer health outcomes.

The anti-inflammatory effects of tea have been demonstrated in a number of laboratory models involving obesity, ulcerative colitis, and skin carcinogenesis [8,31,32]. In the present study, hot tea consumers had lower levels of plasma CRP compared to non-consumers of both sexes, where as iced tea consumers had significantly higher levels of CRP compared to non-consumers. CRP is a marker of systemic inflammation, and elevated CRP levels are associated with increased risk for cardiovascular events [33]. The results of our study suggest that hot tea consumption may reduce the risk of cardiovascular events, although the body of epidemiological data on the cardioprotective benefits of tea consumption remains mixed [28].

Our study has the following limitations. First, the analysis is cross-sectional in nature. Though the associations found are interesting, it is notable that population associations do not in any way indicate causation or causality. It is possible that there is a reporting bias in the non-consumer group; the higher prevalence of overweight and obese individuals in the non-consumer group may make this group more likely to contain under-reporters. Several studies have shown that overweight and obese persons are likely to underreport intake during dietary recall [34,35], representing one potential limitation of our study. Additionally, classification of tea drinkers is somewhat more difficult using cross-sectional data; however, our combined use of both FFQ and 2 24-h food records provide a more accurate assessment of usual intake than either parameter alone, which sets this study apart from other recently published findings on the same topic [17]. By combining data on episodic tea consumption (from 24-h recall) we were able to compare responses with regular tea consumption (from FFQ data), and confirm that participants reporting multiple cups of tea/day on the FFQ also reported multiple cups of tea during their 24-h recall.

In conclusion, we describe for the first time the relationship between consumption of hot and iced tea and markers for MetS in a representative population of US adults. We report a significant negative association between hot tea consumption, obesity and inflammation in both men and women. The opposite association was observed with iced tea consumers, as iced tea consumption was associated with an increase in BMI, waist circumference and inflammatory markers in adults. This finding demonstrates that the health benefits of tea consumption may occur only when tea is consumed in a traditional manner, and that iced tea may provide no health benefit to consumers, especially when sweetened. These results support the potential of tea as a modulator of body weight and indicate the need for further controlled, intervention studies to compare the effects of black, oolong, and green tea on body weight and body fat.

Acknowledgments

JAV performed the analysis and wrote the first draft of the manuscript, JDL provided critical revisions to the manuscript prior to submission. Both authors read, reviewed, and approved of the final version of this manuscript. The authors thank Dr. Terry J. Hartman for her careful critique of this manuscript. This study was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant AT 004678 (to JDL).

Abbreviations used

BMI	body mass index
EGCG	(−)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate
FFQ	food frequency questionnaire
NHANES	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
PIR	poverty-income ratio
WC	waist circumference

References

1. Weisburger JH. Tea and health: a historical perspective. Cancer Lett. 1997; 114(1–2):315–317. S0304-3835(97)04691-0 [pii]. [PubMed: 9103320]
2. Balentine DA, Wiseman SA, Bouwens LC. The chemistry of tea flavonoids. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 1997; 37 (8):693–704. [PubMed: 9447270]
3. Yang CS, Maliakal P, Meng X. Inhibition of carcinogenesis by tea. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 2002; 42:25–54.10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.42.082101.154309. 42/1/25 [pii] [PubMed: 11807163]
4. Yang CS, Wang X, Lu G, Picinich SC. Cancer prevention by tea: animal studies, molecular mechanisms and human relevance. Nat Rev Cancer. 2009; 9(6):429–439.10.1038/nrc2641 [PubMed: 19472429]

5. Mineharu Y, Koizumi A, Wada Y, Iso H, Watanabe Y, Date C, Yamamoto A, Kikuchi S, Inaba Y, Toyoshima H, Kondo T, Tamakoshi A. Coffee, green tea, black tea and oolong tea consumption and risk of mortality from cardiovascular disease in Japanese men and women. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2010 doi:jech.2009.097311 [pii]. 10.1136/jech.2009.097311.
6. Ramassamy C. Emerging role of polyphenolic compounds in the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases: a review of their intracellular targets. Eur J Pharmacol. 2006; 545(1):51–64. doi:S0014-2999(06)00647-9 [pii]. 10.1016/j.ejphar.2006.06.025. [PubMed: 16904103]
7. Richard D, Kefi K, Barbe U, Poli A, Bausero P, Visioli F. Weight and plasma lipid control by decaffeinated green tea. Pharmacol Res. 2009; 59(5):351–354. doi:S1043-6618(09)00049-8 [pii]. 10.1016/j.phrs.2009.01.015. [PubMed: 19416635]
8. Bose M, Lambert JD, Ju J, Reuhl KR, Shapses SA, Yang CS. The major green tea polyphenol, (−)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate, inhibits obesity, metabolic syndrome, and fatty liver disease in high-fat-fed mice. J Nutr. 2008; 138(9):1677–1683. doi:138/9/1677 [pii]. [PubMed: 18716169]
9. Uchiyama S, Taniguchi Y, Saka A, Yoshida A, Yajima H. Prevention of diet-induced obesity by dietary black tea polyphenols extract in vitro and in vivo. Nutrition. 2010 doi:S0899-9007(10)00057-2 [pii]. 10.1016/j.nut.2010.01.019.
10. Lee MS, Kim CT, Kim Y. Green tea (−)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate reduces body weight with regulation of multiple genes expression in adipose tissue of diet-induced obese mice. Ann Nutr Metab. 2009; 54(2):151–157. doi:000214834 [pii]. 10.1159/000214834. [PubMed: 19390166]
11. Grove KA, Sae-Tan S, Kennett MJ, Lambert JD. (−)-Epigallocatechin-3-gallate Inhibits Pancreatic Lipase and Reduces Body Weight Gain in High Fat-Fed Obese Mice. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2011 doi:oby2011139 [pii]. 10.1038/oby.2011.139.
12. Grove KA, Lambert JD. Laboratory, epidemiological, and human intervention studies show that tea (Camellia sinensis) may be useful in the prevention of obesity. J Nutr. 2010; 140(3):446–453. doi:jn.109.115972 [pii]. 10.3945/jn.109.115972. [PubMed: 20089791]
13. Wang H, Wen Y, Du Y, Yan X, Guo H, Rycroft JA, Boon N, Kovacs EM, Mela DJ. Effects of catechin enriched green tea on body composition. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2010; 18(4):773–779. doi:oby2009256 [pii]. 10.1038/oby.2009.256. [PubMed: 19680234]
14. Hursel R, Viechtbauer W, Westerterp-Plantenga MS. The effects of green tea on weight loss and weight maintenance: a meta-analysis. Int J Obes (Lond). 2009; 33(9):956–961. doi:ijo2009135 [pii]. 10.1038/ijo.2009.135. [PubMed: 19597519]
15. Wu CH, Lu FH, Chang CS, Chang TC, Wang RH, Chang CJ. Relationship among habitual tea consumption, percent body fat, and body fat distribution. Obes Res. 2003; 11(9):1088–1095.10.1038/oby.2003.149 [PubMed: 12972679]
16. Hughes LA, Arts IC, Ambergen T, Brants HA, Dagnelie PC, Goldbohm RA, van den Brandt PA, Weijenberg MP. Higher dietary flavone, flavonol, and catechin intakes are associated with less of an increase in BMI over time in women: a longitudinal analysis from the Netherlands Cohort Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008; 88(5):1341–1352. doi:88/5/1341 [pii]. [PubMed: 18996871]
17. Bouchard DR, Ross R, Janssen I. Coffee, tea and their additives: association with BMI and waist circumference. Obes Facts. 2010; 3(6):345–352. doi:000322915 [pii]. 10.1159/000322915. [PubMed: 21196787]
18. Oba S, Nagata C, Nakamura K, Fujii K, Kawachi T, Takatsuka N, Shimizu H. Consumption of coffee, green tea, oolong tea, black tea, chocolate snacks and the caffeine content in relation to risk of diabetes in Japanese men and women. Br J Nutr. 2010; 103(3):453–459. doi:S0007114509991966 [pii]. 10.1017/S0007114509991966. [PubMed: 19818197]
19. van Dieren S, Uiterwaal CS, van der Schouw YT, van der AD, Boer JM, Spijkerman A, Grobbee DE, Beulens JW. Coffee and tea consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes. Diabetologia. 2009; 52(12):2561–2569.10.1007/s00125-009-1516-3 [PubMed: 19727658]
20. Zuo H, Shi Z, Hu X, Wu M, Guo Z, Hussain A. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome and factors associated with its components in Chinese adults. Metabolism. 2009; 58(8):1102–1108. doi:S0026-0495(09)00114-0 [pii]. 10.1016/j.metabol.2009.04.008. [PubMed: 19481771]
21. Seeram NP, Aviram M, Zhang Y, Henning SM, Feng L, Dreher M, Heber D. Comparison of antioxidant potency of commonly consumed polyphenol-rich beverages in the United States. J Agric Food Chem. 2008; 56(4):1415–1422.10.1021/jf073035s [PubMed: 18220345]

22. Hollands MA, Arch JR, Cawthorne MA. A simple apparatus for comparative measurements of energy expenditure in human subjects: the thermic effect of caffeine. Am J Clin Nutr. 1981; 34 (10):2291–2294. [PubMed: 7293955]
23. Diepvens K, Kovacs EM, Nijs IM, Vogels N, Westerterp-Plantenga MS. Effect of green tea on resting energy expenditure and substrate oxidation during weight loss in overweight females. Br J Nutr. 2005; 94(6):1026–1034. doi:S0007114505002825 [pii]. [PubMed: 16351782]
24. Westerterp-Plantenga MS. Green tea catechins, caffeine and body-weight regulation. Physiol Behav. 2010; 100(1):42–46. doi:S0031-9384(10)00070-3 [pii]. 10.1016/j.physbeh.2010.02.005. [PubMed: 20156466]
25. Kim MK, Jang EH, Son JW, Kwon HS, Baek KH, Lee KW, Song KH. Visceral obesity is a better predictor than generalized obesity for basal insulin requirement at the initiation of insulin therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2011; 93(2):174–178. doi:S0168-8227(11)00190-2 [pii]. 10.1016/j.diabres.2011.04.009. [PubMed: 21565417]
26. Hu FB, Malik VS. Sugar-sweetened beverages and risk of obesity and type 2 diabetes: epidemiologic evidence. Physiol Behav. 2010; 100(1):47–54. doi:S0031-9384(10)00060-0 [pii]. 10.1016/j.physbeh.2010.01.036. [PubMed: 20138901]
27. Malik VS, Popkin BM, Bray GA, Despres JP, Willett WC, Hu FB. Sugar-sweetened beverages and risk of metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. Diabetes Care. 2010; 33(11): 2477–2483. doi:dc10-1079 [pii]. 10.2337/dc10-1079. [PubMed: 20693348]
28. Thielecke F, Boschmann M. The potential role of green tea catechins in the prevention of the metabolic syndrome - a review. Phytochemistry. 2009; 70(1):11–24. doi:S0031-9422(08)00588-8 [pii]. 10.1016/j.phytochem.2008.11.011. [PubMed: 19147161]
29. Brewer HB Jr . Clinical review: The evolving role of HDL in the treatment of high-risk patients with cardiovascular disease. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011; 96(5):1246–1257. doi:jc.2010-0163 [pii]. 10.1210/jc.2010-0163. [PubMed: 21389140]
30. Zheng XX, Xu YL, Li SH, Liu XX, Hui R, Huang XH. Green tea intake lowers fasting serum total and LDL cholesterol in adults: a meta-analysis of 14 randomized controlled trials. Am J Clin Nutr. 2011; 94(2):601–610. doi:ajcn.110.010926 [pii]. 10.3945/ajcn.110.010926. [PubMed: 21715508]
31. Ukil A, Maity S, Das PK. Protection from experimental colitis by theaflavin-3,3′-digallate correlates with inhibition of IKK and NF-kappaB activation. Br J Pharmacol. 2006; 149(1):121–131. doi:0706847 [pii]. 10.1038/sj.bjp.0706847. [PubMed: 16880762]
32. Liang YC, Tsai DC, Lin-Shiau SY, Chen CF, Ho CT, Lin JK. Inhibition of 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate-induced inflammatory skin edema and ornithine decarboxylase activity by theaflavin-3,3′-digallate in mouse. Nutr Cancer. 2002; 42(2):217–223.10.1207/S15327914NC422_11 [PubMed: 12416263]
33. de Couto G, Ouzounian M, Liu PP. Early detection of myocardial dysfunction and heart failure. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2010; 7(6):334–344. doi:nrcardio.2010.51 [pii]. 10.1038/nrcardio.2010.51. [PubMed: 20458341]
34. Goris AH, Westerterp-Plantenga MS, Westerterp KR. Undereating and underrecording of habitual food intake in obese men: selective underreporting of fat intake. Am J Clin Nutr. 2000; 71 (1): 130–134. [PubMed: 10617957]
35. Tooze JA, Subar AF, Thompson FE, Troiano R, Schatzkin A, Kipnis V. Psychosocial predictors of energy underreporting in a large doubly labeled water study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004; 79 (5):795–804. [PubMed: 15113717]

TABLE 1

Subject Demographics[1]

	Sample n[1]	Population N[2]	Population Percent[2]
Sex			
Female	3366	82322276	53.7
Male	3106	70919467	46.3
Race[3]			
NH-White	3521	115735040	75.5
NH-Black	1337	15214801	9.9
Mex-Am	1169	9980460	6.5
Other	445	12311442	8.0
Age, y			
18–24	1036	17482569	11.4
25–39	1342	37235955	24.3
40–55	1616	49823595	32.5
55–70	1330	31590625	20.6
>70	1148	17109000	11.2
Education Level			
High School or less	1640	23861301	15.6
High School Grad/GED	1725	41758807	27.3
Some College or AA degree	1858	47755846	31.2
College Graduate or above	1244	39807061	26.0
Adjusted Income[4]			
PIR <130%	1955	32949458	21.5
130<= PIR <= 350%	2429	54614352	35.6
PIR > 350%	2088	65677933	42.9
Weight Status[5]			
Lean	2236	53730627	35.1
Overweight	2136	50460748	32.9
Obese	2100	49050369	32.0
Smoking Status			
Never smoker	3257	75498561	50.1
Current Smoker	1285	35582320	23.6
Ever Smoker (>100 cigarettes)	1620	39567641	26.3
Survey Cycle			
2003–2004	3419	78691139	51.4
2005–2006	3053	74550605	48.6
Hot tea consumption			

	Sample n[1]	Population N[2]	Population Percent[2]
Non-consumer	2921	66732051	43.8
Infrequent (1c/week or less)	2258	55891605	36.7
Weekly, but not daily (2–6c/week)	645	15583670	10.2
Daily	342	7230135	4.7
Multiple cups/day	261	6884454	4.5
Iced tea consumption			
Non-consumer	2166	46239277	30.4
Infrequent (1c/week or less)	2336	56551181	37.2
Weekly, but not daily (2–6c/week)	1082	27884952	18.4
Daily	294	6962165	4.6
Multiple cups/day	532	14236463	9.4

[1]Sample n based on cell counts.

[2]Population N and percentages based on NHANES survey weights and represents that population of non-institutionalized US adult residents

[3]Race categories: NH-White, Non-Hispanic white; NH-Black, Non-Hispanic black, Mex-Amer, Mexican American; Other.

[4]Adjusted income level based on poverty: income ratio adjusted for household size.

[5]Weight status based on 2000 CDC guidelines: Lean, BMI<25, Overweight, BMI 25–30; Obese BMI >30

Table 2

Anthropometric Characteristics of Tea Consumers[1]

	Hot Tea						Iced Tea					
	Men			Women			Men			Women		
	LS Mean	SE	P	LS Mean	SE	P	LS Mean	SE	P	LS Mean	SE	P
Body Mass Index (kg/m^2)												
Non-consumer	27.9 ±	0.3	ref	28.5 ±	0.4	ref	26.8 ±	0.5	ref	27.1 ±	0.5	ref
Infrequent consumer (1c/week or less)	27.3 ±	0.4	0.08	28.1 ±	0.4	0.35	26.8 ±	0.5	0.99	27.2 ±	0.5	0.84
Weekly, but not daily (2–6c/week)	26.6 ±	0.5	0.02	27.0 ±	0.4	0.01	27.8 ±	0.5	0.04	29.1 ±	0.9	0.003
Daily	27.4 ±	0.5	0.34	27.2 ±	0.8	0.09	27.0 ±	0.8	0.8	29.4 ±	1.0	0.02
Multiple cups/day	25.4 ±	0.6	0.0001	26.2 ±	0.6	0.005	28.3 ±	0.9	0.08	29.5 ±	0.8	0.004
Waist circumference (cm)												
Non-consumer	99.8 ±	0.8	ref	94.4 ±	0.8	ref	95.5 ±	1.0	ref	91.0 ±	0.9	ref
Infrequent consumer (1c/week or less)	97.4 ±	0.9	0.003	93.8 ±	0.9	0.55	95.9 ±	1.2	0.73	91.9 ±	1.3	0.41
Weekly, but not daily (2–6c/week)	95.2 ±	1.2	0.0007	91.7 ±	0.8	0.03	98.8 ±	1.3	0.004	95.4 ±	1.8	0.01
Daily	96.5 ±	1.5	0.04	91.0 ±	1.7	0.08	95.8 ±	2.3	0.91	95.7 ±	1.5	0.01
Multiple cups/day	93.0 ±	1.6	0.0004	89.2 ±	1.6	0.004	100.4 ±	2.5	0.03	98.3 ±	1.9	0.0002
Tricep skinfold (mm)												
Non-consumer	15.5 ±	0.5	ref	22.6 ±	0.7	ref	14.1 ±	0.5	ref	21.5 ±	0.7	ref
Infrequent consumer (1c/week or less)	15.0 ±	0.6	0.15	22.6 ±	0.6	0.97	13.0 ±	0.5	0.02	22.4 ±	0.7	0.1
Weekly, but not daily (2–6c/week)	14.4 ±	0.8	0. 15	21.9 ±	1	0.46	15.0 ±	0.8	0.05	23.9 ±	0.8	0.02
Daily	15.4 ±	0.9	0.96	21.9 ±	1.1	0.54	13.1 ±	0.7	0.12	23.5 ±	1	0.08
Multiple cups/day	13.6 ±	1.1	0.008	20.2 ±	1.4	0.06	14.4 ±	0.9	0.71	22.5 ±	0.9	0.25
Subscapular skinfold (mm)												
Non-consumer	18.2 ±	0.5	ref	20.3 ±	0.7	ref	17.5 ±	0.7	ref	19.6 ±	0.6	ref
Infrequent consumer (1c/week or less)	18.6 ±	0.5	0.43	20.7 ±	0.6	0.64	18.7 ±	0.5	0.18	20.3 ±	0.6	0.07
Weekly, but not daily (2–6c/week)	17.3 ±	0.8	0.35	19.6 ±	1.4	0.55	18.8 ±	0.5	0.06	20.2 ±	1.0	0.49
Daily	20.0 ±	1.5	0.19	20.2 ±	1.8	0.94	18.5 ±	1.0	0.49	23.0 ±	1.3	0.02
Multiple cups/day	16.9 ±	1.2	0.37	18.7 ±	1.4	0.12	20.3 ±	1.1	0.05	22.2 ±	1.1	0.05

[1]Results presented as least-squared means adjusted for age, race, education, smoking status, household poverty: income ratio, physical activity, herbal tea consumption, alcohol consumption, total energy intake, total sugar intake.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 3

Tea consumption and biomarkers for MetS in U.S. Adults

	Hot Tea						Iced Tea					
	Men			Women			Men			Women		
Glucose, mmol/L[1]	**LS Mean**	**SE**	**P**	**LS Mean**	**SE**	**P**	**LS Mean**	**SE**	**P**	**LS Mean**	**SE**	**P**
Non-consumer	6.9 ±	0.2	ref	6.6 ±	0.2	ref	7.0 ±	0.16	ref	6.5 ±	0.2	ref
Infrequent (1c/week or less)	7.1 ±	0.2	0.24	6.5 ±	0.2	0.06	7.0 ±	0.18	0.76	6.6 ±	0.2	0.02
Weekly, but not daily (2–6c/week)	6.9 ±	0.2	0.76	6.6 ±	0.2	0.97	6.9 ±	0.17	0.6	6.6 ±	0.2	0.03
Daily	7.0 ±	0.2	0.73	6.5 ±	0.2	0.32	6.8 ±	0.3	0.63	6.5 ±	0.2	0.74
Multiple cups/day	6.6 ±	0.2	0.14	6.4 ±	0.2	0.01	7.0 ±	0.22	0.86	6.4 ±	0.2	0.9
Insulin, pmol/L [1]												
Non-consumer	87.9 ±	7.4	ref	86.6 ±	6.9	ref	81.3 ±	6.04	ref	74.6 ±	5.31	ref
Infrequent (1c/week or less)	75.2 ±	8.0	0.003	82.6 ±	5.0	0.5	68.4 ±	8.94	0.09	82.4 ±	5.2	0.05
Weekly, but not daily (2–6c/week)	62.6 ±	8.8	0.01	83.1 ±	6.1	0.6	84.8 ±	11.05	0.71	98.2 ±	7.42	0.001
Daily	84.8 ±	12.7	0.77	74.6 ±	6.2	0.21	64.1 ±	7.59	0.03	91.1 ±	8.34	0.06
Multiple cups/day	62.5 ±	13.6	0.09	74.4 ±	7.1	0.05	69.8 ±	8.01	0.06	95.7 ±	8.2	0.002
C-Reactive Protein, mg/L [3]												
Non-consumer	3.9 ±	0.2	ref	5.7 ±	0.6	ref	2.7 ±	0.4	ref	5.3 ±	0.4	ref
Infrequent (1c/week or less)	3.0 ±	0.5	0.02	4.4 ±	0.6	0.03	2.9 ±	0.5	0.44	4.2 ±	0.3	0.05
Weekly, but not daily (2–6c/week)	2.9 ±	0.4	0.01	3.8 ±	0.7	0.01	3.3 ±	0.5	0.03	5.3 ±	0.6	0.95
Daily	2.5 ±	0.5	0.01	3.8 ±	0.7	0.02	6.4 ±	2.6	0.21	4.1 ±	0.5	0.06
Multiple cups/day	2.3 ±	0.4	<.0001	3.2 ±	0.4	0.002	3.3 ±	0.8	0.27	4.5 ±	0.4	0.23
Total Cholesterol, mmol/L [3]												
Non-consumer	4.9 ±	0.06	ref	5.2 ±	0.1	ref	4.9 ±	0.1	ref	5.1 ±	0.1	ref
Infrequent (1c/week or less)	4.8 ±	0.06	0.28	5.1 ±	0.1	0.40	4.9 ±	0.1	0.91	5.1 ±	0.1	0.77
Weekly, but not daily (2–6c/week)	4.9 ±	0.10	0.72	5.0 ±	0.1	0.09	4.9 ±	0.1	0.93	5.1 ±	0.1	0.99
Daily	5.1 ±	0.14	0.3	5.1 ±	0.1	0.79	5.1 ±	0.2	0.28	5.3 ±	0.1	0.07
Multiple cups/day	4.8 ±	0.10	0.41	5.2 ±	0.1	0.52	5.0 ±	0.1	0.36	5.1 ±	0.1	0.54
HDL -Cholesterol, mmol/L [3]												

NIH-PA Author Manuscript
NIH-PA Author Manuscript

	Hot Tea						Iced Tea					
	Men			Women			Men			Women		
Glucose, mmol/L[1]	**LS Mean**	**SE**	**P**	**LS Mean**	**SE**	**P**	**LS Mean**	**SE**	**P**	**LS Mean**	**SE**	**P**
Non-consumer	1.3 ±	0.02	ref	1.5 ±	0.02	ref	1.3 ±	0.03	ref	1.6 ±	0.03	ref
Infrequent (1c/week or less)	1.3 ±	0.02	0.88	1.5 ±	0.02	0.81	1.3 ±	0.03	0.27	1.6 ±	0.03	0.08
Weekly, but not daily (2–6c/week)	1.3 ±	0.03	0.38	1.6 ±	0.03	0.34	1.2 ±	0.03	0.01	1.5 ±	0.02	<.0001
Daily	1.2 ±	0.04	0.22	1.5 ±	0.04	0.91	1.2 ±	0.05	0.26	1.5 ±	0.04	0.04
Multiple cups/day	1.4 ±	0.06	0.02	1.7 ±	0.06	0.07	1.2 ±	0.05	0.22	1.4 ±	0.03	0.0001
LDL Cholesterol, mmol/L												
Non-consumer	3.0 ±	0.1	ref	2.8 ±	0.1	ref	3.0 ±	0.1	ref	2.7 ±	0.1	ref
Infrequent (1c/week or less)	2.9 ±	0.1	0.07	2.8 ±	0.1	0.23	3.0 ±	0.1	0.98	2.8 ±	0.1	0.36
Weekly, but not daily (2–6c/week)	3.0 ±	0.1	0.75	2.7 ±	0.1	0.59	2.8 ±	0.1	0.10	2.7 ±	0.1	0.94
Daily	2.7 ±	0.1	0.05	3.0 ±	0.1	0.06	3.2 ±	0.2	0.13	3.2 ±	0.2	0.04
Multiple cups/day	3.3 ±	0.2	0.24	2.7 ±	0.1	0.66	3.1 ±	0.1	0.49	2.8 ±	0.1	0.31
Triglycerides, mmol/L												
Non-consumer	1.8 ±	0.2	ref	1.5 ±	0.1	ref	1.7 ±	0.2	ref	1.4 ±	0.1	ref
Infrequent (1c/week or less)	1.7 ±	0.1	0.59	1.6 ±	0.1	0.16	1.7 ±	0.1	0.75	1.5 ±	0.1	0.19
Weekly, but not daily (2–6c/week)	1.6 ±	0.2	0.23	1.6 ±	0.1	0.49	1.7 ±	0.2	0.81	1.6 ±	0.1	0.02
Daily	1.6 ±	0.2	0.25	1.6 ±	0.1	0.40	1.5 ±	0.3	0.23	1.8 ±	0.2	0.04
Multiple cups/day	1.2 ±	0.2	0.01	1.5 ±	0.1	0.61	1.6 ±	0.2	0.52	1.9 ±	0.1	0.01

[1] Results presented as least-squared means adjusted for age, race, education, smoking status, household poverty: income ratio, physical activity, herbal tea consumption, alcohol consumption, total energy intake, total sugar intake, and self-reported diabetic status.

[2] Results presented as least-squared means adjusted for age, race, education, smoking status, household poverty: income ratio, physical activity, herbal tea consumption, alcohol consumption, total energy intake, total sugar intake.

[3] Total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, and C-reactive protein were collected as non-fasting samples by the NHANES.