

NIH Public Access **Author Manuscript**

Nat Rev Drug Discov. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 December 10.

Published in final edited form as:

Nat Rev Drug Discov. ; 10(12): 930–944. doi:10.1038/nrd3453.

Heat shock transcription factor 1 as a therapeutic target in neurodegenerative diseases

Daniel W. Neef* , **Alex M. Jaeger*** , and **Dennis J. Thiele***

Department of Pharmacology and Cancer Biology, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina 27710, USA

Abstract

Neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, Huntington's disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and prion-based neurodegeneration are associated with the accumulation of misfolded proteins, resulting in neuronal dysfunction and cell death. However, current treatments for these diseases predominantly address disease symptoms, rather than the underlying protein misfolding and cell death, and are not able to halt or reverse the degenerative process. Studies in cell culture, fruitfly, worm and mouse models of protein misfolding-based neurodegenerative diseases indicate that enhancing the protein-folding capacity of cells, via elevated expression of chaperone proteins, has therapeutic potential. Here, we review advances in strategies to harness the power of the natural cellular protein-folding machinery through pharmacological activation of heat shock transcription factor 1 — the master activator of chaperone protein gene expression — to treat neurodegenerative diseases.

> Many neurodegenerative diseases are associated with the misfolding of specific — although structurally unrelated — proteins (TABLE 1) that share a common tendency to misfold and form aggregates, which may be enhanced by mutations. Interestingly, following their misfolding, these functionally unrelated proteins frequently adopt a highly stable β-sheet structure that is instrumental in their aggregation and toxicity^{1,2}. Once the β-sheet structures are formed, misfolded proteins multimerize into intermediate-sized soluble oligomers, which are thought to promote oxidative stress, disrupt calcium homeo stasis, titrate chaperone proteins away from other essential cellular functions and engage in other processes that are disruptive to cellular health, thus generating considerable cellular toxicity in neurodegenerative diseases³. Misfolded protein oligomers proceed to aggregate, eventually forming insoluble, high-molecular-weight amyloid fibrils that are incorporated into inclusions⁴ (FIG. 1). These inclusions were historically thought to be the major source of cytotoxicity in neurodegenerative diseases. Although aggregates and inclusions are still considered to be causative in diseases such as Alzheimer's disease, recent evidence suggests that in other neurodegenerative diseases — such as Huntington's disease — larger aggregates may serve a cytoprotective function⁵. As such, the role and context of misfolded oligomers and aggregates will be an important consideration in the development of therapeutic interventions (FIG. 1).

> Coiled-coil domains, which are characterized by multiple intertwined α-helices that interact via specific hydrophobic and ionic associations, have also been proposed to accelerate the aggregation of polyglutamine expansion (polyQ) proteins such as the huntingtin protein in

^{© 2011} Macmillan Publishers Limited. All rights reserved

^{*}Correspondence to D.J.T. dennis.thiele@duke.edu.

Competing interests statement

The authors declare competing financial interests: see Web version for details.

Huntington's disease or ataxin 3 in Machado–Joseph disease⁶. Interestingly, these domains are not required for the aggregation of proteins such as amyloid-β or α-synuclein associated with Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease, respectively — which appear to require only the formation of β -sheets to promote aggregation⁶. Because coiled-coil interactions are essential for many physiologically important protein–protein interactions, it is hypothesized that the propensity for coiled-coil interactions in polyQ proteins may also contribute to their toxicity in polyQ-based diseases, as a result of these proteins engaging in novel and inappropriate protein–protein interactions⁶.

The current standard treatments for neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's disease, Huntington's disease and Parkinson's disease are primarily aimed at providing relief from symptoms, and do not address the underlying degenerative processes (TABLE 1). For example, tremors associated with Parkinson's disease can be suppressed by administration of L-DOPA, the synthetic precursor of dopamine, which augments signalling in compromised dopaminergic pathways⁷. However, chronic treatment with L-DOPA results in the development of adverse effects such as dyskinesia, and treatment becomes less effective with time because neurons continue to degenerate^{7,8}. Symptomatic treatment of Alzheimer's disease with acetylcholinesterase inhibitors or memantine, a selective NMDA (N-methyl-Daspartate) receptor antagonist, has also shown some efficacy^{9,10}. These therapies augment neurotransmission in cholinergic neurons, which represent a large population of neurons that are affected in Alzheimer's disease, but fail to prevent neuronal degeneration $9,10$. Treatments used in other neurodegenerative diseases include anti-psychotics and neuroleptics in Huntington's disease, and riluzole in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), but there are currently no efficacious treatments for prion-based diseases¹¹⁻¹³.

There is, therefore, an urgent need for novel therapeutic strategies that could halt or reverse the underlying disease process in neurodegenerative disorders, which could have a profound impact on their long-term clinical management. Indeed, various disease-modifying approaches specific to particular neurodegenerative diseases are currently being investigated. For example, for Alzheimer's disease, several agents that inhibit enzymes involved in the production of amyloid- β — a key constituent of the aggregated plaques that are a hallmark of the disease — are currently in clinical trials. An attractive alternative and potentially more widely applicable — therapeutic strategy is to ameliorate the protein misfolding that is a shared underlying characteristic of many neurodegenerative diseases. Studies in various models of neurodegenerative diseases indicate that enhancing cellular protein-folding capacity by elevating the expression of chaperone proteins could represent such a strategy. In this article, we first provide an overview of the role of chaperone proteins in protein homeostasis. We then describe recent advances indicating the potential of pharmacologically activating heat shock transcription factor 1 (HSF1) — the master activator of chaperone protein gene expression — to treat neurodegenerative diseases, and discuss the challenges in developing HSF1 activators as drugs.

Protein homeostasis via chaperone proteins

In normal cells, protein homeostasis is maintained by regulating the expression, folding, modification, translocation and, ultimately, degradation of proteins. To achieve this, cells use sophisticated mechanisms to ensure the proper execution of these processes in response to cellular stress. A crucial aspect of cellular protein homeostasis is the utilization of chaperone proteins, which stabilize protein structures, assist in correct folding and unfolding of proteins, and facilitate the assembly of multimeric protein complexes¹⁴. Chaperone proteins, including αB-crystallin, heat shock protein 27 (HSP27), HSP40, HSP70 and HSP90, as well as class I and class II chaperonins, function individually or as part of larger heterocomplexes to prevent protein misfolding and protein aggregation 14 .

Many neurodegenerative diseases are characterized by the accumulation of misfolded and aggregation-prone proteins, and studies indicate that chaperone proteins have an important role in cellular function and survival in these diseases. This has perhaps been most elegantly demonstrated in fruitfly and worm models of several neurodegenerative diseases, including Huntington's disease, ataxia, ALS and Alzheimer's disease¹⁵⁻²⁵. Interestingly, chaperone proteins are also powerful antiapoptotic factors that can stabilize pro-survival proteins such as the kinase AKT and inhibit the function of proapoptotic proteins such as JUN-activated N-terminal kinase, the apoptosis regulator BAX, apoptosis-inducing factor and caspases²⁶. As such, chaperone proteins can promote cell survival in neurodegenerative diseases by stabilizing and refolding misfolded proteins and by directly inhibiting apoptosis. Moreover, the inability to mount an adequate response to misfolded proteins may be a feature of ageing cells and neuronal cells in general²⁷⁻³³, as reports have shown that although proteins such as

huntingtin are misfolded in all cell types, toxicity occurs almost exclusively in neurons¹³. This susceptibility to proteotoxic stress stems predominantly from an inability of neuronal cells to robustly respond to stress in general, as constitutive overexpression of chaperone proteins can protect neurons from proteotoxicity^{15,16,34-38}.

Because chaperone proteins act both individually and as macromolecular heterocomplexes, elevated expression — via co-transfection experiments — of several chaperone proteins has been shown to have greater therapeutic effects in cell culture models of protein misfolding than overexpression of individual chaperone proteins^{17,34,36}. The genetic overexpression of several chaperone proteins for disease treatment is impractical, but the identification of pharmacological activators that promote the coordinated and chronic enhancement of chaperone protein levels could be a powerful strategy for therapeutic intervention in neurodegenerative diseases. Furthermore, overexpression of chaperone proteins may be toxic in fruitfly cells³⁹, and has been linked to oncogenic transformation⁴⁰. As such, the greatest benefit of chaperone protein-based therapy would probably be achieved through modest but chronic elevation of chaperone protein levels.

HSF1: master regulator of chaperone expression

The cellular response to cytoplasmic proteotoxic stimuli — such as elevated temperature, oxidative stress, heavy metals, bacterial or viral infection, and so on — is primarily controlled in human cells via transcriptional activation by HSF1. HSF1 is a member of the vertebrate family of HSF proteins, which consists of four members and is conserved in its overall architecture from yeast to mammalian cells⁴¹. Microarray analyses in yeast, fruitfly and mammalian cells have shown that although HSF1 promotes the expression of genes encoding chaperone proteins in response to cellular stress, it also regulates the expression of genes involved in other aspects of cell survival, including protein degradation, ion transport, signal transduction, energy generation, carbohydrate metabolism, vesicular transport and cytoskeleton formation⁴²⁻⁴⁴.

Although HSF1 is the isoform that has been predominantly linked to chaperone proteindependent amelioration of neurodegenerative diseases, other members of the HSF family may also prove to be useful therapeutic targets. Specifically, HSF2 has recently been associated with the expression of chaperone protein-encoding genes at febrile temperatures, in part via heteromultimerization with HSF1 (REFS 45,46). Furthermore, it has been proposed that HSF2, in concert with HSF1, promotes the expression of non-classical heat shock genes such as αB -crystallin⁴⁷. Consistent with the notion that HSF2 also contributes to the mammalian heat shock response, deletion of HSF2 in a mouse model of polyQ disease reduced lifespan and accelerated the accumulation of ubiquitylated misfolded proteins⁴⁷.

Stress-dependent regulation of HSF1 is a multistep process that is controlled by intricate regulatory mechanisms⁴¹ (FIG. 2). Under basal conditions HSF1 exists largely as an inactive monomer in the cytoplasm, repressed in part through the activity of the chaperone proteins HSP90, HSP70, HSP40 and other co-chaperones⁴⁸⁻⁵⁴. HSP70 and HSP40 bind to the transcriptional activation domain of HSF1 and are thought to repress HSF1-dependent transactivation. HSP90, in conjunction with the co-chaperone p23 (also known as PTGES3), protein phosphatase 5 and cyclophilins, is thought to associate with the regulatory domain of HSF1 (FIG. 3) and repress both the multi merization of HSF1 and its ability to activate target gene expression. As HSP40 and HSP70 are also required for the correct assembly of HSP90 on some client proteins⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷, it is conceivable that the activity of these chaperones may impinge on HSF1 regulation via several indirect mechanisms. Under basal conditions HSF1 is also phosphorylated on Ser303 and Ser307 residues within its central regulatory domain, which contributes to its repression (FIG. 3). However, the specific mechanisms by which these phosphorylation events mediate HSF1 repression are presently not clear⁵⁸⁻⁶⁵.

In response to proteotoxic stress HSF1 is thought to dissociate from HSP90 and other cochaperones; this allows it to homotrimerize, accumulate in the nucleus and bind to *cis*-acting heat shock elements (consisting of inverted NGAAN repeats) in the promoters of stressresponsive genes, including those encoding chaperone proteins⁶⁶⁻⁶⁸. HSF1 trimerization is dependent on three amino-terminal leucine zipper domains directly adjacent to the DNA binding domain that form an extended coiled-coil domain^{69,70}, and is stabilized via intermolecular disulphide bonding between HSF1 monomers⁷¹. Indeed, treatment of cells with reducing agents significantly reduces HSF1 homotrimerization and stress-dependent gene activation in response to most — but not all —stimuli^{54,72,73}. A fourth leucine zipper domain, located in the carboxy-terminal region of HSF1, represses HSF1 activity⁷⁴. This may occur via an intramolecular interaction with the N-terminal coiled-coil domain, thereby preventing interaction with other HSF1 monomers, although there is no direct evidence for this74. Although HSP90 and other co-chaperones are thought to dissociate from HSF1 in response to cellular stress, evidence suggests that HSP70 and HSP40 remain associated with HSF1 even while it is bound to promoter DNA⁴⁸.

In parallel with DNA binding, robust stress-responsive HSF1 phosphorylation is observed. Although the specific requirement for these phosphorylation events in HSF1-dependent gene activation is currently unclear, 12 phosphorylated serine residues have been identified; surprisingly, however, the mutation of individual serine residues does not abrogate HSF1 dependent transactivation75. Although calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II and Polo-like kinase 1 have been shown to phosphorylate Ser230 and Ser419, respectively^{76,77}, the protein kinases that catalyse the remaining phosphorylation events have not yet been identified. Concomitant with DNA binding, HSF1 is also transiently sumoylated on Lys298 (FIG. 3): this modification represses HSF1-dependent transactivation but is attenuated following prolonged exposure to thermal stress⁶¹. It has been clearly established that HSF1 sumoylation is dependent on the phosphorylation of Ser303 and contributes to HSF1 repression. However, the precise mechanism by which Lys298 sumoylation mediates HSF1 repression remains to be determined.

Even with chronic proteotoxic heat stress, HSF1-dependent transactivation of target genes is a transient response that is regulated at multiple levels⁶². First, HSF1 is negatively regulated via a feedback loop, as the newly synthesized chaperone proteins HSP70 and HSP40 bind to and inhibit the HSF1 transactivation domain, and HSP90 re-associates with the regulatory domain52,53. Subsequently, the residence time of HSF1 on promoter heat shock element sites is reduced by the acetylation of several lysine residues, in particular Lys80, located within the DNA binding domain⁷⁸ (FIG. 3). Little is known about the fate of HSF1 after its dissociation from DNA. For example, it remains unclear whether HSF1

hyperphosphorylation is reversed by protein phosphatases after the completion of the HSF1 activation cycle. Similarly, the fate of the disulphide-linked HSF1 monomers is not known. Are the disulphide bonds reduced after completion of the HSF1 activation cycle, allowing the monomers to return to an inactive state in the cytoplasm, or is the HSF1 homotrimer degraded following its dissociation from DNA? Although recent evidence has suggested that HSF1 may be a substrate for chaperone-mediated autophagy, relatively little is known about HSF1 degradation⁷⁹.

The multiplicity of mechanisms that influence the activation and repression of HSF1 indicates that the expression of HSF1 target genes is a highly regulated event. Therefore, obtaining a thorough understanding of the mechanisms by which HSF1 is regulated will broaden our knowledge of stress responses and expand the spectrum of opportunities for the identification of targets for therapeutic intervention in neurodegenerative diseases associated with protein misfolding.

Pharmacological activators of HSF1

Pharmacological activation of HSF1 and transcriptional activation of genes encoding chaperone proteins have been achieved through molecules that either cause proteotoxic stress or inhibit the chaperone proteins that limit HSF1 activity. Molecules that activate HSF1 by promoting protein misfolding or proteotoxic stress, such as the proteasome inhibitor MG132 or the proline analogue azetidine-2-carboxylic acid, are unlikely to be efficacious in the chronic treatment of neurodegenerative diseases as these molecules ultimately promote cellular dysfunction and lead to cell death. Therefore, in this Review we focus solely on pharmacological agents that promote HSF1 activity and could provide potential opportunities for the development of drugs for neurodegenerative diseases (TABLE 2).

HSP90 inhibitors

The chaperone protein HSP90 is one of the most abundant proteins in the cell, and is required for the folding and maintenance of many cellular proteins — referred to as client proteins — including steroid hormone receptors, protein kinases and transcription factors⁸⁰. Under normal growth conditions, HSP90 is also thought to bind to the regulatory domain of HSF1 and repress both HSF1 multimerization and transactivation^{49,50,52,54}. Accordingly, pharmacological inhibition of HSP90 promotes the activation of HSF1 and leads to increased expression of chaperone proteins⁵⁴. Well-characterized HSP90 inhibitors such as the benzoquinone ansamycin antibiotic geldanamycin, as well as its derivatives 17 allylamino-17-demethoxygeldanamycin (17-AAG) and 17-dimethylaminoethyl-amino-17 demethoxygeldanamycin (17-DMAG), bind to the N-terminal ATP-binding pocket of HSP90, thereby inhibiting its ATPase activity. This promotes the degradation of its array of client proteins. As HSP90 is required for the stability and function of many essential cellular proteins, high levels of HSP90 inhibitors are associated with substantial cytotoxicity. However, although treatment with low levels of HSP90 inhibitors is tolerable for nontumorigenic cells, tumorigenic cells are highly sensitive to HSP90 inhibitors, possibly owing to an increased demand for the pro-proliferative and pro-survival factors that are HSP90 client proteins.

Geldanamycin (TABLE 2) and its derivatives have proven to be efficacious in promoting HSF1-dependent chaperone protein expression, thereby ameliorating protein aggregation and cytotoxicity in experimental models of protein-misfolding diseases, including Alzheimer's disease⁸⁰⁻⁸⁴, Parkinson's disease^{18,85,86} and Huntington's disease⁸⁷⁻⁹⁰. One of the most pronounced examples of the efficacy of geldanamycin in the treatment of a proteinmisfolding disease was observed by Bonini and colleagues¹⁸ in a fruitfly model expressing

mutant α-synuclein proteins in dopaminergic neurons. Exposure to as little as 3 μg per ml of geldanamycin protected dopaminergic neurons from α-synuclein-induced cell death^{18,19}. Interestingly, elevations in HSP70 expression were not detected with geldanamycin concentrations that rescued neuronal cell viability, suggesting that very small increases in HSP70 expression may be sufficient to provide protection from α-synuclein-induced cytotoxicity.

Several coumarin antibiotics, most notably novobiocin, are thought to bind to the C-terminal region of HSP90, resulting in the inhibition of HSP90 activity⁹¹. Although most molecules in this class bind to HSP90 with weak affinity, the novobiocin analogue A4 had neuroprotective effects at concentrations 70-fold lower than novobiocin⁹². Administration of the A4 analogue protected cultured neuronal cells from amyloid-β-induced cytotoxicity at concentrations as low as 5 nM^{93} . Interestingly, at these concentrations HSP70 levels only increased by approximately 50%, further supporting the notion that relatively small increases in chaperone protein levels can have potent therapeutic effects on protein aggregation and cell viability.

Several additional compounds, including (−)-epigallo-catechin-3-gallate, gedunin, AEG3482 and ITZ-1, also bind to the C-terminal ATP-binding pocket of HSP90, inhibit HSP90 activity94,95 and promote the activation of HSF1-dependent chaperone protein expression. Interestingly, AEG3482 and ITZ-1 promoted the activation of HSF1 without leading to significant degradation of other HSP90 client proteins. It remains to be determined whether this deviation from the activity of N- and C-terminal HSP90 inhibitors stems from experimental variability (that is, cell type) or whether these molecules inhibit HSP90 without affecting the stability of HSP90 client proteins.

Given the potentially hundreds of HSP90 client proteins involved in cellular growth, proliferation and signalling, it is not surprising that many HSP90 inhibitors have strong toxic side effects such as defective T cell activation⁹⁶. Consequently, the feasibility of using HSP90 inhibitors for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases remains unclear. Thirteen HSP90 inhibitors are currently in clinical trials, primarily as cancer therapeutics 80 .

Celastrol

Celastrol is a quinone methide triterpene isolated from Tripterygium wilfordii (thunder god vine) root extracts (TABLE 2). It has well-established antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties, and has been shown to be a potent activator of HSF1 and chaperone protein expression⁹⁷. Although the mechanism by which celastrol promotes HSF1 activation is unclear, various hypotheses have been proposed. Recent studies suggest that celastrol binds to the C-terminal domain of HSP90 and, similarly to novobiocin and gedunin, inhibits the chaperone activity of HSP90, promotes client protein degradation and promotes the activation of HSF1 (REF. 98). More specifically, celastrol is thought to inhibit the interaction between HSP90 and its co-chaperone CDC37 (REF. 99). In addition to its ability to inhibit HSP90, celastrol has been shown to inhibit the proteasome, which is required for the degradation of damaged and misfolded proteins¹⁰⁰.

Celastrol-dependent proteasome inhibition could result in the accumulation of misfolded proteins, which could lead to the induction of HSF1 activity. Celastrol has also been shown to covalently react with nucleophilic thiol groups of cysteine residues⁷³. As such, it is possible that celastrol-mediated thiol oxidation alone could result in the damage and misfolding of various cellular proteins or the oxidation of cysteine residues in HSF1 (REF. 71). Consistent with this hypothesis, co-administration of celastrol with dithiothreitol blocked celastrol-dependent activation of HSF1 as well as chaperone protein expression in both HeLa and yeast cells⁷³. Although the ability of celastrol to promote chaperone protein

expression has proven to be efficacious in reducing protein aggregation and cytotoxicity in models of ALS^{101} , Alzheimer's disease¹⁰², Huntington's disease^{103,104} and Parkinson's disease^{105,106}, the therapeutic potential of celastrol will require further evaluation owing to its inherent cytotoxicity¹⁰⁷⁻¹⁰⁹. Because celastrol-mediated cytotoxicity is likely to be associated with its propensity to promote thiol oxidation, co-administration of celastrol with antioxidants might be valuable in therapeutic applications.

Geranylgeranylacetone

Several anti-ulcer drugs — including geranylgeranylacetone (GGA) (TABLE 2), carbenoxolone, zinc ι -carnosine and rebamipide — have been identified as activators of chaperone protein expression¹¹⁰. However, so far only the acyclic isoprenoid GGA has been studied in detail. Treatment with GGA promotes the activation of HSF1 and the expression of chaperone proteins in mammalian tissues, leading to cytoprotection from various stresses¹¹¹. Pretreatment of neuronal precursor cells expressing a polyQ derivative of the androgen receptor — a model for spinal and bulbar muscular atrophy — with GGA promoted the expression of chaperone proteins, reduced protein aggregation and reduced polyQ protein-dependent cell death¹¹². Moreover, administration of GGA to mice expressing a mutant androgen receptor protein promoted chaperone protein expression, improved motor neuron function and expanded lifespan. GGA is thought to promote HSF1 activation by binding to the substrate binding domain of HSP70 (REF. 113). However, as other HSP70 inhibitors do not promote HSF1 activity¹¹⁴, it is possible that GGA might also promote HSF1 activity through an HSP70-independent mechanism. Interestingly, GGA was also shown to induce the expression of thioredoxin and cause apoptosis in human promyelocytic leukaemia (HL-60) cells, suggesting that it might activate HSF1 through a potential redox mechanism 115,116 . GGA is also a potent activator of the unfolded protein response in the endoplasmic reticulum¹¹⁷.

HSF1A

Studies from our laboratory⁷² have identified the benzyl pyrazole derivative HSF1A (TABLE 2) as an activator of HSF1-dependent chaperone protein expression in both mammalian and fruitfly cells as well as in adult fruitflies. Although the specific mechanisms by which HSF1A promotes HSF1 expression remain unclear, HSF1A interacts with the T complex protein 1 ring complex (TRIC; also known as CCT) — a cytosolic chaperonin complex — and other cellular proteins. Further studies showed that pretreatment with HSF1A alleviated both the aggregation and the cytotoxicity of polyQ proteins expressed in neuronal precursor cells as well in a fruitfly model of polyQ-dependent toxicity. HSF1A was unable to ameliorate polyQ-dependent toxicity in flies lacking a functional $H\!s\!f\!I$ allele, supporting the notion that HSF1A ameliorates cytotoxicity by stimulating the obligatory HSF1 target. Interestingly, similarly to examples noted above, HSF1A-mediated protection from polyQ-induced cytotoxicity was observed at concentrations that promoted only modest increases in HSP70 levels. Furthermore, unlike the HSF1 activation observed in response to celastrol or heat shock exposure, HSF1A-dependent expression of chaperone proteins was not diminished by dithiothreitol co-administration, suggesting that HSF1A may not mediate HSF1 activation through redox-sensitive mechanisms.

The possibility that HSF1A binds to TRIC directly and potentially modulates TRIC activity is of interest. TRIC has been shown to bind to the first 17 amino acids of human huntingtin (which stabilize downstream coiled-coil domains that are important for disease progression⁶) and prevent its aggregation^{118,119}. As such, HSF1A might ameliorate polyQinduced cytotoxicity via HSF1-dependent and -independent mechanisms. Alternatively, TRIC could be a modulator of HSF1 activity. It will be important to carry out further research to characterize the effects of HSF1A on TRIC activity, and to examine whether

HSF1A can also ameliorate cytotoxicity in models of Parkinson's disease and Alzheimer's disease, in which disease-causing proteins lack the coiled-coil structure of many polyQ proteins.

Hydroxylamine derivatives

Several hydroxylamine derivatives, including bimoclomol and its derivative arimoclomol (also known as BRX-220) (TABLE 2), have been identified as co-inducers of the heat shock response120,121. Although these compounds alone do not promote HSF1-dependent chaperone protein expression, their activity prolongs stress-induced activation of HSF1 (REF. 120). The specific mechanism by which the hydroxylamine derivatives amplify HSF1 activation remains unclear. Early reports suggested that bimoclomol directly bound to HSF1, thereby increasing the duration that HSF1 remains bound to the promoter heat shock $element¹²⁰$. Interestingly, this outcome is consistent with the phenotypes observed with reduced HSF1 acetylation⁷⁸. However, the effects of bimoclomol on HSF1 acetylation have not been tested.

Subsequent studies have also suggested that molecules in this class can modulate the fluidity of the plasma membrane, thereby stimulating HSF1 activation¹²². Despite their inability to induce HSF1 activity on their own, these molecules may be promising therapeutics for several neurodegenerative diseases. Experiments have shown that bimoclomol amplifies the expression of chaperone proteins and has cytoprotective effects in disease models of diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease and renal failure¹²³. The bimoclomol derivative arimoclomol is also being tested for efficacy in the treatment of ALS. Treatment of SOD1 G^{93A} mice — a model for familial ALS — with arimoclomol alleviated the disease symptoms and prolonged the lifespan of the mice even when it was administered after the onset of symptoms^{124,125}. A Phase II/III clinical trial with arimoclomol is currently underway in patients with superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1)-positive ALS^{126} [\(ClinicalTrials.gov](http://ClinicalTrials.gov) identifier: NCT00706147).

Riluzole

Riluzole is currently the only approved pharmacological agent for the treatment of ALS, but it only extends survival by 3-5 months. The mechanisms by which riluzole alleviates symptoms of ALS are currently unclear. Although riluzole is thought to antagonize glutamatergic signalling, some other anti-glutamate agents have been ineffective in the treatment of ALS^{127} . Recent evidence has suggested that riluzole also stimulates the expression of chaperone proteins by increasing the steady-state levels of HSF1 (REF. 79). This may occur by selectively inhibiting chaperone-mediated autophagy-based HSF1 degradation. It is important to note that relatively little is known about the mechanisms underlying HSF1 degradation. Nevertheless, these and other data further support the notion that increased expression of chaperone proteins might be beneficial in ALS, and warrant further investigations into HSF1 turnover mechanisms.

NSAIDS

The non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) sodium salicylate and indomethacin robustly induce the binding of HSF1 to DNA in the absence of cellular stress in mammalian, fruitfly and yeast cells, but they do not strongly promote the transcriptional activation of HSF1 target genes¹²⁸⁻¹³⁰. However, similarly to the hydroxylamine derivatives, NSAIDs synergize with exposure to temperatures that are suboptimal for heat shock to promote the expression of HSF1 target genes¹²⁹. Other NSAIDs — including sulindac, nabumetone and phenylbutazone — appear to be more robust activators of HSF1 and promote the expression of HSP70 even in the absence of cellular stress¹³¹. Although the specific mechanism by which these compounds activate HSF1 is unclear, experiments in cultured fruitfly S2 cells

have shown that salicylate treatment resulted in a pronounced reduction in cellular ATP levels¹³⁰. As the chaperone proteins that repress HSF1 activity are ATPases, reduced levels of cellular ATP might reduce the activity of these chaperones as well as their ability to repress HSF1 activity.

In addition, at high concentrations NSAIDs have been proposed to function as protein kinase inhibitors, and may promote the activation of HSF1 through inhibition of kinases that phosphorylate and repress HSF1 activity^{132,133}. Despite their inability to strongly induce HSP70 expression, recent studies have shown that treatment with either sodium salicylate or indomethacin can reduce polyQ protein aggregation and cytotoxicity in mammalian cell cultures¹³⁴. Because extremely high concentrations of NSAIDs are required to promote the binding of HSF1 to DNA, and given the pleiotropic nature of NSAIDs, it is difficult to ascertain the contribution of HSF1 to this phenomenon. The antiinflammatory compound cyclopentenone prostaglandin 2-cyclopenten-1-one is also a potent inducer of HSF1 \arctivity ^{135,136}.

Additional compounds

Additional HSF1 co-inducers that have been identified include chloro-oximine¹³⁷ and pyrimido(5,4-e)(1,2,4)triazine-5,7(1*H*,6*H*)-dione derivatives¹³⁸, as well as gedunin and sappanone A derivatives¹³⁹. Exposure of cultured mammalian cells to these molecules did not promote HSF1 activation, but it increased the heat shock response when the cells were exposed to subthreshold elevations in temperature. In addition, these compounds protected cells from cytotoxicity, further supporting the notion that maximal induction of HSF1 and chaperone protein expression may not be required for therapeutic efficacy. As gedunin was previously identified as an HSP90 inhibitor, it is possible that these derivatives also promote HSF1 activation via the inhibition of HSP90.

Amelioration of protein-folding cytotoxicity by HSF1-inducing compounds

A common feature of many HSF1-activating molecules discussed here is their ability to ameliorate the cytotoxicity of misfolded proteins at concentrations that result in only mild increases in the abundance of chaperone proteins. Does this suggest that only small increases in chaperone protein expression are required for the amelioration of proteotoxicity induced by protein misfolding, or is it possible that the expression of other HSF1 target genes, in addition to chaperone proteins, influences toxicity? Data show that following exposure to heat shock, genes encoding chaperone proteins — in particular HSP70 — are substantially upregulated in response to HSF1 activation⁴⁴. However, recent evidence shows that HSF1 also promotes the expression of the transcription factor NFATC2 (nuclear factor of activated T cells cytoplasmic 2), the scaffold protein PDZ domain-containing protein 3, transmembrane protease serine 3 and other genes that contribute to the amelioration of polyQ aggregation and polyQ-induced cyto toxicity¹⁴⁰. Additional expression studies using small-molecule activators at both low and high concentrations, combined with genetic analyses, are needed to identify the HSF1 target genes that are expressed in response to minimal levels of HSF1 activation and contribute to therapeutic efficacy, especially in neuronal cells.

Indirect mechanisms to promote HSF1 activation

HSF1 activity is regulated through various complex mechanisms, including posttranslational modifications, intramolecular coiled-coil interactions as well as interactions with macromolecular chaperone complexes and other proteins⁴¹. The pharmacological modulation of these regulatory mechanisms to promote HSF1 activation is a promising avenue for further exploration.

Data from mass spectrometry analyses indicate that HSF1 is phosphorylated on at least 12 serine residues⁷⁵ and that phosphorylation of Ser121, Ser303, Ser307, Ser320 and Ser363 is associated with repression of HSF1 activity^{60,63-65} (FIG. 3). However, only the substitution of Ser303 or Ser307 with alanine has been reported to result in an increase in HSP70 expression¹⁴¹, suggesting that these specific phosphorylation events could be targeted for pharmacological activation of HSF1. In support of this hypothesis, expression of an HSF1 mutant (with an S303G mutation) in HeLa cells resulted in significantly reduced polyQ protein aggregation compared with wild-type HSF1 (REF. 142).

Several protein kinases have been linked to the phosphorylation of HSF1 at Ser303 and Ser307, although the specific kinases that phosphorylate these residues remain unknown. Early in vitro experiments suggested that Ser303 was phosphorylated via glycogen synthase kinase 3 (GSK3) and that Ser307 was phosphorylated via extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1 or extracellular signal-regulated kinase 2 (REF. 59). However, recent data from our laboratory suggest that although GSK3 does repress HSF1 activity, it appears to do so independently of Ser303 phosphorylation¹⁴¹. Rather, these and other data suggest that Ser303 may be phosphorylated by a mitogen-activated protein kinase $62,63,141$. The identification of the kinase (or kinases) that phosphorylate Ser303 and Ser307 in vivo could be important for developing agents to target neurodegenerative diseases via HSF1 activation.

Although the precise mechanisms by which GSK3 represses HSF1 activity are not fully understood, GSK3 inhibition by either lithium or SB-216763 results in increased binding of HSF1 to DNA and modest activation of HSP70 expression in the absence of additional stress^{141,143-145}. Inhibition of GSK3 activity could therefore serve as a useful therapeutic approach in the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases. Indeed, treatment of cells with GSK3 inhibitors has been shown to be protective against polyQ-induced cell death⁵⁸. In addition, GSK3 inhibition promotes the activation of autophagy, which is known to be efficacious in the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases⁵⁸.

HSF1 sumoylation

Sumoylation of HSF1 at Lys298 occurs via the activity of the E1 small ubiquitin-related modifier (SUMO)-activating enzymes SAE1 and SAE2 as well as the E2 SUMOconjugating enzyme UBC9. Sumoylation represses HSF1-dependent target gene transactivation¹⁴⁶ (FIG. 3). In some instances protein sumoylation may occur solely via the activity of the E1 SUMO-activating enzymes and the E2 SUMO-conjugating enzyme, without requiring activity of the E3 SUMO-ligating enzyme¹⁴⁷. It is currently unknown whether HSF1 sumoylation requires an E3 enzyme, although recent data have shown that large oligomers of the chaperone protein HSP27 bind to UBC9 and are required for HSF1 sumoylation¹⁴⁸. As such, it is possible that HSP27 itself acts as the E3 enzyme in HSF1 sumoylation.

Ginkgolic and anacardic acid have recently been identified as pharmacological inhibitors of the sumoylation machinery¹⁴⁹. Both small molecules inhibit protein sumoylation *in vitro* and in vivo, without affecting protein ubiquitylation, by directly binding to the E1 enzyme and inhibiting the formation of the E1–SUMO intermediate, thereby inhibiting the attachment of SUMO to target proteins. It remains to be determined whether treatment with ginkgolic acid or anacardic acid results in HSF1 activation. However, owing to the ubiquitous nature of the E1 and E2 enzymes, it is likely that pharmacological modulation of their activity would have pleiotropic effects. Future research efforts could focus on the identification and inhibition of the E3 enzymes that may be required for HSF1 sumoylation.

HSF1 acetylation

The HSF1 activation cycle is a dynamic process that is regulated by intra- and intermolecular interactions as well as post-translational modifications (FIG. 2). Stress-induced binding of HSF1 to DNA is reversed by acetylation of HSF1 at Lys80, which is mediated by histone acetyltransferase p300 and cyclic AMP-responsive element-binding protein. Conversely, DNA binding is prolonged by NAD-dependent deacetylase sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) mediated deacetylation of HSF178. Treatment of mammalian cells with the SIRT1 agonist resveratrol resulted in decreased acetylation of HSF1 at Lys80 and prolonged stressdependent binding of HSF1 to DNA. Although resveratrol-dependent activation of SIRT1 is likely to affect many cellular processes, it is important to note that resveratrol treatment has been shown to rescue polyQ-dependent neuronal dysfunction in *Caenorhabditis elegans* as well as in mammalian neurons¹⁵⁰. In addition, the efficacy of resveratrol has been explored in models of Alzheimer's disease¹⁵¹⁻¹⁵³, Parkinson's disease^{154,155} and ALS¹⁵¹. The regulation of HSF1 acetylases and deacetylases may therefore provide crucial intervention points for the modulation of chaperone protein expression.

Direct activation of HSF1

Achieving HSF1 activation through the inhibition of negative regulators such as chaperones, protein kinases and acetyltransferases has therapeutic potential in neurodegenerative diseases. However, the inhibition of these negative regulators is likely to have pleiotropic effects on many cellular targets and functions, which could complicate disease treatment. As such, further investigation into the identification of pharmacological HSF1 activators could be directed towards the identification of molecules that directly bind and activate HSF1.The interconversion of HSF1 monomers to homotrimers is an early and crucial step in the HSF1 activation pathway, and so small molecules that directly interact with the monomeric HSF1 transcription factor to promote its homotrimerization could be highly specific HSF1 activators.

Direct activators of HSF1 have not yet been reported, so we propose three potential approaches to directly promote HSF1 activation (FIG. 4). First, we envision a small molecule that would recognize and disrupt the protein interface between HSF1 and HSP90, without directly inhibiting either protein. Because the interaction between HSP90 and HSF1 is thought to be relatively weak⁵⁴, it is likely that this interaction could be antagonized with relatively low-affinity molecules that compete for this interface. Unfortunately, only limited information exists regarding the HSF1–HSP90 interaction. Further structural information regarding the sites encompassing the HSP90–HSF1 interaction as well as the binding affinity of HSP90 for HSF1 could facilitate the development of such molecules. Elucidation of the HSP90–HSF1 interaction site could enable the development of an in vitro screen (using recombinant HSP90 and a small peptide encompassing the region of HSF1 that interacts with HSP90) to search for small-molecule inhibitors of the HSP90-HSF1 interaction. We envision a similarly designed secondary screen, using the *in vitro* interaction between HSP90 and another client protein (for example, the glucocorticoid receptor), to exclude molecules that directly inhibit HSP90.

A second approach to directly activate HSF1 may be to unleash the intramolecular repressive interaction between the C-terminal coiled-coil domain and the N-terminal multimerization domain of HSF1. However, this intramolecular interaction is currently only speculative and direct physical evidence for this interaction has not yet been reported⁷⁴. A crystal structure of the full-length HSF1 protein would significantly aid in this regard. Nevertheless, it is possible to envisage small molecules that could inhibit such an interaction. This might be effectively achieved via the use of protein or RNA aptamers that

could sequester the C-terminal repressive coiled-coil domain and thereby free the N-terminal multimerization domain for homotrimerization.

As a proof of concept, Lis and colleagues¹⁵⁶ have recently shown that RNA aptamers can be used to bind and sequester the DNA binding domain of HSF1 and, as a result, effectively inhibit HSF1 activation. Although these aptamers inhibit rather than activate HSF1 function, aptamer technology could be developed to promote HSF1 activation. It should be noted that mutations in the fourth leucine zipper domain result in constitutive HSF1 trimerization and constitutive DNA binding, but may only modestly increase the expression of chaperone proteins. However, as previously noted, the use of pharmacological activators of HSF1 has shown that high-level expression of chaperone proteins is not required for therapeutic efficacy^{18,72,93,121}. Rather, small and chronic increases in levels of chaperone proteins are highly effective in the amelioration of toxicity induced by protein misfolding. Therefore, future investigations into pharmacological activators of chaperone protein expression could be directed to identify modest but chronic activators of HSF1.

A third approach to directly activate HSF1 could involve designing a multivalent molecule that binds to three independent HSF1 monomers, bringing them into close proximity such that the probability of trimer formation is substantially enhanced. Without a crystal structure of HSF1 it is difficult to predict where such a molecule would interact with the HSF1 monomer. Furthermore, it is only speculative that bringing multiple HSF1 monomers into closer proximity would promote spontaneous homotrimerization. However, as HSF1 is thought to exist in an equilibrium between the monomeric and trimeric state 157 — even in the absence of cellular stress — simply increasing the local concentration of HSF1 monomers could stimulate trimer formation.

Future perspectives

The identification of disease-modifying therapies for neurodegenerative diseases has been challenging, yet the market for novel treatments is enormous¹⁵⁸. Although many molecules that activate HSF1 have been identified, the majority are thought to act by causing cellular stress, and a direct pharmacological activator of HSF1 has not yet been reported. The discovery of such a class of molecules would be a major accomplishment for the field. We envision the ideal activator of HSF1 as a small molecule that directly binds to HSF1 and promotes its transition from a monomer to a homotrimer. As the ideal HSF1 activator would not cause proteotoxic stress, we propose that such a molecule would stimulate modest but chronic expression of chaperone proteins, resulting in the amelioration of neurodegenerative disease phenotypes. Below, we outline major hurdles from the discovery to the clinical application of HSF1 activators.

Screening direct HSF1 activators

An immediate limitation in the identification of the ideal HSF1 activator is the lack of a high-quality screen. Current screens using HSF1 reporter genes as readouts of HSF1 activation are susceptible to the identification of molecules that cause proteotoxic stress or inhibit the function of HSP90. As such, novel screens are required that are not sensitive to proteotoxic molecules and HSP90 inhibitors. Our laboratory has developed a pharmacological screen using humanized yeast to identify small molecules that can activate human HSF1 in yeast⁷². Although this screen is not sensitive to molecules that cause proteotoxic stress or directly inhibit HSP90, it does have the limitation of not discriminating between direct and indirect activators of HSF1. We suggest that future research could be directed towards the development of screens to specifically identify direct activators of HSF1.

Timing of pharmacological intervention

The status of protein misfolding throughout the course of the disease will have to be considered in the development of therapeutics that enhance protein-folding capacity via HSF1 activation (FIG. 5). The progressive nature of neurodegeneration complicates treatment, as a substantial amount of neuronal damage is often present at the time of clinical diagnosis, making the prospect of prophylactic treatment very appealing159-161. Early intervention is crucial, as pharmacological activation of HSF1 will ideally slow neuronal degeneration and delay disease onset, and may completely arrest disease progression if the recently discovered disaggregase activity found in mammalian cells is elevated in an HSF1 dependent manner¹⁶². It will be important to consider other treatments such as autophagy inducers that degrade mature protein aggregates, which could be more effective in late stages of the disease. Moreover, the clinical use of HSF1 therapeutics would be complicated by difficulties in the identification of individuals who are susceptible to late-onset and/or idiopathic forms of neurodegeneration, thus emphasizing the need for increased investigation into the identification of biomarkers that are reliable early predictors of disease^{158,160,161,163}.

As HSF1 activators would probably be used as a prophylactic treatment, it is important to consider the potential benefits of coupling HSF1 activators with currently available symptomatic treatments. This type of combination therapy would address the underlying protein-misfolding pathology and provide symptomatic relief. If HSF1 activators delay the onset of disease, the use of current treatments when symptoms manifest might substantially enhance the long-term clinical management of neurodegenerative diseases.

Blood–brain barrier

A major bottleneck in the development of therapeutics for central nervous system disorders is the identification of molecules that have the ability to cross the blood–brain barrier158,164,165. Size, lipophilicity and other physicochemical properties of small molecules generally determine their ability to cross the blood–brain barrier (for a detailed review of the ideal characteristics for penetrance, see REF. 166). Activators of HSF1 such as arimoclomol and riluzole — have been shown to penetrate the blood–brain barrier, yet penetrance of direct HSF1 activators is difficult to predict as such a class of molecules does not exist^{167,168}. Effective surrogate screening technologies for blood–brain barrier penetrance are not widely available; such a model could serve as a powerful secondary screen for direct HSF1 activators and substantially streamline their development 164 . Another useful approach in addressing the limitations of the blood–brain barrier is the use of molecular libraries of compounds that are known to cross the blood–brain barrier, such as the CNS-Set available from ChemBridge. Using such libraries in novel screens that identify HSF1 activators would help to bypass the limitations of the blood–brain barrier in the development of therapeutics for neuro degenerative diseases.

Potential limitations of HSF1 activators

HSF1 activation may have negative effects in some situations that may need to be addressed for its development as a therapeutic strategy for neurodegenerative diseases. Lindquist and colleagues⁴⁰ have shown that HSF1 significantly contributes to tumour development in the p53R172H mouse model of carcinogenesis. Potential oncogenic side effects will therefore have to be considered in the development of HSF1 therapies, especially in populations harbouring genetic susceptibility to various cancers. Furthermore, it will be important to consider certain disease states in which the expression of cytosolic chaperone proteins will be ineffective. Extracellular amyloid-β aggregates present in Alzheimer's disease are particularly neurotoxic and inaccessible to chaperone proteins^{169,170}. Situations such as this may be more amenable to chemical chaperone-based therapies¹⁷¹, whereas diseases that are

thought to be caused by intracellular oligomers, such as polyQ disorders or Parkinson's disease, may be more effectively treated with HSF1 activators.

Studies in mouse models have provided some evidence for the differential efficacy of HSF1 activating molecules in the treatment of various neurodegenerative diseases. For example, treatment of the SOD1G93A mouse model of ALS with arimoclomol resulted in significant amelioration of disease phenotypes with little to no loss in efficacy¹²⁵. However, in separate studies treatment of the R6/2 mouse model of Huntington's disease with an HSP90 inhibitor also resulted in the amelioration of disease phenotypes but these benefits waned with disease progression 172 .

Aged cells appear to have a high threshold for HSF1 activation^{41,173}, and this must be taken into consideration in the development of therapies based on HSF1 activation. Aged neurons have lower steady-state levels of HSF1 and SIRT1, a positive regulator of HSF1 activity; this is likely to contribute to diminished stress responsiveness⁷⁸. The therapeutic implications of this phenomenon remain unclear, and a greater understanding is needed of why aged cells have an impaired chaperone expression response. If it is not possible to effectively activate HSF1 in aged neurons, treatment strategies targeting this pathway may be less effective. By contrast, aged neurons may respond to pharmacological intervention that lowers the threshold of HSF1 activation and is independent of proteotoxic stress.

In summary, pharmacological activation of HSF1 is a promising avenue for therapeutic intervention in neurodegenerative diseases. A concerted effort to understand HSF1 biology and the pharmacological activation of chaperone protein expression will ultimately reveal whether this strategy will be successful.

Acknowledgments

We thank T. Nevitt for her critical comments on the manuscript. This work was supported in part by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH) National Research Service Award Postdoctoral Fellowship GM076954 (to D.W.N.) and the NIH grant R01-GM059911 (to D.J.T.). A.M.J. is a trainee of the Duke University Pharmacological Sciences Training Program.

Glossary

References

- 1. Ross CA, Poirier MA. Protein aggregation and neurodegenerative disease. Nature Med. 2004; 10:S10–S17. [PubMed: 15272267]
- 2. Zhang QC, et al. A compact β model of huntingtin toxicity. J. Biol. Chem. 2011; 286:8188–8196. [PubMed: 21209075]
- 3. Haass C, Selkoe DJ. Soluble protein oligomers in neurodegeneration: lessons from the Alzheimer's amyloid β-peptide. Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2007; 8:101–112. [PubMed: 17245412]
- 4. Muchowski PJ. Protein misfolding, amyloid formation, and neurodegeneration: a critical role for molecular chaperones? Neuron. 2002; 35:9–12. [PubMed: 12123602]
- 5. Arrasate M, Mitra S, Schweitzer ES, Segal MR, Finkbeiner S. Inclusion body formation reduces levels of mutant huntingtin and the risk of neuronal death. Nature. 2004; 431:805–810. [PubMed: 15483602]
- 6. Fiumara F, Fioriti L, Kandel ER, Hendrickson WA. Essential role of coiled coils for aggregation and activity of Q/N-rich prions and polyQ proteins. Cell. 2010; 143:1121–1135. [PubMed: 21183075] This study demonstrated that coiled-coil motifs in polyQ proteins contribute to the aggregation and cytotoxicity of these proteins.
- 7. Cookson MR. The role of leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) in Parkinson's disease. Nature Rev. Neurosci. 2010; 11:791–797. [PubMed: 21088684]
- 8. Jankovic J. Parkinson's disease: clinical features and diagnosis. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatr. 2008; 79:368–376. [PubMed: 18344392]
- 9. Buschert V, Bokde ALW, Hampel H. Cognitive intervention in Alzheimer disease. Nature Rev. Neurol. 2010; 6:508–517. [PubMed: 20717104]
- 10. Carter MD, Simms GA, Weaver DF. The development of new therapeutics for Alzheimer's disease. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. 2010; 88:475–486. [PubMed: 20811351]
- 11. Boillée S, Vande Velde C, Cleveland DW. ALS: a disease of motor neurons and their nonneuronal neighbors. Neuron. 2006; 52:39–59. [PubMed: 17015226]
- 12. Verity NC, Mallucci GR. Rescuing neurons in prion disease. Biochem. J. 2010; 433:19–29. [PubMed: 21158739]
- 13. Walker FO. Huntington's disease. Lancet. 2007; 369:218–228. [PubMed: 17240289]
- 14. Hartl FU, Hayer-Hartl M. Molecular chaperones in the cytosol: from nascent chain to folded protein. Science. 2002; 295:1852–1858. [PubMed: 11884745]

- 15. Chai Y, Koppenhafer SL, Bonini NM, Paulson HL. Analysis of the role of heat shock protein (Hsp) molecular chaperones in polyglutamine disease. J. Neurosci. 1999; 19:10338–10347. [PubMed: 10575031]
- 16. Warrick JM, et al. Suppression of polyglutamine-mediated neurodegeneration in Drosophila by the molecular chaperone HSP70. Nature Genet. 1999; 23:425–428. [PubMed: 10581028]
- 17. Chan HY, Warrick JM, Gray-Board GL, Paulson HL, Bonini NM. Mechanisms of chaperone suppression of polyglutamine disease: selectivity, synergy and modulation of protein solubility in Drosophila. Hum. Mol. Genet. 2000; 9:2811–2820. [PubMed: 11092757] This study showed that HSP40 and HSP70 synergize to ameliorate the cytotoxicity of polyQ proteins in fruitfly disease models by modulating the solubility of these proteins.
- 18. Auluck PK, Bonini NM. Pharmacological prevention of Parkinson disease in Drosophila. Nature Med. 2002; 8:1185–1186. [PubMed: 12411925] This paper showed that pharmacological activation of HSF1 via the HSP90 inhibitor geldanamycin can ameliorate disease phenotypes in a fruitfly model of Parkinson's disease.
- 19. Auluck P, Meulener M, Bonini N. Mechanisms of suppression of α-synuclein neurotoxicity by geldanamycin in Drosophila. J. Biol. Chem. 2005; 280:2873–2878. [PubMed: 15556931]
- 20. Alavez S, Vantipalli MC, Zucker DJ, Klang IM, Lithgow GJ. Amyloid-binding compounds maintain protein homeostasis during ageing and extend lifespan. Nature. 2011; 472:226–229. [PubMed: 21451522]
- 21. Ben-Zvi A, Miller EA, Morimoto RI. Collapse of proteostasis represents an early molecular event in Caenorhabditis elegans aging. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA. 2009; 106:14914–14919. [PubMed: 19706382] This study describes a widespread failure in protein folding that occurs in early adulthood and coincides with reduced activation of HSF1 and chaperone protein expression in C. elegans.
- 22. Fonte V, et al. Interaction of intracellular β amyloid peptide with chaperone proteins. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA. 2002; 99:9439–9444. [PubMed: 12089340]
- 23. Satyal SH, et al. Polyglutamine aggregates alter protein folding homeostasis in *Caenorhabditis* elegans. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA. 2000; 97:5750–5755. [PubMed: 10811890] This study shows that the expression of polyQ proteins in C . elegans disrupts general protein folding, causes aggregation of otherwise soluble proteins and constitutively promotes the activation of HSF1 and chaperone proteins.
- 24. Teixeira-Castro A, et al. Neuron-specific proteotoxicity of mutant ataxin-3 in C. elegans: rescue by the DAF-16 and HSF-1 pathways. Hum. Mol. Genet. 2011; 20:2996–3009. [PubMed: 21546381]
- 25. Wang J, et al. An ALS-linked mutant SOD1 produces a locomotor defect associated with aggregation and synaptic dysfunction when expressed in neurons of Caenorhabditis elegans. PLoS Genet. 2009; 5:e1000350. [PubMed: 19165329]
- 26. Lanneau D, de Thonel A, Maurel S, Didelot C, Garrido C. Apoptosis versus cell differentiation: role of heat shock proteins HSP90, HSP70 and HSP27. Prion. 2007; 1:53–60. [PubMed: 19164900]
- 27. Batulan Z, et al. High threshold for induction of the stress response in motor neurons is associated with failure to activate HSF1. J. Neurosci. 2003; 23:5789–5798. [PubMed: 12843283]
- 28. Bonelli MA, et al. Attenuated expression of 70-kDa heat shock protein in WI-38 human fibroblasts during aging in vitro. Exp. Cell Res. 1999; 252:20–32. [PubMed: 10502396]
- 29. Gutsmann-Conrad A, Heydari AR, You S, Richardson A. The expression of heat shock protein 70 decreases with cellular senescence in vitro and in cells derived from young and old human subjects. Exp. Cell Res. 1998; 241:404–413. [PubMed: 9637782]
- 30. Gutsmann-Conrad A, Pahlavani MA, Heydari AR, Richardson A. Expression of heat shock protein 70 decreases with age in hepatocytes and splenocytes from female rats. Mech. Ageing Dev. 1999; 107:255–270. [PubMed: 10360681]
- 31. Fargnoli J, Kunisada T, Fornace AJ, Schneider EL, Holbrook NJ. Decreased expression of heat shock protein 70 mRNA and protein after heat treatment in cells of aged rats. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA. 1990; 87:846–850. [PubMed: 2300568]
- 32. Fawcett TW, Sylvester SL, Sarge KD, Morimoto RI, Holbrook NJ. Effects of neurohormonal stress and aging on the activation of mammalian heat shock factor 1. J. Biol. Chem. 1994; 269:32272– 32278. [PubMed: 7798227]
- 33. Pahlavani MA, Harris MD, Moore SA, Weindruch R, Richardson A. The expression of heat shock protein 70 decreases with age in lymphocytes from rats and rhesus monkeys. Exp. Cell Res. 1995; 218:310–318. [PubMed: 7737368]
- 34. Bailey CK, Andriola IFM, Kampinga HH, Merry DE. Molecular chaperones enhance the degradation of expanded polyglutamine repeat androgen receptor in a cellular model of spinal and bulbar muscular atrophy. Hum. Mol. Genet. 2002; 11:515–523. [PubMed: 11875046]
- 35. Fujimoto M, et al. Active HSF1 significantly suppresses polyglutamine aggregate formation in cellular and mouse models. J. Biol. Chem. 2005; 280:34908–34916. [PubMed: 16051598] This study demonstrates that the expression of a constitutively active HSF1 allele ameliorates pathogenic phenotypes in a mouse model of Huntington's disease.
- 36. Muchowski PJ, et al. Hsp70 and Hsp40 chaperones can inhibit self-assembly of polyglutamine proteins into amyloid-like fibrils. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA. 2000; 97:7841–7846. [PubMed: 10859365]
- 37. Wacker JL, Zareie MH, Fong H, Sarikaya M, Muchowski PJ. Hsp70 and Hsp40 attenuate formation of spherical and annular polyglutamine oligomers by partitioning monomer. Nature Struct. Mol. Biol. 2004; 11:1215–1222. [PubMed: 15543156]
- 38. Wyttenbach A, et al. Effects of heat shock, heat shock protein 40 (HDJ-2), and proteasome inhibition on protein aggregation in cellular models of Huntington's disease. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA. 2000; 97:2898–2903. [PubMed: 10717003]
- 39. Feder JH, Rossi JM, Solomon J, Solomon N, Lindquist S. The consequences of expressing hsp70 in Drosophila cells at normal temperatures. Genes Dev. 1992; 6:1402–1413. [PubMed: 1644286]
- 40. Dai C, Whitesell L, Rogers AB, Lindquist S. Heat shock factor 1 is a powerful multifaceted modifier of carcinogenesis. Cell. 2007; 130:1005–1018. [PubMed: 17889646]
- 41. Akerfelt M, Morimoto RI, Sistonen L. Heat shock factors: integrators of cell stress, development and lifespan. Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2010; 11:545–555. [PubMed: 20628411]
- 42. Gonsalves SE, Moses AM, Razak Z, Robert F, Westwood JT. Whole-genome analysis reveals that active heat shock factor binding sites are mostly associated with non-heat shock genes in Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS ONE. 2011; 6:e15934. [PubMed: 21264254]
- 43. Hahn J-S, Hu Z, Thiele DJ, Iyer VR. Genome-wide analysis of the biology of stress responses through heat shock transcription factor. Mol. Cell Biol. 2004; 24:5249–5256. [PubMed: 15169889]
- 44. Trinklein ND, Murray JI, Hartman SJ, Botstein D, Myers RM. The role of heat shock transcription factor 1 in the genome-wide regulation of the mammalian heat shock response. Mol. Biol. Cell. 2004; 15:1254–1261. [PubMed: 14668476]
- 45. Ostling P, Björk JK, Roos-Mattjus P, Mezger V, Sistonen L. Heat shock factor 2 (HSF2) contributes to inducible expression of hsp genes through interplay with HSF1. J. Biol. Chem. 2007; 282:7077–7086. [PubMed: 17213196]
- 46. Sandqvist A, et al. Heterotrimerization of heat-shock factors 1 and 2 provides a transcriptional switch in response to distinct stimuli. Mol. Biol. Cell. 2009; 20:1340–1347. [PubMed: 19129477]
- 47. Shinkawa T, et al. Heat shock factor 2 is required for maintaining proteostasis against febrile range thermal stress and polyglutamine aggregation. Mol. Biol. Cell. 2011; 22:3571–3583. [PubMed: 21813737]
- 48. Abravaya K, Myers MP, Murphy SP, Morimoto RI. The human heat shock protein hsp70 interacts with HSF, the transcription factor that regulates heat shock gene expression. Genes Dev. 1992; 6:1153–1164. [PubMed: 1628823]
- 49. Ali A, Bharadwaj S, O'Carroll R, Ovsenek N. HSP90 interacts with and regulates the activity of heat shock factor 1 in Xenopus oocytes. Mol. Cell Biol. 1998; 18:4949–4960. [PubMed: 9710578]
- 50. Bharadwaj S, Ali A, Ovsenek N. Multiple components of the HSP90 chaperone complex function in regulation of heat shock factor 1 in vivo. Mol. Cell Biol. 1999; 19:8033–8041. [PubMed: 10567529]

- 51. Conde R, Xavier J, McLoughlin C, Chinkers M, Ovsenek N. Protein phosphatase 5 is a negative modulator of heat shock factor 1. J. Biol. Chem. 2005; 280:28989–28996. [PubMed: 15967796]
- 52. Guo Y, et al. Evidence for a mechanism of repression of heat shock factor 1 transcriptional activity by a multichaperone complex. J. Biol. Chem. 2001; 276:45791–45799. [PubMed: 11583998]
- 53. Shi Y, Mosser DD, Morimoto RI. Molecular chaperones as HSF1-specific transcriptional repressors. Genes Dev. 1998; 12:654–666. [PubMed: 9499401]
- 54. Zou J, Guo Y, Guettouche T, Smith DF, Voellmy R. Repression of heat shock transcription factor HSF1 activation by HSP90 (HSP90 complex) that forms a stress-sensitive complex with HSF1. Cell. 1998; 94:471–480. [PubMed: 9727490]
- 55. Arlander SJH, et al. Chaperoning checkpoint kinase 1 (Chk1), an Hsp90 client, with purified chaperones. J. Biol. Chem. 2006; 281:2989–2998. [PubMed: 16330544]
- 56. Hernández MP, Chadli A, Toft DO. HSP40 binding is the first step in the HSP90 chaperoning pathway for the progesterone receptor. J. Biol. Chem. 2002; 277:11873–11881. [PubMed: 11809754]
- 57. King FW, Wawrzynow A, Höhfeld J, Zylicz M. Co-chaperones Bag-1, Hop and Hsp40 regulate Hsc70 and Hsp90 interactions with wild-type or mutant p53. EMBO J. 2001; 20:6297–6305. [PubMed: 11707401]
- 58. Carmichael J, Sugars KL, Bao YP, Rubinsztein DC. Glycogen synthase kinase-3β inhibitors prevent cellular polyglutamine toxicity caused by the Huntington's disease mutation. J. Biol. Chem. 2002; 277:33791–33798. [PubMed: 12097329]
- 59. Chu B, Soncin F, Price BD, Stevenson MA, Calderwood SK. Sequential phosphorylation by mitogen-activated protein kinase and glycogen synthase kinase 3 represses transcriptional activation by heat shock factor-1. J. Biol. Chem. 1996; 271:30847–30857. [PubMed: 8940068]
- 60. Chu B, Zhong R, Soncin F, Stevenson MA, Calderwood SK. Transcriptional activity of heat shock factor 1 at 37 °C is repressed through phosphorylation on two distinct serine residues by glycogen synthase kinase 3 and protein kinases Cα and Cζ. J. Biol. Chem. 1998; 273:18640–18646. [PubMed: 9660838]
- 61. Hietakangas V, et al. Phosphorylation of serine 303 is a prerequisite for the stress-inducible SUMO modification of heat shock factor 1. Mol. Cell Biol. 2003; 23:2953–2968. [PubMed: 12665592]
- 62. Kline MP, Morimoto RI. Repression of the heat shock factor 1 transcriptional activation domain is modulated by constitutive phosphorylation. Mol. Cell Biol. 1997; 17:2107–2115. [PubMed: 9121459]
- 63. Knauf U, Newton EM, Kyriakis J, Kingston RE. Repression of human heat shock factor 1 activity at control temperature by phosphorylation. Genes Dev. 1996; 10:2782–2793. [PubMed: 8946918]
- 64. Murshid A, et al. Protein kinase A binds and activates heat shock factor 1. PLoS ONE. 2010; 5:e13830. [PubMed: 21085490]
- 65. Wang X, et al. Phosphorylation of HSF1 by MAPK-activated protein kinase 2 on serine 121, inhibits transcriptional activity and promotes HSP90 binding. J. Biol. Chem. 2006; 281:782–791. [PubMed: 16278218]
- 66. Pelham HR. A regulatory upstream promoter element in the Drosophila Hsp 70 heat-shock gene. Cell. 1982; 30:517–528. [PubMed: 6814763]
- 67. Pelham HR, Bienz M. A synthetic heat-shock promoter element confers heat-inducibility on the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase gene. EMBO J. 1982; 1:1473–1477. [PubMed: 6327271]
- 68. Perisic O, Xiao H, Lis JT. Stable binding of Drosophila heat shock factor to head-to-head and tailto-tail repeats of a conserved 5 bp recognition unit. Cell. 1989; 59:797–806. [PubMed: 2590940]
- 69. Clos J, et al. Molecular cloning and expression of a hexameric Drosophila heat shock factor subject to negative regulation. Cell. 1990; 63:1085–1097. [PubMed: 2257625]
- 70. Sorger PK, Nelson HC. Trimerization of a yeast transcriptional activator via a coiled-coil motif. Cell. 1989; 59:807–813. [PubMed: 2686840]
- 71. Ahn S-G, Thiele DJ. Redox regulation of mammalian heat shock factor 1 is essential for Hsp gene activation and protection from stress. Genes Dev. 2003; 17:516–528. [PubMed: 12600944]
- 72. Neef DW, Turski ML, Thiele DJ. Modulation of heat shock transcription factor 1 as a therapeutic target for small molecule intervention in neurodegenerative disease. PLoS Biol. 2010; 8:e1000291. [PubMed: 20098725] In this study the authors generated a humanized HSF1-based yeast screen to

identify HSF1A, a novel pharmacological activator of HSF1 that is efficacious in ameliorating polyQ protein-associated protein aggregation and cytotoxicity in cell culture and fruitfly disease models.

- 73. Trott A, et al. Activation of heat shock and antioxidant responses by the natural product celastrol: transcriptional signatures of a thiol-targeted molecule. Mol. Biol. Cell. 2008; 19:1104–1112. [PubMed: 18199679]
- 74. Rabindran SK, Haroun RI, Clos J, Wisniewski J, Wu C. Regulation of heat shock factor trimer formation: role of a conserved leucine zipper. Science. 1993; 259:230–234. [PubMed: 8421783]
- 75. Guettouche T, Boellmann F, Lane WS, Voellmy R. Analysis of phosphorylation of human heat shock factor 1 in cells experiencing a stress. BMC Biochem. 2005; 6:4. [PubMed: 15760475]
- 76. Holmberg CI, et al. Phosphorylation of serine 230 promotes inducible transcriptional activity of heat shock factor 1. EMBO J. 2001; 20:3800–3810. [PubMed: 11447121]
- 77. Kim S-A, Yoon J-H, Lee S-H, Ahn S-G. Polo-like kinase 1 phosphorylates heat shock transcription factor 1 and mediates its nuclear translocation during heat stress. J. Biol. Chem. 2005; 280:12653– 12657. [PubMed: 15661742]
- 78. Westerheide SD, Anckar J, Stevens SM, Sistonen L, Morimoto RI. Stress-inducible regulation of heat shock factor 1 by the deacetylase SIRT1. Science. 2009; 323:1063-1066. [PubMed: 19229036] This study demonstrated that the DNA binding activity of HSF1 is inhibited by acetylation within the DNA binding domain, and HSF1 is maintained in a deacetylated state via SIRT1.
- 79. Yang J, Bridges K, Chen KY, Liu AY-C. Riluzole increases the amount of latent HSF1 for an amplified heat shock response and cytoprotection. PLoS ONE. 2008; 3:e2864. [PubMed: 18682744] This work reported that riluzole, which is a treatment for ALS, promotes an increase in steady-state HSF1 levels potentially via the inhibition of chaperone-mediated autophagy.
- 80. Trepel J, Mollapour M, Giaccone G, Neckers L. Targeting the dynamic HSP90 complex in cancer. Nature Rev. Cancer. 2010; 10:537–549. [PubMed: 20651736]
- 81. Dickey CA, et al. HSP induction mediates selective clearance of tau phosphorylated at prolinedirected Ser/Thr sites but not KXGS (MARK) sites. FASEB J. 2006; 20:753–755. [PubMed: 16464956]
- 82. Dickey CA, et al. Development of a high throughput drug screening assay for the detection of changes in tau levels — proof of concept with HSP90 inhibitors. Curr. Alzheimer Res. 2005; 2:231–238. [PubMed: 15974923]
- 83. Dou F, et al. Chaperones increase association of tau protein with microtubules. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA. 2003; 100:721–726. [PubMed: 12522269]
- 84. Petrucelli L, et al. CHIP and Hsp70 regulate tau ubiquitination, degradation and aggregation. Hum. Mol. Genet. 2004; 13:703–714. [PubMed: 14962978]
- 85. Flower TR, Chesnokova LS, Froelich CA, Dixon C, Witt SN. Heat shock prevents α-synucleininduced apoptosis in a yeast model of Parkinson's disease. J. Mol. Biol. 2005; 351:1081–1100. [PubMed: 16051265]
- 86. Shen H-Y, He J-C, Wang Y, Huang Q-Y, Chen J-F. Geldanamycin induces heat shock protein 70 and protects against MPTP-induced dopaminergic neurotoxicity in mice. J. Biol. Chem. 2005; 280:39962–39969. [PubMed: 16210323]
- 87. Agrawal N, et al. Identification of combinatorial drug regimens for treatment of Huntington's disease using Drosophila. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA. 2005; 102:3777–3781. [PubMed: 15716359] This study demonstrated that the HSP90 inhibitor geldanamycin and the histone deacetylase inhibitor suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid have combinatorial efficacy in ameliorating cytotoxicity in a fruitfly model of neurodegenerative disease.
- 88. Fujikake N, et al. Heat shock transcription factor 1-activating compounds suppress polyglutamineinduced neurodegeneration through induction of multiple molecular chaperones. J. Biol. Chem. 2008; 283:26188–26197. [PubMed: 18632670]
- 89. Hay DG, et al. Progressive decrease in chaperone protein levels in a mouse model of Huntington's disease and induction of stress proteins as a therapeutic approach. Hum. Mol. Genet. 2004; 13:1389–1405. [PubMed: 15115766]

- 90. Sittler A, et al. Geldanamycin activates a heat shock response and inhibits huntingtin aggregation in a cell culture model of Huntington's disease. Hum. Mol. Genet. 2001; 10:1307–1315. [PubMed: 11406612]
- 91. Marcu MG, Chadli A, Bouhouche I, Catelli M, Neckers LM. The heat shock protein 90 antagonist novobiocin interacts with a previously unrecognized ATP-binding domain in the carboxyl terminus of the chaperone. J. Biol. Chem. 2000; 275:37181–37186. [PubMed: 10945979]
- 92. Yu XM, et al. Hsp90 inhibitors identified from a library of novobiocin analogues. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005; 127:12778–12779. [PubMed: 16159253]
- 93. Ansar S, et al. A non-toxic Hsp90 inhibitor protects neurons from Aβ-induced toxicity. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2007; 17:1984–1990. [PubMed: 17276679]
- 94. Kimura H, et al. ITZ-1, a client-selective Hsp90 inhibitor, efficiently induces heat shock factor 1 activation. Chem. Biol. 2010; 17:18–27. [PubMed: 20142037]
- 95. Salehi AH, et al. AEG3482 is an antiapoptotic compound that inhibits Jun kinase activity and cell death through induced expression of heat shock protein 70. Chem. Biol. 2006; 13:213–223. [PubMed: 16492569]
- 96. Schnaider T, Somogyi J, Csermely P, Szamel M. The Hsp90-specific inhibitor, geldanamycin, blocks CD28-mediated activation of human T lymphocytes. Life Sci. 1998; 63:949–954. [PubMed: 9747895]
- 97. Westerheide S, et al. Celastrols as inducers of the heat shock response and cytoprotection. J. Biol. Chem. 2004; 279:56053–56060. [PubMed: 15509580]
- 98. Hieronymus H, et al. Gene expression signature-based chemical genomic prediction identifies a novel class of HSP90 pathway modulators. Cancer Cell. 2006; 10:321–330. [PubMed: 17010675]
- 99. Zhang T, et al. A novel Hsp90 inhibitor to disrupt Hsp90/Cdc37 complex against pancreatic cancer cells. Mol. Cancer Ther. 2008; 7:162–170. [PubMed: 18202019]
- 100. Yang H, Chen D, Cui QC, Yuan X, Dou QP. Celastrol, a triterpene extracted from the Chinese "Thunder of God Vine," is a potent proteasome inhibitor and suppresses human prostate cancer growth in nude mice. Cancer Res. 2006; 66:4758–4765. [PubMed: 16651429]
- 101. Kiaei M, et al. Celastrol blocks neuronal cell death and extends life in transgenic mouse model of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Neurodegener. Dis. 2005; 2:246–254. [PubMed: 16909005]
- 102. Allison AC, Cacabelos R, Lombardi VR, Alvarez XA, Vigo C. Celastrol, a potent antioxidant and anti-inflammatory drug, as a possible treatment for Alzheimer's disease. Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry. 2001; 25:1341–1357. [PubMed: 11513350]
- 103. Wang J, Gines S, MacDonald ME, Gusella JF. Reversal of a full-length mutant huntingtin neuronal cell phenotype by chemical inhibitors of polyglutamine-mediated aggregation. BMC Neurosci. 2005; 6:1. [PubMed: 15649316]
- 104. Zhang Y-Q, Sarge KD. Celastrol inhibits polyglutamine aggregation and toxicity though induction of the heat shock response. J. Mol. Med. 2007; 85:1421–1428. [PubMed: 17943263]
- 105. Cleren C, Calingasan NY, Chen J, Beal MF. Celastrol protects against MPTP- and 3 nitropropionic acid-induced neurotoxicity. J. Neurochem. 2005; 94:995–1004. [PubMed: 16092942]
- 106. Faust K, et al. Neuroprotective effects of compounds with antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties in a Drosophila model of Parkinson's disease. BMC Neurosci. 2009; 10:109. [PubMed: 19723328]
- 107. Hansen J, Bross P. A cellular viability assay to monitor drug toxicity. Methods Mol. Biol. 2010; 648:303–311. [PubMed: 20700722]
- 108. Kalmar B, Greensmith L. Activation of the heat shock response in a primary cellular model of motoneuron neurodegeneration — evidence for neuroprotective and neurotoxic effects. Cell. Mol. Biol. Lett. 2009; 14:319–335. [PubMed: 19183864]
- 109. Wang S, Liu K, Wang X, He Q, Chen X. Toxic effects of celastrol on embryonic development of zebrafish (Danio rerio). Drug Chem. Toxicol. 2011; 34:61–65. [PubMed: 20954803]
- 110. Ohtsuka K, Kawashima D, Gu Y, Saito K. Inducers and co-inducers of molecular chaperones. Int. J. Hyperthermia. 2005; 21:703–711. [PubMed: 16338852]

- 111. Hirakawa T, Rokutan K, Nikawa T, Kishi K. Geranylgeranylacetone induces heat shock proteins in cultured guinea pig gastric mucosal cells and rat gastric mucosa. Gastroenterology. 1996; 111:345–357. [PubMed: 8690199]
- 112. Katsuno M, et al. Pharmacological induction of heat-shock proteins alleviates polyglutaminemediated motor neuron disease. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA. 2005; 102:16801–16806. [PubMed: 16260738] This work demonstrated that pharmacological activation of HSF1 via geranylgeranylacetone promotes the activation of chaperone protein expression and ameliorates cytotoxicity in a mouse model of spinal and bulbar muscular atrophy.
- 113. Otaka M, et al. The induction mechanism of the molecular chaperone HSP70 in the gastric mucosa by geranylgeranylacetone (HSP-inducer). Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2007; 353:399–404. [PubMed: 17182004]
- 114. Patury S, Miyata Y, Gestwicki JE. Pharmacological targeting of the Hsp70 chaperone. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2009; 9:1337–1351. [PubMed: 19860737]
- 115. Hirota K, et al. Geranylgeranylacetone enhances expression of thioredoxin and suppresses ethanol-induced cytotoxicity in cultured hepatocytes. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2000; 275:825–830. [PubMed: 10973806]
- 116. Okada S, et al. Geranylgeranylacetone induces apoptosis in HL-60 cells. Cell Struct. Funct. 1999; 24:161–168. [PubMed: 10462178]
- 117. Endo S, et al. Geranylgeranylacetone, an inducer of the 70-kDa heat shock protein (HSP70), elicits unfolded protein response and coordinates cellular fate independently of HSP70. Mol. Pharmacol. 2007; 72:1337–1348. [PubMed: 17702888]
- 118. Tam S, Geller R, Spiess C, Frydman J. The chaperonin TRiC controls polyglutamine aggregation and toxicity through subunit-specific interactions. Nature Cell Biol. 2006; 8:1155–1162. [PubMed: 16980959] This study shows that the TRIC cytosolic chaperone complex binds to the pathogenic huntingtin protein and reduces huntingtin-mediated cytotoxicity.
- 119. Tam S, et al. The chaperonin TRiC blocks a huntingtin sequence element that promotes the conformational switch to aggregation. Nature Struct. Mol. Biol. 2009; 16:1279–1285. [PubMed: 19915590]
- 120. Hargitai J, et al. Bimoclomol, a heat shock protein co-inducer, acts by the prolonged activation of heat shock factor-1. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2003; 307:689–695. [PubMed: 12893279]
- 121. Vígh L, et al. Bimoclomol: a nontoxic, hydroxylamine derivative with stress protein-inducing activity and cytoprotective effects. Nature Med. 1997; 3:1150–1154. [PubMed: 9334730]
- 122. Török Z, et al. Heat shock protein coinducers with no effect on protein denaturation specifically modulate the membrane lipid phase. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA. 2003; 100:3131–3136. [PubMed: 12615993]
- 123. Nánási PP, Jednákovits A. Multilateral in vivo and in vitro protective effects of the novel heat shock protein coinducer, bimoclomol: results of preclinical studies. Cardiovasc. Drug Rev. 2001; 19:133–151. [PubMed: 11484067]
- 124. Kalmar B, et al. Late stage treatment with arimoclomol delays disease progression and prevents protein aggregation in the SOD1^{G93A} mouse model of ALS. J. Neurochem. 2008; 107:339–350. [PubMed: 18673445]
- 125. Kieran D, et al. Treatment with arimoclomol, a coinducer of heat shock proteins, delays disease progression in ALS mice. Nature Med. 2004; 10:402–405. [PubMed: 15034571] This study demonstrates that pharmacological activation of HSF1 via arimoclomol ameliorates pathogenic phenotypes and extends lifespan in a mouse model of ALS.
- 126. Lanka V, Wieland S, Barber J, Cudkowicz M. Arimoclomol: a potential therapy under development for ALS. Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs. 2009; 18:1907–1918.
- 127. Liu AYC, et al. Neuroprotective drug riluzole amplifies the heat shock factor 1 (HSF1)- and glutamate transporter 1 (GLT1)-dependent cytoprotective mechanisms for neuronal survival. J. Biol. Chem. 2011; 286:2785–2794. [PubMed: 21098017]
- 128. Jurivich DA, Sistonen L, Kroes RA, Morimoto RI. Effect of sodium salicylate on the human heat shock response. Science. 1992; 255:1243–1245. [PubMed: 1546322]

- 129. Lee BS, Chen J, Angelidis C, Jurivich DA, Morimoto RI. Pharmacological modulation of heat shock factor 1 by antiinflammatory drugs results in protection against stress-induced cellular damage. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA. 1995; 92:7207–7211. [PubMed: 7638169]
- 130. Winegarden NA, Wong KS, Sopta M, Westwood JT. Sodium salicylate decreases intracellular ATP, induces both heat shock factor binding and chromosomal puffing, but does not induce hsp 70 gene transcription in Drosophila. J. Biol. Chem. 1996; 271:26971–26980. [PubMed: 8900183]
- 131. Housby JN, et al. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs inhibit the expression of cytokines and induce HSP70 in human monocytes. Cytokine. 1999; 11:347–358. [PubMed: 10328874]
- 132. Palayoor ST, Youmell MY, Calderwood SK, Coleman CN, Price BD. Constitutive activation of IκB kinase α and NF-κB in prostate cancer cells is inhibited by ibuprofen. Oncogene. 1999; 18:7389–7394. [PubMed: 10602496]
- 133. Stevenson MA, Zhao MJ, Asea A, Coleman CN, Calderwood SK. Salicylic acid and aspirin inhibit the activity of RSK2 kinase and repress RSK2-dependent transcription of cyclic AMP response element binding protein- and NF-κ B-responsive genes. J. Immunol. 1999; 163:5608– 5616. [PubMed: 10553090]
- 134. Ishihara K, Yamagishi N, Hatayama T. Suppression of heat- and polyglutamine-induced cytotoxicity by nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. Eur. J. Biochem. 2004; 271:4552–4558. [PubMed: 15560796]
- 135. Ianaro A, et al. Anti-inflammatory activity of 15-deoxy-δ12,14-PGJ2 and 2-cyclopenten-1-one: role of the heat shock response. Mol. Pharmacol. 2003; 64:85–93. [PubMed: 12815164]
- 136. Rossi A, Elia G, Santoro MG. 2-cyclopenten-1-one, a new inducer of heat shock protein 70 with antiviral activity. J. Biol. Chem. 1996; 271:32192–32196. [PubMed: 8943275]
- 137. Zhou Y, et al. Chloro-oxime derivatives as novel small molecule chaperone amplifiers. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2009; 19:3128–3135. [PubMed: 19398333]
- 138. Zhou Y, et al. Pyrimido $[5,4-e][1,2,4]$ triazine-5,7 (1H,6H)-dione derivatives as novel small molecule chaperone amplifiers. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2009; 19:4303–4307. [PubMed: 19502057]
- 139. Zhang B, et al. Identification of small-molecule HSF1 amplifiers by high content screening in protection of cells from stress induced injury. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2009; 390:925– 930. [PubMed: 19852939]
- 140. Hayashida N, et al. Heat shock factor 1 ameliorates proteotoxicity in cooperation with the transcription factor NFAT. EMBO J. 2010; 29:3459–3469. [PubMed: 20834230]
- 141. Batista-Nascimento L, Neef DW, Liu PCC, Rodrigues-Pousada C, Thiele DJ. Deciphering human heat shock transcription factor 1 regulation via post-translational modification in yeast. PLoS ONE. 2011; 6:e15976. [PubMed: 21253609]
- 142. Rimoldi M, Servadio A, Zimarino V. Analysis of heat shock transcription factor for suppression of polyglutamine toxicity. Brain Res. Bull. 2001; 56:353–362. [PubMed: 11719272] This study shows that constitutively active HSF1, via loss of repressive phosphorylation events, prevents protein aggregation in cell culture models of polyglutamine disease.
- 143. Banerjee Mustafi S, Chakraborty PK, Raha S. Modulation of Akt and ERK1/2 pathways by resveratrol in chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML) cells results in the downregulation of Hsp70. PLoS ONE. 2010; 5:e8719. [PubMed: 20090934]
- 144. Khaleque MA, et al. Induction of heat shock proteins by heregulin β1 leads to protection from apoptosis and anchorage-independent growth. Oncogene. 2005; 24:6564–6573. [PubMed: 16007186]
- 145. Xavier I, et al. Glycogen synthase kinase 3β negatively regulates both DNA-binding and transcriptional activities of heat shock factor 1. J. Biol. Chem. 2000; 275:29147–29152. [PubMed: 10856293]
- 146. Anckar J, et al. Inhibition of DNA binding by differential sumoylation of heat shock factors. Mol. Cell Biol. 2006; 26:955–964. [PubMed: 16428449]
- 147. Bernier-Villamor V, Sampson DA, Matunis MJ, Lima CD. Structural basis for E2-mediated SUMO conjugation revealed by a complex between ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme Ubc9 and RanGAP1. Cell. 2002; 108:345–356. [PubMed: 11853669]

- 148. Brunet Simioni M, et al. Heat shock protein 27 is involved in SUMO-2/3 modification of heat shock factor 1 and thereby modulates the transcription factor activity. Oncogene. 2009; 28:3332– 3344. [PubMed: 19597476]
- 149. Fukuda I, et al. Ginkgolic acid inhibits protein SUMOylation by blocking formation of the E1- SUMO intermediate. Chem. Biol. 2009; 16:133–140. [PubMed: 19246003]
- 150. Parker JA, et al. Resveratrol rescues mutant polyglutamine cytotoxicity in nematode and mammalian neurons. Nature Genet. 2005; 37:349–350. [PubMed: 15793589] This study shows that activation of SIR-2 (the C. elegans homolog of SIRT1) via resveratrol rescues neuronal dysfunction in *C. elegans* and mouse models of polyQ disease.
- 151. Kim D, et al. SIRT1 deacetylase protects against neurodegeneration in models for Alzheimer's disease and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. EMBO J. 2007; 26:3169–3179. [PubMed: 17581637]
- 152. Ladiwala ARA, et al. Resveratrol selectively remodels soluble oligomers and fibrils of amyloid Aβ into off-pathway conformers. J. Biol. Chem. 2010; 285:24228–24237. [PubMed: 20511235]
- 153. Marambaud P, Zhao H, Davies P. Resveratrol promotes clearance of Alzheimer's disease amyloid-β peptides. J. Biol. Chem. 2005; 280:37377–37382. [PubMed: 16162502]
- 154. Lu K-T, et al. Neuroprotective effects of resveratrol on MPTP-induced neuron loss mediated by free radical scavenging. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2008; 56:6910–6913. [PubMed: 18616261]
- 155. Zhang F, et al. Resveratrol protects dopamine neurons against lipopolysaccharide-induced neurotoxicity through its anti-inflammatory actions. Mol. Pharmacol. 2010; 78:466–477. [PubMed: 20554604]
- 156. Salamanca HH, Fuda N, Shi H, Lis JT. An RNA aptamer perturbs heat shock transcription factor activity in Drosophila melanogaster. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011; 39:6729–6740. [PubMed: 21576228] This work describes an RNA aptamer that interacts with the DNA binding domain of HSF1 and inhibits its binding to promoter heat shock elements.
- 157. Liu PC, Thiele DJ. Modulation of human heat shock factor trimerization by the linker domain. J. Biol. Chem. 1999; 274:17219–17225. [PubMed: 10358080]
- 158. Finkbeiner S. Bridging the Valley of Death of therapeutics for neurodegeneration. Nature Med. 2010; 16:1227–1232. [PubMed: 21052079]
- 159. Aguzzi A, O'Connor T. Protein aggregation diseases: pathogenicity and therapeutic perspectives. Nature Rev. Drug Discov. 2010; 9:237–248. [PubMed: 20190788]
- 160. Hampel H, et al. Biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease: academic, industry and regulatory perspectives. Nature Rev. Drug Discov. 2010; 9:560–574. [PubMed: 20592748]
- 161. Schapira AHV. Challenges to the development of disease-modifying therapies in Parkinson's disease. Eur. J. Neurol. 2011; 18(Suppl. 1):16–21. [PubMed: 21255199]
- 162. Murray AN, Solomon JP, Wang YJ, Balch WE, Kelly JW. Discovery and characterization of a mammalian amyloid disaggregation activity. Protein Sci. 2010; 19:836–846. [PubMed: 20162625] This work describes the discovery of a mammalian disaggregase with the ability to disaggregate β-amyloid aggregates.
- 163. Opar A. Hope builds for earlier detection of Alzheimer's disease. Nature Rev. Drug Discov. 2010; 9:579–581. [PubMed: 20671750]
- 164. Nielsen PA, Andersson O, Hansen SH, Simonsen KB, Andersson G. Models for predicting blood–brain barrier permeation. Drug Discov. Today. 2011; 16:472–475. [PubMed: 21513815]
- 165. Pardridge WM. Alzheimer's disease drug development and the problem of the blood-brain barrier. Alzheimers Dement. 2009; 5:427–432. [PubMed: 19751922]
- 166. Lipinski CA, Lombardo F, Dominy BW, Feeney PJ. Experimental and computational approaches to estimate solubility and permeability in drug discovery and development settings. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 2001; 46:3–26. [PubMed: 11259830]
- 167. Cudkowicz ME, et al. Arimoclomol at dosages up to 300 mg/day is well tolerated and safe in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Muscle Nerve. 2008; 38:837–844. [PubMed: 18551622]
- 168. Milane A, et al. Brain and plasma riluzole pharmacokinetics: effect of minocycline combination. J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci. 2009; 12:209–217. [PubMed: 19732498]
- 169. Kumar S, et al. Extracellular phosphorylation of the amyloid β-peptide promotes formation of toxic aggregates during the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. EMBO J. 2011; 30:2255–2265. [PubMed: 21527912]

- 170. Williams TL, Serpell LC. Membrane and surface interactions of the Alzheimer's Aβ peptide: insights into the mechanism of cytotoxicity. FEBS J. 2011; 278:3905–3917. [PubMed: 21722314]
- 171. Cohen FE, Kelly JW. Therapeutic approaches to protein-misfolding diseases. Nature. 2003; 426:905–909. [PubMed: 14685252]
- 172. Labbadia J, et al. Altered chromatin architecture underlies progressive impairment of the heat shock response in mouse models of Huntington disease. J. Clin. Invest. 2011; 121:3306–3319. [PubMed: 21785217] This study demonstrates that activation of HSF1-dependent chaperone protein expression via an HSP90 inhibitor transiently ameliorates disease phenotypes in a mouse model of polyQ-based disease as a result of decreased promoter acetylation.
- 173. Balch WE, Morimoto RI, Dillin A, Kelly JW. Adapting proteostasis for disease intervention. Science. 2008; 319:916–919. [PubMed: 18276881]
- 174. Biamonte MA, et al. Heat shock protein 90: inhibitors in clinical trials. J. Med. Chem. 2010; 53:3–17. [PubMed: 20055425]
- 175. Lancet JE, et al. Phase I study of the heat shock protein 90 inhibitor alvespimycin (KOS-1022, 17-DMAG) administered intravenously twice weekly to patients with acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia. 2010; 24:699–705. [PubMed: 20111068]
- 176. Nowakowski GS, et al. A phase I trial of twice-weekly 17-allylamino-demethoxy-geldanamycin in patients with advanced cancer. Clin. Cancer Res. 2006; 12:6087–6093. [PubMed: 17062684]
- 177. Brandt GEL, Schmidt MD, Prisinzano TE, Blagg BSJ. Gedunin, a novel Hsp90 inhibitor: semisynthesis of derivatives and preliminary structure–activity relationships. J. Med. Chem. 2008; 51:6495–6502. [PubMed: 18816111]
- 178. Kikuchi T, et al. Cytotoxic and apoptosis-inducing activities of limonoids from the seeds of Azadirachta indica (neem). J. Nat. Prod. 2011; 74:866–870. [PubMed: 21381696]
- 179. Traynor BJ, et al. Neuroprotective agents for clinical trials in ALS: a systematic assessment. Neurology. 2006; 67:20–27. [PubMed: 16832072]
- 180. Bensimon G, et al. Riluzole treatment, survival and diagnostic criteria in Parkinson plus disorders: the NNIPPS study. Brain. 2009; 132:156–171. [PubMed: 19029129]
- 181. Nanke Y, et al. Geranylgeranylacetone, a non-toxic inducer of heat shock protein, induces cell death in fibroblast-like synoviocytes from patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Mod. Rheumatol. 2009; 19:379–383. [PubMed: 19526306]
- 182. Nishida T, et al. Geranylgeranylacetone protects against acetaminophen-induced hepatotoxicity by inducing heat shock protein 70. Toxicology. 2006; 219:187–196. [PubMed: 16377054]
- 183. Shirakabe H, et al. Clinical evaluation of teprenone, a mucosal protective agent, in the treatment of patients with gastric ulcers: a nationwide, multicenter clinical study. Clin. Ther. 1995; 17:924– 935. [PubMed: 8595644]

Figure 1. Chaperone proteins and maintenance of protein homeostasis

Misfolding of disease-causing proteins results in the disruption of protein homeostasis when misfolded monomers accumulate and begin to form intermediate soluble oligomers or fibrils, and eventually form mature insoluble aggregates. Chaperone proteins assist in the correct folding of proteins and prevent the formation of toxic oligomeric species. Increasing the expression of chaperone proteins enhances the ability of cells to maintain protein homeostasis even in the presence of aggregation-prone proteins. It is not yet clear whether increased expression of chaperone proteins will prevent the formation of mature aggregates and promote their degradation.

Neef et al. Page 26

Figure 2. HSF1 activation and attenuation cycle

In the absence of cellular stress, heat shock transcription factor 1 (HSF1) exists as an inactive monomer in the cytoplasm. Its activity is repressed via the interaction of the chaperone proteins heat shock protein 90 (HSP90), HSP70 and HSP40 as well as its phosphorylation on Ser303 and Ser307 residues. In response to proteotoxic stress HSP90 is thought to bind to misfolded proteins and dissociate from HSF1, thereby allowing HSF1 to form homotrimers and become localized to the nucleus to bind to heat shock elements in the promoters of stress-responsive genes. Disulphide bonding occurs between HSF1 monomers to stabilize trimer formation. HSP70 is known to associate with HSF1 even when it is bound to DNA, and it may continue to repress HSF1 until a secondary stimulus promotes its dissociation. Following DNA binding HSF1 is sumoylated — this is a repressive modification that is attenuated with prolonged heat stress. HSF1 is hyperphosphorylated on up to 12 serine residues during HSF1-dependent transactivation. HSF1-dependent transactivation is repressed via a negative feedback loop, in which HSP70 and HSP40 reassociate with the HSF1 transactivation domain, and HSF1 becomes dissociated from DNA following acetylation of Lys80 in its DNA binding domain. It remains unclear whether the disulphide-linked HSF1 trimers are dissociated into cytoplasmic monomers or whether they are degraded.

Figure 3. HSF1 regulation by post-translational modifications

In response to cellular stress, heat shock transcription factor 1 (HSF1) is hyperphosphorylated on up to 12 serine residues; this occurs in parallel with HSF1 dependent transactivation. Three sites of phosphorylation involved in HSF1 activation that have been studied in detail are shown. HSF1 activity is also repressed by constitutive phosphorylation of Ser303 and Ser307, and by stress-responsive sumoylation of Lys298 (represented on the figure as 'Su'). The binding of HSF1 to DNA is inhibited by the acetylation of Lys80 (represented on the figure as 'Ac'). AD, activation domain; DBD, DNA binding domain; LZ1, leucine zipper domain 1.

Figure 4. Proposed mechanisms to promote the direct activation of HSF1

We propose three potential approaches to promote the direct activation of heat shock transcription factor 1 (HSF1). **a** | First, a small molecule specifically designed to bind the interface between heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) and HSF1 would prevent the interaction between the two proteins and allow for HSF1 trimerization and target gene expression. **b** | Second, HSF1 could be activated using a small molecule designed to sequester the carboxyterminal coiled-coil domain that is thought to repress HSF1 function, allowing for HSF1 trimerization. **c** | Last, a multivalent molecule specifically designed to bind to HSF1 monomers could bring these monomers into close proximity and increase the rate of HSF1 trimerization. AD, activation domain; DBD, DNA binding domain; LZ1, leucine zipper domain 1.

Neef et al. Page 29

Figure 5. Importance of early intervention in neurodegenerative diseases

The progressive nature of neurodegenerative diseases complicates treatment because neuronal damage and protein misfolding are already present at the stage of symptom onset (blue line). Early intervention with heat shock transcription factor 1 (HSF1) activators that promote chaperone protein expression will ameliorate protein misfolding, slow disease progression and delay the onset of symptoms (green line). In an ideal situation, HSF1 activators could be combined with currently available symptomatic treatments at the stage of symptom onset to further enhance disease management. By contrast, HSF1-based therapeutic strategies are unlikely to be as effective at a late stage owing to the severity of neuronal loss and protein-misfolding pathology that is unlikely to be reversed (pink line). In late-stage neurodegenerative disease, alternative or combination therapies — such as inducers of autophagy coupled with chaperone protein-based therapies — may be more effective.

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

Neurodegenerative diseases that are associated with protein misfolding Neurodegenerative diseases that are associated with protein misfolding

Table 2

Pharmacological activators of HSF1

17-AAG, 17-allylamino-17-demethoxy-geldanamycin; 17-DMAG, 17-dimethylaminoethylamino-17-demethoxygeldanamycin; CDC37, cochaperone of HSP90; FDA, US Food and Drug Administration; HSF1, heat shock transcription factor 1; HSP90, heat shock protein 90; TRIC, T complex protein 1 ring complex.