

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Biochim Biophys Acta. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 January 01.

Published in final edited form as: Biochim Biophys Acta. 2013 January ; 1833(1): 48–58. doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2012.10.014.

Nuclear respiratory factor 2 regulates the expression of the same NMDA receptor subunit genes as NRF-1: Both factors act by a concurrent and parallel mechanism to couple energy metabolism and synaptic transmission

Anusha Priya, **Kaid Johar**, and **Margaret Wong-Riley***

Department of Cell Biology, Neurobiology, and Anatomy, Medical College of Wisconsin, 8701 Watertown Plank Road, Milwaukee, WI 53226, USA

Abstract

Neuronal activity and energy metabolism are tightly coupled processes. Previously, we found that nuclear respiratory factor 1 (NRF-1) transcriptionally co-regulates energy metabolism and neuronal activity by regulating all 13 subunits of the critical energy generating enzyme, cytochrome c oxidase (COX), as well as GluN1 (Grin1) and GluN2B (Grin2b) subunits of NMDA receptors. We also found that another transcription factor, nuclear respiratory factor 2 (NRF-2 or GA-binding protein) regulates all subunits of COX as well. The goal of the present study was to test our hypothesis that NRF-2 also regulates specific subunits of NMDA receptors, and that it functions with NRF-1 via one of three mechanisms: complementary, concurrent and parallel, or a combination of complementary and concurrent/parallel. By means of multiple approaches, including *in silico* analysis, electrophoretic mobility shift and supershift assays, *in vivo* chromatin immunoprecipitation of mouse neuroblastoma cells and rat visual cortical tissue, promoter mutations, real-time quantitative PCR, and western blot analysis, NRF-2 was found to functionally regulate Grin1 and Grin2b genes, but not any other NMDA subunit genes. Grin1 and Grin2b transcripts were up-regulated by depolarizing KCl, but silencing of NRF-2 prevented this upregulation. On the other hand, over-expression of NRF-2 rescued the down-regulation of these subunits by the impulse blocker TTX. NRF-2 binding sites on *Grin1* and *Grin2b* are conserved among species. Our data indicate that NRF-2 and NRF-1 operate in a concurrent and parallel manner in mediating the tight coupling between energy metabolism and neuronal activity at the molecular level.

Keywords

Gene regulation; NRF-2; GABP; GluN1; GluN2B; NMDA

^{© 2012} Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

^{*}Corresponding author: Margaret Wong-Riley, Ph.D., Department of Cell Biology, Neurobiology, and Anatomy, Medical College of Wisconsin, 8701 Watertown Plank Road, Milwaukee, WI 53226, USA, Tel: +1 (414) 955 8467, Fax: +1 (414) 955 6517, mwr@mcw.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1. Introduction

Glutamate is the main excitatory neurotransmitter in the brain and N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors are a major type of glutamatergic receptors. NMDA receptors are ligandgated, voltage-dependent ionotropic receptors (for review see [1]). They are heterotetrameric proteins composed of the ubiquitous GluN1 subunit in various combinations with the GluN2A-D or GluN3A-B subunits [2–4]. While the NMDA receptors are crucial for the proper functioning and activity of neurons, the properties of the receptors are dictated by their subunit composition. Most NMDA receptors are composed of two GluN1 subunits with two GluN2A or GluN2B subunits [2, 5]. GluN1/GluN2A receptors are fast-acting and widely expressed in the adult brain, while the GluN1/GluN2B receptors are slower acting but widely expressed in the neonatal and the adult brain [3]. The GluN2C, GluN2D, GluN3A, and GluN3B receptor subunits are more developmentally and regionally expressed [4, 6].

Our laboratory has recently shown that GluN1 and GluN2B receptor subunits are regulated at the transcriptional level by nuclear respiratory factor 1 (NRF-1) [7], which also regulates all 13 subunits of cytochrome c oxidase (COX) [8], an enzyme critical for energy production in neurons (for review see [9]). Perturbations of neuronal activity result in concurrent changes in mRNA and protein levels of COX subunits as well as those of GluN1 (Grin1) and GluN2B (Grin2b) subunits [7, 8]. Likewise, altering the expression of NRF-1 leads to parallel changes in COX, GluN1, and GluN2B mRNA and protein expression [7, 8]. Thus NRF-1 co-regulates mediators of synaptic transmission and energy generation, thereby coupling neuronal activity to energy metabolism.

Nuclear respiratory factor 2 (NRF-2), or GA binding protein (GAPB), is a transcription factor in the E-26 transformation-specific (ETS) family (for review see [10]). The functional protein is composed of an α and β subunit that form either a heterodimer or a heterotetramer $(\alpha_2\beta_2)$ [10]. The α subunit contains the ETS DNA binding domain that binds to the 'GGAA' or 'TTCC' motif, and the β subunit contains the transcriptional activation domain [10]. NRF-2 is involved in the control of basic cellular processes, such as cell cycle progression, protein synthesis, and mitochondrial biogenesis [11–13]. NRF-2 is present in neurons, with neuronal activity regulating transcription and nuclear translocation of both its subunits [14–16]. NRF-2 and COX co-exist at the immunohistochemical level, and physiological perturbations of neuronal activity result in parallel changes in NRF-2 and COX protein expression [17, 18]. Our lab has recently discovered that NRF-2, like NRF-1, transcriptionally regulates all subunit genes of the COX enzyme [19–21]. The question naturally arises as to whether NRF-2 also couples energy metabolism to neuronal activity by regulating specific subunits of the NMDA receptors. If so, do the two transcription factors operate via complementary, concurrent and parallel, or a combined complementary and concurrent/parallel mechanism? The goal of the present study was to test our hypothesis that NRF-2 also mediates the coupling of synaptic transmission and energy metabolism.

2. Material and Methods

All experiments were carried out in accordance with the US National Institutes of Health Guide for the care and use of laboratory animals and the Medical College of Wisconsin regulations. All efforts were made to minimize the number of animals and their suffering.

2.1. Cell Culture

Murine neuroblastoma (N2a) cells (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 50 units/mL

penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO $_2$.

2.2. In silico analysis of promoters of murine NMDA receptor subunit genes

DNA sequences surrounding the transcription start points (TSPs) of N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor subunit genes (Grin1, Grin2a–d, Grin3a–b) were derived from the NCBI mouse genome database (Grin1 GenBank ID: NC_000068.7, Grin2a GenBank ID: NC_000082.6, Grin2b GenBank ID: NC_000072.6, Grin2c GenBank ID: NC_000077.6, Grin2d GenBank ID: NC_000073.6, Grin3a GenBank ID: NC_000070.6, and Grin3b GenBank ID: NC_000076.6). These promoter sequences encompassed 1 kb upstream and 1 kb downstream of the TSP of each gene analyzed. Computer-assisted search for NRF-2's binding motif 'GGAA', or its complement 'TTCC', separated by up to 24 base pairs (bp) from another such NRF-2 binding motif, was conducted on each promoter.

Alignment of human, mouse, and rat promoter sequences were performed with NCBI's Ensembl interface. Mouse NMDA receptor promoter sequences were compared with those of rat and human genomic sequences for conservation of the NRF-2 binding motif.

2.3. Electrophoretic mobility shift and supershift assays

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) for possible NRF-2 interactions with putative binding elements on all NMDA receptor subunit promoters were carried out with a few modifications from methods previously described [21]. Briefly, based on *in silico* analysis, oligonucleotide probes with a putative NRF-2 binding motif in a tandem repeat on each NMDA receptor subunit promoter were synthesized (Table 1A), annealed, and labeled by a Klenow fragment (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA) fill-in reaction with [α-³²P] dATP (50 μCi/200 ng; Perkin-Elmer, Shelton, CT, USA). N2a nuclear extract was isolated using methods described previously [22]. Each labeled EMSA probe was incubated with 2 μg of calf thymus DNA and 15 μg of N2a nuclear extract. The probe reaction was processed for EMSA. Supershift assays were performed with 0.4μ g of NRF-2 specific antibody (polyclonal rabbit antibody, H-180, sc-22810, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) added to the probe/nuclear extract mixture and incubated for 20 min at 24°C. For competition, 100- fold excess of unlabeled oligonucleotides were incubated with nuclear extract before the addition of labeled oligonucleotides. Shift reactions were loaded onto 4.5% polyacrylamide gel (58:1, Acrylamide:Bisacrylamide) and run at 200 V for 4.2 h in 0.25X Tris-borate-EDTA buffer. Results were visualized by autoradiography and exposed on film. Rat cytochrome c oxidase subunit 6b (COX6b) with known NRF-2 binding site was designed as previously described [21] and used as a positive control. NRF-2 mutants with mutated sequences, as shown in Table 1B, were used as negative controls.

2.4. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays in N2a Cells

ChIP assays were performed similar to those described previously [7]. Briefly, 1×10^6 N2a cells were used for each immunoprecipitation reaction. Cells were fixed with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at 24°C. Following forma ldehyde fixation, cells were resuspended in swelling buffer (5 mM PIPES, pH 8.0, 85 mM KCl, and 1% Nonidet P-40 (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA), with protease inhibitors added right before use) and homogenized 10 times in a small pestle Dounce tissue homogenizer (5 mL). Nuclei were then isolated by centrifugation before being subjected to sonication in SDS lysis buffer (1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.1 (Sigma)). The sonicated lysate was immunoprecipitated with either 1 μg of NRF-2 polyclonal rabbit antibody (H-180, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or 2 μg of anti-nerve growth factor receptor (NGFR) p75 polyclonal goat antibody (C20, sc-6188, Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Semi-quantitative PCR was performed using 1/20th of precipitated chromatin. Primers encompassing putative NRF-2 tandem repeats near TSPs of

NMDA receptor subunit genes (identified in *in silico* analysis) were designed (Table 2) as previously described [8]. COX6b promoter with NRF-2 binding site was used as a positive control, and exon 8 of NRF-1, a region of DNA that does not contain a NRF-2 binding site, was used as a negative control (Table 2). PCR reactions were carried out with DreamTaq polymerase (Thermo-Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Optimal use of cycling parameters (Table 2) and PCR additives (betaine) significantly improved the quality and reproducibility of ChIP. PCR products were visualized on 2% agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide.

2.5. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays from Murine Visual Cortical Tissue

ChIP assays were performed on primary neurons similar to that described for N2a cells above. Briefly, 0.1 g of murine visual cortical tissue was used for each immunoprecipitation reaction. From fresh murine brain, the visual cortex was quickly dissected and cut into small pieces. The finely chopped visual cortical tissue was fixed with 2% formaldehyde for 20 min at 24°C. Following formaldehyde fixation, cells were resuspended in swelling buffer and homogenized as described above. Nuclei isolation and immunoprecipition, as well as the analysis of immunoprecipitated samples, including primers for positive and negative controls were identical to the ChIP protocol described for N2a cells above.

2.6. Construction and transfection of luciferase reporter vectors for promoter mutagenesis study

Luciferase reporter constructs of *Grin1* and *Grin2b* gene promoters were made by PCR cloning their proximal promoter sequences using genomic DNA prepared from mouse N2a cells as a template. Digestion with restriction enzymes KpnI and HindIII for Grin1 and MluI and XhoI for *Grin2b* was performed, followed by ligation of the product directionally into pGL3 basic luciferase vector (E1751, Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Sequences of primers used for PCR cloning are provided in Table 3A. COX6b clone was used from our previous study as a positive control [21]. Site-directed mutations of putative tandem repeat of NRF-2 binding sites on each promoter was generated using QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). Primers for mutagenesis are listed in Table 3B. All constructs were verified by sequencing.

Each promoter construct was transfected into N2a cells in a 24-well plate using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) and cell lysates harvested after 48 h. Each well received 0.6 μg of reporter construct and 0.06 μg of pRL-TK renilla luciferase vector (E2241, Promega), a vector with thymidine kinase (TK) promoter that constitutively expressed renilla luciferase. Transfected neurons were stimulated with KCl at a final concentration of 20 mM in the culture media for 5 h as previously described [7]. After 5 h of treatment, cell lysates were harvested and measured for luciferase activity as described previously [7]. Data from six independent transfections were averaged for each promoter construct.

2.7. Plasmid construction of NRF-2 shRNA, transfection, and KCl treatment

efficiency and select for positively transfected cells.

NRF-2 silencing was carried out using two small hairpin RNA (shRNA) sequences against murine NRF-2a that were cloned into the pBS/U6 parent vector. Target NRF-2a shRNA sequences were 5′-ATTGCCCAGCCAGTCACG -3′ and 5′- AGAAGACAGAAGTTCACCG -3′. The pBS/U6 empty parent vector was used as the negative control. The pLKO.1-puro-CMV-TurboGFP Positive Control Vector (SHC003, Sigma) containing turboGFP and puromycin resistance was used to visualize transfection

For transfection, N2a cells were plated at 60% confluency in 6-well dishes. Cells were cotransfected the day after plating with either the $NRF-2$ shRNA construct (2 µg) and

turboGFP (0.5 μg) vectors or the pBS/U6 empty vector $(2 \mu g)$ and the turboGFP (0.5 μg) vector using 5 μl of JetPrime transfection reagent (PolyPlus Transfection, Illkirch, France) per well. Puromycin at a final concentration of $5 \mu g/mL$ was added to the culture medium 1.5 days after transfection to select for purely transfected cells. Green fluorescence was observed to monitor transfection efficiency. Transfection efficiency for N2a cells was around 75%, however puromycin selection effectively yielded 100% transfected cells. N2a cells transfected with shRNA against NRF-2 were further stimulated with KCl at a final concentration of 20 mM in the culture media for 5 h as previously described [7]. After 5 h of treatment, cells were harvested for RNA isolation.

2.8. NRF-2 over-expression and TTX treatment

Vectors expressing human NRF-2α and NRF-2β subunits were constructed by PCR cloning the human NRF-2α and NRF-2β from HeLa cell cDNA and human skeletal muscle cDNA library, respectively, and were described previously [19]. The primer pairs used to amplify NRF-2α and NRF-2β (Table 4) had added HindIII/KpnI and NotI/BamHI restriction sites to their products, respectively. Amplification was done with Taq polymerase and products were cloned into pGEMT-EZ using TA cloning Kit (Promega). Clones were picked and sequenced to verify correct DNA sequence for protein expression. The NRF-2a and NRF-2β cDNAs were then subcloned directionally into pcDNA3.1 vector by double restriction enzyme digest. The sequence of each plasmid construct was verified by sequencing.

For transfection, N2a cells were plated at 60% confluency in 6-well dishes. Cells were transfected the day after plating with either the NRF-2 over-expression construct $(2 \mu g)$ vector, or the pcDNA3.1 empty $(1.5 \mu g)$ and turboGFP $(0.5 \mu g)$ vectors, using 5 μ l of JetPrime transfection reagent per well. Green fluorescence was used to monitor transfection efficiency. Transfected N2a cells were impulse blocked for 3 days with TTX at a final concentration of $0.4 \mu M$, starting the day after plating as previously described [7]. Four days after transfection, cells were harvested for RNA isolation.

2.9. RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was isolated using TRIZOL (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. 1 μ g of total RNA was treated with Dnase I and the reaction stopped with heating at 65°C in the presence of EDTA. cDNA was synthesized using iScript cDNA synthesis kit (170-8891, BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.10. Real-time quantitative PCR

Real-time quantitative PCR was carried out in a Cepheid Smart Cycler Detection system (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) and/or the iCycler System (BioRad) using the IQ Sybr Green SuperMix (170-8880, BioRad) following the manufacturer's protocols and as described previously [7]. The primer sequences used are shown in Table 5. Primers were optimized to yield 95%–105% reaction efficiency with PCR products run on agarose gel to verify correct amplification length. Melt curve analyses verified the formation of single desired PCR product in each PCR reaction. COX7c real time primer was used as a positive control. Gapdh and β-actin real-time primers were used as internal controls for silencing and over-expression experiments, respectively. The $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method was used to quantify the relative amount of transcripts [23].

2.11. Western blot Analysis

Control, NRF-2 shRNA and over-expression samples were harvested in RIPA buffer (150 mM sodium chloride, 1.0% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulphate, 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0) with a protease inhibitor cocktail (Protease Inhibitor Cocktail III, Research Products International Corp. (RPI), Mount Prospect, IL, USA) added just before use. Samples were loaded onto 10% SDS-PAGE gel and protein was electrophoretically transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Bio-Rad). Subsequent to blocking, blots were incubated in primary antibodies against NRF-2α (H-180, 1:1000, SantaCruz Biotechnology), NRF-2β (gift of Dr. Richard Scarpulla), GluN1 (1:1000; Millipore Chemicon, Billerica, MA, USA), GluN2A (1:5000; PhosphoSolutions, Aurora, CO, USA), and GluN2B (1:1000; PhosphoSolutions). β-actin (1:3000; Sigma) served as loading control. Secondary antibodies used were goat-anti-rabbit and goat-anti-mouse antibodies (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA). Blots were then reacted with ECL reagent (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA) and exposed to autoradiographic film (RPI). Quantitative analyses of relative changes were done with an Alpha Imager (Alpha Innotech, San Leandro, CA, USA).

2.12. Statistical analysis

Significance among group means was determined by analysis of variance (ANOVA). Significance between two groups was analyzed by Student's t-test. P-values of 0.05 or less were considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. In silico promoter analysis of NMDA receptor subunit genes

In silico analysis of the proximal promoters of murine NMDA receptor subunit genes, specifically the DNA sequence 1 kb upstream and 1 kb downstream of TSP, revealed the NRF-2 binding motif, 'GGAA', or its complement, 'TTCC', in a tandem repeat (separated by up to 24 bp) in all NMDA receptor subunit gene promoters except for $G\text{rin}3b$ (see Table 1A for the binding motif of NRF-2).

3.2. In vitro binding of NRF-2 to NMDA receptor subunit promoters

The electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) and supershift assays were performed to determine NRF-2's ability to bind its candidate site *in vitro*. As the promoter of *Grin3b* did not contain a NRF-2 tandem repeat, an oligonucleotide probe encompassing the single conserved NRF-2 binding site was used. Murine cytochrome c oxidase subunit 6b (COX6b) promoter, with a known NRF-2 binding site at position −47/−23 bp upstream of the TSP, served as the positive control. When incubated with N2a nuclear extract, COX6b formed specific DNA/NRF-2 shift and supershift complexes (Fig. 1A, lanes 1 and 3, respectively). When an excess of unlabeled *COX6b* probe was added as a competitor, no shift band was formed (Fig. 1A, lane 2). For NMDA receptor subunit gene promoters, only *Grin1*, *Grin2b*, and Grin3a probes gave positive shift and NRF-2 supershift bands. Specifically, shift bands for *Grin1*, *Grin2b*, and *Grin3a* were observed (Fig. 1A, lanes 4 and 9, Fig, 1B, lane 1, respectively) but were absent for *Grin2a*, *Grin2c*, *Grin2d*, and *Grin3b* (Fig. 1B, lanes 9–12). Shift bands for *Grin1*, *Grin2b*, and *Grin3a* were competed out by cold competitors (Fig. 1A, lanes 5 and 10, Fig. 1B, lane 2, respectively). Supershift bands with NRF-2 antibody were present for Grin1, Grin2b, and Grin3a (Fig. 1A, lanes 6 and 11, Fig. 1B, lane 3, respectively). An excess of unlabeled Grin1, Grin2b, and Grin3a probes with mutated NRF-2 binding sites were added to respective radiolabeled probes, and they did not compete out the respective shift reactions (Fig. 1A, lanes 7 and 12, Fig. 1B, lane 4, respectively). Labeled *Grin1*, *Grin2b*, and *Grin3a* probes were also incubated with NRF-2 antibody without N2a nuclear extract to serve as a control for antibody-to-oligo interaction, and they

did not yield any shift or supershift bands (Fig. 1A, lanes 8 and 13, Fig. 1B, lane 5, respectively). Shift, competitor, and supershift reactions with mutated *Grin1*, *Grin2b*, and Grin3a probes did not reveal NRF-2 binding (Fig. 1A, lanes 14–19, Fig. 1B, lanes 6–8, respectively).

3.3. In vivo interaction of NRF-2 with NMDA receptor subunit genes in N2a Cells

The chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay was performed to verify NRF-2 protein interaction with NMDA receptor subunit gene promoters in vivo in N2a cells. Sonicated nuclear lysates from N2a cells were immunoprecipitated with NRF-2 antibody and the resulting DNA was subjected to PCR analysis using primers that encompassed the putative NRF-2 binding site identified by *in silico* analysis. As a control for the immunoprecipitation reaction, nerve growth factor receptor (NGFR) antibody was used. As an additional control to eliminate the possibility of a bead-to-DNA interaction, a "no antibody" control was used. As NRF-2 is known to regulate COX6b [21], primers against the COX6b gene promoter was used as a positive control for the immunoprecipitation, whereas exon 8 of NRF-1, a region of DNA that does not contain a NRF-2 binding site, was used as a negative control. As a positive control for the PCR reaction, 0.5% and 0.1% input DNA were used. Determination of NRF-2 binding to promoter regions was done by parallel PCR amplification of all controls and the immunoprecipitated samples.

As seen in Fig. 2A, agarose gel analysis of PCR products revealed specific bands for input controls in all the tested regions of the proximal NMDA receptor subunit gene promoters. Furthermore, the NRF-2 immunoprecipitated sample revealed an enriched band for COX6b positive control and for *Grin1* and *Grin2b*, but not for exon 8 of *NRF-1* negative control. An enriched band did not occur in the NGRF or "no antibody" negative controls. There was also no enrichment of DNA in the NRF-2 immunoprecipitated samples for *Grin2a*, *Grin2c*, Grin2d, Grin3a, and Grin3b.

3.4. In vivo interaction of NRF-2 with NMDA receptor subunit genes in murine visual cortex

To rule out the possibility that the ChIP data, especially the negative result for *Grin3a*, was not exclusive for N2a cells, ChIP assays were also performed with sonicated nuclear extract from visual cortical tissue of wild type C6BL/J6 mice. The nuclear extract was immunoprecipitated with NRF-2 antibody. Immunoprecipitations with NGFR antibody and a "no antibody" reaction were used as negative controls. Positive and negative controls for PCR analysis of immunoprecipitated products were similar to those of ChIP assays performed with N2a cell nuclear lysate described above.

As seen in Fig. 2B, agarose gel analysis of PCR products of the input control revealed specific bands in all the tested regions of the proximal NMDA receptor subunit gene promoters. NRF-2 immunoprecipitated samples revealed an enriched band for the COX6b positive control and for *Grin1* and *Grin2b* gene promoters, but not for exon 8 of *NRF-1* negative control. There was no enriched band in the NGRF and "no antibody" negative controls. Likewise, no enriched bands were found for *Grin2a, Grin2c, Grin2d, Grin3a*, and Grin3b in the NRF-2 immunoprecipitated samples.

3.5. Effect of mutated NRF-2 binding sites on Grin1, Grin2b, and COX6b promoters

Promoters of NMDA receptor subunit genes that were identified by *in silico* analysis to contain NRF-2 binding sites and found to bind to NRF-2 in vitro and in vivo were cloned into the pGL3 basic luciferase vector. Site-directed mutations of the putative NRF-2 binding sites on these NMDA receptor subunit gene promoters identified by specific EMSA shift and supershift reactions were constructed. Transfection of control promoter regions or mutated NRF-2 binding regions into N2a cells revealed a significant 26% decrease in

promoter activity of the *Grin1* promoter containing the mutated NRF-2 motif, and a significant 41% decrease in that of the mutated $Grin2b$ promoter as compared to controls (P < 0.001 for all, Fig. 3). The *COX6b* promoter was used as a positive control. The *COX6b* promoter containing a mutated NRF-2 motif showed a significant decrease in promoter activity ($P < 0.001$, Fig. 3).

3.6. Effect of mutated NRF-2 binding sites on the response of Grin1 and Grin2b promoters to KCl stimulation

To verify that NRF-2 binding is necessary for the up-regulation of *Grin1* and *Grin2b* transcripts by KCl stimulation, Grin1 and Grin2b promoters with or without mutated NRF-2 binding sites were cloned into the pGL3 basic vectors and transfected into N2a cells. As shown in Fig. 3, N2a cells transfected with wild type Grin1 or Grin2b promoters and subjected to KCl depolarizing stimulation showed a significant increase (143% and 144% for *Grin1* and *Grin2b*, respectively) in promoter expression, as monitored by luciferase assays ($P < 0.001$ for both). This increase was abolished by mutating the NRF-2 binding site (Fig. 3), confirming a requirement for NRF-2 binding in the KCl depolarization-induced upregulation of the *Grin1* and *Grin2b* transcripts.

3.7. Effect of silencing NRF-2 by RNA interference

To determine the effect of silencing NRF-2a transcript on the expression of NMDA receptor subunits, plasmid vectors expressing small hairpin RNA (shRNA) against two target sequences of NRF-2a mRNA were used. These vectors were previously found to silence NRF-2α expression in N2a cells [19]. Analysis of cDNAs of N2a cells transfected with NRF-2a shRNA vectors was done using quantitative real-time PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. Gapdh was used as the internal control, and silenced NRF-2a samples were compared against the control vector samples. Silencing of NRF-2a resulted in a 58% decrease in levels of $NRF-2a$ mRNA, with a 39% decrease in mRNA levels of the positive control, $COX7c$ ($P < 0.001$ for both, Fig. 4B). Protein levels of NRF2 α decreased significantly by 52% ($P < 0.001$, Fig. 4A). mRNA levels of *Grin1* and *Grin2B* decreased significantly by 38.5% and 45%, respectively ($P < 0.001$ and $P < 0.01$, respectively; Fig. 4B), with protein levels decreasing by 87% and 83%, respectively $(P < 0.001$ for both, Fig. 4A). mRNA levels of the remaining NMDA receptor subunits were not significantly changed (Fig. 4B), and neither was the protein level of GluN2A (Fig. 4A).

3.8. Effect of over-expressing NRF-2

To determine the effect of over-expressing *NRF-2* transcript on the transcript levels of NMDA receptor subunits, plasmid over-expression vectors containing human NRF-2α and human NRF-2β were co-transfected into N2a cells. β-actin was used as the internal control. Over-expression of *NRF-2α* and β resulted in an approximately 30-fold and 15-fold increase in NRF-2a and β subunit transcripts, respectively ($P < 0.001$ for both; Fig. 5B), and a 3.5fold and 4.25-fold increase in their protein levels, respectively $(P < 0.001$ for both; Fig. 5A). As a positive control, over-expression resulted in a 145.5% increase in COX7c transcript levels ($P < 0.001$, Fig. 5C). Over-expression of *NRF-2* also resulted in a significant increase of 158.6% and 221.7% in the mRNA level of *Grin1* and *Grin2b*, respectively ($P < 0.001$ for both; Fig. 5C), with a significant 422% and 376% increase ($P < 0.001$ for both), respectively, in their protein levels (Fig. 5A). mRNA levels of the remaining NMDA receptor subunits (*Grin2a, Grin2c, Grin2d, Grin3a*, and *Grin3b* did not change significantly (Fig. 5C), neither did the protein level of GluN2A (Fig. 5A).

3.9. Silencing NRF-2 abolished KCl-induced transcript up-regulation of Grin1 and Grin2b

We have previously shown that COX subunits are up-regulated in response to KCl, and that this up-regulation is dependent on NRF-2 function. To determine the response of Grin1 and Grin2b mRNA to KCl after NRF-2 silencing, N2a control cells and cells transfected with $NRF-2a$ shRNA were subjected to 20 mM KCl for 5 h. As seen in Fig. 6A, depolarizing stimuli in control cells resulted in a 225% and 254% increase in *Grin1* and *Grin2b* transcript levels, respectively ($P < 0.001$ for both). In the presence of *NRF-2a* shRNA, however, 20 mM KCl treatment for 5 h failed to increase *Grin1* and *Grin2b* transcript levels (Fig. 6A). Transcript levels of *COX7c* positive control increased significantly $(145\%, P < 0.01;$ Fig. 6A) with KCl depolarization, but was abolished with shRNA treatment. Transcript levels of Grin2a and Grin3a increased significantly with KCl treatment ($P < 0.001$ for both; Fig. 6A) that remained as such in the presence of $NRF-2\alpha$ shRNA treatment (Fig. 6A).

3.10. Over-expression of NRF-2 rescued tetrodotoxin-induced transcript reduction of Grin1 and Grin2b

Our lab has previously shown that 0.4μ M TTX decreases levels of *COX* subunit transcript levels, as well as transcript levels of the *Grin1* and *Grin2b* subunits of the NMDA receptors [7]. To determine if NRF-2 over-expression could rescue both COX subunit and $Grin1$ and $G\sin 2b$ subunit transcript levels, vectors expressing NRF-2 α and β subunits were transfected into N2a cells that were later subjected to 0.4μ M TTX treatment for 3 days. Control cells exposed to TTX showed a significant decrease of 35%, 21%, and 52% in transcript levels of the COX7c, Grin1, and Grin2b subunits, respectively ($P < 0.01$, $P < 0.05$, and $P < 0.001$, respectively; Fig. 6B). Cells that were transfected with $NRF-2a$ and β overexpression vectors rescued the down-regulation seen with TTX treatment, with an increase in COX7c, Grin1, and Grin2b of 132%, 143%, and 167%, respectively, as compared to controls ($P < 0.001$ for all as compared to TTX alone; Fig. 6B). As a negative control, transcript levels of *Grin2a* and *Grin3a* decreased significantly with TTX treatment (Fig. 6B) and were not rescued by an over-expression of NRF- $2\alpha/\beta$ (Fig. 6B).

3.11. Homology of NRF-2 Binding Sites

The functional NRF-2 binding sites are conserved between mouse and rat for Grin1, and among mouse, human, and rat for Grin2b (Fig. 7).

4. Discussion

Using multiple approaches, including EMSA shift and supershift assays, ChIP in both N2a and primary visual cortical neurons, and promoter mutational analysis, the present study documents for the first time that NRF-2 functionally regulates the expression of NMDA receptor subunits GluN1 and GluN2B (*Grin1* and *Grin2b*, respectively). Silencing of NRF-2 resulted in a concurrent decrease in mRNA and protein levels of *Grin1* (GluN1), *Grin2b* (GluN2B), and COX7c, whereas over-expression of NRF-2 resulted in a concurrent increase in these transcript and protein levels. Furthermore, NRF-2 regulatory sites tested in this study are conserved between mice and rats, and, in the case of *Grin2b*, with humans.

In neurons, there exists an intimate link between NRF-2, energy metabolism, and neuronal activity. NRF-2's pattern of distribution in the monkey visual cortex is almost identical to that of COX [15, 17, 18], an enzyme critical for energy production in neurons, under normal and functionally deprived states. Such closeness in distribution pattern with COX has not yet been seen with any other transcription factor. If neuronal activity is blocked in adult macaque monkeys by monocular injections of TTX, a toxin that inhibits voltage-dependent sodium channels, there is a parallel reduction in mRNA and protein levels of both NRF-2 and COX in deprived visual cortical neurons. Increased or blockade of neuronal activity

causes a transcriptional up or down-regulation, respectively, of both NRF-2 α and β subunits [16, 24], with increased neuronal activity also causing a nuclear translocation of both subunits [14]. The link between NRF-2, energy metabolism, and neuronal activity at the molecular level is revealed by our previous report that NRF-2 regulates all 13 subunits of COX [19, 21], and by the current novel finding that NRF-2 regulates both GluN1 and GluN2B subunits of the NMDA receptors.

GluN1 subunit is expressed in all neurons and is obligatory for the formation of functional NMDA receptors. Most NMDA receptors are composed of two GluN1 and two GluN2A or GluN2B subunits [2]. Of the GluN2A and GluN2B subtypes, the GluN1/GluN2A receptor confers a lower affinity for glutamate and faster kinetics. It is widely expressed in the adult brain. The GluN1/GluN2B subtype is present in the neonatal and adult brain. It is associated with long-term potentiation [25], a feature of learning and memory. GluN1/GluN2B receptors have slower channel kinetics and lower open probability than GluN1/GluN2A receptors. Once activated, GluN1/GluN2B receptors allow for the entry of large amounts of cations, particularly Ca^{2+} . The entry of Ca^{2+} through GluN2B receptors, combined with the receptor's direct interactions with downstream signaling proteins, is responsible for learning and memory [25, 26] and, when in excess, excitatory neurotoxicity [27]. The proper function and regulation of the GluN2B gene is critical to neuronal survival, and disruption of its expression in mice causes perinatal lethality [28]. On the other hand, disruption of the GluN2A gene allows for viability [28]. Thus, NRF-2 regulates two critical subunits of NMDA receptors, GluN1 and GluN2B.

The present study found that NRF-2 does not regulate the expression of *Grin2a, Grin2c–d*, and *Grin3a–b* genes, and our previous study indicates that NRF-1 does not regulate these subunits either [7]. GluN2D is expressed prenatally while GluN2C is expressed in a distinct spatial pattern in the adult. The GluN3A–B subunits have reduced surface expression in both the neonatal and adult brains [29, 30]. Functional studies to determine the identity of regulatory elements for these genes have not yet been reported.

Neuronal activity is a highly energy intensive process, with the most energy consuming step being the constant repolarization of the membrane potential through active pumping out of cations that enter via excitatory neurotransmitter receptors. GluN1/2B receptors play a critical role in neuronal activity, neuronal plasticity, and the proper functioning of neurons. The GluN2B subunit is required for the proper trafficking of NMDA receptors to the cell surface [31], and its direct interactions with downstream signaling proteins is responsible for some of its effects [26]. Furthermore, the critical role of GluN1/2B receptors in neuronal development, plasticity, and functioning cannot be substituted or replaced by GluN1/2A [32]. Thus, GluN1/GluN2B containing receptors are important regulators of neuronal activity, and NRF-2 plays a vital role in this regulation and in coordinating synaptic transmission with energy generation.

NRF-2 regulates the same NMDA receptor subunits as nuclear respiratory factor 1 (NRF-1) [7], a transcription factor that also regulates all 13 subunits of COX [8]. Like NRF-2, NRF-1 links energy metabolism to neuronal activity. How do these two factors operate together to mediate such co-regulation? Three mechanisms are possible: complementary, concurrent and parallel, and a combination of complementary and concurrent/parallel mechanisms. In the complementary mechanism, NRF-2 regulates NMDA receptor subunit genes complementary to those regulated by NRF-1, whereas in the concurrent and parallel mechanism, NRF-2 and NRF-1 jointly regulate the same subunit genes in a parallel fashion (both are stimulatory). In a combination of the complementary and concurrent/parallel mechanisms, a subset of subunit genes controlled by NRF-2 is also concurrently controlled by NRF-1. This study documents that the mechanism used to co-regulate energy metabolism

(COX) and neuronal activity (NMDA receptors) by NRF-2 and NRF-1 is the concurrent and parallel mechanism (see Fig. 8). We have verified in previous reports that silencing either NRF-1 or NRF-2 did not affect the expression of the other [8, 19]. Thus, NRF-2 and NRF-1 operate independently of each other. A possible benefit of the concurrent and parallel mechanism is safety – ensuring that genes important for neuronal functioning are dually regulated. This mitigates possible detrimental effects of either failure or dysfunction of NRF-1 or NRF-2.

It is unlikely that the two transcription factors exist solely for redundancy. Knockout of either transcription factor is embryonically lethal. Whereas NRF-2 and NRF-1 both coregulate energy metabolism and neuronal activity, and each is regulated by neuronal activity, there may be subtle differences. NRF-2 has a virtually identical pattern of distribution as COX in the macaque visual cortex [15, 17, 18], whereas NRF-1 does not [unpublished observations]. It is possible that NRF-1 is co-regulating neuronal activity and energy metabolism at a basal level, while NRF-2 closely monitors changes in neuronal activity, and therefore, in energy demand, to affect its target genes. Distinct subtle differences in the roles of the two transcription factors that may lead to the fine tuning of Grin1 and Grin2b expression under different neuronal activity or metabolic conditions, is important, as the GluN1/2B receptor is critical for normal function but, when activated in excess, is responsible for excitotoxicty and neuronal death.

NRF-2 and NRF-1 mediate the tight coupling of neuronal activity to energy metabolism, but it is possible that there are other transcription factors that also mediate this coupling. Transcription factors such as those in the specificity family and CREB, as well as transcription coactivators, such as peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ coactivator 1α (PGC-1α), known to stimulate a powerful induction of both NRF-1 and NRF-2 [33], may be involved in such regulation. Research is underway to explore such possibilities.

Acknowledgments

It gives us great pleasure to thank Dr. Richard Scarpulla for his generous gift of NRF-2β antibody and Dr. Sakkapol Ongwijitwat for constructing the NRF-2α shRNA and the NRF-2α and β over-expression vectors. Supported by NIH Grant R01 EY018441.

Abbreviations

References

- 1. McBain CJ, Mayer ML. N-methyl-D-aspartic acid receptor structure and function. Physiol Rev. 1994; 74:723–760. [PubMed: 8036251]
- 2. Behe P, Stern P, Wyllie DJ, Nassar M, Schoepfer R, Colquhoun D. Determination of NMDA NR1 subunit copy number in recombinant NMDA receptors. Proc Biol Sci. 1995; 262:205–213. [PubMed: 8524912]
- 3. Hollmann M, Heinemann S. Cloned glutamate receptors. Annu Rev Neurosci. 1994; 17:31–108. [PubMed: 8210177]
- 4. Nakanishi S. Molecular diversity of glutamate receptors and implications for brain function. Science. 1992; 258:597–603. [PubMed: 1329206]
- 5. Blahos J 2nd, Wenthold RJ. Relationship between N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor NR1 splice variants and NR2 subunits. J Biol Chem. 1996; 271:15669–15674. [PubMed: 8663041]

- 6. Cull-Candy S, Brickley S, Farrant M. NMDA receptor subunits: diversity, development and disease. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2001; 11:327–335. [PubMed: 11399431]
- 7. Dhar SS, Wong-Riley MT. Coupling of energy metabolism and synaptic transmission at the transcriptional level: role of nuclear respiratory factor 1 in regulating both cytochrome c oxidase and NMDA glutamate receptor subunit genes. J Neurosci. 2009; 29:483–492. [PubMed: 19144849]
- 8. Dhar SS, Ongwijitwat S, Wong-Riley MT. Nuclear respiratory factor 1 regulates all ten nuclearencoded subunits of cytochrome c oxidase in neurons. J Biol Chem. 2008; 283:3120–3129. [PubMed: 18077450]
- 9. Wong-Riley MT. Cytochrome oxidase: an endogenous metabolic marker for neuronal activity. Trends Neurosci. 1989; 12:94–101. [PubMed: 2469224]
- 10. Rosmarin AG, Resendes KK, Yang Z, McMillan JN, Fleming SL. GA-binding protein transcription factor: a review of GABP as an integrator of intracellular signaling and proteinprotein interactions. Blood Cells Mol Dis. 2004; 32:143–154. [PubMed: 14757430]
- 11. Villena JA, Vinas O, Mampel T, Iglesias R, Giralt M, Villarroya F. Regulation of mitochondrial biogenesis in brown adipose tissue: nuclear respiratory factor-2/GA-binding protein is responsible for the transcriptional regulation of the gene for the mitochondrial ATP synthase beta subunit. Biochem J. 1998; 331(Pt 1):121–127. [PubMed: 9512469]
- 12. Sowa Y, Shiio Y, Fujita T, Matsumoto T, Okuyama Y, Kato D, Inoue J, Sawada J, Goto M, Watanabe H, Handa H, Sakai T. Retinoblastoma binding factor 1 site in the core promoter region of the human RB gene is activated by hGABP/E4TF1. Cancer Res. 1997; 57:3145–3148. [PubMed: 9242441]
- 13. Curcic D, Glibetic M, Larson DE, Sells BH. GA-binding protein is involved in altered expression of ribosomal protein L32 gene. J Cell Biochem. 1997; 65:287–307. [PubMed: 9138087]
- 14. Yang SJ, Liang HL, Ning G, Wong-Riley MT. Ultrastructural study of depolarization-induced translocation of NRF-2 transcription factor in cultured rat visual cortical neurons. Eur J Neurosci. 2004; 19:1153–1162. [PubMed: 15016074]
- 15. Wong-Riley MT, Yang SJ, Liang HL, Ning G, Jacobs P. Quantitative immuno-electron microscopic analysis of nuclear respiratory factor 2 alpha and beta subunits: Normal distribution and activity-dependent regulation in mammalian visual cortex. Vis Neurosci. 2005; 22:1–18. [PubMed: 15842736]
- 16. Zhang C, Wong-Riley MT. Depolarizing stimulation upregulates GA-binding protein in neurons: a transcription factor involved in the bigenomic expression of cytochrome oxidase subunits. Eur J Neurosci. 2000; 12:1013–1023. [PubMed: 10762332]
- 17. Nie F, Wong-Riley M. Nuclear respiratory factor-2 subunit protein: correlation with cytochrome oxydase and regulation by functional activity in the monkey primary visual cortex. J Comp Neurol. 1999; 404:310–320. [PubMed: 9952350]
- 18. Guo A, Nie F, Wong-Riley M. Human nuclear respiratory factor 2 alpha subunit cDNA: isolation, subcloning, sequencing, and in situ hybridization of transcripts in normal and monocularly deprived macaque visual system. J Comp Neurol. 2000; 417:221–232. [PubMed: 10660899]
- 19. Ongwijitwat S, Liang HL, Graboyes EM, Wong-Riley MT. Nuclear respiratory factor 2 senses changing cellular energy demands and its silencing down-regulates cytochrome oxidase and other target gene mRNAs. Gene. 2006; 374:39–49. [PubMed: 16516409]
- 20. Ongwijitwat S, Wong-Riley MT. Functional analysis of the rat cytochrome c oxidase subunit 6A1 promoter in primary neurons. Gene. 2004; 337:163–171. [PubMed: 15276212]
- 21. Ongwijitwat S, Wong-Riley MT. Is nuclear respiratory factor 2 a master transcriptional coordinator for all ten nuclear-encoded cytochrome c oxidase subunits in neurons? Gene. 2005; 360:65–77. [PubMed: 16126350]
- 22. Abmayr SM, Yao T, Parmely T, Workman JL. Preparation of nuclear and cytoplasmic extracts from mammalian cells. Curr Protoc Mol Biol, Chapter. 2006; 12(Unit 12):11.
- 23. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods. 2001; 25:402–408. [PubMed: 11846609]
- 24. Liang HL, Wong-Riley MT. Activity-dependent regulation of nuclear respiratory factor-1, nuclear respiratory factor-2, and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator-1 in neurons. Neuroreport. 2006; 17:401–405. [PubMed: 16514366]

- 25. Tang YP, Shimizu E, Dube GR, Rampon C, Kerchner GA, Zhuo M, Liu G, Tsien JZ. Genetic enhancement of learning and memory in mice. Nature. 1999; 401:63–69. [PubMed: 10485705]
- 26. El Gaamouch F, Buisson A, Moustie O, Lemieux M, Labrecque S, Bontempi B, De Koninck P, Nicole O. Interaction Between alphaCaMKII and GluN2B Controls ERK-Dependent Plasticity. J Neurosci. 2012; 32:10767–10779. [PubMed: 22855824]
- 27. Liu Y, Wong TP, Aarts M, Rooyakkers A, Liu L, Lai TW, Wu DC, Lu J, Tymianski M, Craig AM, Wang YT. NMDA receptor subunits have differential roles in mediating excitotoxic neuronal death both in vitro and in vivo. J Neurosci. 2007; 27:2846–2857. [PubMed: 17360906]
- 28. Sprengel R, Suchanek B, Amico C, Brusa R, Burnashev N, Rozov A, Hvalby O, Jensen V, Paulsen O, Andersen P, Kim JJ, Thompson RF, Sun W, Webster LC, Grant SG, Eilers J, Konnerth A, Li J, McNamara JO, Seeburg PH. Importance of the intracellular domain of NR2 subunits for NMDA receptor function in vivo. Cell. 1998; 92:279–289. [PubMed: 9458051]
- 29. Cull-Candy SG, Leszkiewicz DN. Role of distinct NMDA receptor subtypes at central synapses. Sci STKE. 2004; 2004:re16. [PubMed: 15494561]
- 30. Pina-Crespo JC, Talantova M, Micu I, States B, Chen HS, Tu S, Nakanishi N, Tong G, Zhang D, Heinemann SF, Zamponi GW, Stys PK, Lipton SA. Excitatory glycine responses of CNS myelin mediated by NR1/NR3 "NMDA" receptor subunits. J Neurosci. 2010; 30:11501–11505. [PubMed: 20739572]
- 31. She K, Ferreira JS, Carvalho AL, Craig AM. Glutamate Binding to the GluN2B Subunit Controls Surface Trafficking of N-Methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) Receptors. J Biol Chem. 2012; 287:27432– 27445. [PubMed: 22740692]
- 32. Wang CC, Held RG, Chang SC, Yang L, Delpire E, Ghosh A, Hall BJ. A critical role for GluN2Bcontaining NMDA receptors in cortical development and function. Neuron. 2011; 72:789–805. [PubMed: 22153375]
- 33. Wu Z, Puigserver P, Andersson U, Zhang C, Adelmant G, Mootha V, Troy A, Cinti S, Lowell B, Scarpulla RC, Spiegelman BM. Mechanisms controlling mitochondrial biogenesis and respiration through the thermogenic coactivator PGC-1. Cell. 1999; 98:115–124. [PubMed: 10412986]

Highlights

- NRF-2 functionally regulates critical Grin1 and Grin2b subunits of NMDA receptors
- Silencing NRF-2 prevented KCl-induced up-regulation of Grin1, Grin2b, and COX
- **•** Over-expressing NRF-2 rescued TTX-induced down-regulation of Grin1, Grin_{2b}, and COX
- **•** NRF-2 (GABP) transcriptionally coregulates energy metabolism and neuronal activity
- **•** NRF-2 and NRF-1 regulate NMDA receptors and COX in a concurrent and parallel manner

Fig. 1.

In vitro binding activity of NRF-2 to putative binding sites on NMDA receptor subunit promoters as determined with EMSA and supershift assays. $(A-B)$ ³²P- labeled oligonucleotides, excess unlabeled oligos as competitors, excess unlabeled mutant NRF-2 oligos as competitors, N2a nuclear extract, and NRF-2 α antibodies are indicated by a + or a − sign. Arrowheads indicate specific NRF-2 shift, supershift, and non-specific complexes. (A) The positive control, $Cox6b$, shows a shift (A, lane 1) and a supershift (A, lane 3) band. When excess unlabeled competitor was added, it did not yield a band (A, lane 2). Grin1 and Grin2b promoters containing putative NRF-2 binding sites showed specific shift and supershift bands that were competed out by excess unlabeled competitors (A, lanes 4, 6, and 5; lanes 9, 11, and 10, respectively). The specific shift bands for Grin1 and Grin2b were not competed out by an excess of unlabeled mutant NRF-2 oligos (A, lanes 7 and 12, respectively). Labeled mutated NRF-2 sites on *Grin1* and *Grin2b* were used as negative controls, and they did not yield specific bands (A, lanes 17–19; A, lanes 14–16, respectively). Using labeled probe and NRF2α antibody alone in the absence of nuclear extract did not result in a shift band for *Grin1* or *Grin2b* (A, lanes 8 and 13, respectively). (B) Grin3a promoter containing putative NRF-2 binding site showed specific shift and supershift bands that were competed out by an excess of unlabeled competitors (B, lanes 1,

3, and 2, respectively). An excess of unlabeled mutant NRF-2 oligos was not able to compete (B, lane 4). Labeled mutant NRF-2 oligos did not yield specific shift or supershift bands (B, lanes 6–8). Labeled Grin3a oligo with NRF-2α antibody alone did not result in any band (B, lane 5). Labeled Grin2a, Grin2c, Grin2d, and Grin3b oligos did not yield specific shift bands (B, lanes 9-12, respectively).

Priya et al. Page 17

Fig. 2.

In vivo ChIP assays for NRF-2 interaction with NMDA receptor subunits in N2a cells (A) and murine visual cortical neurons (B). Chromatin was precipitated with anti NRF-2α antibodies (NRF-2 IP lane), anti-nerve growth factor receptor p75 antibody (negative control, NGFR IP lane) or no antibody (negative control, No Ab lane). Control reactions for PCR were performed with 0.5% (Input 0.5% IP lane) and 0.1% (Input 0.1% IP lane) of input chromatin. Cox6B promoter was used as a positive control, and Exon 8 of NRF-1 was used as a negative control. Results indicate interactions of NRF-2 with Grin1 and Grin2b but not with Grin2a, Grin2c, Grin2d, Grin3a, or Grin3b in both N2a cells and murine visual cortical tissue.

Fig. 3.

Site-directed mutational analysis of promoters of wild type (wt) and those with mutated NRF-2 binding site (mut) for *Cox6b*, *Grin1*, and *Grin2b* genes. *Cox6b* served as a positive control, and mutating the NRF-2 site resulted in a significant decrease in the luciferase activity as compared to the wild type. Similarly, mutating the NRF-2 binding sites on Grin1 and Grin2b genes resulted in significant decreases in luciferase activity. KCl depolarization significantly increased promoter activity in all wild types, but not in Cox6b, Grin1 and Grin2b promoters with mutated NRF-2 sites. N = 6 for each construct. ***= $P < 0.001$; X = NS. All mutants and wild type $+$ KCl are compared to the wild type. All mutant $+$ KCl are compared to mutants.

Fig. 4.

Effect of RNA interference-mediated silencing of NRF-2α on the expression of COX and NMDA receptor subunit genes. (A) Western blots revealed a down-regulation of NRF-2α, GluN1 and GluN2B, but not GluN2A, protein in shRNA-transfected N2a cells. β-actin served as a loading control. (B) Real-time PCR revealed a down-regulation of transcripts in N2a cells transfected with NRF-2α shRNA as compared to control vectors. Grin1 and Grin2b mRNA levels decreased with NRF-2α silencing, but not those of Grin2a, Grin2c, Grin2d, Grin3a, and Grin3b. As a positive control, COX7c mRNA levels were also decreased with NRF-2 α silencing. N = 6 for each data point; **= $P < 0.01$, ***= $P < 0.001$, and $X =$ non-significant when compared to controls.

Fig. 5.

Effect of NRF-2α and β over-expression on the transcript and protein levels of COX and NMDA receptor subunit genes. (A) Western blots revealed an up-regulation of NRF-2α and NRF-2β with NRF-2α/β over-expression. GluN1 and GluN2B, but not GluN2A, protein levels increased significantly with NRF-2α/β over-expression. β-actin served as a loading control. (B) Real-time PCR revealed an up-regulation of NRF-2α and β mRNA with NRF-2α/β over-expression as compared to control vectors. (C) Grin1 and Grin2b, but not Grin2a, Grin2c, Grin2d, Grin3a, and Grin3b mRNA levels also increased with overexpression. As a positive control, COX7c mRNA levels increased with NRF-2α/β overexpression. N = 6 for each data point; ***= P < 0.001 and X = non-significant when compared to control.

Priya et al. Page 21

Fig. 6.

Effect of KCl or TTX treatment in the presence of NRF-2 silencing or over-expression, respectively, on the transcript levels of Grin1, Grin2b, COX7c, and Grin2a. (A) N2a cells treated for 5 h with 20 mM KCl revealed an up-regulation of all transcripts as compared to controls. In the presence of shRNA against NRF-2α, 5 h treatment with 20 mM KCl failed to up-regulate the transcripts of *Grin1*, *Grin2b*, and *COX7c*, but it did up-regulate those of Grin2a and Grin3a. (B) N2a cells treated for 3 days with 0.4μ M TTX revealed a downregulation of all tested transcripts as compared to controls. Over-expression of NRF-2α and $β$ rescued the down-regulation of the *COX7c*, *Grin1*, and *Grin2b* transcripts, but not those of Grin2a and Grin3a. N = 6 for each data point; *= P < 0.05, **= P < 0.01, and ***= P < 0.001 when compared to controls; $\# \# \# = P \lt 0.001$ and $X =$ non-significant when compared to KCl− or TTX-treated samples.

Priya et al. Page 22

Fig. 7.

Aligned partial sequences of Grin1 and Grin2b promoters from mouse, rat, and human showed conserved NRF-2 binding sites.

Fig. 8.

Venn diagram depicting that NRF-2, NRF-1, and perhaps other transcription factors mediate the tight coupling between neuronal activity (NMDA receptors) and energy metabolism (cytochrome c oxidase) at the molecular level.

Table 1A

EMSA Probes. Positions of probes are given relative to TSP. Putative NRF-2 binding sites are underlined.

Table 1B

Mutant EMSA Probes. Positions of probes are given relative to TSP. Mutated NRF-2 binding sites are underlined.

Primers and conditions used for ChIP analysis.

Table 3A

Primers used for promoter cloning analysis.

Table 3B

Primers used for promoter mutagenesis analysis. Mutated NRF-2 binding sites are underlined.

Table 4

NRF-2α and NRF-2β Cloning Primers

Table 5

Real Time Primers

