

Molecular characterisation of gastrointestinal stromal tumours in a South African population

GILLIAN BAKER¹, CHANTAL BABB², DESMOND SCHNUGH¹, SIMON NAYLER³, MELANIE LOUW⁴, JACQUELINE GOEDHALS⁵, PIERRE-PAUL BRINGUIER⁶, JEAN-YVES BLAY⁷ and PASCALE WILLEM¹

¹Department of Molecular Medicine and Haematology, Faculty of Health Sciences, National Health Laboratory Services and University of the Witwatersrand;

²National Cancer Registry and Research, NHLS/MRC Cancer Epidemiology Research Group, National Health Laboratory Services; ³Department of Histopathology, Partner Drs Gritzman and Thatcher, The Wits University Donald Gordon Medical Centre, Johannesburg; ⁴Department of Anatomical Pathology, National Health Laboratory Service and University of Pretoria, Tshwane; ⁵Department of Anatomical Pathology, Faculty of Health Sciences, National Health Laboratory Service and University of the Free State, Bloemfontein, Republic of South Africa; ⁶Department of Pathology, Edouard Herriot Hospital and University of Lyon; ⁷Department of Medical Oncology, Center Leon Berard, University Claude Bernard, Lyon, France

Received July 20, 2012; Accepted October 3, 2012

DOI: 10.3892/ol.2012.1013

Abstract. Gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GISTs) are the most common mesenchymal tumours of the digestive tract. Pathogenesis is linked to activating mutations identified in two proto-oncogenes, *v-kit Hardy/Zuckerman 4 feline sarcoma viral oncogene homologue KIT (KIT)* and the *platelet-derived growth factor α (PDGFR α)*. In addition, these mutations affect response to treatment with tyrosine kinase inhibitors. In the present study, we report on the molecular characterisation of GISTs in the South African population. Tumour DNA was extracted from 46 GIST samples, followed by cycle sequencing of *KIT* exons 11, 13 and 17 and *PDGFR α* exons 12, 14 and 18. Fragment length analysis was used to detect a 6-bp duplication in *KIT* exon 9. Wild-type duplications were analysed further by PCR and sequencing of additional *KIT* and *PDGFR α* exons was performed. Overall, 78.3% of the samples had a mutation in *KIT* or *PDGFR α* . Of these, mutations were detected in *KIT* exon 11 (88.9%), *PDGFR α* exon 18 (8.3%) and *KIT* exon 9 (2.8%). Mutations varied from simple substitutions and duplications to large deletions (some with nucleotide insertions) resulting in missense mutations. In addition, seven single nucleotide polymorphisms were detected in 17 patients, one of which appears novel. The incidence of mutations in *KIT* exon 11 and *PDGFR α* exon 18 is consistent with the literature,

however, the low incidence of *KIT* exon 9 mutations detected was unexpected. In contrast to previous western and Asian studies, this mutation appears to be rare in the South African population. The present study contributes to the molecular understanding of GISTs in the South African population.

Introduction

Gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GISTs) are rare, however they are the most common mesenchymal tumours of the digestive tract. The annual GIST incidence in the western world is estimated at 11-15 cases/million individuals (1) and the disease predominantly affects middle-aged or elderly individuals; however, the disease has been identified in a wide range of ages. GISTs are highly resistant to chemotherapy and surgical resection is the current mainstay treatment (2). Risk of recurrence or metastasis is high. Factors of malignancy prediction include tumour size and mitotic index, as well as the tumour site (1,3).

The pathogenesis of GISTs has been linked to activating mutations occurring in two proto-oncogenes, *v-kit Hardy/Zuckerman 4 feline sarcoma viral oncogene homologue KIT (KIT)* and the *platelet derived growth factor α (PDGFR α)* (4,5). The genes encode homologous type III tyrosine kinases (6). Activating mutations render the receptors constitutively activated, resulting in the dysregulation of several signalling pathways and uncontrolled cellular proliferation (7). Mutations in the juxtamembrane domain of *KIT* are most frequently identified and this region is encoded by exons 9 and 11, while mutations in the tyrosine kinase domain (exons 13 and 17) are rare. In *PDGFR α* , juxtamembrane (exon 12) mutations are rare, however, the kinase domain, encoded by exon 14 and 18, is frequently mutated (8-10).

An increased understanding of GIST pathogenesis has led to targeted treatment with tyrosine kinase inhibitors

Correspondence to: Ms. Gillian Baker, Room 3B01, Wits Medical School, 7 York Road, Parktown, Johannesburg 2195, Republic of South Africa
E-mail: gillian.baker@nhls.ac.za

Key words: gastrointestinal stromal tumours, *KIT* mutations, *PDGFR α* mutations, South Africa

(TKIs), including imatinib and sunitinib. These drugs block the protein tyrosine kinase domain, preventing downstream signalling (1). The majority of activating mutations render the tumour more susceptible to TKIs and therefore TKI treatment is recommended as an adjuvant to surgery in patients at high risk for relapse, as well as for metastatic GIST (1,7,11). Overall, GISTs with *KIT* exon 11 mutations exhibit the best response to TKI therapy when compared with wild-type tumours and other mutations (12). It was previously hypothesised that the conformational changes caused by the mutations enable improved access of the drug to the tyrosine kinase domain of the protein (13). However, some primary and secondary mutations are associated with imatinib resistance. Determination of mutations in the *KIT* and *PDGFR α* genes by direct sequencing is therefore essential for individual targeted treatment of GIST patients (2).

A number of previous studies have characterised GIST samples at the molecular level, generating population-specific data for USA, Europe and Asia. Information on *KIT* and *PDGFR α* mutations associated with GIST in African individuals remains extremely scarce (14). The present study is the first to report on the molecular characterisation of 46 African GIST samples. We have developed initial data with the aim to determine the GIST mutation pattern in the South African population.

Materials and methods

Patient selection and samples. Ethics approval was granted from the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of the Witwatersrand. Archival material was used in the present study. A total of 46 formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) GIST classified samples were received from all regions in South Africa. A haematoxylin and eosin slide from each block was reviewed by experienced pathologists and the tumour area selected for DNA extraction.

DNA extraction. Each FFPE tissue block was sectioned using a clean microtome blade and five 4- μ m sections were cut and baked at 48.2°C for 2 h or overnight. Sections were deparaffinised in methylcyclohexane followed by rehydration in a series of 100% ethanol, 95% ethanol and distilled water washes. DNA was extracted using the Qiagen DNeasy kit (Whitehead Scientific, Brackenfell, South Africa) following the manufacturer's instructions.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification and DNA cycle sequencing. PCR conditions were optimised for each exon, as previously described (9,15). Primers used are listed in Table I. PCR was prioritised into two rounds, according to the most prevalent mutations. The first round was performed on all samples and amplified *KIT* exons 9, 11, 13 and 17 along with *PDGFR α* exons 12, 14 and 18. The second round PCR was only performed on the sample if the first round of sequenced results were all wild-type. The second round consisted of amplification of *KIT* exons 10, 12, 14, 16, 18 and 19 and *PDGFR α* exons 10, 13, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20 and 21. *KIT* exon 9 was not sequenced but resolved in a 4% MetaPhor agarose gel (Whithead Scientific). PCR fragment length analysis was used to determine the presence of a 6-bp duplication (codons 502-503) (10,16). All

other amplified exons were screened on a 2% agarose gel and subsequently sequenced in both directions using the BigDye terminator kit v3.1 (Applied Biosystems, Bedford, MA, USA). Reactions were loaded on either an ABI 3170 or 3500 genetic analyser (Applied Biosystems). Sequences were analysed using Sequence Scanner v1.0 (Applied Biosystems).

Results

Patient demographics. In the present study, 46 GIST samples were obtained from 45 patients. The majority (80%) of the tumours were localised. The most common site of the tumour was the stomach (48%), followed by the small bowel (20%) and large bowel or colon (9%). The rest of the tumours (24%) occurred along various parts of the GI tract, including the liver, pancreas, retroperitoneal and intraperitoneal cavity. Patient age ranged between 17 and 86 years, with an average age of 56 years. Ethnicity was known for 35 patients and of these, 23 were African and 12 were Caucasian. There was a higher male to female ratio of 1.25:1. In addition, one patient had neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1). By assessing the tumour size, mitotic index and tumour site, the risk for malignancy was evaluated for 43 of the samples. The majority of the samples (23 GISTs) were high risk, with a mitotic count >10/50 high-powered fields and/or a tumour diameter >10 cm. Eight and 12 samples were classified as either intermediate or low risk, respectively. Follow-up data were not available for the majority of the cases.

Mutational analysis. Overall, 36 (78.3%) samples had a mutation in one of the two genes while the remaining 10 samples were considered wild-type (21.7%). Of the samples with mutations, 32 (88.9%) occurred in *KIT* exon 11, three (8.3%) in *PDGFR α* exon 18 and one (2.8%) in *KIT* exon 9. The wild-type samples included tumours from a juvenile boy (aged 17 years) and the known NF1 patient.

Mutations occurring in *KIT* exon 11 included substitutions, deletions, deletions with a missense mutation, deletions and nucleotide insertions resulting in missense mutations and a duplication (Fig. 1A) in 10, 8, 10, 3 and 1 case(s), respectively. *KIT* exon 11 mutations occurred in 73% of GISTs arising in the stomach, 56% in the small bowel and accounted for 85% of tumours detected in other areas of the GI tract. The most commonly (46.9%) affected *KIT* exon 11 codon was 559, but mutations were also identified in codons 550-579. Two of the three *PDGFR α* exon 18 mutations occurred in GIST arising in the stomach and the other was in the colon. *PDGFR α* exon 18 mutations included a substitution, deletion and deletion with nucleotide insertion resulting in a missense mutation. These affected *PDGFR α* exon 18 codons 842 to 846 (Fig. 1B). A single *KIT* exon 9 mutation was identified in a GIST arising from the liver. This patient had an additional primary GIST of the small bowel with a *KIT* exon 11 mutation (absent in the liver GIST).

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). Seven different SNPs were detected in 17 patients with one or more SNPs (Table II). Seven of these patients had wild-type tumours. Thirteen were of African ethnicity, two were Caucasian and two were of unknown ethnicity. Of the seven SNPs, one in *KIT* exon 17 (K779K; AAA→AAG) has not been previously identified.

Table II. SNPs detected in *KIT* and *PDGFR α* in 46 GIST samples from South Africa.

Gene	Exon	Description	Site of tumour ^a	Mutation status ^a	Ethnicity ^a
<i>KIT</i>	11	P585P (CCC>TCC) rs121913515	Small bowel (1)	Wild-type (1)	African (1)
<i>KIT</i>	18	L862L (CTG>CTC) rs3733542	Stomach (1)	Wild-type (2)	African (2)
			Small bowel (1)		
<i>PDGFRα</i>	12	P567P (CCG>CCA) rs1873778	Stomach (3)	K11 mutation (3)	African (4)
			Small bowel (1)	Wild-type (1)	
<i>PDGFRα</i>	13	Intronic (AAA>A3AG) rs41279523	Large bowel (1)	Wild-type (1)	African (1)
<i>PDGFRα</i>	17	K779K (AAA>AAG) Unknown	Rectum (1)	Wild-type (1)	African (1)
<i>PDGFRα</i>	18	V824V (GTC>GTT) rs2228230	Stomach (9)	Wild-type (5)	African (10)
			Small bowel (2)	K11 mutation (7)	Caucasian (2)
			Large bowel (1)	P18 mutation (1)	Unknown (2)
			Other (2)		
<i>PDGFRα</i>	20	R914R (CGG>CGA) rs56384252	Rectum (1)	Wild-type (1)	African (1)

^aNumber of patients indicated in parentheses. SNPs, single nucleotide polymorphisms; GIST, gastrointestinal stromal tumour; K11, *KIT* exon 11; P18, *PDGFR α* exon 18.

Table III. *KIT* and *PDGFR α* mutational rates in various populations.

Country	Gene	Number of studies/ total sample number	Mutated exons (%)						Reference
			<i>KIT</i>				<i>PDGFRα</i>		
			11	9	13	17	18	12	
Taiwan	<i>KIT</i>	2/188	66.1	14.2	0.0	0.0	-	-	20, 28
	<i>PDGFRα</i>	1/134	-	-	-	-	0.0	0.8	
Japan	<i>KIT</i>	2/118	58.7	7.0	0.0	NP	-	-	30-32
	<i>PDGFRα</i>	1/70	-	-	-	-	4.3	2.9	
China	<i>KIT</i>	1/165	63.0	6.6	1.3	0.6	-	-	29
	<i>PDGFRα</i>	1/165	-	-	-	-	3.0	0.0	
Brazil	<i>KIT</i>	1/55	69.0	3.6	0.0	0.0	-	-	33
	<i>PDGFRα</i>	1/55	-	-	-	-	1.8	5.5	
Spain	<i>KIT</i>	1/166	47.5	2.4	0.6	1.6	-	-	27
	<i>PDGFRα</i>	1/166	-	-	-	-	4.0	4.0	
USA	<i>KIT</i>	4/818	56.5	8.9	0.4	0.6	-	-	5,21-23
	<i>PDGFRα</i>	3/693	-	-	-	-	8.1	0.7	
Europe	<i>KIT</i>	3/591	62.6	9.9	1.4	0.45	-	-	24-26
	<i>PDGFRα</i>	3/579	-	-	-	-	3.9	0.8	
South Africa	<i>KIT</i>	1/46	69.6	2.8	0.0	0.0	-	-	Present study
	<i>PDGFRα</i>	1/46	-	-	-	-	6.5	0.0	

NP, not performed.

Discussion

Molecular profiling of GIST is recommended for the determination of the best course of treatment for a patient with unresectable or metastatic disease (2). Previously, our laboratory reported *KIT* and *PDGFR α* mutational incidence in 17 samples from South African GIST patients (14). The present study expands

this previous report and aimed to determine the molecular profile of 46 GIST samples. In addition, the present findings were compared with those from developing and first world countries. Overall, a mutation was identified in 78.3% of South African GISTs. The majority of these mutations occurred in *KIT* exon 11 (88.9%) followed by *PDGFR α* exon 18 (8.3%). The *KIT* exon 9 mutation was rare and was identified in only one sample.

GIST pathology studies have been previously performed in a number of countries worldwide, including Africa and South Africa (17,18). Previously, Tran *et al* (19) revealed that GIST incidence was higher in African-Americans compared with Caucasians. However, information on GIST incidence and mutational profiles is scarce in Africa. The genetic profile of South African GIST patients remains poorly understood and must be determined.

The frequency of specific mutations varies worldwide, however, current results demonstrate that *KIT* mutations are the most common while those in *PDGFR α* have only been detected in *KIT* wild-type GISTs (Table III) (1). Variance in reported mutation rates for various populations is thought to be due to a number of factors, including ethnicity, study design and selection criteria for each study (7,20).

Previous studies performed in European and North American populations (western populations) revealed that 85-90% of GISTs exhibited an activating mutation in *KIT* or *PDGFR α* (1). The most frequently observed mutation is *KIT* exon 11, followed by *KIT* exon 9 and *PDGFR α* exon 18 (21-25). Mutations have been detected at much lower frequencies in *KIT* exons 13 and 17 and *PDGFR α* exons 12 and 14 (21-25). These trends are comparable in other populations as well as in the present findings, despite small sample numbers. In the present study, none of the mutations identified resulted in frame shift or nonsense mutations. Point mutations in *KIT* exon 11 were detected in codons 557, 559 and 576 only, with codon 559 being the most frequently affected. Deletions in *KIT* exon 11 affected codons 558 followed by 557. Three of the five deletions, in more distal regions of this exon, involved codons 568 and/or 570. The duplication occurred following codon 570. The above findings are consistent with previous literature (7,8,26,27). Additional mutations in the remaining exons of *KIT* and *PDGFR α* that were sequenced were not identified.

Previous Taiwanese studies demonstrated that *PDGFR α* mutations are rare in Taiwan. Only 1/134 patients revealed a *PDGFR α* mutation in exon 12 and no mutations in the more prevalent exon 18 were identified (20,28). By contrast, a Chinese study was consistent with western trends described above (29). Japanese studies revealed the same trend but also demonstrated a higher prevalence of *PDGFR α* exon 12 mutations (30-32). In addition, this was observed in Brazilian and Hispanic populations, revealing higher mutation rates in *PDGFR α* exon 12 than exon 18 (27,33). In the present study, a more common pattern of *PDGFR α* exon 18 mutations was observed, occurring in 6.5% of GIST samples, while no exon 12 mutations were identified.

KIT exon 9 mutations are the second most prevalent mutations detected in western and Asian populations. However, the present study revealed similar findings to those of previous Brazilian and Hispanic studies, demonstrating a reduced *KIT* exon 9 mutation frequency than *PDGFR α* exons (27,33). A single sample revealed a *KIT* exon 9 mutation. Therefore, we assume that *KIT* exon 9 mutations are rare in South African GIST patients. However, a larger study is required to yield more concrete findings. The *KIT* exon 9 mutation was identified in a metastatic tumour of a Caucasian patient with a primary tumour in the small bowel with a *KIT* exon 11 deletion. However, the metastatic tumour did not possess the *KIT* exon 11 mutation, indicating that the metastatic tumour was an independent GIST

to the primary tumour. Duplication of codons 502 and 503 of *KIT* exon 9 was not detected in any of the African patients.

Molecular characterisation is vital for the prediction of response, choice and dose of TKIs. Imatinib is the first choice TKI, while sunitinib is the second line of therapy in cases of resistance (12). As a general rule, mutations in *KIT* exon 11 are sensitive to imatinib (11). GIST cases with a *KIT* exon 9 mutation tend to be more aggressive and should receive a higher daily dose of imatinib (10-12). The effect of mutations in *PDGFR α* have variable effects on imatinib sensitivity. However, the most common mutation identified, the D842V substitution, is resistant to imatinib treatment (10,15). In the present study, a single known resistant mutation was identified, a D842V mutant.

Genetic diversity is a common trait in African populations (34). As the majority of the patients were of African ethnicity, it was predicted that silent variations would also be detected and it was identified that 77.8% of the patients containing an SNP were of African ethnicity. A novel SNP was detected in *KIT* exon 17 that has not previously been described. An SNP was observed in 17/45 patients, of which 7 were identified to be wild-type for *KIT* and *PDGFR α* . A previous Brazilian study (33) analysed SNP rates and identified polymorphisms in 3/11 wild-type GIST cases. SNPs do not lead to phenotypic alterations, however, their impact on disease progression or treatment is currently unknown.

The mutation status of GISTs affects the efficacy of TKIs in treatment. Therefore, it is vital to determine the genetic profile of a GIST to provide the best possible care for patients. The genetic profile of African GIST patients, including South Africans, is currently unknown and further investigation is required in this area of research. The present study is likely to contribute to the understanding of the molecular profile of GIST in South African patients.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Novartis Oncology and University of the Witwatersrand for their contribution towards publishing this article.

References

1. Bachet JP and Emile JF: Diagnostic criteria, specific mutations and genetic predisposition in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *Appl Clin Genet* 3: 85-101, 2010.
2. Blay JY and Reichardt P: Advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumour in Europe: a review of updated treatment recommendations. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther* 9: 1-8, 2009.
3. Gupta P, Tewari M and Shukla HS: Gastrointestinal stromal tumor. *Surg Oncol* 17: 129-138, 2008.
4. Hirota S, Isozaki K, Moriyama Y, *et al*: Gain-of-function mutations of c-kit in human gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *Science* 279: 577-580, 1998.
5. Heinrich MC, Corless CL, Duensing A, *et al*: PDGFRA activating mutations in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *Science* 299: 708-710, 2003.
6. Stenman G, Eriksson A and Claesson-Welsh L: Human PDGFA receptor gene maps to the same region on chromosome 4 as the *KIT* oncogene. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 1: 155-158, 1989.
7. Lasota J and Miettinen M: Clinical significance of oncogenic *KIT* and PDGFRA mutations in gastrointestinal stromal tumours. *Histopathology* 53: 245-266, 2008.
8. Corless CL, Fletcher JA and Heinrich MC: Biology of gastrointestinal tumors. *J Clin Oncol* 22: 3813-3825, 2004.

9. Corless C, Schroeder A, Griffith D, *et al*: PDGFRA mutations in gastrointestinal stromal tumors: frequency, spectrum and in vitro sensitivity to imatinib. *J Clin Oncol* 23: 5357-5364, 2005.
10. Cassier PA and Blay JY: Molecular response prediction in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *Target Oncol* 5: 29-37, 2010.
11. Blay JY: A decade of tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy: Historical and current perspectives on targeted therapy for GIST. *Cancer Treat Rev* 37: 373-384, 2011.
12. Demetri GD, von Mehren M, Antonescu CR, *et al*: NCCN Task force report: Update on the management of patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumours. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 8: S1-41, 2010.
13. Mol CD, Dougan DR, Schneider TR, *et al*: Structural basis for the autoinhibition and STI-571 inhibition of c-Kit tyrosine kinase. *J Biol Chem* 279: 31655-31663, 2004.
14. Babb C, Schnugh D, Louw M, *et al*: Getting the gist: what is the importance of molecular genetics in gastro-intestinal stromal tumours (GIST). *S Afr Gastroenterol Rev* 8: 4-5, 2010.
15. Heinrich MC, Owzar K, Corless CL, *et al*: Correlation of kinase genotype and clinical outcome in the North American Intergroup Phase III Trial of imatinib mesylate for treatment of advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumor: CALGB 150105 Study by Cancer and Leukemia Group B and Southwest Oncology Group. *J Clin Oncol* 26: 5360-5367, 2008.
16. Yuzawa S, Opatowsky Y, Zhang Z, Mandiyan V, Lax I and Schlessinger J: Structural basis for activation of the receptor tyrosine kinase KIT by stem cell factor. *Cell* 130: 323-334, 2007.
17. Abdulkareem FB, Rotimi O, Elesha SO and Banjo AA: Immunophenotyping of gastrointestinal mesenchymal tumours in Lagos, Nigeria. *West Afr J Med* 28: 358-362, 2009.
18. Hartley RJ, Becker JHR, Van Der Walt H and Luyhengo T: Gastro-intestinal stromal tumours (GISTs) - the Pretoria experience and a literature review. *S Afr J Surg* 49: 128-131, 2011.
19. Tran T, Davila JA and El-Serag HB: The epidemiology of malignant gastrointestinal stromal tumors: an analysis of 1,458 cases from 1992 to 2000. *Am J Gastroenterol* 100: 162-168, 2005.
20. Tzen CY, Wang MN and Mau BL: Spectrum and prognostication of KIT and PDGFRA mutation in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 34: 563-568, 2008.
21. Agaram NP, Baren A, Arkun K, Dematteo NP, Besmer P and Antonescu CR: Comparative ultrastructural analysis and KIT/PDGFR α genotype in 125 gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *Ultrastruct Pathol* 30: 443-452, 2006.
22. Miettinen M, Sobin LH and Lasota J: Gastrointestinal stromal tumors of the stomach: a clinicopathologic, immunohistochemical and molecular genetic study of 1765 cases with long-term follow-up. *Am J Surg Pathol* 29: 52-68, 2005.
23. Miettinen M, Makhlof H, Sobin LH and Lasota J: Gastrointestinal stromal tumors of the jejunum and ileum: a clinicopathologic, immunohistochemical and molecular genetic study of 906 cases before imatinib with long-term follow-up. *Am J Surg Pathol* 30: 477-489, 2006.
24. Debiec-Rychter M, Dumez H, Judson I, *et al*: Use of c-KIT/PDGFR α mutational analysis to predict the clinical response to imatinib in patients with advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumours entered on phase I and II studies of the EORTC Soft Tissue and Bone Sarcoma Group. *Eur J Cancer* 40: 689-689, 2004.
25. Debiec-Rychter M, Sciot R, Le Cesne A, *et al*: KIT mutations and dose selection for imatinib in patients with advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumours. *Eur J Cancer* 42: 1093-1103, 2006.
26. Andersson J, Bumming P and Meis-Kindblom JM, *et al*: Gastrointestinal stromal tumors with KIT exon 11 deletions are associated with poor prognosis. *Gastroenterology* 130: 1573-1581, 2006.
27. Martín J, Poveda A, Llombart-Bosch A, *et al*: Deletions affecting codons 557-558 of the c-KIT gene indicate a poor prognosis in patients with completely resected gastrointestinal stromal tumors: a study by the Spanish group for Sarcoma Research (GEIS). *J Clin Oncol* 23: 6190-6198, 2005.
28. Yeh CN, Chen TW, Lee HL, *et al*: Kinase mutations and imatinib mesylate response for 64 Taiwanese with advanced GIST: preliminary experience from Chang Gung Memorial Hospital. *Ann Surg Oncol* 14: 1123-1128, 2007.
29. He HY, Fang WG, Zhong HH, *et al*: Status and clinical implication of c-KIT and PDGFRA mutations in 165 cases of gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST). *Zhonghua Bing Li Xue Za Zhi* 35: 262-266, 2006 (In Chinese).
30. Sakurai S, Fukasawa T, Chong JM, Tonaka A and Fukayama M: C-kit gene abnormalities in gastrointestinal stromal tumors (tumors of interstitial cells of Cajal). *Jpn J Cancer Res* 90: 1321-1328, 1999.
31. Sakurai S, Oguni O, Hironaka M, Fukayama M, Morinaga S and Saito K: Mutations in c-kit gene exons 9 and 13 in gastrointestinal stromal tumors among Japanese. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 92: 494-498, 2001.
32. Hirota S, Ohashi A, Nishida T, Isozaki K, Kinoshita K, Shinomura Y and Kitamura O: Gain-of-function mutations of platelet-derived growth factor receptor α gene in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *Gastroenterology* 125: 660-667, 2003.
33. Braggio DA, Braggio E, Small IA, *et al*: The profile of platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha (PDGFRA) gene alterations in GIST patients (pts) from Brazil. *J Clin Oncol* 26: 10561, 2008.
34. Campbell MC and Tishkoff SA: African genetic diversity: implications for human demographic history, modern human origins and complex disease mapping. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* 9: 303-333, 2008.