

---

 COMMENTS AND  
 RESPONSES
 

---

**Response to  
 Comment on: Rizzo  
 et al. Reduction of  
 Oxidative Stress and  
 Inflammation by  
 Blunting Daily Acute  
 Glucose Fluctuations  
 in Patients With Type  
 2 Diabetes: Role of  
 Dipeptidyl  
 Peptidase-IV  
 Inhibition. Diabetes  
 Care 2012;35:  
 2076–2082**

**D**eVries (1) was surprised that vildagliptin and sitagliptin groups reported different mean amplitude of glycemic excursions (MAGE) reduction because they have similar fasting and postprandial glucose levels and suggested reporting other measures of glucose variability to better understand these results.

Indeed, a previous study evaluating the role of glycemic variability in type 2 diabetic patients has demonstrated that patients with similar mean glucose and postprandial glucose have a markedly different daily glucose profile with differences both in number and duration of excursions (2). The difference in MAGE reduction found in the two study groups may be better clarified by the different glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) daily profiles of the two drugs (3) rather than by a graph showing changes in mean glucose values. In such GLP-1 profile, vildagliptin group had higher plasma GLP-1 levels not only during postprandial but also during interprandial periods.

The main aim of our study was to evaluate the effects of blunted glucose excursions on oxidative stress and inflammation parameters, which have previously been found associated with daily glucose fluctuations (4). Many different indexes have been proposed to assess glucose variability, but at the moment no “gold standard” procedure is available (5). Despite the fact that MAGE displays some significant limitations, it is the most common measure used for evaluating the association between glycemic variability and oxidative stress and inflammation parameters (4) and is the most appropriate to detect large glycemic peaks and nadirs encountered during a day (5), whereas continuous overlapping net glycemic action (defined as the SD of the differences between the current observation and the previous 2-h observation) is known to detect small glycemic swings and more appropriately describes the glycemic fluctuation of patients in optimal metabolic balance, without peak and nadir related to hypoglycemic treatment. In addition, SD, which is considered to be the simplest tool for describing glycemic variability that takes into account all excursions, has the limit of including all oscillations without a weighting of the minor or major variations (5). Several other methods such as mean absolute glucose change have been proposed but have not gained widespread use. We acknowledge that the different indexes do not seem to be interchangeable and should be used in the appropriate condition; indeed, most of these indexes are highly correlated (4) and provide the same information, making reasonable a reduction in the number of indexes that need to be considered. Whether calculating MAGE, SD, mean absolute glucose change, continuous overlapping net glycemic action, or other measures simultaneously helps to get additional insight in pathophysiological processes needs further investigation (4,5).

MARIA ROSARIA RIZZO, MD, PHD  
 MICHELANGELA BARBIERI, MD, PHD

RAFFAELE MARFELLA, MD, PHD  
 GIUSEPPE PAOLISSO, MD, PHD

From the Department of Geriatrics and Metabolic Diseases, Second University of Naples, Naples, Italy.

Corresponding author: Giuseppe Paolisso, giuseppe.paolisso@unina2.it.

DOI: 10.2337/dc12-1436

© 2013 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/> for details.

**Acknowledgments**—No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

.....

**References**

1. DeVries JH. Comment on: Rizzo et al. Reduction of oxidative stress and inflammation by blunting daily acute glucose fluctuations in patients with type 2 diabetes: role of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibition. *Diabetes Care* 2012;35:2076–2082 (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 2013;36:e12. DOI: 10.2337/dc12-1218
2. Ceriello A, Esposito K, Piconi L, et al. Oscillating glucose is more deleterious to endothelial function and oxidative stress than mean glucose in normal and type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes* 2008;57:1349–1354
3. Rizzo MR, Barbieri M, Marfella R, Paolisso G. Reduction of oxidative stress and inflammation by blunting daily acute glucose fluctuations in patients with type 2 diabetes: role of dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibition. *Diabetes Care* 2012;35:2076–2082
4. Monnier L, Mas E, Ginet C, Michel F, Villon L, Cristol JP, et al. Activation of oxidative stress by acute glucose fluctuations compared with sustained chronic hyperglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes. *JAMA* 2006;295:1681–1687
5. Hill NR, Oliver NS, Choudhary P, Levy JC, Hindmarsh P, Matthews DR. Normal reference range for mean tissue glucose and glycemic variability derived from continuous glucose monitoring for subjects without diabetes in different ethnic groups. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2011;13:921–928