

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Chem Res Toxicol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 May 21

Published in final edited form as:

Chem Res Toxicol. 2012 May 21; 25(5): 1119–1131. doi:10.1021/tx3000889.

Biomonitoring of Aristolactam-DNA Adducts in Human Tissues using Ultra-Performance Liquid Chromatography/Ion-Trap Mass Spectrometry

Byeong Hwa Yun¹, Thomas Rosenquist², Viktoriya Sidorenko², Charles Iden², Chen Chung-Hsin⁴, Yeong-Shiau Pu⁴, Radha Bonala², Francis Johnson^{2,5}, Kathleen G. Dickman^{2,3}, Arthur P. Grollman^{2,3,*}, and Robert J. Turesky^{1,*}

¹Division of Environmental Health Sciences, Wadsworth Center, New York State Department of Health, Empire State Plaza, Albany, New York 12201

²Department of Pharmacological Science, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794

³Department of Medicine, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY, 11794

⁴Department of Urology, National Taiwan University Hospital and College of Medicine, Taipei, Taiwan, 10002

⁵Department of Chemistry, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY, 11794

Abstract

Aristolochic acids (AAs) are a structurally-related family of nephrotoxic and carcinogenic nitrophenanthrene compounds found in Aristolochia herbaceous plants, many of which have been used worldwide for medicinal purposes. AAs have been implicated in the etiology of so-called Chinese herbs nephropathy and of Balkan endemic nephropathy. Both of these disease syndromes are associated with carcinomas of the upper urinary tract (UUC). 8-Methoxy-6-nitrophenanthro-[3,4-d]-1,3-dioxolo-5-carboxylic acid (AA-I) is a principal component of Aristolochia herbs. Following metabolic activation, AA-I reacts with DNA to form aristolactam (AL-I)-DNA adducts. We have developed a sensitive analytical method, using ultra-performance liquid chromatographyelectrospray ionization/multistage mass spectrometry (UPLC-ESI/MSⁿ) with a linear quadrupole ion-trap mass spectrometer, to measure 7-(deoxyadenosin-N⁶-yl) aristolactam I (dA-AL-I) and 7-(deoxyguanosin- N^2 -yl) aristolactam I (dG-AL-I) adducts. Using 10 μ g of DNA for measurements, the lower limits of quantitation of dA-AL-I and dG-AL-I are, respectively, 0.3 and 1.0 adducts per 10⁸ DNA bases. We have used UPLC-ESI/MSⁿ to quantify AL-DNA adducts in tissues of rodents exposed to AA, and in the renal cortex of patients with UUC who reside in Taiwan, where the incidence of this uncommon cancer is the highest reported for any country in the world. In human tissues, dA-AL-I was detected at levels ranging from 9 to 338 adducts per 10^8 DNA bases, whereas dG-AL-I was not found. We conclude that UPLC-ESI/MSⁿ is a highly sensitive, specific and robust analytical method, positioned to supplant ³²P-postlabeling techniques currently used for biomonitoring of DNA adducts in human tissues. Importantly, UPLC-ESI/MSⁿ could be used to document exposure to AA, the toxicant responsible for AA nephropathy and its associated UUC.

Corresponding authors: *Robert J. Turesky, Ph.D., Division of Environmental Health Sciences, Wadsworth Center, New York State Department of Health, Empire State Plaza, Albany, New York 12201. Tel: 518-474-4151; Fax: 518-473-2095;

Rturesky@wadsworth.org. *Arthur P. Grollman, M.D., Laboratory of Chemical Biology, Department of Pharmacological Sciences, Health Sciences Center BST-160, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY, 11794. Tel: 631-444-3080; Fax: 631-444-7641; apg@pharm.stonybrook.edu.

Supporting Information: Additional information is available as noted in text (Figures S1 - S7). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

Introduction

The *Aristolochiaceae* family of herbaceous plants, specifically members of the genus *Aristolochia*, have been used for medicinal purposes for more than 2500 years.^{1,2} Recently, aristolochic acid (AA), a principal component of all Aristolochia sp, was shown to be responsible for the clinical syndromes known as Chinese herbs nephropathy³ and Balkan endemic nephropathy (BEN),^{4,5} a devastating environmental disease. Both disorders are associated with a high incidence of urothelial carcinomas of the upper urinary tract (UUC),^{6,7} constituting a disease entity now designated aristolochic acid nephropathy (AAN).⁸

Aristolochia herbal remedies have been used widely in the practice of traditional Chinese medicine; moreover, the incidence of UUC in Taiwan, where usage has been carefully documented, is the highest of any country in the world.⁹ Aristolactam (AL) DNA adducts are present in the renal cortex of many Taiwanese patients with UUC and the documented *TP53* mutational signature in these carcinomas is almost identical to that observed in BEN.^{10,11} These molecular epidemiologic studies implicate AA as the causative factor in AAN, now recognized as both an environmental and long-overlooked iatrogenic disease.^{2,8}

8-Methoxy-6-nitrophenanthro-[3,4-*d*]-1,3-dioxolo-5-carboxylic acid (AA-I) and 6nitrophenathrene-[3,4-*d*]-1,3-dioxolo-5-carboxylic acid (AA-II) undergo bioactivation via enzymatic reduction of the nitro moieties of the phenanthrene rings to form *N*hydroxyaristolactams and the postulated nitrenium intermediates, which bind covalently to dA and dG residues in DNA (Figure 1).^{12,13} The major AL-DNA adducts identified in rodent tissues are 7-(deoxyadenosin- N^6 -yl)aristolactam I (dA-AL-I), 7-(deoxyguanosin- N^2 yl) aristolactam I (dG-AL-I), 7-(deoxyadenosin- N^6 -yl)aristolactam II (dA-AL-II), and 7-(deoxyguanosin- N^2 -yl)aristolactam II (dG-AL-II) (Figure 1).^{13,14} In humans, the highest levels of dA-AL-I are found in the renal cortex.^{15,16} This mutagenic lesion is responsible, via translesion synthesis, for generating A \rightarrow T transversions in AA-I-exposed fibroblasts prepared from Hupki (human *TP53* knock-in) mice¹⁷ and in the *TP53* tumor suppressor gene in urothelial carcinomas of patients with AA-induced UUC.^{10,11,18}

To date, biomonitoring of AL-DNA adducts in rodents and humans has been limited to ³²P-postlabeling techniques.^{19,20} However, this sensitive method of detection does not provide spectral data to establish the chemical identity of the lesion. Because humans are exposed to a plethora of genotoxicants,^{9,21} the potential for mis-characterizing DNA adducts when ³²P-postlabeling methods are used is quite high.²² Moreover, ³²P-postlabeling methods utilize high specific-activity radioactive phosphorus, and require labor-intensive chromatographic manipulations to separate DNA adducts from excess γ -[³²P]-ATP. As a consequence, implementation of ³²P-postlabeling techniques for population studies is problematic. Recent advances in liquid chromatography/mass spectrometric (LC/MS) methods,^{23,24} including several methods developed in our laboratory,^{25,26} have shown that the identification and quantitation of certain classes of DNA adducts by LC/MS techniques can be achieved, using small amounts of DNA, at a level of sensitivity that approaches the limit of adduct detection by ³²P-postlabeling methods.^{19,20}

Both triple-stage quadrupole mass spectrometry (TSQ MS) and quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry have been used to measure AL-DNA adducts in rodent tissues.^{27,28} Our previous studies demonstrated that capillary liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization/ multistage mass spectrometry (LC-ESI/MSⁿ), using a linear quadrupole ion trap mass spectrometer, had the requisite sensitivity to identify AL-DNA adducts in the renal cortex of a woman who developed end-stage renal failure after using an herbal remedy containing AAs.⁴ Similar results were obtained in studies of patients with AAN/UUC from Balkan

countries⁵ and in Taiwan.¹⁰ The objective of the present study was to establish and validate a robust, high-throughput LC-ESI/MSⁿ procedure employing the stable, isotope dilution method and to measure AL-DNA adducts in human tissues.

Experimental Section

Caution: Aristolochic acids are human carcinogens and should be handled with caution in a well-ventilated fume hood with the appropriate protective clothing. Human tissues specimens were processed in a biohazard hood and all unused tissue material was treated with bleach prior to discarding the material in biohazard waste receptacles.

Materials

8-Methoxy-6-nitrophenanthro-(3,4-d)-1,3-dioxolo-5-carboxylic acid (AA-I), aristolactam-I (AL-I), 7-(deoxyadenosin-N⁶-yl) aristolactam-II (dA-AL-II), [N1,N3,N⁶⁻¹⁵N₃]-dA-AL-II), 7-(deoxyguanosin-N²-yl) aristolactam II (dG-AL-II), and [N1,N²,N3-¹⁵N₃]-dG-AL-II were kind gifts from Dr. Horacio Priestap, Department of Pharmacological Science, Stony Brook University. Uniformly ¹⁵N-labeled 2'-deoxyguanosine, [¹⁵N₅]-dG and 2'-deoxyadenosine, $[^{15}N_5]$ -dA (isotopic purities 98.5%) were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc. (Andover, MA). Calf thymus DNA (CT DNA), DNase I (Type IV, from bovine pancreas), alkaline phosphatase (from Escherichia coli), and nuclease P1 (from Penicillium citrinum) were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). Phosphodiesterase I (from Crotalus adamanteus venom) was purchased from GE Healthcare (Piscataway, NJ). γ^{-32} P-ATP (6000 Ci/mmol) was purchased from PerkinElmer (Boston, USA). Enzymes used for digestion of DNA were obtained from Worthington (Newark, NJ, USA). 3'-Phosphatase-free OptiKinase was purchased from Affymetrix Inc. (Santa Clara, CA). Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (>99.9%), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) (>99%) and ACS reagent-grade formic acid (98%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). All solvents were high-purity B & J Brand from Honeywell Burdick and Jackson (Muskegon, MI) or Optima LC/MS brand from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ).

Synthesis and Purification of dA-AL-I and dG-AL-I Adducts

The syntheses of 7-(deoxyadenosin-N⁶-yl)aristolactam-I (dA-AL-I), ([¹⁵N₅]-dA-AL-I), 7-(deoxyguanosin- N^2 -yl) aristolactam I (dG-AL-I), and ([¹⁵N₅]-dG-AL-I) were performed by the reaction of AA-I with dA or dG (or [¹⁵N₅]-dA or [¹⁵N₅]-dG), using a modification of the protocol described by Schmeiser.²⁹ AA-I (1 mg) in DMF (100 μ L) was mixed with 20 mg of Zn dust pre-activated by treatment with 1% HCl. Then, dA or dG (or [¹⁵N₅]-labeled deoxynucleosides) (2 mg) in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (1 mL, pH 5.8) was added to the AA-I/Zn dust mixture. The reaction was conducted at 37 oC for 16 h in the dark. Thereafter, the solution was placed on ice for 30 min. The mixture was centrifuged at 15,000g for 5 min, and the supernatant was carefully removed from the Zn pellet and evaporated to dryness by vacuum centrifugation. The dried supernatants were dissolved in CH₃OH (1 mL), followed by dilution with H₂O (4 mL) and applied to Isolute C18 cartridges (100 mg) (Biotage, LLC, Charlotte, NC), which were preconditioned with CH₃OH (2 mL), followed by H_2O (2 mL). Then, the cartridges were washed with H_2O (2 mL), followed by sequential washing with 40% and 50% CH_3OH in H_2O (1 mL). The desired adducts were then eluted from the cartridges with CH₃OH (2×1 mL) and evaporated to dryness by vacuum centrifugation. The extracts were dissolved in DMSO (100 μ L) and diluted to 1 mL with H₂O. The Zn pellet was washed with DMSO (100 μ L) and diluted to 1 mL with H₂O, to further recover some of the precipitated adduct. Both extracts were then directly purified by HPLC (vide infra).

dA-AL-I, dG-AL-I and their [$^{15}N_5$]-labelled internal standards were purified by HPLC with an Agilent 1100 Chemstation system (Palo Alto, CA) equipped with a semi-preparative XBridge Prep Phenyl Column ($250 \times 10 \text{ mm}$, 5 μ m) (Waters Corp., Milford, MA). A linear gradient commenced at 90% solvent A (0.1% HCO₂H in H₂O) and 10% solvent B (95% CH₃CN, 4.9% H₂O, and 0.1% HCO₂H) and arrived at 90% solvent B at 30 min. The flow rate was 3 mL/min. The adducts were monitored at 410 nm. The fractions of dG-AL-I (t_R = 11.5 min) and dA-AL-I (t_R = 19.5 min) were collected in 0.5 M ammonium acetate (pH 6.8), to prevent hydrolysis of the *N*-glycosidic linkages by the acidic mobile phase solvents. The effluents were evaporated to dryness by vacuum centrifugation and purified by a second HPLC cycle to obtain adducts of the desired purity (>99%, based on HPLC with UV detection). The isotopic purity of ¹⁵N-labelled dA/dG-AL-I/II (98.5%) was determined by LC-ESI/MS (vide infra). The approximate overall yields of adducts were ~2% of the AA-I starting material.

Subsequent to the completion of the biomimetic syntheses of dG-AL-I and dA-AL-I and their internal standards, an unambiguous multi-step synthesis of dA-AL-I was achieved. The final coupling step that produced the adduct and ¹H NMR spectrum are reported in Supporting Information, Figures S1 and S2, and the details of the complete synthesis will be published elsewhere.

UV/Vis Spectra and Molar Extinction Coefficients of dA-AL-I and dG-AL-I

The dA-AL-I adduct was insoluble in water and most organic solvents. Therefore, the UV/ Vis spectrum of dA-AL-I was acquired in DMSO (Supporting Information, Figure S3). The molar extinction coefficient of the adduct was determined at the maxima at 305 nm (16,140 M^{-1} cm⁻¹) and 415 nm (5,400 M^{-1} cm⁻¹). The yield of dG-AL-I obtained by reaction of AA-I with Zn was insufficient to weigh accurately for the direct determination of its molar extinction coefficient by UV spectroscopy. Therefore, the molar extinction coefficient for dG-AL-I was estimated by comparison of its UV/vis spectrum to the spectrum of the synthetic dA-AL-I adduct, which contains the same phenanthrene ring structure. The UV/ Vis spectra of dG-AL-I and dA-AL-I are very similar, and we assumed that the molar extinction coefficients of the adducts at their maxima are similar (Supporting Information, Figure S3). Throughout this study, these molar extinction coefficients were used to determine concentrations of unlabeled and labeled dG-AL-I and dA-AL-I adducts.

Rodent Experiments

The guidelines established by the National Institutes of Health Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare, were adhered to for the use of animals. Male C57BL/6J mice (Jackson Laboratories, Bar Harbor, Maine), 8–10 weeks old, were acclimatized to temperature (22 ± 2 °C) and humidity ($55 \pm 5\%$) in controlled rooms with a 12 h light/dark cycle for at least 1 week before the experiment. Laboratory chow and tap water were given ad libitum. The mice were dosed (*i.p.*) with AA-I (0, 0.1, 1 or 2 mg/kg, in phosphate buffered saline). After 20 h, mice were euthanized by asphyxiation with CO₂, followed by cervical dislocation. This mouse strain is particularly resistant to the nephrotoxic effects of AA, which enabled us to give large doses of AA required for the DNA adduct validation study

Mouse tissues were homogenized and digested overnight in 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3) containing 10 mM EDTA, 0.1% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulphate (1 mL), proteinase K (0.5 mg/mL), and RNase A (0.1 mg/ml), at 55 °C. DNA was purified by sequential phenol:CHCl₃ (2×) and CHCl₃ extractions. DNA was recovered from the aqueous phase by precipitation in 50% isopropanol. The DNA pellet was rinsed with 70% ethanol and resuspended in Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.1 mM EDTA. The concentration of DNA was determined by UV, assuming that 50 μ g/ml of DNA gives an absorbance at 260 nm of 1.0.

Human Tissues

The research protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Boards at Stony Brook University, the Wadsworth Center, and the National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan. Matched tissue samples from both renal cortex and UUC tissue of the same subjects from Taiwan were obtained following nephroureterectomy. The samples were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C. The human tissues were thawed on ice, diluted with 3 vol 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3, 10 mM EDTA, and homogenized with a Potter Elvehjem homogenizer. The homogenates were centrifuged at 3000g for 10 min. The nuclear pellets containing DNA were processed as described above for mouse tissue.

Enzymatic Digestion of AL-DNA and ³²P-Postlabeling/PAGE Analysis

Human DNA (10–20 μ g) or mouse DNA (5–10 μ g) was digested with micrococcal nuclease (30 units) and spleen phosphodiesterase (0.15 unit) by incubating for 16 h at 37°C h in 17 mM sodium succinate buffer (100 µL, pH 6.0) containing 8 mM CaCl_{2.} followed by enrichment of the adducts with n-butanol.¹⁴ DNA digests (5 or 20 μ g) were then incubated with 20 μ Ci of [γ -³²P]-ATP (6000 Ci/mmol) and 10 units of 3'-phosphatase-free OptiKinase at 37°C for 40 min, followed by treatment with apyrase (50 mU) for 30 min, as described previously.^{14,19,20 32}P-labeled adducts were subjected to electrophoresis for 5 h on a nondenaturing 30% polyacrylamide gel at 1500 to 1800 V/20 to 40 mA. The gels were exposed for 12 h, and AL-DNA adducts were measured using a s-phosphorimager with Image QuaNT v5.2 software (Molecular Dynamics Inc. Piscataway, NJ). The sensitivity of the ³²P-postlabeling/PAGE assay was assessed using kidney DNA obtained from a mouse treated with AA-I (2 mg/kg). The levels of dG- and dA-AL-I adducts in the mouse sample. predetermined in three independent digestion experiments, were estimated at 1 and 4 adducts/10⁶ DNA bases, respectively. The DNA was diluted with unmodified DNA (20 μ g total) to establish levels of modification of 0, 0.3, 0.7, 2.2 and 10.8 dA-AL-I adducts per 10^8 bases. Oligonucleotides containing dG-AL-II and dA-AL-II were synthesized as described, and employed as standards.¹⁸ The recovery and labeling efficiency of dA-AA-II- and dG-AA-II- adducts from the oligonucleotides exceeded 70%.¹⁴

Enzymatic Digestion of AL-DNA for Ultra-Performance Liquid Chromatography-Electrospray Ionization/Multistage Mass Spectrometry (UPLC-ESI/MSⁿ) Measurements

The enzymatic digestion conditions used for the hydrolysis of DNA (20 μ g) to 2'deoxynucleosides have been described previously²⁶ and employed DNase I for 1.5 h, followed by incubation with nuclease P1 for 3 h, and lastly by incubation with alkaline phosphatase and spleen phosphodiesterase for 18 h at 37 °C. We have shown that these conditions are highly efficient in the enzymatic digestion of DNA modified with bulky carcinogens of diverse structures.^{25,26} Isotopically labeled internal standards were added to the DNA prior to enzymatic digestion at a level of 5 adducts per 10⁸ DNA bases for genomic mouse DNA, human renal cortex DNA, and DNA from human urothelial tumor tissue. After enzyme digestion, 2 vol of chilled C₂H₅OH (100%) was added, and the solution was kept on ice bath for 1 h to precipitate protein and salts. The solution was centrifuged at 15,000g for 5 min at room temperature. The supernatant containing the DNA adducts and internal standards was transferred into cap LC vials containing silvlated inserts $(350 \,\mu\text{L})$ from Microliter Analytical Supplies, Inc. (Suwanee, GA). The extracts were concentrated to dryness by vacuum centrifugation and dissolved in a solvent of 1:1 H₂O:DMSO (20 μ L). The efficiency of DNA digestion was assessed by HPLC analysis of the unmodified DNA bases as previously reported.²²

Analyses of AL-DNA Adducts by Online Solid Phase Extraction Coupled UPLC-ESI/MSⁿ

Analyses were performed with a NanoAcquityTM UPLC system (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA) interfaced with an Advance CaptiveSprayTM source from Michrom Bioresource Inc. (Auburn, CA) and linear quadrupole ion-trap mass spectrometer (LTQ Velos, Thermo Fisher, San Jose, CA). A Waters Symmetry trap column (180 μ m × 20 mm, 5 μ m particle size) was employed for online solid phase enrichment of the DNA adducts. The analytical column was a C18 AQ (0.3 × 150 mm, 3 μ m particle size) from Michrom Bioresources Inc. The DNA digests were loaded onto the trap column and washed with 0.01% HCO₂H in 20% CH₃CN at a flow rate of 12 μ L/min for 4 min. Thereafter, the DNA adducts were back-flushed onto the C18 AQ column and a linear gradient was employed to resolve the DNA adducts, starting at 0.01% HCO₂H containing 20% solvent B (95% CH₃CN containing 4.99% H₂O and 0.01% HCO₂H) and arriving at 53% solvent B at 12 min, and then reaching 99% B at 13 min, employing a flow rate of 5 μ L/min. The solvent mixture was held at 99% B for 2 min, then returned to the starting solvent conditions (20% B) over 2.5 min, and held for a 4 min period to allow the column to re-equilibrate at the starting solvent conditions.

Xcalibur version 2.1.0 software was used for data manipulations. The adducts were measured at the MS² (dG-AL-I and dG-AL-II) or MS³ (dA-AL-I and dA-AL-II) scan stages in the positive ionization mode. The temperature of the capillary tube was set at 305 $^{\circ}$ C, the spray voltage was 2 kV, and the skimmer offset voltage was set at 10 V for dA-AL-I/II, and 40 V for dG-AL-I/II adducts. The skimmer offset voltage settings for the dG-AL adducts was elevated to achieve complete in-source fragmentation of dG-AL-I/II to their respective aglycone adducts $[BH_2]^+$. Helium was used as the collision and damping gas in the ion trap and was set at a pressure of 1 mTorr. There was no sheath or auxiliary gas. The automatic gain control settings were full MS target 30000 and MSⁿ target 10000, and the maximum injection time was 10 ms. One μ scan was used for data acquisition. An isolation width of 1 m/z and a normalized collision energy of 40 eV were employed for dG-AL-I/II at the MS² scan stage. The MS^2 transitions employed for quantitative measurements of the aglycone adducts of dG-AL were: dG-AL-I ($[BH_2]^+$) at m/z 443.2 \rightarrow 292.3 and 293.2; $[^{15}N_5]$ -dG-AL-I ([BH₂]⁺) at m/z 448.2 \rightarrow 292.3 and 293.2; and dG-AL-II ([BH₂]⁺) at m/z 413.2 \rightarrow 305.2 and 368.2; and $[^{15}N_3]$ -dG-AL-II ([BH₂]⁺) at m/z 416.2 \rightarrow 306.2 and 370.2. Isolation widths of 4 m/z and 1 m/z and normalized collision energies 28 and 36 eV were used for dA-AL-I/II adducts, respectively at the MS² and MS³ scan stages. The MS³ transitions employed for quantitative measurements dA-AL adducts were: dA-AL-I at m/z 543.3 \rightarrow $427.2 \rightarrow 292.3, 293.2, \text{ and } 412.2; [^{15}N_5] \text{-}dA\text{-}AL\text{-}I \text{ at } m/z 548.3 \rightarrow 432.2 \rightarrow 292.3, 293.2,$ and 417.2; dA-AL-II at m/z 513.3 \rightarrow 397.2 \rightarrow 262.1, 263.1, and 354.2; [¹⁵N₃]-dA-AL-II at m/z 516.2 \rightarrow 400.2 \rightarrow 262.1, 263.1, and 357.2. The activation Q was 0.35, and the activation time was 10 ms for both MS² and MS³ scan stages.

Validation of AL-Modified Mouse Kidney DNA Analysis by UPLC-ESI-MSⁿ

Kidney DNA samples from a mouse treated with AA-I (2 mg/kg) were used for method validation. The levels of dA-AL-I and dG-AL-I adducts, estimated by ³²P-postlabeling, were serially diluted with CT DNA so as, to arrive at different levels of AL-adduct modification (0.3, 0.5, 1.0, 5.0, or 10.0 adducts per 10⁸ DNA bases), in 20 μ g DNA. ¹⁵N-labeled internal standards were added at a level of 5.0 adducts per 10⁸ bases, and DNA samples (20 μ g) underwent enzyme hydrolysis, followed by online solid phase enrichment of the adducts and UPLC-ESI-MSⁿ analysis.

Calibration Curves for AL-DNA Adducts

CT DNA (20 μ g) samples were spiked with increasing amounts of a mixture of dG-AL-I/II and dA-AL-I/II adducts (0, 0.3, 0.6, 1.0, 3.0, 6.0, 10.0, and 30.0 adducts per 10⁸ DNA bases)

and a fixed amount of the four ¹⁵N-labeled internal standards set at a level of 5.0 adducts per 10^8 DNA bases. Calibration curves were generated at the MS² scan stage for dG-AL-I/II and at the MS³ scan stage for dA-AL-I/II adducts. The calibration curves were generated from the combined integrated areas of the selected transitions employed for each adduct (vide supra). The integrated peak area ratio of unlabeled AL-DNA adducts over the respective ¹⁵N-labeled AL-DNA adducts was plotted as the ordinate, and the varying amounts of unlabeled AL-DNA adducts per 10^8 DNA bases were plotted as the *x*-coordinate (Supporting Information, Figure S4). The calibration curves were conducted in quadruplicate at each calibrant level, and the data were fitted to a straight line, using ordinary least-squares with equal weightings for linear regression. The coefficient of determination (r²) values of the slopes equaled or exceeded 0.999.

Results

Characterization of the Product Ion Spectra of AL-DNA Adducts by ESI-MS³

The product ion spectra of the dG-AL-I, dG-AL-II, dA-AL-I, and dA-AL-II at the MS³ scan stage and several proposed fragmentation patterns are depicted in Figure 2. The product ion spectra at the MS³ scan stage provide rich structural information about the aglycone adducts and were used to corroborate identities of dA-AL adducts present in mouse and human DNA samples. The product ion spectra of the ¹⁵N-labeled internal standards served as an aid in the interpretation of several the proposed fragment ions. The spectra of the ¹⁵N-labeled AL-DNA adducts are shown in Supporting Information, Figure S5.

UPLC-ESI/MSⁿ Analysis of AL-Adduct Formation in Mouse Liver and Kidney DNA

A dual switching valve system and a Waters Symmetry trap column (180 μ m × 20 mm, 5 μ m particle size) were employed to enrich the dG-AL-I/II and dA-AL-I/II adducts in the presence of unmodified DNA bases. The signals of the synthetic dG-AL-I/II and dA-AL-I/II standards spiked into the CT DNA at levels ranging from 0.3 to 30 adducts per 10⁸ DNA bases were comparable to the responses obtained for the pure standards (data not shown). Thus, the online enrichment procedure was highly effective in the removal of unmodified DNA bases, which were present at 10⁶ – 10⁸-fold higher concentrations than the AL-DNA adducts, as well as other constituents in the DNA digests that could interfere with the MS analysis and/or provoke ion suppression effects. The efficacy of the enzymatic hydrolysis of DNA was quantitative, as judged by the HPLC analysis of the unmodified DNA bases (BH Yun, unpublished observations).²²

The mass chromatograms of the dG-AL-I and dA-AL-I/II adducts from liver DNA of an unexposed mouse, and liver and kidney DNA of a mouse given AA-I (1 mg/kg) are shown in Figure 3, and the product ion spectra of these adducts are presented in Supplementary Information, Figure S6. dA-AL-I is the most abundant adduct, followed by dG-AL-I. Surprisingly, dA-AL-II also was detected in the kidney of the treated mouse, occurring at a level of ~2 adducts per 10⁹ bases. dA-AL-II does not contain the 8-methoxy substituent of the phenanthrene ring and, therefore, cannot be formed from a reactive metabolite of AA-I. The identification of dA-AL-II in the DNA sample suggests that the AA-I administered to the mouse was contaminated with trace levels of AA-II. Indeed, 0.4% AA-II was found to be present in the sample of AA-I used to inject mice. (T. Rosenquist, unpublished observations).

Performance of the Analytical Method

The calibration curves constructed for dG-AL-I/II and dA-AL-I/II adducts, spiked prior to enzymatic digestion with CT DNA at levels ranging from 0, 0.3 to 30.0 adducts per 10^8 DNA bases, were highly linear (coefficient of determination was r^2 0.999 for all adducts)

(Supporting Information, Figure S4). AL-I-DNA from the kidney of a mouse treated with AA-I (2 mg/kg), which was also analyzed by ³²P-postlabeling, was diluted with CT DNA and used for validation of the analytical UPLC-ESI/MSⁿ method. Data on the performance of this method are summarized in Table 1. The within-a-day accuracy and precision of the method (coefficient of variation, CV) were obtained from quadruplicate DNA samples assayed on the same day. The between-day accuracy and precision of the method was determined from repetitive analyses of the DNA samples conducted over a period of 6 weeks. Estimates of AL-I adduct levels measured at the MS³ scan stage were on average within 10% of the target values obtained by ³²P-postlabeling. However, the estimated level of the dG-AL-I adduct was ~40% lower than the values determined by ³²P-postlabeling with the set of DNA samples employed for method validation.

Values for the limit of detection (LOD) and limit of adduct quantitation (LOQ) of AL-DNA adducts, defined, respectively, as the average background signals + 3*SD and + 10*SD,³⁰ were determined with CT DNA and untreated liver DNA of mice. The LOD and LOQ values of dG-AL-I were, respectively, ~0.3 and 1.0 adducts per 10⁸ bases, and the LOD and LOQ values of dA-AL-I were ~0.1 and 0.3 adducts per 10^8 bases. We attribute the discrepancy in sensitivity between dG-AL-I and dA-AL-I adducts to the different MSⁿ scan stages used to measure the adducts. The dG-AL adduct was somewhat unstable and underwent hydrolysis to form the aglycone [BH2]⁺ in the MS source. Hence, the dG-AL-I was measured as the aglycone at the MS² scan stage, whereas the dA-AL-I adduct was measured as the aglycone at the MS³ scan stage. A mass chromatogram of AL-I-DNA adducts formed in kidney DNA of a mouse dosed with AA-I (2 mg/kg), followed by dilution of the DNA with unmodified CT DNA to achieve a level of AL-DNA modification approaching the LOQ values of AL-DNA adducts, is shown in Figure 4. The product-ion spectrum of the aglycone adduct of dG-AL-I acquired at the MS² scan stage, displays the principal fragment ions of the modified base; however, a number of fragment ions, attributed to the solvent or constituents of the DNA digest matrix, also are observed (Supporting Information, Figure S7). A high quality product ion spectrum of the aglycone of dA-AL-I was obtained at the MS³ scan stage with relatively minor interfering fragment ions (Supporting Information, Figure S7). Thus, consecutive reaction monitoring at the MS³ scan stage effectively filters out many of the isobaric interferences observed in MS^2 scan stage and results in an improved signal-to-noise ratio, and superior product ion spectra of the aglycone adducts.²⁶

Comparison of AL-DNA Adduct Analysis by UPLC-ESI/MSⁿ and ³²P-Postlabeling/PAGE

The mouse DNA samples employed for validating AL-DNA adduct measurements by UPLC-ESI/MS³ (Figure 4), were assayed by ³²P-postlabeling/PAGE. AL-DNA adducts were diluted with unmodified DNA (20 μ g total) to arrive at adduct levels ranging from 0.3 to 10.8 dA-AL-I adducts per 10⁸ bases. The levels of dG-AL-I adducts in this experiment are 3 times less than dA-AL-I. The entire postlabeled DNA digest was applied to the gel. Adducts were visualized by the s-phosphorimager (Figure 5A), and the levels of adduct modification were estimated using an external calibration curve.¹⁴ At 0.3 AL-I adducts per 10⁸ DNA bases, the counts on the gel were significantly higher than the background. The dose-response signals of the dA- and dG-AL-I adducts were linear (Figure 5B and C). The LOQ for ³²P-postlabeling/PAGE was ~0.3 adducts per 10⁸ DNA bases.

Interlaboratory estimates of AL-DNA adducts, by UPLC-ESI/MSⁿ and ³²P-postlabeling measurements were conducted with liver and kidney samples of mice exposed to AA-I (0.1 or 1.0 mg/kg). The levels of adducts in these tissues are reported in Table 2. The measured values of dA-AL-I adduct levels were within 0.6 to 1.4-fold, and the values of dG-AL-I were between 0.4 to 1.2-fold, when assayed by these two analytical methods.

Identification and Quantitation of dA-AL-I and dA-AL-II DNA adducts in the Renal Cortex and UUC Tissue of Patients with UUC

The mass chromatograms of dA-AL-I/II adducts present in the renal cortex from two Taiwanese patients with UUC are shown in Figure 6. On the basis of elevated levels of AL-DNA adduct estimated by ³²P-postlabeling, the human DNA samples were diluted by 6 to 10-fold with CT DNA so that the AL DNA adduct levels were within the concentration range of adducts used for construction of the calibration curves (Supporting Information, Figure S4). The level of dA-AL-I was determined, by UPLC-ESI/MS³, at 142 adducts per 10^8 bases for subject 1 and 44.8 adducts per 10^8 bases for subject 2. The dA-AL-II adduct was detected at a level of < 1 adduct per 10^8 bases in the undiluted DNA samples; however, there was no evidence for dG-AL-I/II adducts. Product ion spectra acquired at the MS³ scan stage confirmed the identities of both dA-AL adducts.

DNA obtained from two UUC tissues also contained the dA-AL-I adduct at levels more than 100-fold lower than that in the renal cortex of same subject (Figure 7). In contrast to these data, obtained by UPLC-ESI/MS³, the dA-AL-I adduct could not be detected in UUC tissue of the same patients by ³²P-postlabeling/PAGE (data not shown).

In Table 3, we summarize our estimates of AL-DNA adduct levels in human renal cortical and UUC tissue, as measured by UPLC-ESI/MS^{3 and 32}P-postlabeling/PAGE. dG-AL adducts were not detected by either method.

Discussion

The goal of our study was to establish a rapid and quantitative method to measure AL-DNA adducts, employing UPLC-ESI/MSⁿ, for implementation in human biomonitoring studies. DNA adducts represent internal dosimeters to measure exposure to environmental, dietary, and endogenous genotoxicants.³¹ Detection of DNA adducts has been used in molecular epidemiology studies to identify individuals at elevated risk to cancer due to high exposures to genotoxicants and may provide clues to the origin of human cancers for which an environmental cause is suspected.^{32,33} For the past 25 years, various modifications of the original ³²P-postlabeling method have served as a mainstay for measuring DNA adducts, including AL-DNA adducts, 4,14–16,34 in experimental animals and humans. 19,21,35 Postlabeling methods are highly sensitive but suffer from several drawbacks. For example, the labeling efficiencies of DNA adducts are highly variable,³⁶ which leads to tenuous estimates of adduct levels; a complex profile of poorly defined ³²P-labeled lesions are often observed in human DNA samples;²¹ the identities of the carcinogen adducts are equivocal; and the technique is not a high through-put method. There is a critical need to establish rapid, yet specific methods of adduct detection which, at the same time can provide spectral data to corroborate the identities of the DNA lesions in humans. Currently, mass spectrometry is the sole method that can be employed in molecular epidemiology studies to quantify DNA adducts, while providing spectral data to corroborate the identity of the lesion.²⁴

Only a few studies have directly compared estimates of DNA adducts obtained by ³²P-postlabeling and quantitative LC/MS methods. We have shown previously that ³²P-postlabeling underestimates the levels of dG-C8 adducts of bulky heterocyclic aromatic amines, when compared to LC/MS measurements. The discrepancy has been ascribed to incomplete ³²P-labeling of the adducts.^{26,37} The ³²P-postlabeling method also was reported to underestimate benzo[a]pyrene- and 4-aminobiphenyl-derived DNA adducts in comparison to quantitative LC/MS methods,^{24,38} although a third study reported good concordance in the estimates of a specific benzo[a]pyrene-DNA adduct by ³²P-postlabeling and LC/MS.³⁹

Our inter-laboratory comparison of AL-DNA measurements by UPLC-ESI/MSⁿ and by ³²P-postlabeling/PAGE techniques, using rodent or human DNA specimens, show satisfactory concordance (Tables 2 and 3). The estimates of dA-AL-I adducts, by these two analytical methods, are within about 2-fold of each other for eight out of the ten human biospecimens (Table 3). However, the levels of dA-AL-I in two human DNA samples, as determined by ³²P-postlabeling/PAGE, are as much as 10-fold lower than the amounts measured by UPLC-ESI/MS³, suggesting that components of the DNA digest matrix of some samples decreased the efficiency of the polynucleotide kinase used to postlabel the AL-DNA adducts.

Both UPLC-ESI/MS³ and the ³²P-postlabeling/PAGE methods are highly sensitive methods for the detection of dA-AL-I (Figures 4 and 5). The LOQ value for the dA-AL-I adduct is ~0.3 adducts per 10⁸ DNA bases for UPLC-ESI/MS³, using 10 μ g DNA, and ~0.3 adducts per 10⁸ for ³²P-postlabeling/PAGE, using 20 μ g DNA. In this study, matching pairs of UUC and renal cortex samples were obtained from several subjects. The dA-AL-I adduct was detected by UPLC-ESI/MS³ in two UUC samples, albeit at levels more than 100-fold lower than adduct levels in the renal cortex. The low levels of AL-DNA adducts in UUC may be attributed, in part, to cell proliferation, which is expected to dilute adduct levels in growing tumors. However, the ³²P-postlabeling/PAGE technique failed to detect any dA-AL-I in UUC, signifying that UPLC-ESI/MS³ is a superior method for the detection of AL-DNA adducts, particularly at trace levels. Importantly, our data show clearly that biomonitoring of AL-DNA adducts in human populations can be conducted by UPLC-ESI/MSⁿ.

Historically, triple-stage quadrupole mass spectrometric (TSQ MS) instruments have been more commonly used than linear or circular ion trap-mass spectrometers (LIT MS) for the quantitation of DNA adducts.^{24,40} TSQ MS instruments are highly robust and provide excellent sensitivity and precision, when monitoring specific transitions of molecules under collision-induced dissociation conditions with selected reaction monitoring (SRM). However, the optimal collision-induced dissociation conditions employed with the TSQ MS in the SRM mode to measure DNA adducts usually produces a single transition: the loss of deoxyribose from the DNA adduct ($[M+H]^+ \rightarrow [M+H-116]^+$).^{24,40} Thus, the criteria used for DNA adduct identification with TSQ MS instruments, are generally limited to the monitoring of this single transition combined with the characteristic $t_{\rm R}$ of the adduct. A major advantage of the LIT MS over TSQ MS instruments is the capacity of the former to acquire product-ion spectra of the aglycone adducts $[BH_2]^+$ at trace levels due to its rapid scanning capability and the high ion transmission efficiency for many DNA adducts at the MS³ scan stage.²⁶ Full product-ion scan spectra of DNA adducts can be acquired with TSQ MS instruments; however, this scan mode is accompanied by a significant loss in instrument sensitivity and cannot be used routinely for the analysis of DNA adducts at trace levels. 24,40 The product-ion spectra obtained by LIT MS at the MS³ scan stage provides extensive mass fragmentation of the aglycone adduct, and the spectral data can be used to corroborate the identities of the adducts.²⁶ We have employed ESI/MS³ to identify and quantify various carcinogen DNA adducts found in animal or human tissues and fluids.^{25,26,41,42} The powerful scanning capabilities of the LIT MS in acquiring high-quality, full product-ion spectra of DNA adducts formed at trace levels, as shown in this current study on AL-DNA adducts, represents a significant advance in using DNA adducts for human biomonitoring studies.

The performance of the analytical UPLC-ESI/MSⁿ method in measuring AL-DNA adducts is influenced by the variation in MS instrument parameters, and also by any variation in the efficiency of enzyme hydrolysis of AL-modified DNA or the efficacy of the online solid-phase enrichment procedure. For improved precision, we performed quadruplicate measurements for these samples, rather than conducting a larger number of replicates, as our

goal was to measure AL-DNA adducts in human tissues, for which the limited quantities of DNA available (generally $10 - 30 \ \mu g$) preclude more than two replicate measurements. The performance of the analytical method reported here is representative of "real world" adduct analysis. The average within-a-day (CV 10%) and between-days (CV 15%) precision values, approach those obtained with TSQ MS instruments for DNA adduct measurements (Table 1).^{26,43–45}

The level of dA-AL-I adducts formed in the renal cortex of certain patients with UUC were exceptionally high, being present at levels > 1 adduct per 10⁷ bases. This level of adduct modification is far greater than that formed during environmental exposure to hazards such as tobacco and dietary carcinogens, or by endogenous electrophiles.^{21,24,46–48} The quantity of AAs ingested, over time, by individuals in our study are not known. Moreover, the amount of AA-I in Chinese medicinal herbs depends on the plant species. In some herbs, the estimates for AA-I have been reported to range from 17 – 8,800 μ g/g, whereas the levels of AA-II are usually two to 5-fold lower.^{49,50} The failure of global genomic repair to excise dA-AL adducts⁵¹ explains their persistence in the human renal cortex for many years after the ingestion of Aristolochia herbs has ceased.^{4,15,16} As a result, AL-DNA adducts serve as a robust and reliable biomarker of exposure to AAs.^{4,5,6,15}

Despite the occurrence of AA-II at appreciable levels in *Aristolochia* herbs,⁴⁹ the dA-AL-I adduct is found in the renal cortex at levels about 100-fold higher than that of dA-AL-II. We observed a similar ratio of dA-AL-I to dA-AL-II adducts in the renal cortex in our study conducted in Taiwan,¹⁰ in a patient in the United States who developed end-stage renal failure after using an herbal remedy containing AA,⁴ and in patients with AAN/UUC in Balkan countries.⁵ Similar findings were obtained using the ³²P-postlabeling method with renal cortex tissue samples from Belgian women who developed AAN after following a slimming regimen that included Chinese herbs.^{15,16} These differences may be attributed to differences in metabolism of AA-I and AA-II. The presence of the dA-AL-I adduct, in conjunction with its signature *TP53* mutations, underscores the importance of dA-AL-I adducts in the carcinogenicity of AAs.^{4,5} The high levels of dA-AL-I in human renal cortex of subjects with UUC from different parts of the world,^{4,5,15} reinforces the notion that AA-I is a causative agent of AAN and UUC.

In summary, online solid-phase enrichment coupled with UPLC-ESI/MS³ is a powerful method that can be employed to measure AL-DNA adducts in human tissues, providing a sensitive and specific method for establishing exposure to aristolochic acids.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Funding

This research was supported by grants R01ES019564 (to RJT) and NIEHS ES04068 (to APG) from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences; by a grant PTH9807 (CHC) from Taoyuan General Hospital, and a grant DOH100-TD-C-111-001 (YSP) from the Department of Health, Taiwan. TR was the recipient of a Zickler Translational Research Scholar Award from the Zickler Family Foundation.

Abbreviations

AL aristolactam

| AA | aristolochic acids |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| AAN | aristolochic acid nephropathy |
| CV | coefficient of variation |
| CT DNA | calf thymus DNA |
| BEN | Balkan endemic nephropathy |
| LOD | limit of detection |
| LOQ | limit of quantitation |
| LC-ESI/MS ⁿ | liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization/multistage mass spectrometry |
| LIT MS | linear ion-trap mass spectrometry |
| LC/MS | liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry |
| TSQ | triple-stage quadrupole mass spectrometry |
| UPLC | ultra-performance liquid chromatography |
| UUC | upper tract urothelial carcinoma |
| AA-I | 8-methoxy-6-nitrophenanthro-[3,4- <i>d</i>]-1,3-dioxolo-5-carboxylic acid |
| AA-II | 6-nitrophenanthro-[3,4-d]-1,3-dioxolo-5-carboxylic acid |
| dA-AL-I | 7-(deoxyadenosin-N ⁶ -yl) aristolactam I |
| dG-AL-I | 7-(deoxyguanosin-N ² -yl) aristolactam I |
| dA-AL-II | 7-(deoxyadenosin-N ⁶ -yl) aristolactam II |
| dG-AL-II | 7-(deoxyguanosin-N ² -yl) aristolactam II |

Reference List

- 1. Dawson DR. Birthwort: a study of the progress of medical botany through twenty-two centuries. Pharmaceutial J Pharmacist. 1927; 396–397:427–430.
- Grollman, AP.; Scarborough, J.; Jelakovi, B. Aristolochic acid nephropathy: an environmental and iatrogenic disease. In: Fishbein, J., editor. Advances in Molecular Toxicology. Vol. 3. Elsevier; Amsterdam: 2009. p. 211-222.
- Vanherweghem, JL.; Debelle, FD.; Muniz Martinez, MC.; Nortier, JL. Aristolochic acid nephropathy after Chinese herb remedies. In: De Broe, ME.; Porter, GA.; Bennett, WM.; Verpooten, GA., editors. Clinical Nephrotoxins. 2. Kluwer; Dordrecht: 2003. p. 579-603.
- 4. Grollman AP, Shibutani S, Moriya M, Miller F, Wu L, Moll U, Suzuki N, Fernandes A, Rosenquist T, Medverec Z, Jakovina K, Brdar B, Slade N, Turesky RJ, Goodenough AK, Rieger R, Vukelic M, Jelakovic B. Aristolochic acid and the etiology of endemic (Balkan) nephropathy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007; 104:12129–12134. [PubMed: 17620607]
- Jelakovi B, Karanovi S, Vukovi -Lela I, Miller F, Edwards KL, Nikoli J, Tomi K, Slade N, Brdar B, Turesky RJ, Stipanci Z, Dittrich D, Grollman AP, Dickman KG. Aristolactam-DNA adducts are a biomarker of environmental exposure to aristolochic acid. Kidney Int. 2011; 81:559– 567. [PubMed: 22071594]
- Nortier JL, Martinez MC, Schmeiser HH, Arlt VM, Bieler CA, Petein M, Depierreux MF, De PL, Abramowicz D, Vereerstraeten P, Vanherweghem JL. Urothelial carcinoma associated with the use of a Chinese herb (Aristolochia fangchi). N Engl J Med. 2000; 342:1686–1692. [PubMed: 10841870]

- Petroni , V. Tumors of the upper urothelium and endemic nephropathy. In: Radovanovi , Z.; Sindi , M.; Polenakovi , M.; Djukanovi , L.; Petroni , V.; Petroni , V., editors. Endemic Nephropathy Institute for Textbook Publishing. Institute for Text Book Publishing; Belgrade: 2000. p. 350-439.
- Debelle FD, Vanherweghem JL, Nortier JL. Aristolochic acid nephropathy: a worldwide problem. Kidney Int. 2008; 74:158–169. [PubMed: 18418355]
- Lai MN, Wang SM, Chen PC, Chen YY, Wang JD. Population-based case-control study of Chinese herbal products containing aristolochic acid and urinary tract cancer risk. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2010; 102:179–186. [PubMed: 20026811]
- 10. Chen C-H, Dickman KG, Moriya M, Zavadil J, Sidorenko V, Edwards KG, Gnatenko D, Wu L, Turesky RJ, Pu Y-S, Grollman AP. Aristolochic acid-associated urothelial carcinoma in Taiwan is a harbinger of a global disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012 In Press.
- Moriya M, Slade N, Brdar B, Medverec Z, Tomic K, Jelakovic B, Wu L, Truong S, Fernandes A, Grollman AP. TP53 Mutational signature for aristolochic acid: an environmental carcinogen. Int J Cancer. 2011; 129:1532–1536. [PubMed: 21413016]
- Pfau W, Schmeiser HH, Wiessler M. Aristolochic acid binds covalently to the exocyclic amino group of purine nucleotides in DNA. Carcinogenesis. 1990; 11:313–319. [PubMed: 2302759]
- Arlt VM, Stiborova M, Schmeiser HH. Aristolochic acid as a probable human cancer hazard in herbal remedies: a review. Mutagenesis. 2002; 17:265–277. [PubMed: 12110620]
- 14. Dong H, Suzuki N, Torres MC, Bonala RR, Johnson F, Grollman AP, Shibutani S. Quantitative determination of aristolochic acid-derived DNA adducts in rats using ³²P-postlabeling/ polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis analysis. Drug Metab Dispos. 2006; 34:1122–1127. [PubMed: 16611860]
- Bieler CA, Stiborova M, Wiessler M, Cosyns JP, van Ypersele De SC, Schmeiser HH. ³²P-postlabelling analysis of DNA adducts formed by aristolochic acid in tissues from patients with Chinese herbs nephropathy. Carcinogenesis. 1997; 18:1063–1067. [PubMed: 9163697]
- Schmeiser HH, Bieler CA, Wiessler M, van Ypersele De SC, Cosyns JP. Detection of DNA adducts formed by aristolochic acid in renal tissue from patients with Chinese herbs nephropathy. Cancer Res. 1996; 56:2025–2028. [PubMed: 8616845]
- Nedelko T, Arlt VM, Phillips DH, Hollstein M. TP53 mutation signature supports involvement of aristolochic acid in the aetiology of endemic nephropathy-associated tumours. Int J Cancer. 2009; 124:987–990. [PubMed: 19030178]
- Attaluri S, Bonala RR, Yang IY, Lukin MA, Wen Y, Grollman AP, Moriya M, Iden CR, Johnson F. DNA adducts of aristolochic acid II: total synthesis and site-specific mutagenesis studies in mammalian cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010; 38:339–352. [PubMed: 19854934]
- Gupta RC. ³²P-postlabelling analysis of bulky aromatic adducts. IARC Sci Publ. 1993; 124:11–23. [PubMed: 8225473]
- Shibutani, S.; Kim, SY.; Suzuki, N. ³²P-Postlabeling DNA damage assay: PAGE, TLC and HPLC. In: Henderson, DS., editor. DNA Repair Protocols: Eukaryotic systems, second edition. Humana Press Inc; Totawa, NJ: 2005. p. 307-321.
- Phillips DH. Smoking-related DNA and protein adducts in human tissues. Carcinogenesis. 2002; 23:1979–2004. [PubMed: 12507921]
- 22. Gu D, Turesky RJ, Tao Y, Langouet SA, Nauwelaers GC, Yuan JM, Yee D, Yu MC. DNA adducts of 2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-*b*]pyridine and 4-aminobiphenyl are infrequently detected in human mammary tissue by liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry. Carcinogenesis. 2012; 33:124–130. [PubMed: 22072616]
- Koc H, Swenberg JA. Applications of mass spectrometry for quantitation of DNA adducts. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci. 2002; 778:323–343.
- Singh R, Farmer PB. Liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry: the future of DNA adduct detection. Carcinogenesis. 2006; 27:178–196. [PubMed: 16272169]
- 25. Bessette EE, Spivack SD, Goodenough AK, Wang T, Pinto S, Kadlubar FF, Turesky RJ. Identification of carcinogen DNA adducts in human saliva by linear quadrupole ion trap/ multistage tandem mass spectrometry. Chem Res Toxicol. 2010; 23:1234–1244. [PubMed: 20443584]

- 26. Goodenough AK, Schut HA, Turesky RJ. Novel LC-ESI/MS/MSⁿ method for the characterization and quantification of 2[']-deoxyguanosine adducts of the dietary carcinogen 2-amino-1-methyl-6phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine by 2-D linear quadrupole ion trap mass spectrometry. Chem Res Toxicol. 2007; 20:263–276. [PubMed: 17305409]
- 27. Chan W, Yue H, Poon WT, Chan YW, Schmitz OJ, Kwong DW, Wong RN, Cai Z. Quantification of aristolochic acid-derived DNA adducts in rat kidney and liver by using liquid chromatographyelectrospray ionization mass spectrometry. Mutat Res. 2008; 646:17–24. [PubMed: 18812181]
- Chan W, Zheng Y, Cai Z. Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry analysis of the DNA adducts of aristolochic acids. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom. 2007; 18:642–650. [PubMed: 17208007]
- Schmeiser HH, Frei E, Wiessler M, Stiborova M. Comparison of DNA adduct formation by aristolochic acids in various in vitro activation systems by 32P-post-labelling: evidence for reductive activation by peroxidases. Carcinogenesis. 1997; 18:1055–1062. [PubMed: 9163696]
- MacDougall D, Amore FJ, Cox GV, Crosby DG, Estes FL, Freeman DH, Gibbs WE, Gordon GE, Keith LH, Lal J, Langner RR, McClelland NI, Phillips WF, Pojasek RB, Sievers RE. Guidelines for data acquisition and data quality evaluation in environmental chemistry. Anal Chem. 1980; 52:2242–2249.
- Harris CC, Weston A, Willey JC, Trivers GE, Mann DL. Biochemical and molecular epidemiology of human cancer: indicators of carcinogen exposure, DNA damage, and genetic predisposition. Environ Health Perspect. 1987; 75:109–119. [PubMed: 3319559]
- 32. Jarabek AM, Pottenger LH, Andrews LS, Casciano D, Embry MR, Kim JH, Preston RJ, Reddy MV, Schoeny R, Shuker D, Skare J, Swenberg J, Williams GM, Zeiger E. Creating context for the use of DNA adduct data in cancer risk assessment: I. Data organization. Crit Rev Toxicol. 2009; 39:659–678. [PubMed: 19743944]
- Phillips DH. DNA adducts as markers of exposure and risk. Mutat Res. 2005; 577:284–292. [PubMed: 15922369]
- Pfau W, Schmeiser HH, Wiessler M. ³²P-postlabelling analysis of the DNA adducts formed by aristolochic acid I and II. Carcinogenesis. 1990; 11:1627–1633. [PubMed: 2401053]
- Randerath K, Randerath E, Agrawal HP, Gupta RC, Schurda ME, Reddy MV. Postlabeling methods for carcinogen-DNA adduct analysis. Environ Health Perspect. 1985; 62:57–65. [PubMed: 3910421]
- Phillips DH, Farmer PB, Beland FA, Nath RG, Poirier MC, Reddy MV, Turteltaub KW. Methods of DNA adduct determination and their application to testing compounds for genotoxicity. Environ Mol Mutagen. 2000; 35:222–233. [PubMed: 10737957]
- Soglia JR, Turesky RJ, Paehler A, Vouros P. Quantification of the heterocyclic aromatic amine DNA adduct N-(deoxyguanosin-8-yl)-2-amino-3-methylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoline in livers of rats using capillary liquid chromatography/microelectrospray mass spectrometry: a dose-response study. Anal Chem. 2001; 73:2819–2827. [PubMed: 11467522]
- Beland FA, Doerge DR, Churchwell MI, Poirier MC, Schoket B, Marques MM. Synthesis, characterization, and quantitation of a 4-aminobiphenyl-DNA adduct standard. Chem Res Toxicol. 1999; 12:68–77. [PubMed: 9894020]
- 39. Beland FA, Churchwell MI, Von Tungeln LS, Chen S, Fu PP, Culp SJ, Schoket B, Gyorffy E, Minarovits J, Poirier MC, Bowman ED, Weston A, Doerge DR. High-performance liquid chromatography electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry for the detection and quantitation of benzo[a]pyrene-DNA adducts. Chem Res Toxicol. 2005; 18:1306–1315. [PubMed: 16097804]
- 40. Turesky RJ, Vouros P. Formation and analysis of heterocyclic aromatic amine-DNA adducts in vitro and in vivo. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci. 2004; 802:155–166.
- Bessette EE, Goodenough AK, Langouet S, Yasa I, Kozekov ID, Spivack SD, Turesky RJ. Screening for DNA adducts by data-dependent constant neutral loss-triple stage mass spectrometry with a linear quadrupole ion trap mass spectrometer. Anal Chem. 2009; 81:809–819. [PubMed: 19086795]
- Turesky RJ, Bendaly J, Yasa I, Doll MA, Hein DW. The impact of NAT2 acetylator genotype on mutagenesis and DNA adducts from 2-amino-9H-pyrido[2,3-b]indole. Chem Res Toxicol. 2009; 22:726–733. [PubMed: 19243127]

- 43. Zhang S, Villalta PW, Wang M, Hecht SS. Analysis of crotonaldehyde- and acetaldehyde-derived 1,n(2)-propanodeoxyguanosine adducts in DNA from human tissues using liquid chromatography electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry. Chem Res Toxicol. 2006; 19:1386–1392. [PubMed: 17040109]
- Wang M, Yu N, Chen L, Villalta PW, Hochalter JB, Hecht SS. Identification of an acetaldehyde adduct in human liver DNA and quantitation as N2-ethyldeoxyguanosine. Chem Res Toxicol. 2006; 19:319–324. [PubMed: 16485909]
- 45. Zhang S, Villalta PW, Wang M, Hecht SS. Detection and quantitation of acrolein-derived 1,N2propanodeoxyguanosine adducts in human lung by liquid chromatography-electrospray ionizationtandem mass spectrometry. Chem Res Toxicol. 2007; 20:565–571. [PubMed: 17385896]
- Medeiros MH. Exocyclic DNA adducts as biomarkers of lipid oxidation and predictors of disease. Challenges in developing sensitive and specific methods for clinical studies. Chem Res Toxicol. 2009; 22:419–425. [PubMed: 19166334]
- 47. Ricicki EM, Soglia JR, Teitel C, Kane R, Kadlubar F, Vouros P. Detection and quantification of N-(deoxyguanosin-8-yl)-4-aminobiphenyl adducts in human pancreas tissue using capillary liquid chromatography-microelectrospray mass spectrometry. Chem Res Toxicol. 2005; 18:692–699. [PubMed: 15833029]
- Zayas B, Stillwell SW, Wishnok JS, Trudel LJ, Skipper P, Yu MC, Tannenbaum SR, Wogan GN. Detection and quantification of 4-ABP adducts in DNA from bladder cancer patients. Carcinogenesis. 2007; 28:342–349. [PubMed: 16926175]
- 49. Chan W, Hui KM, Poon WT, Lee KC, Cai Z. Differentiation of herbs linked to "Chinese herb nephropathy" from the liquid chromatographic determination of aristolochic acids. Anal Chim Acta. 2006; 576:112–116. [PubMed: 17723621]
- 50. Aristolochic Acids, In National Toxicology Program Report on Carcinogens. 12. US Dept Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Research Triangle Park; North Carolina: 2008.
- 51. Sidorenko, VS.; Yeo, JE.; Bonala, RR.; Johnson, F.; Scharer, OD.; Grollman, AP. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011. Lack of recognition by global-genome nucleotide excision repair accounts for the high mutagenicity and persistence of aristolactam-DNA adducts. In Press



Figure 1.

Metabolic activation of AA and its reaction with DNA. Adduct formation occurs after reduction of the nitro moiety of the phenanthrene rings of AA-I and AA-II to form the *N*-hydroxyaristolactams and proposed nitrenium ions, which react with dG and dA to form dA-AL-I, dG-AL-I, dA-AL-II, and dG-AL-II.

Yun et al.



Figure 2.

Product ion spectra of AL-DNA adducts acquired at the MS³ scan stage, representing the fragmentation of the aglycone adducts $[BH_2]^+$ of dG-AL-II (m/z 413), dG-AL-I (m/z 443), dA-AL-II (m/z 397), and dA-AL-I (m/z 427). Based upon the comparison of the product ion spectra of the dA-AL-II and [$^{15}N_3$]-dA-AL-II adducts (Supporting Information, Figure S5), the fragment ion at m/z 324.2 (m/z 327.2 for [$^{15}N_3$]-dA-AL-II) may form by the loss of CH₂O and HNCO from the substituted phenanthrene ring.

Yun et al.



Figure 3.

The mass chromatograms of the AL-modified genomic DNA from a mouse dosed with AA-I (1 mg/kg). Mouse liver and kidney DNA were diluted with unmodified CT DNA by a factor of 10 and 5, respectively. The level of ¹⁵N-labeled internal standards was 5 adducts per 10⁸ bases. The levels of AL-DNA adducts expressed per 10⁸ bases in undiluted mouse liver and kidney were dG-AL-I: 58.1 (liver) and 300 (kidney); dA-AL-II: 0.7 (liver) and 0.8 (kidney); dA-AL-II: 176 (liver) and 1017 (kidney). The combined ions presented in the mass chromatograms of the adducts and internal standards were employed for quantitative measurements. The trace amounts of AL-DNA adducts detected in untreated DNA are

attributed to the residual unlabeled dG and dA present in the isotopically labelled internal standards.

\$watermark-text



Figure 4.

The mass chromatograms of dG-AL-I and dA-AL-I adducts in mouse kidney DNA diluted with unmodified CT DNA to levels approaching the LOQ. Mass chromatograms of untreated and undiluted mouse liver DNA (left panel); AA-I treated mouse kidney (right panel) with dG-AL-I estimated at 1.3 adduct per 10^8 bases and dA-AL-I estimated at 0.3 adducts per 10^8 bases. The internal standards were added at a level of 5.0 adducts per 10^8 bases.

Yun et al.



Figure 5.

 32 P-postlabeling/PAGE analysis of AL-DNA adducts. DNA was obtained from the renal cortex of a mouse treated with AA-I (2 mg/kg) and diluted with unmodified DNA (20 µg total) to arrive at levels of modification of 3, 7, 21 and 108 dA-AL-I adducts per 10⁹ bases. For this experiment, the levels of dG-AL-I adducts are 3 times less than dA-AL-I. The experiment was performed in quadruplicate for the lowest adduct level and in triplicate for higher levels. (A) Lanes 1 and 15 (dA-AL-II and dG-AL-II standards, 80 adducts/10⁹ bases); lanes 2–4 (100 dA-AL-I adducts per 10⁹ bases); lanes 5–7 (21 dA-AL-I adducts per 10⁹ bases); lanes 8–10 (7 dA-AL-I adducts per 10⁹ bases); lanes 11–14 (3 dA-AL-I adducts per 10⁹ bases). Upper and lower bands correspond to dG-AL and dA-AL adducts, respectively. (B) Dose response for dA-AL-I and (C) dose response for dG-AL-I levels measured with Image QuaNT v*5.2* software were plotted as a function of adduct modification.



Figure 6.

The mass chromatograms of dG-AL-I and dA-AL-I in renal cortex DNA from two patients with UUC. (A) unmodified CT DNA, (B) Subject C95, (C) Subject C112. The human DNA was diluted with unmodified CT DNA by a factor of 6 for subject 1 and and 10-fold for subject 2. The estimated levels of dA-AL-I were 142 adducts per 10⁸ bases for subject 1 and 44.8 adducts per 10⁸ bases for subject 2 in non-diluted DNA.



Figure 7.

The mass chromatograms of dA-AL-I adduct in unmodified CT DNA and DNA from human UUC tissue. Human DNA (5 μ g) was diluted with CT DNA (15 μ g). The dA-AL-I adduct was estimated at 0.9 adducts per 10⁸ DNA bases in the undiluted sample.

\$watermark-text

Yun et al.

Table 1

Performance of the Analytical UPLC-ESI/MSn Method to Measure AL-DNA Adducts

| | | A | dducts po | er 10 ⁹ bas | ses | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------|-------|------------|------------------------|-------|--------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Target level dA-AL-l | | Day 1 | Day 2 | Day 3 | Day 4 | Overall mean | CV(%) within-day | CV(%) between-day |
| 3 adducts per 10 ⁹ bases | Mean | 3.3 | 2.6 | 3.3 | ŊŊ | 3.1 | 7.8 | 14.3 |
| | SD | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | | | | |
| | RSD(%) | 5.5 | 10.9 | 7.4 | | | | |
| 5 adducts per 10^9 bases | Mean | 5.6 | 5.0 | 4.7 | ŊŊ | 5.1 | 13.8 | 15.2 |
| | SD | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | | | | |
| | RSD(%) | 11.1 | 16.4 | 12.9 | | | | |
| 10 adducts per 10^9 bases | Mean | 11.0 | 8.1 | 8.8 | ŊŊ | 9.3 | 14.3 | 20.5 |
| | SD | 2.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | | | | |
| | RSD(%) | 19.1 | 8.9 | 6.4 | | | | |
| 50 adducts per 10^9 bases | Mean | 50.8 | 43.5 | 50.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 6.2 | 8.6 |
| | SD | 1.3 | 4.4 | 1.6 | 3.4 | | | |
| | RSD(%) | 2.5 | 10.2 | 3.3 | 7.0 | | | |
| 100 adducts per 10^9 bases | Mean | 94.5 | 81.8 | 79.3 | ŊŊ | 85.2 | 6.2 | 11.2 |
| | SD | 2.9 | 7.9 | 3.0 | | | | |
| | RSD(%) | 3.1 | 9.7 | 3.8 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | ΡQ | lducts per | r 10 ⁹ base | S | | | |
| Target level dG-AL-1 | | Day 1 | Day 2 | Day 3 | Day 4 | Overall mean | CV(%) within-day | CV(%) between-day |
| 20 adducts per 10 ⁹ bases | Mean | 12.5 | 12.3 | 11.0 | 11.5 | 11.8 | 9.4 | 10.0 |
| | SD | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.6 | | | |
| | RSD(%) | 4.6 | 12.2 | 13.4 | 5.0 | | | |
| 40 adducts per 10^9 bases | Mean | 26.0 | 19.0 | 19.3 | ND | 19.8 | 9.8 | 21.6 |
| | SD | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.3 | | | | |
| | RSD(%) | 8.9 | 11.4 | 6.5 | | | | |
| N = 4 analyses per dose | | | | | | | | |
| ND, not determined | | | | | | | | |

| Ŵ |
|-----|
| ate |
| Prn |
| nai |
| Ę, |
| ē |
| Xt |

\$watermark-text

| ing Measurements |
|-------------------|
| s 32 P-Postlabel |
| LC-ESI/MSn versu. |
| und Kidney: UP |
| Mouse Liver a |
| uct Estimates in |
| l dG-AL-I Add |
| dA-AL-I and |

| | anssti | | da-at-1 per | 10 Dases | | dG-AL-1 per | 10° Dases |
|-----|--------|-------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | | UPLC-ESI/MS/MS ⁿ | ³² P-Postlabeling | | UPLC-ESI/MS/MS ⁿ | ³² P-Postlabeling |
| 0.1 | Liver | Avg | 52.7 | 55.1 | Avg | 19.0 | 14.6 |
| | | SD | 7.4 | | SD | 2.8 | |
| | | CV(%) | 14.1 | | CV(%) | 14.6 | |
| 1.0 | Liver | Avg | 176 | 113.0 | Avg | 58.1 | 35.7 |
| | | SD | 3.5 | | SD | 4.6 | |
| | | CV(%) | 2.0 | | CV(%) | 7.9 | |
| 0.1 | Kidney | Avg | 43.9 | 61.6 | Avg | 22.8 | 27.1 |
| | | SD | 3.4 | | SD | 1.8 | |
| | | CV(%) | 7.8 | | CV(%) | 7.7 | |
| 1.0 | Kidney | Avg | 1020 | 717 | Avg | 300 | 115 |
| | | SD | 97.2 | | SD | 10.5 | |
| | | CV(%) | 9.6 | | CV(%) | 3.5 | |

| ÷ |
|----------|
| ď. |
| \leq |
| Ħ |
| <u>0</u> |
| H |
| 8 |
| Ħ, |
| N |
| ÷ |
| <u>e</u> |
| <u>×</u> |
| |

\$watermark-text

| versus ³² P-Postlabeling Measurements | |
|--------------------------------------------------|--|
| UPLC-ESI/MS ³ | |
| dA-AL-I Adducts in Human Kidney: 1 | |

| Country | Age | Gender | Tissue | UPLC-ESI-MS/MS ³ dA-AL-I adducts/10 ⁸ bases | UPL C-ESI-MS/MS ³ dA-AL-II adducts/10 ⁸ bases | ³² P-Postlabeling dA-AL adducts/10 ⁸ bases |
|--------------|--------|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ē | ī | Tomala | Cortex | 96.8 | 0.4 | 234 |
| 1 alwan | 4 | remale | Tumor | 1.2 | N.D. | N.D. |
| Ē | 07 | Mala | Cortex | 388 | 1.0 | 192 |
| Talwall | 6 | INIAIC | Tumor | 0.9 | N.D. | N.D. |
| Toinior | 17 | Malo | Cortex | 142 | 0.7 | 161 |
| Talwall | 10 | INIAIC | Tumor | N.D. | N.D. | N.D. |
| Taiwan | 62 | Female | Cortex | 8.7 | N.D | 3.0 |
| Taiwan | 44 | Male | Cortex | 44.8 | N.D. | 3.9 |
| Ē | 5 | Mala | Cortex | 170 | N.D | 73.8 |
| Talwall | 6 | INIAIC | Tumor | N.D. | N.D. | N.A. |
| Taiwan | 52 | Female | Cortex | 28.0 | N.D. | 3.0 |
| Ē | 02 | Mala | Cortex | 6.8 | N.D. | 7.8 |
| Talwall | 00 | INIAIC | Tumor | N.D. | N.D. | N.A. |
| Ē | 5 | Mala | Cortex | 9.6 | N.D. | 10.3 |
| Talwall | 10 | INIAIC | Tumor | N.D. | N.D. | N.A. |
| Ē | 5 | Mala | Cortex | 3.0 | N.D. | 7.5 |
| 1 arwan | 10 | INIAIC | Tumor | N.D. | N.D. | N.A. |
| Not detected | (N.D.) | = < 3 addu | tcts per 10 ⁵ | ⁹ bases by UPLC-ESI/MS ³ or by ³² P-Postlabeling/PA | GE | |

Chem Res Toxicol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 May 21.

Not analyzed (N.A)