eComment, Marginal hearts: a second-round draft picks?

Authors: Elsayed Elmistekawy and Fraser D. Rubens

Cardiac Surgery Division, Ottawa Heart Institute, Ottawa, ON, Canada, doi: 10.1093/icvts/ivs504

© The Author 2012. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery. All rights reserved.

We read with interest the article by Pinto and his colleagues, who describe a case report of coronary artery bypass grafting surgery (CABG) in a transplanted heart [1]. With increased longevity in Western countries, the number of patients with end stage heart disease is increasing and studies have reported that advanced age should not be a contraindication for heart transplantation [2]. On the other hand, this philosophical change will add to the recognized gap between available donors and recipients for heart transplantation. The use of marginal donors is therefore a potential strategy to increase donor recruitment and indeed the use of this strategy has been successful in high volume transplant centers [3–5].

We recently encountered a critical clinical situation, in which a marginal donor with significant coronary artery disease was accepted for a recipient who was in cardiogenic shock despite of maximal medical and mechanical support. A 74-year old man presented with ischaemic cardiomypathy with an ejection fraction of 13%. He had a positron emssion tomohgraphy (PET) scan, which demonstrated that he had viable hibernating myocardium in a large portion of his anterior wall, suggesting that he would benefit from coronary revascularization. He underwent twovessel CABG with vein grafting to left anterior descending artery (LAD) and posterior interventricular branch of the right coronary artery and left ventricular aneuryzm repair. He required inotropic support and an intra-aortic baloon pump (IABP) to wean from bypass. After extubation, the IABP could not be weaned, however all other organ systems were functioning perfectly. The patient was listed for a cardiac transplant with the condition that we would only accept a heart that had been turned down by every other institution in the national system. Fortunately a heart did become available from a 45 year-old donor that was refused due to moderate

left ventricular dysfunction by echocardiogram and the presence of a lesion in the LAD on the coronary angiogram. Donor procurement was uneventful and after cardiectomy and insertion of the donor heart, during de-airing the previous vein graft was re-anastomosed to the distal LAD. The patient was weaned smoothly from cardiopulmonary bypass and transoesophegeal echocardiography showed that the left ventricular function was normal. The postoperative course was smooth and the patient continues to do well one and half years post transplant with excellent compliance. Follow-up echocardioghraphy has demonstrated that the donor heart has an ejection fraction estimated at 55%. These cases highlight the need for careful reassessment of marginal donors and perhaps suggest that a "second draft choice" list be considered for this cohort by transplant teams.

Conflict of interest: none declared

References

- [1] Pinto CS, Prieto D, Antunes MJ. Coronary artery bypass graft surgery during heart transplantation. Interact CardioVasc Thorac Surg 2013;16:224–226.
- [2] Daneshvar D, Czer LSC, Phan A, Schwarz ER, De Robertis M, Mirocha J et al. Heart Transplantation in Patients Aged 70 Years and Older: A Two-Decade Experience Transplantation Proceedings 2011;43:3851–3856.
- [3] Forni A, Luciani GB, Chiominto B, Pizzuti M, Mazzucco A, Faggian G. Results with expanded donor acceptance criteria in heart transplantation. Transplant Proc 2011;43:953-9.
- [4] Kilic A, Weiss ES, Allen JG, George TJ, Yuh DD, Shah AS et al. Should orthotopic heart transplantation using marginal donors be limited to higher volume centers? Ann Thorac Surg 2012;94:695–702.
- [5] Bombardini T, Gherardi S, Arpesella G, Maccherini M, Serra W, Magnani G et al. Favorable short-term outcome of transplanted hearts selected from marginal donors by pharmacological stress echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2011;24:353-62.