

Journal section: Oral Medicine and Pathology
Publication Types: Research

doi:10.4317/medoral.18232
<http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.4317/medoral.18232>

Self-reported bruxism mirrors anxiety and stress in adults

Jari Ahlberg¹, Frank Lobbezoo², Kristiina Ahlberg¹, Daniele Manfredini³, Christer Hublin⁴, Juha Sinisalo⁵, Mauno Könönen^{1,5}, Aslak Savolainen⁶

¹ Institute of Dentistry, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

² Department of Oral Kinesiology, Academic Centre for Dentistry Amsterdam (ACTA), Research Institute MOVE, University of Amsterdam and VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³ Department of Maxillofacial Surgery, University of Padova, Italy

⁴ Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, Helsinki, Finland

⁵ Helsinki University Central Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

⁶ Finnish Broadcasting Company, Helsinki, Finland

Correspondence:
Institute of Dentistry
University of Helsinki
POB 41, 00014 HY
Helsinki, Finland
jari.ahlberg@helsinki.fi

Ahlberg J, Lobbezoo F, Ahlberg K, Manfredini D, Hublin C, Sinisalo J, Könönen M, Savolainen A. Self-reported bruxism mirrors anxiety and stress in adults. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2013 Jan 1;18 (1):e7-11. <http://www.medicinaoral.com/medoralfree01/v18i1/medoralv18i1p7.pdf>

Received: 24/01/2012
Accepted: 07/06/2012

Article Number: 18232 <http://www.medicinaoral.com/>
© Medicina Oral S. L. C.I.F. B 96689336 - pISSN 1698-4447 - eISSN: 1698-6946
eMail: medicina@medicinaoral.com
Indexed in:
Science Citation Index Expanded
Journal Citation Reports
Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed
Scopus, Embase and Emcare
Indice Médico Español

Abstract

Objectives: The aims were to analyze whether the levels of self-reported bruxism and anxiety associate among otherwise healthy subjects, and to investigate the independent effects of anxiety and stress experience on the probability of self-reported bruxism.

Study Design: As part of a study on irregular shift work, a questionnaire was mailed to all employees of the Finnish Broadcasting Company with irregular shift work (number of subjects: n=750) and to an equal number of randomly selected employees in the same company with regular eight-hour daytime work.

Results: The response rates were 82.3% (56.6 % men) and 34.3 % (46.7 % men), respectively. Among the 874 respondents, those aware of more frequent bruxism reported significantly more severe anxiety (p<0.001). Adjusted by age and gender, frequent bruxers were more than two times more likely to report severe stress (odds ratio 2.5; 95% confidence interval 1.5-4.2) and anxiety (odds ratio 2.2; 95% confidence interval 1.3-3.6) than non-or-mild bruxers.

Conclusions: Present findings suggest that self-reported bruxism and psychological states such as anxiety or stress may be related in working age subjects.

Key words: *Bruxism, self-report, anxiety, stress, adult.*

Introduction

In psychologically healthy adult populations (namely, persons without a severe anxiety disorder or other major psychological problems) the relationship of anxious mood and bruxism has remained inconsistent (1-10). However, it seems that especially transient anxious reaction to stressful event may relate to self-reported bruxism.

Sleep bruxism has been shown to be part of complex arousal response of the central nervous system, which occurs during changes in sleep depth and is accompanied by, among others, body movements, increased heart rate, respiratory changes, and muscle activities (11-14). Since sleep problems, frequent awakenings in particular, was found common in our previous study (15), it is possible that underlying anxiety and stress may exacerbate bruxism along with more frequent arousals during sleep. Whether this applies to self-reported bruxism remains unclear.

Recent studies performed on multiprofessional media personnel, who could be considered as under sustained pressure at work due to irregular shifts, intense ongoing technological changes, deadlines, and demands involved in direct broadcasting, suggest that subjectively conceptualized awareness of bruxism (i.e., self-reported grinding or clenching, during sleep or while awake) may reveal, among others, perceived stress (16) and dissatisfaction with one's work shift schedule (17). However, those previous studies have neither controlled for anxiety nor assessed the relationship of anxiety and severity of bruxism. Thus, the aim of the present study was to further investigate whether anxiety and stress experiences, both measured with validity tested methods, associated with levels of self-reported bruxism.

Material and Methods

As part of comprehensive study on the effects of irregular shift work on health and work performance, a questionnaire was mailed in 2003 to all employees of the Finnish Broadcasting Company with irregular shift work (number of subjects: n=750; 57.0 % men) and to an equal number of randomly selected employees in the same company with regular eight-hour daytime work (42.4 % men) (8-9). The work duties of the media personnel included journalism, broadcasting, programme production, technical support, and administration. The overall response rate was 58.3% (n=874; 53.7% men). The response rate in the irregular shift work group was 82.3% (56.6% men) and in the regular daytime work group 34.3% (46.7% men). The mean age of males in shift work was 45.0 (standard deviation: SD 10.6) years and of females 42.6 (SD 10.7) years. The corresponding figures for daytime workers were 47.4 (SD 9.7) and 45.5 (SD 10.1) years, respectively. Notwithstanding the uneven response rates, the invited subjects and respondents in the shift work and day work groups were similar as regards gender and age, which suggests that the day work group may also be representative. However, as the

present study was not any longer targeted to examine the work group aspect, all respondents were included to ensure more power. Ethics clearance was obtained from the Committee of Occupational Health, Helsinki and Uusimaa Hospital District, Helsinki, Finland.

Based on the survey data described above, the following variables were included in the present study (n=874):

- a) Demographic data: gender, age, work type.
- b) Bruxism: self-assessed awareness and frequency of tooth clenching or grinding with a five-point scale (never, seldom, sometimes, often, and continually). Subjects reporting bruxism 'often' or 'continually' were categorized as 'frequent bruxers', those reporting bruxism 'sometimes' as 'moderate bruxers', and the rest as 'non-or-mild bruxers'. 'Bruxism' is used as general term throughout the manuscript, unless stated otherwise.
- c) Anxiety: the 10-item subscale of the Symptom Checklist-90 (SCL-90-R) (18). The five-point scale ranged from 'not at all' to 'very much'.
- d) Stress (Occupational Stress Questionnaire) (19): level of perceived stress, measured and classified with a five-point scale as follows: 'Stress means the situation when a person feels tense, restless, nervous or anxious, or is unable to sleep because his/her mind is troubled all the time. Do you feel that kind of stress these days?' (not at all, only a little, to some extent, rather much, very much). Those currently reporting stress 'rather much' or 'very much' were categorized to have 'severe stress'.

-Statistical methods

The data were cross-tabulated and the χ^2 test was used to study associations between categorical variables. The Jonckheer-Terpstra test (a non-parametric trend test) was used to assess whether the severity of bruxism and anxiety were correlated. Multinomial logistic regression model was used to analyze the independent effects of the psychological variables on the probability of moderate and frequent bruxism. The reference category was non-or-mild bruxism. Variables for the multivariate analyses were categorized as follows: age (in years), gender (male = 0, female = 1), irregular shift work (no = 0, yes = 1), severe stress (no = 0, yes = 1), anxiety (score above the Finnish norm for community subjects = 1, else = 0) (18). The multivariate model was also tested excluding the work group variable, which did not markedly change the effects of the other independent variables. Thus, despite the uneven response rates, the work group variable was not considered to cause confounding interaction in the model and it was used as an adjust variable. Odds ratios (OR) and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated.

Results

Table 1 shows the crude associations between the study variables and the severity of self-reported bruxism; perceived anxiety and severe stress were both found significantly associated with more frequent bruxism ($p < 0.001$).

The mean anxiety score for the study population was 0.47 (SD 0.42). Subjects aware of more frequent bruxism tended to report significantly more severe anxiety, and a clear-cut difference was seen in the anxiety scores between severe bruxists and non-or-mild bruxists ($p < 0.001$). Also, those reporting frequent bruxism

had an anxiety score clearly above the Finnish norm for community subjects (Fig. 1).

Multinomial logistic regression revealed that the significant associations of both anxiety and severe stress with bruxism were consistent (Table 2). Anxiety above the overall mean score (OR 2.2; 95 % CI 1.3-3.6) and severe

Table 1. Self-assessed severity of bruxism by age, gender, and the studied psychological variables. Chi square test. (total number of subjects; n=874)

Bruxism:					
Subjects:		Non-or-mild 666 %	Moderate 116 %	Frequent 92 %	p-value
Age					0.012
≤ 34	198	72.2	12.6	15.2	
35-44	251	75.3	12.7	12.0	
45-54	214	78.0	15.9	6.1	
≥ 55	211	83.4	9.5	7.1	
Gender					0.003
female	405	72.1	15.3	12.6	
male	469	81.7	10.4	7.9	
Irregular shifts					0.331
yes	617	79.7	12.5	7.8	
no	257	76.2	12.8	11.0	
Anxiety					<0.001
yes	290	64.5	18.6	16.9	
no	584	83.5	9.8	6.7	
Severe stress					<0.001
yes	203	61.6	18.7	19.7	
no	671	82.0	10.8	7.2	

Non-or-mild ('never' or 'seldom'), Moderate ('sometimes'), Frequent ('often' or 'continually')

Table 2. Multinomial logistic regression: independent effects of stress and anxiety on moderate and frequent bruxism. Reference category non-or-mild bruxism. Adjusted by age, gender and work type.

(total number of subjects; n=874)	Odds Ratio	95% CI	p-value
Moderate			
Age	n.a.		
Gender (female)	1.6	1.0-2.4	0.035
Irregular shift work	1.1	0.7-1.7	0.833
Severe stress (rather or very much)	1.7	1.0-2.7	0.045
Anxiety (score above the overall mean)	1.7	1.2-3.1	0.004
Frequent			
Age	n.a.		
Gender (female)	1.6	1.0-2.5	0.059
Irregular shift work	1.3	0.8-2.3	0.330
Severe stress (rather or very much)	2.5	1.5-4.2	0.001
Anxiety (score above the overall mean)	2.2	1.3-3.6	0.004

n.a. = Odd ratios and corresponding 95 % confidence intervals (CI) were not calculated for one additional year.




Fig. 1. Mean SCL-90-R anxiety raw scores and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) according to self-reported bruxism. Horizontal line represents the overall mean value among the study population; grid line represents the norm for community subjects in Finland (18). Statistical evaluation by Jonckheer-Terpstra -test to evaluate whether the severity of self-reported bruxism and anxiety were correlated ($p < 0.001$) (p -value, n = number of subjects).

stress (OR 2.5; 95 % CI 1.5-4.2) were significantly more probable among frequent bruxers than those reporting non-or-mild bruxism. Similarly, both anxiety (OR 1.7; 95% CI 1.2-3.1) and severe stress (OR 1.7; 95% CI 1.0-3.1) were associated with moderate bruxism.

Discussion

Our main finding was the clear-cut difference between frequent bruxers and non-or-mild bruxers as regards anxiety scores. The present study also confirms previously found associations between self-reported stress and bruxism among non-patients (16,17).

To be useful, rating scales should be reliable (i.e., consistent and repeatable even if performed at different times or under different conditions) and valid (i.e., represent the true state of nature). In the present study, anxiety was measured using the SCL-90-R, which has been reliability and validity tested among adults in Finland (18).

The mean anxiety score (0.47, SD 0.42) was close to the SCL-90-R norm (0.53, SD 0.49) for community subjects in Finland (18). Also the used single-item five-scale stress question has been shown to be a valid method to measure stress on group level (19). What self-reported bruxism indicates has remained unclear, however. Thus, in our study, it is noteworthy that subjectively assessed severity of bruxism associated significantly with the outcomes of both these psychological measures.

By definition, bruxism events may occur while asleep or awake, although it seems that these two entities do not share their correlates (20). Awake bruxism mainly consists of tooth clenching, while grinding is more rarely noted. Sleep bruxism is more often tooth grinding with phasic (rhythmic), tonic (sustained) or mixed (both types) jaw muscle contractions (21). Electromyographic recordings definitely reveal masticatory muscle activity, and combined with polysomnography (PSG) and audio-

video recordings, sleep bruxism and possible concomitant events can be detected (21). However, in epidemiological studies data on bruxism are usually gathered from questionnaires or interviews. Thus, awareness of bruxism in epidemiological studies (including the present one) most likely includes phenomena other than rhythmic masticatory muscle activity (RMMA) typical of 'pure' sleep bruxism. PSG studies also tend to have strict inclusion criteria, while they focus on sleep bruxism (RMMA) mechanism as well as events concomitant to RMMA during sleep (e.g. snoring, sleep apnea, and gastroesophageal reflux). In such studies, subjects with anxiety or stress, for example, are often excluded as these states may have some confounding. Consequently, it may be impossible to isolate the role of anxiety and stress *in* concomitant autonomic nervous system response and the genesis of bruxism.

The present findings corroborate the few existing studies on the relationship of reported bruxism and anxiety among adult populations (1-10). With the exception of the only large general population based survey of Ohayon et al. (6), however, these studies were performed on much smaller populations. A recent review concluded that awake clenching may be mainly associated with psychosocial factors and various psychopathological symptoms, while data on the etiology and characteristics of bruxism from sleep laboratory studies do not support the association of psychosocial disorders and polysomnographically diagnosed bruxism (22). The authors also suggest that future research should be designed to better distinguish these two forms of bruxism when investigating underlying psychosocial issues (22).

The present findings suggest that self-reported bruxism and psychological states such as anxiety or stress may be related in working age subjects.

References

1. Olkinuora M. Psychosocial aspects in a series of bruxists compared with a group of non-bruxists. *Proc Finn Dent Soc.* 1972;68:200-8.
2. Heller RF, Forgione AG. An evaluation of bruxism control: massed negative practice and automated relaxation training. *J Dent Res.* 1975;54:1120-3.
3. Funch DP, Gale EN. Factors associated with nocturnal bruxism and its treatment. *J Behav Med.* 1980;3:385-97.
4. Kampe T, Edman G, Bader G, Tagdae T, Karlsson S. Personality traits in a group of subjects with long-standing bruxing behaviour. *J Oral Rehabil.* 1997;24:588-93.
5. Manfredini D, Landi N, Fantoni F, Segù M, Bosco M. Anxiety symptoms in clinically diagnosed bruxers. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2005;32:584-8.
6. Ohayon MM, Li KK, Guilleminault C. Risk factors for sleep bruxism in the general population. *Chest.* 2001;119:53-61.
7. Manfredini D, Fabbri A, Peretta R, Guarda-Nardini L, Lobbezoo F. Influence of psychological symptoms on home-recorded sleep-time masticatory muscle activity in healthy subjects. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2011;38:902-11.
8. Endo H, Kanemura K, Tanabe N, Takebe J. Clenching occurring during the day is influenced by psychological factors. *J Prosthodont Res.* 2011;55:159-64.
9. Winocur E, Uziel N, Lisha T, Goldsmith C, Eli I. Self-reported

bruxism - associations with perceived stress, motivation for control, dental anxiety and gagging. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2011;38:3-11.

10. Shetty S, Pitti V, Satish Babu CL, Surendra Kumar GP, Deepthi BC. Bruxism: a literature review. *J Indian Prosthodont Soc.* 2010;10:141-8.
11. Sjöholm TT, Piha SJ, Lehtinen I. Cardiovascular autonomic control is disturbed in nocturnal teethgrinders. *Clin Physiol.* 1995;15:349-54.
12. Macaluso GM, Guerra P, Di Giovanni G, Boselli M, Parrino L, Terzano MG. Sleep bruxism is a disorder related to periodic arousal during sleep. *J Dent Res.* 1998;77:565-73.
13. Kato T, Montplaisir JY, Guitard F, Sessle BJ, Lund JP, Lavigne GJ. Evidence that experimentally induced sleep bruxism is a consequence of transient arousal. *J Dent Res.* 2003;82:284-8.
14. Huynh N, Kato T, Rompré PH, Okura K, Saber M, Lanfranchi PA, et al. Sleep bruxism is associated to micro-arousals and an increase in cardiac sympathetic activity. *J Sleep Res.* 2006;15:339-46.
15. Ahlberg K, Jahkola A, Savolainen A, Könönen M, Partinen M, Hublin C, et al. Associations of reported bruxism with insomnia and insufficient sleep symptoms among media personnel with or without irregular shift work. *Head Face Med.* 2008;4:4.
16. Ahlberg J, Rantala M, Savolainen A, Suvinen T, Nissinen M, Sarna S, et al. Reported bruxism and stress experience. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 2002;30:405-8.
17. Ahlberg K, Ahlberg J, Könönen M, Partinen M, Lindholm H, Savolainen A. Reported bruxism and stress experience in media personnel with or without irregular shift work. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 2003;61:315-8.
18. Holi MM, Sammallahti PR, Aalberg VA. A Finnish validation study of the SCL-90. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* 1998;97:42-6.
19. Elo AL, Leppänen A, Jahkola A. Validity of a single-item measure of stress symptoms. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 2003;29:444-51.
20. de la Hoz-Aizpurua JL, Díaz-Alonso E, LaTouche-Arbizu R, Mesa-Jiménez J. Sleep bruxism. Conceptual review and update. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal.* 2011;16:e231-8.
21. Lavigne GJ, Montplaisir JY. Restless legs syndrome and sleep bruxism: prevalence and associations among Canadians. *Sleep.* 1994;17:739-43.
22. Manfredini D, Lobbezoo F. Role of psychosocial factors in the etiology of bruxism. *J Orofac Pain.* 2009;23:153-66.